

Transport and mixing by viscous vortex rings

Jean-Luc Thiffeault

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin – Madison

joint with: [Tom Morrell](#) and [Saverio Spagnolie](#)

GFD Summer Program

Woods Hole, MA 28 July 2017

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1109315




WISCONSIN
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Small vortices generated by jellyfish




Katija & Dabiri (2009)



play movie


(Palau's Jellyfish Lake.)



play movie

[Guasto, J. S., Johnson, K. A., & Gollub, J. P. (2010). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 168102]

Experiments with microswimmers:



- Measure pdf of displacements of small particles.
- Non-Gaussian pdf with ‘exponential’ tails.
- ‘Diffusive scaling’ possibly a short time effect [Thiffeault (2015)].

[Leptos, K. C., Guasto, J. S., Gollub, J. P., Pesci, A. I., & Goldstein, R. E. (2009). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 198103]

Finite-path drift function $\Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ for a fluid particle, initially at $\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\eta}$, affected by a single swimmer moving at velocity \mathbf{U} :

$$\Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \int_0^{\lambda/U} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(s) - \mathbf{U}s) ds, \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{U}t), \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \boldsymbol{\eta}.$$

Assuming **homogeneity and isotropy**, we obtain the probability density of displacements,

$$p_{\mathbf{R}_\lambda^1}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\Omega r^{d-1}} \int_V \delta(r - \Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta})) \frac{dV_\boldsymbol{\eta}}{V}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega(d)$ is the **area of the unit sphere** in d dimensions.

Here \mathbf{R}_λ^1 is a **random variable** that gives the displacement of the particle from its initial position after being affected by a single swimmer with path length λ .

The **second moment** (**variance**) of \mathbf{R}_λ^1 is

$$\langle (R_\lambda^1)^2 \rangle = \int_V r^2 p_{\mathbf{R}_\lambda^1}(\mathbf{r}) dV_{\mathbf{r}} = \int_V \Delta_\lambda^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \frac{dV_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}}{V}.$$

Let \mathbf{R}_λ^N be the random particle displacement due to N swimmers;

$$\langle (R_\lambda^N)^2 \rangle = N \langle (R_\lambda^1)^2 \rangle = n \int_V \Delta_\lambda^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}) dV_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$$

with $n = N/V$ the number density of swimmers.

If the integral above exists then the particle motion is **diffusive** after we allow for **random re-orientation** of the swimmers.

We integrate over y and z to get the pdf for **one coordinate x only**:

$$p_{X_\lambda^1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_V \frac{1}{\Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta})} [\Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta}) > |x|] \frac{dV_\boldsymbol{\eta}}{V}$$

where $[A]$ is an **indicator function**: it is 1 if A is satisfied, 0 otherwise.

Now we want $p_{X_\lambda^N}(x)$, the **pdf for N swimmers**. The road to this is through the **characteristic function**:

$$\langle e^{ikX_\lambda^1} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X_\lambda^1}(x) e^{ikx} dx = \int_V \text{sinc}(k\Delta_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\eta})) \frac{dV_\boldsymbol{\eta}}{V}$$

where $\text{sinc } x := x^{-1} \sin x$.

(In 2D, replace sinc by **Bessel function $J_0(x)$** .)

To help integrals converge nicely later, it is better to work with

$$\gamma(x) := 1 - \text{sinc } x.$$

Then,

$$\langle e^{ikX_\lambda^1} \rangle = 1 - \Gamma_\lambda(k)/\text{Vol}$$

where

$$\Gamma_\lambda(k) := \int_V \gamma(k\Delta_\lambda(\eta)) dV_\eta$$

The sum of many displacements has distribution given by a **convolution** of individual distributions.

The characteristic function for N swimmers is thus $\langle e^{ikX_\lambda^N} \rangle = \langle e^{ikX_\lambda^1} \rangle^N$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e^{ikX_\lambda^1} \rangle^N &= (1 - \Gamma_\lambda(k)/V)^{nV} \\ &\sim \exp(-n\Gamma_\lambda(k)), \quad V \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

where we used $N = nV$.

