THE PROOF-THEORETIC STRENGTH OF THE DUSHNIK-MILLER THEOREM FOR COUNTABLE LINEAR ORDERS

Rodney G. Downey **STEFFEN LEMPP**

Department of Mathemati
s Victoria University of Wellington Wellington NEW ZEALAND

Department of Mathemati
s University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706-1388 **USA**

ABSTRACT. We show that the Dushnik-Miller Theorem for countable linear orderings (stating that any ountable linear ordering has a nontrivial self-embedding) is equivalent (over recursive comprehension $(RCA₀)$) to arithmetic comprehension $(ACA₀)$.

This paper presents a result in reverse mathemati
s, a program initiated by H. Friedman and S. Simpson, trying to determine the weakest possible "set-theoretical" axiom (system) to prove a given theorem of "ordinary" mathematics by trying to prove the axiom from the theorem (over a weaker "base system").

The "set-theoretical" axiom systems we will be concerned with are weak subsystems of second-order arithmetic. (We refer to Simpson [Sita] for a detailed exposition of such systems.) In particular, we will use the axiom system RCA_0 of recursive comprenension (with \mathbb{Z}_1 -induction) as a base system and exhibit a theorem which is equivalent (over the base system RCA_0) to the axiom system ACA_0 of arithmetic comprenension (with $\mathcal{L}_1^{\text{-}}$ -mquction).

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 03F35, 06A05.

Key words and phrases. linear ordering, reverse mathematics, ACA_0 , Dushnik-Miller Theorem, self-embedding.

The first author was partially supported by the New Zealand Marsden Fund for Basic Science, and both authors by a U. S./N. Z. Binational Grant. The se
ond author's resear
h was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9504474.

2 PROOF-THEORETIC STRENGTH OF DUSHNIK-MILLER

The area of "ordinary" mathematics we study in the context of reverse mathematics is that of linear orderings. In particular, we will characterize the prooftheoreti strength of the

Dushnik-Miller Theorem on Countable Linear Orderings $|{\rm DM40}|$. Let L be a countably infinite linear ordering. Then there is a nontrivial self-embedding of \mathcal{L} , i.e., an order-preserving injection of \mathcal{L} into itself which is not the identity.

We will thus show the following

Theorem. Over the base system RCA_0 , the Dushnik-Miller Theorem on Countable Linear Orderings is equivalent to the axiom system ACA_0 .

Proof. We first prove the easy direction, namely, that ACA_0 is strong enough to prove the Dushnik-Miller Theorem on Countable Linear Orderings. Fix a ountably infinite linear ordering L. Call $C \subseteq L$ a convex subset of L if C contains any point z between any points $x, y \in C$.

First assume that L contains a convex subset C of order type ω . Then the map i which is the identity of C and moves every element of C to its immediate successor is a nontrivial self-embedding of $\mathcal L$ and can be defined by a first-order formula (in the language of arithmetic), thus can be shown to exist by ACA_0 . The case where \boldsymbol{L} contains a convex subset of order type ω = (i.e., ω under the reverse ordering) is handled similarly.

So assume that L does not contain any convex subsets of order type ω or ω . Call a convex subset C of L discrete if every element of C (except the least, if any) has an immediate predecessor in C, and every element of C (except the greatest, if any) has an immediate successor in C . By our assumption, any discrete subset of $\mathcal L$ must be finite. By picking one element from each maximal discrete subset of $\mathcal L$ (except the first and last maximal discrete subset, if any), we see that there is an infinite subset of $\mathcal L$ which is densely ordered without endpoints. Since ACA_0 actually allows full first-order induction, we can now define the self-embedding as follows: List the points of $\mathcal L$ as $\{x_n\}_{n\in\omega}$. When picking an image $i(x_n)$ for x_n , simply ensure that $i(x_n)$ has infinitely many points to its left and right and is infinitely far apart from $i(x_0), \cdots i(x_{n-1})$. Since the choice of $i(x_n)$ can be made in an arithmetic way, i can be shown to exist by ACA_0 . This concludes the proof of the easy dire
tion.

