

THE COMPLEXITY OF THE INDEX SETS OF \aleph_0 -CATEGORICAL THEORIES AND OF EHRENFEUCHT THEORIES

STEFFEN LEMPP AND THEODORE A. SLAMAN

ABSTRACT. We classify the computability-theoretic complexity of two index sets of classes of first-order theories: We show that the property of being an \aleph_0 -categorical theory is Π_3^0 -complete; and the property of being an Ehrenfeucht theory Π_1^1 -complete. We also show that the property of having continuum many models is Σ_1^1 -hard. Finally, as a corollary, we note that the properties of having only decidable models, and of having only computable models, are both Π_1^1 -complete.

1. THE MAIN THEOREM

Measuring the complexity of mathematical notions is one of the main tasks of mathematical logic. Two of the main tools to classify complexity are provided by Kleene's arithmetical and analytical hierarchy. These two hierarchies provide convenient ways to determine the exact complexity of properties by various notions of *completeness*, and to give lower bounds on the complexity by various notions of *hardness*. (See, e.g., Kleene [1], Soare [10] or Odifreddi [4, 5] for the definitions.)

This paper will investigate the complexity of properties of a first-order theory, more precisely, the complexity of a countable first-order theory having a certain number of models. Recall that a theory is called *\aleph_0 -categorical* if it has only one countable model up to isomorphism, and an *Ehrenfeucht theory* if it has more than one but only finitely many countable models up to isomorphism. In order to measure the complexity of these notions, we will use *decidable* first-order theories,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 03D80, Secondary: 03D55 03C52.

Key words and phrases. index set, \aleph_0 -categorical theory, Ehrenfeucht theory.

The first author's research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0140120 and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through a Mercator Guest Professorship. The second author's research was partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and by NSF Grant DMS-9988644. Both authors also wish to thank the University of Heidelberg and Klaus Ambos-Spies for their hospitality during the time this research was carried out.

i.e., sets T of first-order sentences closed under inference such that membership in T can be determined effectively.

The principal result of this paper is now the following

Main Theorem. *We classify the complexity of some properties of decidable first-order theories as follows:*

- (1) *The property “ T is an \aleph_0 -categorical theory” is Π_3^0 -complete.*
- (2) *The property “ T is an Ehrenfeucht theory” is Π_1^1 -complete.*
- (3) *The property “ T has continuum many pairwise nonisomorphic models” is Σ_1^1 -hard.*

In this paper, a *theory* will be a set of first-order sentences closed under inference (so that $T \vdash \sigma$ iff $\sigma \in T$ for any sentence σ).

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of our Main Theorem. In section 2, we will prove clause (1) of our Main Theorem. In section 3, we will show that the property of a theory being an Ehrenfeucht theory is Π_1^1 , giving the upper bound for clause (2) of our Main Theorem. Finally, in section 4, we will prove a simultaneous reduction

$$(\Pi_1^1, \Sigma_1^1) \leq_m (\text{Ehrenfeucht}, \text{Continuum})$$

(where Ehrenfeucht and Continuum are (the index sets of) the properties of being an Ehrenfeucht theory or a theory having continuum many models, respectively). This reduction gives the lower bound for clause (2) and proves clause (3) of our Main Theorem.

2. THE PROOF FOR \aleph_0 -CATEGORICITY

We first show that “ T ’s being \aleph_0 -categorical” is Π_3^0 to obtain the upper bound: A theory T (with a characteristic function given by a partial computable function φ_e) is \aleph_0 -categorical iff, by the Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem,

φ_e is a total function, T is a complete consistent theory and

$$\forall n (T \text{ has only finitely many } n\text{-types}),$$

i. e., iff

$$\begin{aligned} & e \in \text{Tot and } \forall \sigma (T \vdash \sigma \text{ implies } \sigma \in T) \text{ and} \\ & \forall \sigma (T \not\vdash \sigma \wedge \neg \sigma) \text{ and } \forall \sigma (T \vdash \sigma \text{ or } T \vdash \neg \sigma) \text{ and} \\ & \forall n \exists m \exists \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_m [\forall i \leq m (T \not\vdash \sigma_i) \text{ and} \\ & \quad \forall i \leq m \forall \tau (T \vdash \sigma_i \rightarrow \tau \text{ or } T \vdash \sigma_i \rightarrow \neg \tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

