# A Non-Splitting Theorem in the Enumeration **Degrees**

Mariya I. Soskova

University of Leeds Department of Pure Mathematics

20.07.07

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 할 날 ! > 10 Q Q O

#### **Definitions**

We will say that a pair of degrees  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  form a splitting of a if  $a_1 < a$  and  $a_2 < a$  but  $a_1 \cup a_2 = a$ .



#### Harrington's non-splitting theorem

There exists a c.e. degree  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{0}'$  such that  $\mathbf{0}'$  can not be split in the c.e. degrees above **a**.



 $\Delta_2$ 

The semi-lattice of the enumeration degrees

#### **Definition**

1. A set *A* is enumeration reducible to a set *B*  $(A \leq_{e} B)$ , if there is a c.e. set Φ such that

$$
n\in A \Leftrightarrow \exists D(\langle n,[D]\rangle \in \Phi \wedge D\subseteq B).
$$

KID KARIK KEIK ER KORA

- 2. *A* is enumeration equivalent to B ( $A \equiv_{e} B$ ) if  $A \leq_{e} B$  and *B* ≤*<sup>e</sup> A*.
- 3. Let  $d_e(A) = {B|A \equiv_e B}.$
- 4. (*De*, <,∪, 0 , 0*e*) is the semi-lattice of the enumeration degrees with jump operator.

# Embedding the Turing degrees into the enumeration degrees

There exists an order theoretic embedding  $\iota : D_T \to D_e$ .



#### Known Results: Cooper and M.S.

There exists a  $\Pi_1$  e-degree  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{0}'_\mathbf{e}$  such that there exist no nontrivial cuppings of  $Π_1$  e-degrees in the  $Σ_2$  e-degrees above

**a**.



**A EXA EX ELEMANO** 

#### Known Results: Arslanov and Sorbi

Above every  $\Delta_2$  e-degree **a** there exists a pair of  $\Delta_2$  e-degrees which form a splitting of  $O'_6$ .



K ロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト (君) ヨーの Q Q -

# Main Result

Theorem

*There is a*  $\Sigma_2$  *e-degree* **a** *such that*  $0'_e$  *cannot be split in the*  $\Sigma_2$ *e-degrees above* **a***.*



#### The requirements

We will construct a  $\Sigma_2$  set *A* and a  $\Pi_1$  set *E* such that:

 $\blacktriangleright$  For all enumeration operators  $\Psi$ :

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\Psi}:E\neq\Psi^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

For each pair of a  $\Sigma_2$  sets U and V and each enumeration operator Θ:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Theta,U,V}:E=\Theta^{U,V}\Rightarrow (\exists\Gamma,\Lambda)[\overline{K}=\Gamma^{U,A}\vee\overline{K}=\Lambda^{V,A}]
$$

K E K K Æ K Æ K Æ K Æ H E V A C

#### The P-strategy

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Theta,U,V}:E=\Theta^{U,V}\Rightarrow(\exists\Gamma,\Lambda)[\overline{K}=\Gamma^{U,A}\vee\overline{K}=\Lambda^{V,A}]
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  We monitor the length of agreement  $I(E, \Theta^{U,V})$  and act only on expansionary stages.

KID KARIK KEIK ER KORA

- $\triangleright$  Construct an e-operator  $\Gamma$  so that  $n \in \overline{K} \leftrightarrow \langle n, (U \oplus A) \restriction \gamma_n \rangle \in \Gamma$ .
- **I** Correct errors in  $\Gamma$  by extracting  $\gamma(n)$  from A.

### $\Sigma_2$  sets and their approximations

Consider a  $\Sigma_2$  set U with approximating sequence  $\{U_s\}_{s<\omega}$ . If  $n \notin U$  then  $n \notin U_s$  for infinitely many s.



#### **Even stages**

#### **Odd stages**



K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 그리도 Y) Q @

### Lachlan and Shore's Good approximations

We define a good approximations to the sets *U*, *V* and *U* ⊕ *V* with following properties:

- $\Sigma_2$  Elements in the set are also in the approximations on all but finitely many stages
- Good Infinitely many good stages on which the approximation is a subset of the set.
	- Exp If  $\Theta^{U,V} = E$ , then the length of agreement  $I(\Theta^{U,V}, E)[s]$  is unbounded.

K □ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶ ヨ ヨ ト つんへ

#### The  $N$ -strategies

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\Psi}:E\neq\Psi^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

Below the *l*-outcome  $\mathcal{N}'$  can follow a simple Friedberg-Muchnik strategy:



### The  $N$ -strategies

Activity at  $P$  may injure a restraint imposed by  $N$ . The strategy  $N$  acts only after  $x < I(\Theta^{U,V}, E)$ . The extraction of *x* from *E* forces a change in  $U \oplus V$ .



#### The backup strategy

**A** backup strategy  $\mathcal P$  constructs an operator Λ with  $\Lambda^{V,A} = \overline{K}.$ Strategy  $N$  will perform many attacks together with the backup strategies. An unsuccessful attack for  ${\cal N}$  is successful for  ${\cal N}''.$ 



K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 그리도 Y) Q @

# The  $\Sigma_2$  sets gang up together

*U* and *V* can trick us to believe that an attack is unsuccessful.  $\mathcal{N}''$  suffers.  $\mathcal{P}$ 



(ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) 目目 のQ (V

### The main trick

Longer memory. Access the backup strategies only if all previous attacks are unsuccessful.



(ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) 目目 のQ (V

# **Bibliography**

S. B. Cooper, *Computability Theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Mathematics, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.



- R. I. Soare, *Recursively enumerable sets and degrees*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987.
- M. M. Arslanov, *Structural properties of the degrees below* **0** 0 , Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **283** (1985), 270–273.
- L. Harrington, *Understanding Lachlan's Monster Paper*, **Notes**
- 歸 A.H. Lachlan, R.A. Shore, *The n-rea Enumeration Degrees are Dense*, Arch. Math. Logic (1992)31 : 277-285.
- S.D. Leonhardi, *Generalized Nonsplitting in the Recursively Enumerable Degrees*
- R. Soare, *Notes on Lachlan's Monster Theorem*螶