We take the **inverse Fourier transform** of $\langle e^{ikX_\lambda^1} \rangle^N$ to finally obtain

$$p_{X_\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-n\Gamma_\lambda(k)) e^{-ikx} dk$$


This is as far as we can go without introducing a model swimmer.

We take a **squirmer**, with axisymmetric streamfunction:


$$\Psi_{\text{sf}}(\rho, z) = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 U \left\{ -1 + \frac{\ell^3}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\beta \ell^2 z}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\ell^2}{\rho^2 + z^2} - 1 \right) \right\}$$

[See for example Lighthill (1952); Blake (1971); Ishikawa *et al.* (2006); Ishikawa & Pedley (2007b); Drescher *et al.* (2009)]

We use the **stresslet strength** $\beta = 0.5$, which is close to a **treadmiller**:



Comparing to Leptos *et al.*



Fit the stresslet strength $\beta = 0.5$ to one curve. Only fitted parameter is the stresslet strength $\beta = 0.5$.

[Thiffeault 2015]

(Tails are a bit light — see Mueller & Thiffeault 2017) ²⁶



- For the jellyfish, **vortex rings** are a convenient building block.
- **Inviscid vortex filament**: trapped region (**atmosphere**) leads to infinite transport.
- Unlike 2D, velocity depends **logarithmically** on core size.
- We must add some viscosity to regularize:
 - **How far** does a vortex go?
 - How does the trapped region **change in time**?
- Use a **model vortex** rather than numerical solution, since we need to go to large distances to evaluate $\int \Delta^2 dV$.
- Some references: [Phillips (1956); Tung (1967); Maxworthy (1972); Stanaway *et al.* (1988); Saffman (1992); Shariff & Leonard (1992); Dabiri & Gharib (2004); Dabiri (2006); Shadden *et al.* (2006); Fukumoto & Kaplanski (2008); Fukumoto (2010)]

Equation for azimuthal component of the vorticity $\zeta(\rho, z, t)$:

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(u_\rho \zeta)}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial(u_z \zeta)}{\partial z} = \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\zeta}{\rho^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial z^2} \right)$$

The velocity components are given in terms of the streamfunction $\Psi(\rho, z, t)$,

$$u_\rho = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}, \quad u_z = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \rho}$$

The boundary conditions are

$$\Psi(0, z, t) = \zeta(0, z, t) = 0$$

$$\zeta, \Psi \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \longrightarrow \infty$$

[Fukumoto & Kaplanski (2008); Fukumoto (2010)]

We follow Fukumoto & Kaplanski (2008) and **neglect the inertial terms**.
Initial condition corresponding to a vortex filament of radius ρ_0 at $z = 0$:

$$\zeta_0(\rho, z) = \Gamma_0 \delta(\rho - \rho_0) \delta(z)$$

Solution:

$$\zeta(\rho, z, t) = \frac{\Gamma_0 \rho_0}{4\sqrt{\pi}(\nu t)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 + \rho_0^2 + z^2}{4\nu t}\right) I_1\left(\frac{\rho\rho_0}{2\nu t}\right)$$

Notice that ζ is **even in z** , so the **vorticity centroid**

$$Z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \zeta \rho^2 z \, d\rho \, dz \Big/ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \zeta \rho^2 \, d\rho \, dz$$

is zero for all times.




However, the time derivative $U(t) = \dot{Z}$ evaluated directly using the **full** equations of motion is **nonzero**.

