Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Stirring by swimming bodies

Jean-Luc Thiffeault^{1,2} Steve Childress³

¹Department of Mathematics University of Wisconsin – Madison

²Institute for Mathematics and its Applications University of Minnesota – Twin Cities

³Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University

MIT Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, 27 October 2009



A controversial proposition:

- There are many regions of the ocean that are relatively quiescent, especially in the depths (1 hairdryer/ km³);
- Yet mixing occurs: nutrients eventually get dredged up to the surface somehow;
- What if organisms swimming through the ocean made a significant contribution to this?
- There could be a local impact, especially with respect to feeding and schooling;
- Also relevant in suspensions of microorganisms (Stokes regime).

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000

Viscosity and squirming

Conclusion 00 References

Munk's Idea

Though it had been mentioned earlier, the first to seriously consider the role of biomixing was Walter Munk (1966):

Abyssal recipes

WALTER H. MUNK*

(Received 31 January 1966)

Abstract—Vertical distributions in the interior Pacific (excluding the top and bottom kilometer) are not inconsistent with a simple model involving a constant upward vertical velocity $w \approx 1/2$ cm day⁻¹ and eddy diffusivity $\kappa \approx 1/3$ cm²sec⁻¹. Thus temperature and salinity can be fitted by exponential like solutions to $[\kappa \cdot d^2/dz^2 - w \cdot d/dz] T$, S = 0, with $\kappa/w \approx 1$ km the appropriate "scale height." For Carbon 14 a decay term must be included, $[]^{14}C = \mu^{14}C$; a fitting of the solution to the observed ¹⁴C distribution yields $\kappa/w^2 \approx 200$ years for the appropriate "scale time," and permits w and

"... I have attempted, without much success, to interpret [the eddy diffusivity] from a variety of viewpoints: from mixing along the ocean boundaries, from thermodynamic and biological processes, and from internal tides."



Conclusions

References

Basic claims

The idea lay dormant for almost 40 years; then

- Huntley & Zhou (2004) analyzed the swimming of 100 (!) species, ranging from bacteria to blue whales. Turbulent energy production is $\sim 10^{-5}~{\rm W~kg^{-1}}$ for 11 representative species.
- Total is comparable to energy dissipation by major storms!
- Another estimate comes from the solar energy captured: 63 TeraW, something like 1% of which ends up as mechanical energy (Dewar *et al.*, 2006).
- Kunze *et al.* (2006) find that turbulence levels during the day in an inlet were 2 to 3 orders of magnitude greater than at night, due to swimming krill.

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000

Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Rain on the parade

Visser (2007) debunks these claims:

Let the turbulence be generated at a scale L, with a rate of turbulent energy dissipation ε .

The buoyancy frequency N is defined as

$$N^2 = -\frac{g}{\rho} \, \frac{d\rho}{dz}$$

where g is the gravitational acceleration and $\rho(z)$ is the density. The buoyancy length scale is

$$B = (\varepsilon/N^3)^{1/2}$$

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusion:

References

Mixing efficiency

The mixing efficiency is defined as

 $\Gamma = \frac{\text{change in potential energy}}{\text{work done}}$

so $0 \leq \Gamma \leq 1$.

Visser's point is that Γ depends strongly on L/B.

For krill L = 1.5 cm, B = 3 to 10 m, so L/B = .005 to .0015.

Conclude: $\Gamma = 10^{-4}$ to 10^{-3} : almost none of the turbulent energy goes into mixing.



(from Visser (2007))

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

But it's not over...

Katija & Dabiri (2009) looked at jellyfish:



Dilute theory •00000 Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Displacement by a moving body



[movie 2] (movie from Katija & Dabiri (2009))

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

A sequence of kicks

The age-old paradigm for calculating an effective diffusivity consists of assuming a test particle undergoes uncorrelated "kicks": if a test particle initially at $\mathbf{x}(0) = 0$ undergoes N encounters with axially-symmetric swimming bodies, its position is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta(a_k) \, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k$$

where $\Delta(a)$ is the displacement, a_k is the impact parameter, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_k$ is a direction vector.

After squaring and averaging, assuming isotropy:

$$\left< |{f x}|^2 \right> = {\it N} \left< \Delta^2(a) \right>$$

where a is treated as a random variable.

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusion:

References

Assuming the swimmers move in a straight line at speed U, the number that will hit an "interaction disk" of radius R in time t is 2RUnt, where n is the number density.

