

Plasma Stability and Dynamical Accessibility

Jean-Luc Thiffeault and P. J. Morrison

Institute for Fusion Studies and Department of Physics

The University of Texas at Austin

<http://w3fusion.ph.utexas.edu/~jeanluc/>

Sherwood Meeting, March 1999

Overview

- We attempt to provide a unified description of **variational methods** for establishing stability of plasma equilibria.
- The first approach discussed, which for plasmas was introduced by Bernstein *et al.* [1], is based upon a **Lagrangian** approach (in the sense of fluid elements). A Lagrangian equilibrium is **static**.
- If there is a symmetry in the system, one can use the process of **reduction** to derive a smaller set of equations from the Lagrangian description. Equilibria for the smaller system can have flow (**steady**, but not static).
- The most important such symmetry is the **relabeling** symmetry, which leads to an **Eulerian** description, where knowledge of the position of fluid elements disappears.

Equations of Motion

We consider the equations of motion for an inviscid, ideally conducting fluid:

$$\rho (\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla p + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$$

$$\partial_t s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla s = 0$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

\mathbf{v} is the fluid velocity, p the pressure, ρ the density, s the entropy, \mathbf{B} the magnetic field, and $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ the electric current. The dynamical equations are supplemented by the constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$.

Constants of Motion

The Hamiltonian (total energy) for the system is conserved:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{v}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}|^2 + \rho U(\rho, s) \right)$$

U is the internal energy, with $p = \rho^2 (\partial U / \partial \rho)_s$.

The system possesses other invariants, such as the helicity and cross-helicity, depending on the initial configuration [15, 19].

Lagrangian (static) Equilibrium

Equilibrium quantities are denoted by a subscript e .

Setting ∂_t and \mathbf{v}_e to zero, the only condition is

$$\nabla p_e = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_e) \times \mathbf{B}_e$$

along with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_e = 0$.

To determine a sufficient condition for [stability](#), we consider perturbations about a static equilibrium

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_0, t),$$

where \mathbf{x} is the position of a fluid element at time t and $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_0, t)$ is the [Lagrangian displacement](#), with $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_0, 0) = 0$.

After computing the variations of the various physical quantities and linearizing the equations of motion with respect to $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ (Bernstein *et al.* [1]), we obtain

$$\rho_0 \ddot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) := \nabla_0 \left[\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{s_0} \nabla_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0) p_0 \right] + \mathbf{J}_0 \times \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{B}_0 \times (\nabla_0 \times \mathbf{Q})$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q} := \nabla_0 \times (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{B}_0)$$

Linear stability is then guaranteed if

$$\delta^2 W(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) := -\frac{1}{2} \int \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d^3x \geq 0.$$

This is **Lagrange's principle**: the potential energy needs to be positive-definite for stability.

Equilibria with Symmetry

If the equations possess a [symmetry](#), we may use the process of [reduction](#) (see e.g. Morrison [16]) to decrease the order of the system.

Newcomb [4] finds [axially symmetric](#) equilibria, with flow in the toroidal direction. We can move to a [reference frame](#) where the equilibrium appears static, even though it has flow along the symmetry direction.

Eulerian Equilibria

An important reduction is the **relabeling symmetry**, by which we pass from the Lagrangian to the Eulerian picture [16]. The equilibria then represent **steady** flows. We want to use different methods than before, because we would rather not have to find explicitly the trajectory of fluid elements.

Two approaches:

- **“Eulerianized” Lagrangian displacements** (Frieman and Rotenberg [3], Newcomb [4]), by which the displacements are re-expressed in terms of Eulerian variables only.
- **Dynamically accessible variations** [16], a method for generating variations which preserve the Casimir invariants of the system (a generalization of “Arnold’s method” [6]).

