# The three quantifier theory of the partial order of the enumeration degrees



#### Mariya I. Soskova University of Wisconsin–Madison

12th Panhellenic Logic Symposium Crete, June 26 2019 Joint work with S. Lempp and T. Slaman

Supported by the NSF Grant No. DMS-1762648

### The theory of a degree structure Let  $D$  be a degree structure.

## Question

- Is the theory of the structure in the language of partial orders decidable?
- How complicated is the theory?
- How many quantifiers does it take to break decidability?



## Related problems

- $\bullet$  To understand what existential sentences are true  $D$  we need to understand what finite partial orders can be embedded into  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- At the next level of complexity is the extension of embeddings problem:

#### Problem

We are given a finite partial order P and a finite partial order  $Q \supseteq P$ . Does every embedding of  $P$  extend to an embedding of  $Q$ ?

• To understand what  $\forall \exists$ -sentences are true in D we need to solve a slightly more complicated problem:

#### Problem

We are given a finite partial order P and finite partial orders  $Q_0, \ldots Q_n \supseteq P$ . Does every embedding of P extend to an embedding of one of the  $Q_i$ ?

# The Turing degrees and initial segment embeddings

## Theorem (Lerman 71)

Every finite lattice can be embedded into  $\mathcal{D}_T$  as an initial segment.

- Suppose that P is a finite partial order and  $Q \supseteq P$  is a finite partial order extending P.
- $\bullet$  We can extend P to a lattice by adding extra points for joins when necessary.
- $\bullet$  The initial segment embedding of the lattice  $P$  can be extended to an embedding of Q only if new elements in  $Q \setminus P$  are compatible with joins in P:
	- **1** If  $q \in Q \setminus P$  is bounded by some element in P then q is one of the added joins.
	- **2** If  $x \in Q \setminus P$  and  $u, v \in P$  and  $x \geq u, v$  then  $x \geq u \vee v$ .

#### Theorem (Shore 78; Lerman 83)

That is the only obstacle.

### The theory of a degree structure Let  $D$  be a degree structure.

## Question

- Is the theory of the structure in the language of partial orders decidable?
- How complicated is the theory?
- How many quantifiers does it take to break decidability?



The enumeration degrees

## Theorem (Gutteridge 71)

The enumeration degrees are downwards dense.

A degree **b** is a *minimal cover* of a degree **a** if  $a < b$  and the interval  $(a, b)$  is empty.

Theorem (Slaman, Calhoun 96)

There are  $\Pi_2^0$  enumeration degrees  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$  such that  $\mathbf{b}$  is a minimal cover of  $\mathbf{a}$ 

A degree **b** is a *strong minimal cover* of a degree **a** if  $a < b$  and for every degree  $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{b}$  we have that  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{a}$ .

#### Theorem (Kent, Lewis-Pye, Sorbi 12)

There is a  $\Delta_3^0$  degree **a** and  $\Pi_2^0$  enumeration degree **b** such that **b** is a strong minimal cover of a

## The simplest lattice

Consider the lattice  $\mathcal{L} = \{a < b\}$ . What properties should possible extensions  $Q_0, Q_1 \ldots Q_n$  have so that every embedding of  $\mathcal L$  extends to  $Q_i$  for some *i*:

> a b

- $\bullet$  We can embed this lattice as degrees  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$  such that  $\mathbf{b}$  is a strong minimal cover of **a**. Thus we need at least one  $Q_i$  where all new x satisfy: if  $x < b$  then  $x < a$ .
- $\bullet$  We can embed this lattice as degrees  $\mathbf{0}_e < \mathbf{b}$ . Thus we need at least one  $Q_i$  where all new x satisfy: if  $x < b$  then  $x > a$ .

#### Theorem (Slaman, Sorbi 14)

Every countable partial order can be embedded below any nonzero enumeration degree.

So these two conditions suffice.

# A wild conjecture

## Conjecture (Lempp, Slaman, Soskova)

Every finite lattice can be embedded into  $\mathcal{D}_e$  as an interval of  $\Pi_2^0$  enumeration degrees [a, b] so that if  $x \le b$  then  $x \in [a, b]$  or  $x < a$ .

