

1 (a) Pf by contradiction: Suppose  $x > a$ .  
Then we can find a number  $c$  s.t.  $a < c < x$ .  
We have contradicted the assumption  
 $c > a \Rightarrow x \leq c$ .

(b) Let  $c > a$ . Pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $c - \varepsilon > a$ .  
Pick  $N$  s.t.  $n \geq N \Rightarrow |s_n - s| \leq \varepsilon$ .  
Then  $s \leq s_n + \varepsilon \leq a + \varepsilon \leq c$ .  
Since  $s \leq c$  for each  $c > a$ , we  
have  $s \leq a$ .

$$s = \overline{\lim} s_n. \quad t = \inf_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sup_{n \geq l} s_n \right) \quad \text{Claim: } s = t$$

Claim 1.  $t \leq s$ .

If  $s = \infty$  then nothing to prove.

Suppose  $s < \infty$ . Let  $c > s$ . Then Thm 3.17(b) implies that  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $n \geq N \Rightarrow s_n < c$ .

Hence

$$t = \inf_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sup_{n \geq l} s_n \right) \leq \sup_{n \geq N} s_n < c.$$

We have shown that  $c > s \Rightarrow t \leq c$ .

It follows that  $t \leq s$  because if  $t > s$  then we can find  $c$  s.t.  $s < c < t$ .

Claim 2.  $t \geq s$ .

Let  $y_l = \sup_{n \geq l} s_n$ . We show that each  $y_l$  is an upper bound of the set  $E = \{x \in [-\infty, \infty] : \exists s_{n_k} \rightarrow x\}$ . Let  $x \in E$ . Then  $s_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  for some subsequence  $\{s_{n_k}\}$ . Since  $s_{n_k} \leq y_l$  for all large enough  $k$

(namely, once  $k$  is large enough to make  $n_k \geq l$ ), in the limit also  $x \leq y_l$ .

(If  $x > y_l$ , then  $s_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  implies  $s_{n_k} > y_l$  for all large enough  $k$ , contradicting  $y_l = \sup_{n \geq l} s_n$ .)

Thus now we have that  $y_\ell = \sup_{n \geq \ell} s_n$  is an

upper bound of  $E$ . By def.,  $s = \sup E$ , so  
 $s \leq y_\ell$ .

The inequality  $s \leq y_\ell$  is now true for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . This says that  $s$  is a lower bound of the set  $\{y_\ell : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . But by def.  $t = \inf_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} y_\ell$ ,

and hence  $s \leq t$ .