Passive and active particles in a lattice of obstacles

[Jean-Luc Thiffeault](http://www.math.wisc.edu/~jeanluc)

[Department of Mathematics](http://www.math.wisc.edu) [University of Wisconsin – Madison](http://www.wisc.edu)

joint with: Hongfei Chen and Ziheng Zhang

Mathematics of Life Workshop, Flatiron Institute, 25 May 2022

Active and passive particles in complex environments

Lots of interest, old and new, in passive and active particles scattering in periodic or random environments.

. . . [Brenner \(1980\)](#page-21-0) [Kamal & Keaveny \(2018\)](#page-21-1) [Alonso-Matilla](#page-21-2) et al. (2019) [Aceves-Sanchez](#page-21-3) et al. (2020) [Chakrabarti](#page-21-4) et al. (2020) \Longrightarrow [Amchin](#page-21-5) et al. (2022)

. . .

Many variations: different lattices, passive vs active, background flow, flexible vs rigid. \ldots

Existing literature is mostly numerical, with some notable partial analytical results.

Today: take a few tentative steps towards a more analytical solution.

The difficulties and successes highlight promising directions for an asymptotic treatment.

In particular, thinking in terms of configuration space helps conceptually, and allows the reuse of 130-year-old results of Rayleigh in a different context.

A rod-shaped particle in a lattice of obstacles

2D periodic lattice of point obstacles.

Neglect hydrodynamic interactions.

Particle undergoes Brownian motion in space and angle:

$$
dX = U dt + \sqrt{2D_X} dW_1
$$

$$
dY = \sqrt{2D_Y} dW_2
$$

$$
d\theta = \sqrt{2D_Y} dW_3
$$

Diffusion tensor in body frame (X, Y, θ) :

$$
\begin{pmatrix} D_X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_{\rm r} \end{pmatrix}
$$

 (X, Y) in body frame, (x, y) in lab frame.

Expressed in the fixed lab (x, y) frame, the spatial diffusion tensor is

$$
\mathbb{D}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} D_X \cos^2 \theta + D_Y \sin^2 \theta & \frac{1}{2}(D_X - D_Y) \sin 2\theta \\ \frac{1}{2}(D_X - D_Y) \sin 2\theta & D_X \sin^2 \theta + D_Y \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Fokker–Planck equation for probability density $p(\mathbf{r}, \theta, t)$:

$$
\partial_t p + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{f} + \partial_{\theta} f_{\theta} = 0
$$

Probability flux vector:

$$
\boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{U} p - \mathbb{D}(\theta) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} p - D_{\mathsf{r}} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \, p
$$

Key point: account for obstacles with no-flux boundary condition

$$
\boldsymbol{f}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}=0
$$

on the surface of the obstacle, in the full 3D configuration space (x, y, θ) . [See [Chen & Thiffeault \(2021\)](#page-21-6) for a similar approach in a channel.]

Configuration space: Fixed orientation

Configuration space gives allowable (x, y) for fixed θ .

A point in this periodic cell is a realizable configuration of the rod.

Effective diffusivity: Rayleigh's problem

We've mapped the problem exactly onto heat conduction in a perforated medium.

For a disk-shaped particle, in the absence of swimming (no drift, $U = 0$), Rayleigh solved this by a reflection method.

Lord Rayleigh on the Influence of Obstacles 482

Since conduction parallel to the axes of the cylinders presents nothing special for our consideration, we may limit our attention to conduction parallel to one of the sides (a) of the rectangular structure. In this case lines parallel to α ,

["On the influence of obstacles arranged in rectangular order upon the properties of a medium," Rayleigh, L. (1892). The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, 34 (211), 481–502]

Now allowing $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ to vary, get 3D configuration space:

No-flux boundary condition at surface of 'obstacle,' so again we have a heat conduction problem, in a domain with obstacles in the shape of twisted ribbons.

As you might imagine, interesting things can happen when the 'ribbon' overflows the cell (long particle), but I won't talk about that today.

Rayleigh's approach is not very well suited to drift (swimmers) or to non-circular particles.

Homogenization theory allows us to find effective diffusivity by introducing a long time T and large scale R to get an effective heat equation:

$$
\partial_T \Phi = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot (\mathbb{D}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Phi)
$$

where the *effective diffusivity tensor* is

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega \setminus \omega|} \bigg(\langle \mathbb{D} \rangle + \int_{\partial \omega} \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \mathbb{D} \, \boldsymbol{\chi} \, dA \bigg).
$$

Notation: $\langle \cdot \rangle$ = integration over cell Ω , $|\cdot|$ = volume. The integral is over the 2D surface of the 3D perforation ω in (x, y, θ) .

What is χ ?

In the absence of drift, the cell problem for χ is

$$
\mathbb{D} : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \chi = 0, \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \Omega \setminus \omega; \n\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbb{D} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \chi = -\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbb{D}, \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \partial \omega.
$$

Numerically this is not so bad, but analytically there is little hope.

The anisotropic diffusivity is a challenge. It would be better if the problem were harmonic.