As for the hard direction, we need to show that the second-order part of any model of " RCA_0 plus Dushnik-Miller Theorem on Countable Linear Orderings" is closed under Σ^0_1 -comprehension. So fix any set $A \in \mathcal{S}$ (where $\mathcal S$ is the collection of subsets included in the given second-order model of " RCA_0 plus Dushnik-Miller"). We need to show that its "Turing jump" A' is also in S. We do so by defining a countable linear ordering $\mathcal L$ computable in A such that any nontrivial self-embedding i of ${\mathcal L}$ can compute $A'.$

Fix an A-computable enumeration $\{A'_s\}_{s\in\omega}$ of A' , and let $c(x) = \mu s \geq x(A' \upharpoonright$ $(x+1) = A'_s$ $(x+1)$ be the associated A-computable *computation function* of A'. Since $A' \leq_T A \oplus c$, it suffices to ensure the following

Claim 1. Any nontrivial self-embedding i of \mathcal{L} can compute the computation function c.

We define the linear ordering $\mathcal L$ of order type (M, \leq) with universe M in stages and start by letting \mathcal{L}_0 be the ordering $0 \leq_{\mathcal{L}} 2 \leq_{\mathcal{L}} 4 \leq_{\mathcal{L}} \ldots$ of all even integers in M . We establish Claim 1 by ensuring the existence of a function e satisfying the following

Claim 2. There is a strictly increasing function $e : M \to L_0$ such that for all $x \in M$,

(1)
$$
\forall n_0, n_1 < c(x) (e(x) <_{\mathcal{L}} n_0 <_{\mathcal{L}} n_1 \rightarrow d(e(x), n_0) > d(n_0, n_1)),
$$
 and

(2)
$$
e(x+1) = \mu y \in L_0 \forall n \ (n < c(x) \to n <_{\mathcal{L}} y),
$$

where $d(n_0, n_1)$ is the (M-finite) distance between n_0 and n_1 in \mathcal{L} .

We first establish Claim 1 from Claim 2: Fix any nontrivial self-embedding i of L. By Σ_1^0 -induction, e is monotonic, so the range of e is cofinal in $(M,<)$, and so there is $x_0 \in M$ such that for all $x \ge x_0$, we have $e(x) \le c$ ie(x). Also, by Σ_1^0 -induction and (1) of Claim 2, for all $x \geq x_0$, we have that one of $ie(x)$ and $i^2e(x)$ is $\geq c(x)$ (since $d(e(x), ie(x)) \leq d(ie(x), i^2e(x))$). So from $e(x)$ and i we can compute $c(x)$. Finally, by (2) of Claim 2, we can also compute $e(x+1)$. Thus i allows us to compute c as desired, establishing Claim 1 from Claim 2.

The proof of Claim 2 is a limite-injury priority argument (using \mathcal{Z}_1^* -induction). We have to maintain (1) and (2) of Claim 2 at any stage s for all $x \leq s$ (evaluating $c(x)$ for these x's at stage s). Note that the definition of the function e is fixed by (2) at any stage s (assuming $e(0) = 0$). The only problem arises if some number x enters A' at a stage $s > 0$, thus making (1) false. In that case, add all currently unused elements $y \leq s$ in $M - L_{s-1}$ into L_s just to the left of $e(x)$, and add sufficiently many unused elements $y > s$ in $M - L_{s-1}$ into L_s just to the right of $e(x)$ to make (1) true. Note that this action will not interfere with keeping (1) satisfied for any $x' < x$. It is now easy to verify that this construction will produce the desired linear ordering satisfying Claim 2.

REFERENCES

- [DM40] Dushnik, B. and Miller, E. W., Concerning similarity transformations of linearly ordered sets, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1940), 322-326.
- [Ro82] Rosenstein, J. G., *Linear Orderings*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [Sita] Simpson, S. G., Subsystems of second-order arithmetic, available as preprint (to appear).