“Eulerianized” Lagrangian Displacement

The idea here is to express the Lagrangian displacement $\xi(\mathbf{x}_0, t)$ in terms of the Eulerian coordinates \mathbf{x} :

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x}_0, t)$$

The variations are [4]

$$\delta \mathbf{v} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$$

$$\delta \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{\eta})$$

$$\delta s = -\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \nabla s$$

$$\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\eta} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

Then the energy can be varied with respect to these perturbations, and a sufficient stability criterion is obtained. Note that since the variations are arbitrary, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ are **independent**.

Hamiltonian Formulation

The inviscid, ideally conducting fluid has a Hamiltonian formulation in terms of a **noncanonical bracket**

$$\{F, G\} = - \left(\int d^3x F_\rho \nabla \cdot G_{\mathbf{v}} + F_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left(\frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{v})}{2\rho} \times G_{\mathbf{v}} \right) + \rho^{-1} \nabla s \cdot (F_s G_{\mathbf{v}}) + \rho^{-1} F_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times G_{\mathbf{B}})) \right) + \left(F \longleftrightarrow G \right).$$

F and G are functionals of the dynamical variables $(\mathbf{v}, \rho, s, \mathbf{B})$, and subscripts denote functional derivatives. The bracket $\{, \}$ is **antisymmetric** and satisfies the **Jacobi identity**. The equations of motion of page 3 can be written

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{v}, \rho, s, \mathbf{B}) = \{(\mathbf{v}, \rho, s, \mathbf{B}), H\}$$

in terms of the Hamiltonian (page 4).

Dynamical Accessibility

Another method establishing formal stability uses **dynamically accessible variations** (DAV), defined for the variable ζ as

$$\delta\zeta_{\text{da}} := \{\mathcal{G}, \zeta\}, \quad \delta^2\zeta_{\text{da}} := \frac{1}{2} \{\mathcal{G}, \{\mathcal{G}, \zeta\}\},$$

with \mathcal{G} given in terms of the generating functions χ_μ by

$$\mathcal{G} := \int \zeta^\mu \chi_\mu d^3x.$$

DAV are variations that are constrained to remain on the **symplectic leaves** of the system. They preserve the Casimir invariants to second order (but there is no need to explicitly know the invariants). Stationary solutions ζ_e of the Hamiltonian,

$$\delta H_{\text{da}}[\zeta_e] = 0,$$

capture **all** possible equilibria of the equations of motion.

Energy Associated with DAVs

The energy of the perturbations is

$$\delta^2 H_{\text{da}}[\zeta_e] = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\delta\zeta_{\text{da}}^\sigma \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta\zeta^\sigma \delta\zeta^\tau} \delta\zeta_{\text{da}}^\tau + \delta^2\zeta_{\text{da}}^\nu \frac{\delta H}{\delta\zeta^\nu} \right) d^3x,$$

with $\zeta = (\mathbf{v}, \rho, s, \mathbf{B})$ and repeated indices are summed.

This is essentially the expression obtained by Isichenko [17], though he “guessed” at the form of $\delta^2\zeta_{\text{da}}$ and so obtained a slightly incorrect result.

Positive-definiteness of $\delta^2 H_{\text{da}}[\zeta_e]$ implies **formal stability**, which implies linear stability, but not nonlinear stability.

The form of the dynamically accessible perturbations is

$$\rho \delta \mathbf{v}_{\text{da}} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \times \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 + \rho \nabla \chi_1 - \chi_2 \nabla s + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\chi}_3)$$

$$\delta \rho_{\text{da}} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\chi}_0$$

$$\delta s_{\text{da}} = \rho^{-1} \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 \cdot \nabla s$$

$$\delta \mathbf{B}_{\text{da}} = \nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{B} \times \boldsymbol{\chi}_0}{\rho} \right)$$

$\boldsymbol{\chi}_0$, χ_1 , χ_2 , and $\boldsymbol{\chi}_3$ are the arbitrary generating functions of the variations. The variations for ρ , s , and \mathbf{B} are the same as on page 9, with $\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 = \rho \boldsymbol{\eta}$.