Fukumoto & Kaplanski (2008) use this to estimate $U(t)$:

$$U(t) = \frac{\Gamma_0 \rho_0^2}{96 \sqrt{2\pi} (\nu t)^{3/2}} \left\{ {}_2F_2 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, 3; -\frac{\rho_0^2}{2\nu t} \right) - \frac{36}{5} {}_2F_2 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}; 2, \frac{7}{2}; -\frac{\rho_0^2}{2\nu t} \right) + \frac{72\nu t}{\rho_0^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0^2}{4\nu t}\right) I_1\left(\frac{\rho_0^2}{4\nu t}\right) \right\}$$

This is a bit messy, but has the advantage that it's **uniformly valid for all times**.

Viscous model: vortex motion (cont'd)





A crucial fact is that $U(t)$ decays as $t^{-3/2}$, so the **total vortex displacement is finite** (unlike in 2D):

$$Z_\infty \sim 5\Gamma_0\rho_0/24\pi\nu$$

The Fukumoto & Kaplanski (2008) solution is (nearly) analytic, so it allows us to

- Easily do particle advection;
- Derive far-field asymptotics.




$Re = 200$ [play movie](#)

$Re = 500$ [play movie](#)

The drift function $\Delta(\rho, z)$



Δ at Re=300




- $\Delta(\rho, z)$ is the **net particle displacement** as a function of **initial** position.
- **Largest displacements** come from particles initially in the vortex.
- Broadens as particle are entrained.
- Eventually peters out.

Simulation of vortex rings: velocity fluctuations



Add vortices at a constant rate μ (vortices / unit time \cdot unit vol).



play movie

Making analytical progress on particle displacements is ongoing work.

For now, we focus on **velocity fluctuations**, which in some limits are a good proxy for mixing. Also interesting in their own rights and can be measured.

Let \mathbf{V}_0 be the induced fluid velocity at the origin; then

$$\langle \|\mathbf{V}_0\|^2 \rangle = \mu \int_0^\infty \int_V v_T^2(\mathbf{r}) dV dT$$

where v_T is the velocity field of one vortex ring and T is the age of the vortex.

Which contributes most to the velocity fluctuations: near (inner) field or far (outer) field?

The boundary between inner and outer regions is a **viscous front of radius $\sqrt{\nu T}$** , where T is the age of the vortex.

Inner: the vortex looks like a **diffused blob**:

$$\int_{V_{\text{inner}}} v_T^2 dV \sim \frac{l^2}{(\nu T)^3} \int_0^{\sqrt{\nu T}} r^2 dr \sim \frac{l^2}{(\nu T)^{3/2}}$$

Outer: the vortex looks like a **filament**:

$$\int_{V_{\text{outer}}} v_T^2 dV \sim l^2 \int_{\sqrt{\nu T}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^6} r^2 dr \sim \frac{l^2}{(\nu T)^{3/2}}$$

Same magnitude! Thus, having close encounters with vortices is equally important to the sum of faraway vortices.



So we'd like to evaluate:

$$\langle \|\mathbf{v}_0\|^2 \rangle = \mu \int_0^\infty \int_V v_T^2(\mathbf{r}) dV dT$$

Surprisingly, for Fukumoto & Kaplanski's model this can be integrated exactly to obtain the fluctuations:

$$\langle \|\mathbf{v}_0\|^2 \rangle = \mu \int_0^\infty \int_V v_T^2 dV dT = \boxed{\frac{\mu I^2}{3\pi^2 \nu \rho_0}}$$

where $I = \pi \Gamma_0 \rho_0^2$ is the hydrodynamic impulse.



- We have a theory that works very well in explaining mixing by microorganisms.
- Predicts effective diffusivity as well as [detailed pdf](#) of particle displacements.
- Based on [Lagrangian drift](#) due to single 'swimmer.'
- This should have broader applicability: try [vortex rings](#).
- Use a simple model, which allows analytic progress, and compare to simulations.
- Compute energy fluctuations: often used as a proxy for mixing.
- A full accounting of the effective diffusion is still lacking... ongoing work.