The approach from infinity means that a is distributed as da/R. Putting this together,

$$\langle |\mathbf{x}|^2 \rangle = 2 Unt \int_0^\infty \Delta^2(\mathbf{a}) \, d\mathbf{a} = 4\kappa t, \qquad 2\mathsf{D}$$

which defines the effective diffusivity κ .

In 3D, the factors are modified slightly:

$$\left< |\mathbf{x}|^2 \right> = 2\pi U n t \int_0^\infty a \, \Delta^2(a) \, da = 6\kappa t, \qquad 3\mathsf{D}$$

Dilute theory

Displacement

Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions 00 References

Numerical simulation

- Validate theory using simple simple simulations;
- Periodic box of size *L*;
- N swimmers (spheres of radius 1), initially at random positions, swimming in random direction with constant speed U = 1;
- Target particle initially at origin advected by the swimmers;
- Since dilute, superimpose velocities;
- Integrate for some time, compute $|\mathbf{x}(t)|^2$, repeat for a large number $N_{\rm real}$ of realizations and average.

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

A 'gas' of swimmers



[movie 3] N = 100 swimmers, L = 1000

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

How well does the dilute theory work?



ilute theory

Displacement •000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Diffusion is dominated by rare events



 2×10^6 realizations of N = 10 cylinders, with L = 1000

g Dilu o oo theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Contribution to displacement

Small *a*: $\Delta \sim -\log a$, large *a*: $\Delta \sim a^{-3}$ (Darwin, 1953)



 $\int_0^1 \Delta^2(a) da \simeq 2.31, \text{ whilst } \int_1^\infty \Delta^2(a) da \simeq .06.$ $\implies 97\% \text{ dominated by "head-on" collisions}$

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Origin of the singularity

At the leading and trailing 'edges' of a body, there is a hyperbolic point. Locally,

$$\dot{x} = -\lambda x, \quad \dot{y} = \lambda y$$

so that $y(t) = y_0 \exp(\lambda t)$. The time it takes to go from $y_0 = a$ to y > a is

$$t = \lambda^{-1} \, \log(y/a) \sim -\lambda^{-1} \, \log a$$

which is the source of the logarithmic divergence of the displacement:

$$\Delta \sim -2 {\cal U} \lambda^{-1} \, \log {\it a}, \qquad {\it a} \ll 1 \label{eq:alpha}$$

The factor of 2 is for leading+trailing edges.



Biomixing Dilute theory Displacement Viscosity and squirming Conclusions Refere

For a wedge half-angle β , Δ has four possible behaviors as $a \rightarrow 0$:



ilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Sphere in Stokes flow

A natural question is what happens in the presence of viscosity, which greatly increases the "sticking" to the swimmer's surface?



(from Camassa et al., Sphere Passing Through Corn Syrup) Considerable literature on transport due to microorganisms: Wu & Libchaber (2000); Hernandez-Ortiz *et al.* (2006); Saintillian & Shelley (2007); Ishikawa & Pedley (2007); Underhill *et al.* (2008); Ishikawa (2009)

ilute theory

Displacement

Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Stokesian Spheres: Transport



The transport is not diffusive, but is nearly ballistic! (short-time result)



Squirmers

One problem with the Stokesian spheres is that they are an awful model for swimming: there is a net force on the fluid. It's as if the spheres are pulled by invisible threads.

Lighthill (1952), Blake (1971), and more recently Ishikawa *et al.* (2006) have considered squirmers:

- Sphere in Stokes flow;
- Steady velocity specified at surface, to mimic cilia;
- Steady swimming condition imposed (no net force on fluid).



(Drescher et al., 2009)

(Ishikawa et al., 2006)



Typical squirmer

3D axisymmetric streamfunction for a typical squirmer, in cylindrical coordinates (ρ, z) :

$$\psi(\rho, z) = -\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2r^3}\rho^2 + \frac{3\beta}{4r^3}\rho^2 z\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - 1\right)$$

where $r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}$, U = 1, radius of squirmer = 1. Note that $\beta = 0$ is the sphere in potential flow!

We will use $\beta = 5$ for most of the remainder.

Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusion:

References

Particle motion for squirmer

A particle near the squirmer's swimming axis initially (blue) moves towards the squirmer.

After the squirmer has passed the particle follows in the squirmer's wake.

(The squirmer moves from bottom to top.)



Dilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusion

References

Small a asymptotics for squirmer

Four stagnation points for the squirmer (**B** is a "ring" around the squirmer). A particle coming close to the axis from $z = \infty$ will encounter **A**, **B**, **C** in turn, but never come near the trailing edge stagnation point **D**.

