# The Limitations of Cupping in the Local Structure of the Enumeration Degrees

Mariya I. Soskova

Department of Pure Mathematics University of Leeds mariya@maths.leeds.ac.uk

17.06.08

#### The cupping property

Let  $\langle \mathcal{A}, \leq, \vee, \mathbf{1} \rangle$  be an upper semi-lattice with least and greatest element.

#### Definition

An element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$  is *cuppable* if there exists an element  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{1}$  such that  $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$ .

The element **b** is called a *cupping partner* for **a**.

### Results in the Turing degrees

- ▶ Posner, Robinson: Every nonzero degree in  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}(\leq 0')$  is cuppable.
- Cooper, Yates: There exists a nonzero c.e. Turing degree which cannot be cupped by any incomplete c.e. Turing degree.

## **Enumeration degrees**

#### Definition


A set *A* is *enumeration reducible*  $(\leq_e)$  to a set *B* if there is a c.e. set  $\Phi$  such that:

$$n \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists u(\langle n, u \rangle \in \Phi \land D_u \subseteq B),$$


where  $D_u$  denotes the finite set with code u under the standard coding of finite sets.

- $A \equiv_e B \Leftrightarrow A \leq_e B \land B \leq_e A$
- $\blacktriangleright \langle \mathcal{D}_e, \mathbf{0}_e, \leq, \cup,' \rangle.$
- $\iota: \mathcal{D}_{T} \to \mathcal{D}_{e}.$

## The local structure of the enumeration degrees $\mathcal{D}_e(\leq 0'_e)$



## Transferring results from the Turing degrees



## Cupping results in the enumeration degrees


- Negative Results:
  (Cooper, Sorbi, Yi): There exists a nonzero Σ<sub>2</sub><sup>0</sup> enumeration degree that is not cuppable.
- Positive Results:
  (Cooper, Sorbi and Yi): Every nonzero Δ<sub>2</sub><sup>0</sup> e-degree is cuppable by a total incomplete Δ<sub>2</sub><sup>0</sup> e-degree.
  - (S, Wu): Every nonzero  $\Delta_2^0$  e-degree is cuppable by a partial and low  $\Delta_2^0$  e-degree.

## **Cupping partners**

#### Question

How much further can we limit the search for cupping partners.

 $\Delta_2^0$  e-degrees



## Reaching the first limit

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i<\omega}$  be a  $\Delta_2^0$ -computably enumerable sequence of enumeration degrees. There exists a nonzero  $\Delta_2^0$  enumeration degree  $\mathbf{b}$  such that for every  $i<\omega$  if  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is incomplete then  $\mathbf{a}_i\vee\mathbf{b}\neq 0_e'$ .


Here a class  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i<\omega}$  of  $\Delta^0_2$  enumeration degrees is  $\Delta^0_2$ -computably enumerable if there is a computable sequence of  $\Delta^0_2$  approximations  $\{A_i[s]\}_{i,s<\omega}$  to representatives  $A_i$  of every degree  $\mathbf{a}_i$  in the class.

#### The Difference Hierracy

#### Definition (Ershov)

- 1. A set A is n-c.e. if there is a computable function f such that for each x, f(x,0) = 0,  $|\{s+1 \mid f(x,s) \neq f(x,s+1)\}| \leq n$  and  $A(x) = \lim_s f(x,s)$ .
- 2. A is  $\omega$ -c.e. if there are two computable functions f(x,s), g(x) such that for all x, f(x,0) = 0,  $|\{s+1 \mid f(x,s) \neq f(x,s+1)\}| \leq g(x)$  and  $\lim_{s} f(x,s) = A(x)$ .
- 3. A degree **a** is n-c.e.( $\omega$ -c.e.) if it contains a n-c.e.( $\omega$ -c.e.) set.

## A finer partition of the $\Delta_2^0$ enumeration degrees




#### Consequences

#### Corollary

There exists a nonzero  $\Delta_2^0$  enumeration degree that cannot be cupped by any incomplete  $\omega$ -c.e. degree.

Wu, S: For every nonzero  $\omega$ -c.e. enumeration degree **a** there exists an incomplete 3-c.e. enumeration degree **b** that cups **a**.

#### Cupping classes of enumeration degrees



(Cooper, Seetapun and Li): There exists a single incomplete  $\Delta_2^0$  Turing degree that cups every nonzero c.e. Turing degree.

#### The second limitation

For any larger subclass, which contains the nonzero 3-c.e enumeration degrees this cannot be done as:

#### **Theorem**

Let **a** be an incomplete  $\Sigma_2^0$  enumeration degree. There exists a nonzero 3-c.e. enumeration degree **b** such that  $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}'_e$ .

## Proof(ideas)

Let *A* be a representative of the given  $\Sigma_2^0$  e-degree. We construct two 3-c.e. sets *X* and *Y* so that:

► For every natural number *e*:


$$\mathcal{N}_e: W_e \neq X \wedge W_e \neq Y.$$

▶ If for some i the requirement  $\mathcal{P}_i^0$  is not satisfied then for every i the requirement  $\mathcal{P}_i^1$  is satisfied, where:

$$\mathcal{P}_{i}^{0}:\Theta_{i}^{A,X}\neq\overline{K};$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{i}^{1}: \Psi_{i}^{A,Y} \neq \overline{K}.$$

#### The tree



### Bibliography

- S.B. Cooper: On a theorem of C.E.M. Yates. Handwritten notes (1973)
- A. E. M. Lewis: Finite cupping sets. Arch. Math. Logic 43, 845–858 (2004)
- D. Posner, R. Robinson: Degrees joining to 0'. J. Symbolic Logic 46, 714–722 (1981)

## Bibliography

- S. B. Cooper: Enumeration reducibility, nondeterminitsic computations and relative computability of partial functions. In: K. Ambos-Spies, G. H. Muller, G. E. Sacks (eds.) Recursion Theory Week, Proceedings Oberwolfach 1989, pp 57–110. LNM 1432 (1990)
- S. B. Cooper, A. Sorbi, X. Yi: Cupping and noncupping in the enumeration degrees of  $\Sigma_2^0$  sets. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 82, 317–342 (1996)
- A. H. Lachlan, R. A. Shore: The n-rea enumeration degrees are dense. Arch. Math. Logic 31, 277–285 (1992)
- M. I. Soskova, G. Wu: Cupping  $\Delta_2^0$  enumeration degrees to 0'. In: S. Cooper, B. Löwe, A. Sorbi (eds.) Computation and Logic in the Real World, pp 727–738. LNCS 4497 (2007)