- Blake, J. R. (1971). *J. Fluid Mech.* **46**, 199–208.
- Dabiri, J. O. (2006). *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **547** (-1), 105.
- Dabiri, J. O. & Gharib, M. (2004). *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **511**, 311–331.
- Dombrowski, C., Cisneros, L., Chatkaew, S., Goldstein, R. E., & Kessler, J. O. (2004). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (9), 098103.
- Drescher, K., Leptos, K. C., Tuval, I., Ishikawa, T., Pedley, T. J., & Goldstein, R. E. (2009). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 168101.
- Drescher, K. D., Goldstein, R. E., Michel, N., Polin, M., & Tuval, I. (2010). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 168101.
- Dunkel, J., Putz, V. B., Zaid, I. M., & Yeomans, J. M. (2010). *Soft Matter*, **6**, 4268–4276.
- Eckhardt, B. & Zammert, S. (2012). *Eur. Phys. J. E*, **35**, 96.
- Fukumoto, Y. (2010). *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics*, **24** (1-4), 335–347.
- Fukumoto, Y. & Kaplanski, F. (2008). *Physics of Fluids*, **20** (5), 053103.
- Guasto, J. S., Johnson, K. A., & Gollub, J. P. (2010). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 168102.
- Hernandez-Ortiz, J. P., Stoltz, C. G., & Graham, M. D. (2005). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 204501.
- Ishikawa, T. (2009). *J. Roy. Soc. Interface*, **6**, 815–834.
- Ishikawa, T. & Pedley, T. J. (2007a). *J. Fluid Mech.* **588**, 399–435.



- Ishikawa, T. & Pedley, T. J. (2007b). *J. Fluid Mech.* **588**, 437–462.
- Ishikawa, T., Simmonds, M. P., & Pedley, T. J. (2006). *J. Fluid Mech.* **568**, 119–160.
- Katija, K. & Dabiri, J. O. (2009). *Nature*, **460**, 624–627.
- Leptos, K. C., Guasto, J. S., Gollub, J. P., Pesci, A. I., & Goldstein, R. E. (2009). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 198103.
- Lighthill, M. J. (1952). *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **5**, 109–118.
- Lin, Z., Thiffeault, J.-L., & Childress, S. (2011). *J. Fluid Mech.* **669**, 167–177.
- Maxworthy, T. (1972). *J. Fluid Mech.* **51** (1), 15–32.
- Mueller, P. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2017). , **2** (1), 013103.
- Oseen, C. W. (1910). *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys.* **6** (29), 1–20.
- Phillips, O. M. (1956). *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **52** (01), 135.
- Pushkin, D. O. & Yeomans, J. M. (2013). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 188101.
- Pushkin, D. O. & Yeomans, J. M. (2014). *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.* **2014**, P04030.
- Saffman, P. G. (1992). *Vortex Dynamics*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Saintillan, D. & Shelley, M. J. (2007). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 058102.
- Shadden, S. C., Dabiri, J. O., & Marsden, J. E. (2006). *Physics of Fluids*, **18** (4).
- Shariff, K. & Leonard, A. (1992). *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, **24** (1), 235–279.



- Stanaway, S. K., Cantwell, B. J., & Spalart, P. R. (1988). Technical Report 101401 NASA.
- Thiffeault, J.-L. (2015). *Phys. Rev. E*, **92**, 023023.
- Thiffeault, J.-L. & Childress, S. (2010). *Phys. Lett. A*, **374**, 3487–3490.
- Tung, C. (1967). *Physics of Fluids*, **10** (5), 901.
- Underhill, P. T., Hernandez-Ortiz, J. P., & Graham, M. D. (2008). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 248101.
- Wu, X.-L. & Libchaber, A. (2000). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3017–3020.
- Yeomans, J. M., Pushkin, D. O., & Shum, H. (2014). *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics*, **223** (9), 1771–1785.
- Zaid, I. M., Dunkel, J., & Yeomans, J. M. (2011). *J. Roy. Soc. Interface*, **8**, 1314–1331.