The relative contribution of each point is proportional to $-\lambda^{-1} \log a$, where λ is the coefficient of the linearized flow:

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} = \frac{2}{3(\beta+1)} \simeq 0.1111$$
$$\lambda_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \frac{4\beta}{3(\beta^2-1)} \simeq 0.2778$$
$$\lambda_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1} = (\text{mess}) \simeq 3.0095$$



Dilute theory

Displacement

Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Displacement for squirmer



 \implies 81% dominated by "head-on" collisions, or 92% if we use the wake radius, 1.96.

ilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Squirmers: Transport



ilute theory

Displacement 0000 Viscosity and squirming

Conclusions

References

Squirmers: Transport



The short-time transport is anomalous. Exponent is consistent with Wu & Libchaber (2000).

Dilute theory	Displacement	Viscosity and squirming	Conclusions
000000	0000	00000000●	00
L	ong-range	correlations	



Because the net displacement decays faster than total extent of trajectory, sensitive to perturbations.



- Biomixing: no verdict yet;
- Simple dilute model works well, at least for potential flow;
- Potential flow dominated by "sticking";
- Stokes flow dominated by long-range effects;

Future work:

- Wake models and turbulence;
- PDF of scalar concentration;
- Buoyancy effects;
- Schooling: longer length scale?

Biomixing 000000	Dilute theory 000000	Displacement 0000	Viscosity and squirming	Conclusions ○●	References

This work was supported by the Division of Mathematical Sciences of the US National Science Foundation, under grant DMS-0806821.

BLAKE, J. R. 1971 A spherical envelope approach to ciliary propulsion. J. Fluid Mech. 46, 199-208.

- DARWIN, C. G. 1953 Note on hydrodynamics. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 49 (2), 342-354.
- DEWAR, W. K., BINGHAM, R. J., IVERSON, R. L., NOWACEK, D. P., ST. LAURENT, L. C. & WIEBE, P. H. 2006 Does the marine biosphere mix the ocean? J. Mar. Res. 64, 541–561.
- DRESCHER, K., LEPTOS, K., TUVAL, I., ISHIKAWA, T., PEDLEY, T. J. & GOLDSTEIN, R. E. 2009 Dancing volvox: hydrodynamic bound states of swimming algae. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 168101.
- HERNANDEZ-ORTIZ, J. P., DTOLZ, C. G. & GRAHAM, M. D. 2006 Transport and collective dynamics in suspensions of confined swimming particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95, 204501.
- HUNTLEY, M. E. & ZHOU, M. 2004 Influence of animals on turbulence in the sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 273, 65–79.
- ISHIKAWA, T. 2009 Suspension biomechanics of swimming microbes. J. Roy. Soc. Interface 6, 815-834.
- ISHIKAWA, T. & PEDLEY, T. J. 2007 The rheology of a semi-dilute suspension of swimming model micro-organisms. J. Fluid Mech. 588, 399–435.
- ISHIKAWA, T., SIMMONDS, M. P. & PEDLEY, T. J. 2006 Hydrodynamic interaction of two swimming model micro-organisms. J. Fluid Mech. 568, 119–160.
- KATIJA, K. & DABIRI, J. O. 2009 A viscosity-enhanced mechanism for biogenic ocean mixing. Nature 460, 624–627.
- KUNZE, E., DOWER, J. F., BEVERIDGE, I., DEWEY, R. & BARTLETT, K. P. 2006 Observations of biologically generated turbulence in a coastal inlet. *Science* 313, 1768–1770.
- LIGHTHILL, M. J. 1952 On the squirming motion of nearly spherical deformable bodies through liquids at very small Reynolds numbers. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 5, 109–118.
- MUNK, W. H. 1966 Abyssal recipes. Deep-Sea Res. 13, 707-730.
- PEDLEY, T. J. & KESSLER, J. O. 1992 Hydrodynamic phenomena in suspensions of swimming microorganisms. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 24, 313–358.
- SAINTILLIAN, D. & SHELLEY, M. J. 2007 Orientational order and instabilities in suspensions of self-locomoting rods. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 058102.
- UNDERHILL, P. T., HERNANDEZ-ORTIZ, J. P. & GRAHAM, M. D. 2008 Diffusion and spatial correlations in suspensions of swimming particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 248101.
- VISSER, A. W. 2007 Biomixing of the oceans? Science 316 (5826), 838-839.
- WU, X.-L. & LIBCHABER, A. 2000 Particle diffusion in a quasi-two-dimensional bacterial bath. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3017–3020.