Random entanglements

Jean-Luc Thiffeault

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin – Madison

Joint work with Marko Budišić & Huanyu Wen

Math Club
Madison College

10 November 2017

Supported by NSF grant CMMI-1233935

Complex entanglements are everywhere

Tangled hair



[Goldstein, R. E., Warren, P. B., & Ball, R. C. (2012). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 078101]

Tangled hagfish slime



Slime secreted by hagfish is made of microfibers.

The quality of entanglement determines the material properties (rheology) of the slime.

[Fudge, D. S., Levy, N., Chiu, S., & Gosline, J. M. (2005). *J. Exp. Biol.* **208**, 4613–4625]

Tangled carbon nanotubes



[Source: http://www.ineffableisland.com/2010/04/carbon-nanotubes-used-to-make-smaller.html]

Tangled magnetic fields



[Source: `http://www.maths.dundee.ac.uk/mhd/`]

Tangled oceanic float trajectories



[Source: WOCE subsurface float data assembly center, `http://wfdac.whoi.edu`, Thiffeault, J.-L. (2010). *Chaos*, **20**, 017516]

The simplest tangling problem

Consider two Brownian motions on the complex plane, each with diffusion constant D:





Viewed as a spacetime plot, these form a 'braid' of two strands.

Take the vector $z(t) = z_1(t) - z_2(t)$, which behaves like a Brownian particle of diffusivity $2D$ ($\to D$):



Define $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ to be the total winding angle of $z(t)$ around the origin.

Winding angle distribution

Spitzer (1958) found the time-asymptotic distribution of θ to be Cauchy:

$$P(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{1+x^2}\,, \qquad x := \frac{\theta}{\log(2\sqrt{Dt}/r_0)}\,, \qquad 2\sqrt{Dt}/r_0 \gg 1,$$

where $r_0 = |z(0)|$.

The scaling variable is $\sim \theta/\log t$.

Note that a Cauchy distribution is a bit strange: the variance is infinite, so large windings are highly probable!

[Spitzer, F. (1958). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87**, 187–197]

Winding angle distribution: numerics



(Well, the tails don't look great: a pathology of Brownian motion.)

Related example: Brownian motion on the torus

A Brownian motion on a torus can wind around the two periodic directions:



What is the asymptotic distribution of windings?

Mathematically, we are asking what is the homology class of the motion?

Torus: universal cover

We pass to the universal cover of the torus, which is the plane:



The universal cover records the windings as paths on the plane. The original 'copy' is called the fundamental domain.

On the plane the probability distribution is the usual Gaussian heat kernel:

$$P(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi Dt}\, \mathrm{e}^{-(x^2+y^2)/4Dt}$$

So here $m = \lfloor x \rfloor$ and $n = \lfloor y \rfloor$ will give the homology class: the number of windings of the walk in each direction.

We can think of the motion as entangling with the space itself.

Brownian motion on the double-torus

On a genus two surface (double-torus):



Same question: what is the entanglement of the motion with the space after a long time?

Now homology classes are not enough, since the associated universal cover has a non-Abelian group of deck transformations. In other words, the order of going around the holes matters!

The non-Abelian case involves homotopy classes.

The ‘stop sign’ representation of the double-torus



(Identify edges, respecting orientation.)

Problem: can’t tile the plane with this!

Universal cover of the double-torus

Embed the octogon on the Poincaré disk, a space with constant negative curvature:



(These curved lives are actually straight geodesics.)

Then we can tile the disk with isometric copies of our octogon (fundamental domain).

Squared-displacement on the Poincaré disk

Expected value of ℓ^2 as a function of time:



The green dashed line is $4t$ (diffusive), the red dashed line is t^2 (ballistic).

Surprising result: not diffusive for large time! Why? Branching behavior

Universal cover of twice-punctured plane

Consider now winding around two points in the complex plane.

Topologically, this space is like the sphere with 3 punctures, where the third puncture is the point at infinity.





Cayley graph of free group



We really only care about which 'copy' of the fundamental domain we're in. Can use a tree to record this.



The history of a path is encoded in a 'word' in the letters a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}.

(Free group with two generators.)

[Source: Wikipedia]

Quality of entanglement

Compare these two braids:



"half-twist" braid



"over-under" braid

Repeating these increases distance in the universal cover. . .

But clearly the pigtail is more “entangled”

Over-under (pigtail) is very robust, unlike simply twisting. How do we capture this difference?

[http://www.lovethispic.com/image/24844/pigtail-braid]

Topological entropy

Inspired by dynamical systems. (Related to: braiding factor, braid complexity.)

Cartoon: compute the growth rate of a loop slid along the rigid braid.



This is relatively easy to compute using braid groups and loop coordinates.

