

c. If  $G \subseteq E$  and  $G$  open, then  $G \subseteq E^\circ$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an open set such that  $G \subseteq E$ . Take point  $p \in G$ . By definition of an open set,  $p$  is an interior point of  $G$ , meaning there exists neighborhood  $N_r(p) \subseteq G \subseteq E$ . Thus  $p$  is an interior point of  $E$ . Thus,  $p \in E^\circ$ . Therefore  $G \subseteq E^\circ$ .  $\square$

d. The complement of  $E^\circ$  is the closure of the complement of  $E$ .

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) Take the point  $x \notin E^\circ$ . Then, every neighborhood around  $x$  is not a subset of  $E$ . Thus, every neighborhood around  $x$  contains a point not in  $E$ . Therefore,  $x$  is a limit point of  $E^c$ .

Thus, the complement of  $E^\circ$  is a subset of the closure of the complement of  $E$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* ( $\supseteq$ ) Note that  $E^\circ \subseteq E$ . Consider point  $x$  not in  $E$ , then  $x$  not in  $E^\circ$ . So  $(E^\circ)^c \supseteq E^c$ .

The complement of an open set is always closed, thus by part a,  $(E^\circ)^c$  is closed. By Theorem 2.27, if a set is the subset of a closed set, then its closure is also a subset. So the closure of  $E^c$  is a subset of  $(E^\circ)^c$ .

Therefore, since the complement of  $E^\circ$  is a subset and a superset of the closure of the complement of  $E$ , the complement of  $E^\circ$  is the closure of the complement of  $E$ .  $\square$

e. Do  $E$  and  $\bar{E}$  always have the same interiors? No. Consider the set on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $E = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ . Then  $E^\circ = E$ , but  $\bar{E} = [0, 2]$  and its interior is the set  $(0, 2)$ .

f. Do  $E$  and  $E^\circ$  always have the same closures? No. Consider the set on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $E = (0, 1) \cup \{2\}$ . Then,  $E^\circ = (0, 1)$ . The closure of  $E$  is the set  $[0, 1] \cup \{2\}$ , but the closure of  $E^\circ$  is the set  $[0, 1]$ .

## 2.22 SEPARABLE $\mathbb{R}^k$

The set  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is separable. That is, it has a dense, countable subset.

*Proof.* Consider the set  $\mathbb{Q}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . By theorem 2.13, the set  $\mathbb{Q}^k$  is countable.

Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . If  $p \notin \mathbb{Q}^k$ , then consider a neighborhood of radius  $r$  around  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ , denoted  $N_r(p)$ . By theorem 1.20, for every dimension  $i$  we can find a rational number  $q_i$  such that  $p_i - r < q_i < p_i + r$ . Thus there exists a point  $q \in N_r(p)$  such that  $q$  also in  $\mathbb{Q}^k$ . Therefore, since this was an arbitrary neighborhood,  $p$  is a limit point of  $\mathbb{Q}^k$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}^k$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is separable.  $\square$

## 2.29

Every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is the union of an at most countable collection of disjoint segments.

*Proof.* Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $G$  is an open set.

**Construction of "largest open interval around a rational".** Let  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $q \in G$ . Define a set  $V = \{x : [x, q] \subseteq G \text{ or } [q, x] \subseteq G\}$ . Consider the open interval around  $q$ ,  $(a_q, b_q)$ , where  $a_q = \inf V$ ,  $b_q = \sup V$ , called the largest open interval around  $q$ .

**Intervals are Disjoint.** Let  $p, q \in G$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $p < q$ . Let  $V_p, V_q$  be the largest open intervals around  $p, q$ , respectively. We then consider the two possible cases,

*Case:*  $[p, q] \subseteq G$ . Because  $[p, q] \subseteq G$ , and since  $p < q$ , the statement for  $x \in G$  that  $[x, q] \subseteq G$  is equivalent to the statement that  $[x, p] \subseteq G$ . This implies that  $\inf V_p = \inf V_q$ .

Because  $[p, q] \subseteq G$ , and since  $p < q$ , the statement for  $x \in G$  that  $[p, x] \subseteq G$  is equivalent to the statement that  $[q, x] \subseteq G$ . This implies that  $\sup V_p = \sup V_q$ .

Therefore, the two open intervals are the same.

coordinate  
direction

$\frac{r}{\sqrt{k}}$