Choose $\mathbb A$ such that $\mathbb A \cdot \mathbb D \cdot \mathbb A^T = \mathbb I$:

$$
\Delta_{r'}\chi' = 0, \qquad r' \in \mathbb{A} \cdot (\Omega \setminus \omega);
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \nabla_{r'}\chi' = -\hat{\mathbf{n}}', \qquad r' \in \mathbb{A} \cdot (\partial \omega),
$$

where $\Delta_{\bm r}$ is the Laplacian, $\bm n'=\hat{\bm n}\cdot\mathbb{A}^{-1}$, and $\hat{\bm n}'=\bm n'/\|\bm n'\|.$

Unfortunately, the linear transformation **A** has deformed the domain.

The transformed cell problem (cont'd)

A transforms our rod-shaped particle to a slightly different rod (no big deal), but the domain is now a parallelogram (which also depends on θ).

Let's solve the problem for a fixed θ , that is, neglecting rotational diffusion.

For a small particle, natural to use matched asymptotic expansion.

- The inner problem lives on an infinite domain and can be solved by conformal mapping, with unknown condition at ∞ .
- The outer problem is essentially $\Delta \chi = \nabla \delta$, the (modified) Green's function for Poisson's equation with periodic boundary conditions.

$$
\Delta \phi = \delta(\bm{r}) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}
$$

For periodic boundary conditions, there is a Fourier series solution

$$
\phi(\bm{r})=-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\sum_{\bm{k}\neq\bm{0}}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\bm{k}\cdot\bm{r}}}{k^2}
$$

However, this is terrible! Converge is awful, and we don't know how to get the small- r asymptotics for the purposes of matching.

Ewald summation (Poisson resummation) is a clever trick where the nasty k sum is broken up into two parts that are exponentially convergent:

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \Gamma\big(0, |\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{n}|^2/4\eta\big) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \neq \boldsymbol{0}} \frac{e^{-k^2 \eta}}{k^2} e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}
$$

with η a cutoff parameter and Γ the incomplete Gamma function.

Possible to do the small- r asymptotics, but η -dependent cancellation is annoying.

Instead, use the far less familiar complex form

$$
\Phi(z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{2|\Omega|} y^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \vartheta_1(z/2, q), \qquad q := \exp(i\pi\tau),
$$

where $z = x + iy$, ϑ_1 is a Jacobi elliptic theta function, and q is the nome.

The complex parameter τ describes the shape of the lattice cell:

This can be rewritten as the (known?) explicit series

$$
2\pi\Phi(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{16\pi\tau_{\rm i}}\left(z-\bar{z}\right)^2 + \log\sin(z/2) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\cos nz}{1-q^{-2n}}
$$

Note that the sum converges exponentially ($|q|$ < 1), and is a single sum, rather than the double-sums that appears in Ewald summation for a 2D lattice. The magic comes from the fact that the trig terms are already periodic in one direction.

The real part is doubly-periodic in the complex plane (but not the imaginary part).

Machine precision requires about 11 terms for any z.

I'm curious why this form is not used in 2D boundary integral simulations? Probably someone here can tell me.

.

Remember that our goal was to get a small- $|z|$ expansion of the Green's function, for matching to the inner solution. We can now find the small- $|z|$ analytic part

$$
2\pi\Phi_{a}(z) = -\frac{1}{8\pi\tau_{1}}z^{2} + \log z - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{n}(\tau)\,\frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!}.
$$

The complex coefficients A_n are rather complicated, and involve combinatorial Bernoulli numbers.

This is a price we have to pay: the \mathcal{A}_n are doing a lot of work for us. they are effectively carrying out Rayleigh's reflection method.

The matching to an inner conformal transformation solves our diffusivity problem in terms of the A_n .

- I won't give the full expression for the effective diffusivity because it's fairly complicated and hasn't been properly validated against simulations yet.
- The goal of an asymptotic calculation is to get a better handle on parametric dependence.
- To allow for rotational diffusivity, can average over θ for small particles.
- We are in the process of doing this for active particles as well (restoring the drift).
- In the densely-packed case, there's some hope using the methods of [Keller \(1963\)](#page-21-7). Potentially very powerful: exploit small gaps in configuration space.

- Aceves-Sanchez, P., Degond, P., Keaveny, E. E., Manhart, A., & Sara Merino-Aceituno, D. P. (2020).
- Alonso-Matilla, R., Chakrabarti, B., & Saintillan, D. (2019). Phys. Rev. Fluids, 4, 043101.
- Amchin, D. B., Ott, J. A., Bhattacharjee, T., & Datta, S. S. (2022). PLOS Computational Biology, 18 (5), e1010063.
- Brenner, H. (1980). Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 297 (1430), 81–133.
- Chakrabarti, B., Gaillard, C., & Saintillan, D. (2020). Soft Matter, 16 (23), 5534–5544.

Chen, H. & Thiffeault, J.-L. (2021). J. Fluid Mech. 916, A15.

- Kamal, A. & Keaveny, E. E. (2018). Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 15 (148), 20180592.
- Keller, J. B. (1963). Journal of Applied Physics, 34 (4), 991–993.
- Rayleigh, L. (1892). The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, 34 (211), 481–502.