[See Dynnikov (2002); Thiffeault (2005); Thiffeault & Finn (2006); Moussafir (2006); Dynnikov & Wiest (2007); Thiffeault (2010)]

Topological entropy: bounds

In Finn & Thiffeault (2011) we proved that

$$\boxed{\frac{\text{topological entropy}}{\text{braid length}} \le \log(\text{Golden ratio})}$$

This maximum entropy is exactly realized by the pigtail braid, reinforcing the intuition that it is somehow the most 'sturdy' braid.

[Finn, M. D. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2011). *SIAM Rev.* **53** (4), 723–743]

Another viewpoint: how hard is detangling?



Buck & Scharein (2014) take another approach: the 'rope trick' on the left shows how to create a sequence of simple knots with a single final 'pull.'

They show that creating the knots takes work proportional to the length, but undoing the knots is quadratic in the length, because the knots must be loosened one-by-one.

This asymmetry suggests why it's easy to tangle things, but hard to disentangle.

[Buck, G. & Scharein, R. (2014). preprint]

Conclusions & outlook

- Entanglement at confluence of dynamics, probability, topology, and combinatorics.
- Instead of Brownian motion, can use orbits from a dynamical system. This yields dynamical information.
- More generally, study random processes on configuration spaces of sets of points (also finite size objects).
- Other applications: Crowd dynamics (Ali, 2013), granular media (Puckett *et al.*, 2012).
- With Michael Allshouse and Marko Budišić: develop tools for analyzing orbit data from this topological viewpoint (Allshouse & Thiffeault, 2012; Budišić & Thiffeault, 2015).
- With Tom Peacock and Margaux Filippi: apply to orbits in a fluid dynamics experiments.

References I

Ali, S. (2013). In: *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)* pp. 1097–1104, :.

Allshouse, M. R. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2012). *Physica D,* **241** (2), 95–105.

Bélisle, C. (1989). *Ann. Prob.* **17** (4), 1377–1402.

Bélisle, C. & Faraway, J. (1991). *J. Appl. Prob.* **28** (4), 717–726.

Berger, M. A. (1987). *J. Phys. A,* **20**, 5949–5960.

Berger, M. A. & Roberts, P. H. (1988). *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **20** (2), 261–274.

Buck, G. & Scharein, R. (2014). preprint.

Budišić, M. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2015). *Chaos,* **25**, 087407.

Chavel, I. (1984). *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. Orlando: Academic Press.

Drossel, B. & Kardar, M. (1996). *Phys. Rev. E,* **53** (6), 5861–5871.

Durrett, R. (1982). *Ann. Prob.* **10** (1), 244–246.

Dynnikov, I. A. (2002). *Russian Math. Surveys,* **57** (3), 592–594.

Dynnikov, I. A. & Wiest, B. (2007). *Journal of the European Mathematical Society,* **9** (4), 801–840.

Finn, M. D. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2011). *SIAM Rev.* **53** (4), 723–743.

Fudge, D. S., Levy, N., Chiu, S., & Gosline, J. M. (2005). *J. Exp. Biol.* **208**, 4613–4625.

Goldstein, R. E., Warren, P. B., & Ball, R. C. (2012). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 078101.

References II

Grosberg, A. & Frisch, H. (2003). *J. Phys. A,* **36** (34), 8955–8981.

Itô, K. & McKean, H. P. (1974). *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*. Berlin: Springer.

Lyons, T. J. & McKean, H. P. (1984). *Adv. Math.* **51**, 212–225.

McKean, H. P. (1969). *Stochastic Integrals*. New York: Academic Press.

McKean, H. P. & Sullivan, D. (1984). *Adv. Math.* **51**, 203–211.

Messulam, P. & Yor, M. (1982). *J. London Math. Soc. (2),* **26**, 348–364.

Moussafir, J.-O. (2006). *Func. Anal. and Other Math.* **1** (1), 37–46.

Nechaev, S. K. (1988). *J. Phys. A,* **21**, 3659–3671.

Nechaev, S. K. (1996). *Statistics of Knots and Entangled Random Walks*. Singapore; London: World Scientific.

Pitman, J. & Yor, M. (1986). *Ann. Prob.* **14** (3), 733–779.

Pitman, J. & Yor, M. (1989). *Ann. Prob.* **17** (3), 965–1011.

Puckett, J. G., Lechenault, F., Daniels, K. E., & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2012). *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment,* **2012** (6), P06008.

Revuz, D. & Yor, M. (1999). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian motion*. Berlin: Springer, third edition.

Rudnick, J. & Hu, Y. (1987). *J. Phys. A,* **20**, 4421–4438.

Shi, Z. (1998). *Ann. Prob.* **26** (1), 112–131.

References III

Spitzer, F. (1958). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87**, 187–197.

Thiffeault, J.-L. (2005). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (8), 084502.

Thiffeault, J.-L. (2010). *Chaos,* **20**, 017516.

Thiffeault, J.-L. & Finn, M. D. (2006). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A,* **364**, 3251–3266.

Watanabe, S. (2000). *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae,* **63**, 441–464.