# **Mixing with Ghost Rods**

Jean-Luc Thiffeault

Matthew Finn

**Emmanuelle Gouillart** 

http://www.ma.imperial.ac.uk/~jeanluc

Department of Mathematics Imperial College London

### **Experiment of Boyland, Aref, & Stremler**



[P. L. Boyland, H. Aref, and M. A. Stremler, *J. Fluid Mech.* 403, 277 (2000)][P. L. Boyland, M. A. Stremler, and H. Aref, *Physica D* 175, 69 (2003)]

## **The Connection with Braiding**



#### **Generators of the** *n***-Braid Group**



#### A generator

$$\sigma_i, \quad i=1,\ldots,n-1$$

is the clockwise interchange of the *i* th and (i + 1)th rod.

The generators obey the presentation

$$\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i$$
  
$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \qquad |i-j| > 1$$

These generators are used to characterise the motion of the rods.

#### **The Two BAS Stirring Protocols**

#### $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ protocol



 $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$  protocol



[P. L. Boyland, H. Aref, and M. A. Stremler, J. Fluid Mech. 403, 277 (2000)]

# **Topological Entropy of a Braid**

Practically speaking, the topological entropy of a braid is a lower bound on the line-stretching exponent of the flow!

This is reasonable:



In practice, we compute the topological entropy of a braid using a train-track algorithm due to Bestvina & Handel. The end result is a transition matrix showing the how each edge is mapped under the action of the braid.

[M. Bestvina and M. Handel, Topology 34, 109 (1995)]

#### **The Difference between BAS's Two Protocols**

- The matrices associated the generators have eigenvalues on the unit-circle (but their product doesn't necessarily).
- The first (bad) stirring protocol has eigenvalues on the unit circle
- The second (good) protocol has largest eigenvalue  $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 2.6180.$
- So for the second protocol the length of a line joining the rods grows exponentially!
- That is, material lines have to stretch by at least a factor of 2.6180 each time we execute the protocol  $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ .
- This is guaranteed to hold in some neighbourhood of the rods (Thurston–Nielsen theorem).

#### **One Rod Mixer: The Kenwood Chef**



## **Poincaré Section**



# **Stretching of Lines**



# **Particle Orbits are Topological Obstacles**

Choose any fluid particle orbit (green dot).



Material lines must bend around the orbit: it acts just like a "rod"!

[J-LT, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 084502 (2005)]

Today: focus on periodic orbits.

How do they braid around each other?

## **Motion of Islands**

# Make a braid from the motion of the rod and the periodic islands.

Most (74%) of the topological entropy is accounted for by this braid.



Now we also include unstable periods orbits as well as the stable ones (islands).

Almost all (99%) of the topological entropy is accounted for by this braid.

But are the periodic orbits really "ghost rods"?

That is, do material lines really get out of their way?



#### **Periodic Orbits as Ghost Rods**

[movie 3: sf\_periodic.avi]

# **Blowup of the Tip**



A good ghost rod should "look" like a real rod: material lines wrap around it.

Look at linearisation of the period-1 map:

Two eigenvalues  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^{-1}$  (Floquet multipliers), with  $|\Lambda| \ge |\Lambda|^{-1}$ .

Λ complex	Elliptic	Good rod (obvious).
$ \Lambda  > 1$	Hyperbolic	Crap rod.
$\Lambda = 1$	Parabolic	Good rod?

The parabolic points are the most interesting: they are associated with the crucial property of folding.

X' = X +quadratic terms Y' = Y +quadratic terms

or

$$X' = X + Y + X^2$$
$$Y' = Y + X^2$$

The first of these does not lead to folding.

The coefficient of  $X^2$  determines the "size" of the rod, which is a function of time.

[movie 4: folditer.avi]

#### **Curvature of the Tip at the Periodic Orbit**



# Conclusions

- Topological chaos involves moving obstacles in a 2D flow, which create nontrivial braids.
- Periodic orbits make perfect obstacles (in periodic flows).
- This is a good way to "explain" the chaos in a flow accounts for stretching of material lines.
- Islands (elliptic orbits) look just like rods, and parabolic orbits can look a lot like rods.
- No need for infinitesimal separation of trajectories or derivatives of the velocity field this is an inherently global description.
- The size of the rods is important for islands this is obvious but for parabolic points the apparent size is a function of time.