- Note! This would only solve the extension of embeddings problem: Every embedding of P would extend to an embedding of Q if Q satisfies the same two properties: have no new degree below any member of P and respect least upper bounds.
- $\bullet$  If we allow more than one Q then we need a wilder conjecture, e.g.:

#### Conjecture

Every finite lattice can be embedded into  $\mathcal{D}_{e}$  so that:

- **1 If**  $x \leq b$ , where **b** is the image of the largest element then **x** is the image of an element from the lattice or bounded by an atom of the lattice.
- <sup>2</sup> Incomparable atoms and co-atoms form minimal pairs.

This implies the existence of strong minimal pairs.

### Our results: Step 1 Slightly extend the Kent, Lewis-Pye, Sorbi result:

#### Theorem

There are  $\Pi_2^0$  degrees  $\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}$  is a strong minimal cover of  $\mathbf{b}$ .

#### Proof.

Construct  $\Pi_2^0$  sets A and B so that:

 $\bullet$   $\mathcal{M}_e$ :  $\Psi_e(A, B) = \Gamma(B)$  or  $A, B \leqslant_e \Psi_e(A, B);$ 

$$
\bullet \ \mathcal{T}_e \colon A \neq \Phi_e(B).
$$

A number is in A or B if and only if it is in  $A_s$  or  $B_s$  at infinitely many stages.  $\mathcal{M}_{e}$ -strategies promise to add numbers to B if certain numbers enter A, B. Attempts at diagonalization of  $\mathcal T$  may fail: a witness  $x \in A$  if and only if  $y \in \Psi(A, B)$  influencing what a higher priority M-strategy wants in B. Instead we produce a stream of elements  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  whose membership in A is reflected in membership in  $\Psi(A, B)$ . We code B using  $x_{2i}$ .

 $A \leq_{e} \Psi(A, B)$  because A consists of (1) elements enumerated by higher priority strategies,  $(2)$  elements in the stream,  $(3)$  elements enumerated in A to code  $B$  at higher priority strategies.

## Step 2, 3, 4

Generalize the previous construction to show that each of the following lattices can be embedded in a strong minimal cover way.



# A small victory

## Theorem (Lempp, Slaman, Soskova)

Every finite distributive lattice can be embedded as an interval  $[a, b]$  so that if  $\mathbf{x} \leqslant \mathbf{b}$  then  $\mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$  or  $\mathbf{x} \leqslant \mathbf{b}$ .

## Corollary

The  $\exists \forall \exists$ -theory of  $\mathcal{D}_e$  is undecidable.



# An additional application

## Theorem (Lempp, Slaman, Soskova )

The extension of embeddings problem in  $\mathcal{D}_e$  is decidable.

Proof sketch:

- Fix partial orders  $P \subseteq Q$ .
- If  $q \in Q \setminus P$  is a point that violates the conditions of the usual algorithm (the one for  $\mathcal{D}_T$ ) then we build a specific embedding that blocks q.
- We extend  $P$  to  $P^*$  by carefully adding points to make  $B(q) = \{p \in P^* \mid p < q\}$  a distributive lattice and embed that strongly.
- $D(q) = (p \in I \mid p \le q)$  a distributive fattice and embed that strongly.<br>We use generic extensions for the rest of P to make  $\bigwedge A(q) = \bigvee B(q)$ , where  $A(q) = \{ p \in P^* \mid q < p \}.$
- where  $A(q) \gamma e^{-\gamma} + q \leq p_f$ .<br>This leaves  $\bigvee B(q)$  as the only possible position for q.

## Questions

#### Question

Can we embed all finite lattices in  $\mathcal{D}_{e}$  as strong intervals?

Important test cases are  $N_5$  and  $M_3$ :



#### **Question**

Are there strong minimal pairs in  $\mathcal{D}_e$ : minimal pairs **a** and **b** such that all nonzero  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{a}$  we have that  $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{a}$ ?

## Question

Can we embed all countable (distributive) lattices into  $\mathcal{D}_e$  as strong intervals?

11 / 11

Thank you!