The combination of arbitrary functions in the definition of $\delta \mathbf{v}_{\text{da}}$ makes that perturbation arbitrary, in the same manner as the perturbation $\delta \mathbf{v}$ on page 9.

Remarks

- The two approaches, using Lagrangian perturbations vs dynamical accessibility, lead to essentially the same stability criterion.
- Dynamical accessibility can be used directly at the Hamiltonian level, without any knowledge of underlying Lagrangian dynamics. One needs to know the Poisson bracket and Hamiltonian.

The magnetofluid system has a **semidirect product** structure, which implies that it has a simple Lagrangian description. But for some other systems, for example 2D compressible reduced MHD [11], dynamical accessibility is easier to apply [18].

- Dynamical accessibility has also been applied to Vlasov–Maxwell equilibria [12, 13].
- The **energy–Casimir** method [9, 10], closely related to dynamical accessibility, requires knowledge of the invariants and doesn't quite capture all equilibria. However, it can sometimes be used to yield nonlinear stability criteria.

References

- [1] I. B. Bernstein, E. A. Frieman, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Kulsrud, "An energy principle for hydromagnetic stability problems," *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **244**, 17 (1958).
- [2] M. D. Kruskal and C. R. Oberman, "On the stability of a plasma in static equilibrium," *Phys. Fluids* **1**, 275 (1958).
- [3] E. A. Frieman and M. Rotenberg, "On hydrodynamic stability of stationary equilibria," *Rev. Modern Phys.* **32**, 898 (1960).
- [4] W. A. Newcomb, "Lagrangian and Hamiltonian methods in magnetohydrodynamics," *Nuclear Fusion: Supplement*, part 2 451 (1962).
- [5] C. S. Gardner, "Bound on the energy available from a plasma," *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 839 (1963).
- [6] V. I. Arnold, "Conditions for nonlinear stability of the stationary plane curvilinear flows of an ideal fluid," *Doklady Mat. Nauk.* **162**, 773 (1965).
- [7] V. I. Arnold, "Variational principle for three dimensional steady-state flows of an ideal fluid," *J. Appl. Math. Mech.* **29**, 1002 (1965).
- [8] P. J. Morrison and J. M. Greene, "Noncanonical Hamiltonian density formulation of hydrodynamics and ideal magnetohydrodynamics," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 790 (1980).
- [9] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein, "Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria," *Physics Reports* **123**, 1 (1985).
- [10] J. M. Finn and G.-Z. Sun, "Nonlinear stability and the energy-Casimir method," *Comments Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **11**, 7 (1987).
- [11] R. D. Hazeltine, C. T. Hsu, and P. J. Morrison, "Hamiltonian four-field model for nonlinear tokamak dynamics," *Phys. Fluids* **30**, 3204 (1987).
- [12] P. J. Morrison and D. Pfirsch, "Free-energy expressions for Vlasov equilibria," *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 3898 (1989).

- [13] P. J. Morrison and D. Pfirsch, “The free energy of Maxwell–Vlasov equilibria,” *Phys. Fluids B* **2**, 1105 (1990).
- [14] H. E. Kandrup and P. J. Morrison, “Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov–Einstein system and the problem of stability for spherical relativistic star clusters,” *Ann. of Phys.* **225**, 114 (1993).
- [15] N. Padhye and P. J. Morrison, “Fluid element relabeling symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. A* **219**, 287 (1996).
- [16] P. J. Morrison, “Hamiltonian description of the ideal fluid,” *Rev. Modern Phys.* **70**, 467 (1998).
- [17] M. B. Isichenko, “Nonlinear hydrodynamic stability,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 972 (1998).
- [18] J.-L. Thiffeault, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 1998.
- [19] E. Hameiri, “Variational principles for equilibrium states with plasma flow,” (1999), to be published.