(where σ ranges over all first-order sentences, and σ_i and τ range over all first-order formulas in n variables \bar{x} which we suppress above, respectively). Now, since “ $T \vdash \sigma$ ” is Δ_1^0 for decidable theories, inspection

shows that “ T ’s being \aleph_0 -categorical” is Π_3^0 . (Recall here that we assume that T is closed under inference.)

In order to show that “ T ’s being \aleph_0 -categorical” is Π_3^0 -complete, we present the following construction of a decidable theory T_e (uniformly in an index e) such that

$$\forall n (W_{f(n)} \text{ is finite}) \text{ iff } T_e \text{ is } \aleph_0\text{-categorical,}$$

where $f = f_e$ is a computable function such that

$$\forall n (W_{f(n)} \text{ is finite})$$

is a Π_3^0 -complete predicate (see, e.g., Soare [10, p. 68]). Without loss of generality, we may assume that for every stage s , there is exactly one pair $\langle n, x \rangle$ such that x enters $W_{f(n)}$ at stage s . We denote this n by n_s .

The signature of our theory T_e now consists of relation symbols R_s^n (for all $n, s \in \omega$) where each R_s^n is an n -ary relation symbol. At stage s , we completely specify the relations R_s^n for all $n \in \omega$ as follows: For all $n \neq n_s$, we let the relation R_s^n be empty. For $n = n_s$, we let the relation R_s^n be “random” over all $R_s^{n_{s'}}$ (for $s' < s$) in the sense that all finite extensions consistent with the theory enumerated before stage s (in the relation symbols $R_s^{n_{s'}}$ for all n and all $s' < s$) are realized by the relation symbol $R_s^{n_s}$ added at stage s .

To verify that the construction yields the theory T_e with the desired properties, first assume that for some n , $W_{f(n)}$ is infinite. Then R_s^n is nonempty for infinitely many s , and in fact the reduct of T_e to these n -ary relations has continuum many consistent n -types, making T_e not \aleph_0 -categorical.

On the other hand, assume that $W_{f(n)}$ is finite for all n . Then the n -type of any n -tuple \bar{x} is determined by the finitely many nonempty relations of arity $\leq n$ satisfied by \bar{x} , so there are only finitely many n -types, and \aleph_0 -categoricity follows.

In fact, it is not hard to see that the theory T_e admits elimination of quantifiers (effectively uniformly in e) and is decidable (again uniformly in e).

3. THE UPPER BOUND FOR EHRENFUCHT THEORIES

Proposition 1. *The set $\{e : T_e \text{ is an Ehrenfeucht theory}\}$ is Π_1^1 .*

Proof. Proposition 1 follows from Sacks’s [8] proof that every countable model of an Ehrenfeucht theory has a hyperarithmetic representation.

Suppose that T is a recursive, complete, first-order, Ehrenfeucht theory. From Sacks’s theorem, each of the finitely many isomorphism

types of models of T has a representative which is hyperarithmetically coded. In the same paper, Sacks shows that any model \mathcal{A} of T completes its canonical Scott analysis in finitely many steps. Consequently, the canonical Scott sentence for \mathcal{A} is uniformly hyperarithmetically definable in any representation A of \mathcal{A} . Further, if A and H are representations of countable first-order structures and have the same finite-rank canonical Scott sentence, then there is an isomorphism π between the models coded by A and H which is uniformly hyperarithmetically definable from A and H . See, for example, [3] in which Nadel analyzes of Scott sentences and isomorphisms between countable models within admissible sets. Thus, for T with the given properties, there are finitely many hyperarithmetically presentations H_1, \dots, H_k of models of T such that for every representation A of a model of T , there is an isomorphism between the models coded by A and one of the H_i 's which is hyperarithmetically relative to A and H_1, \dots, H_k .

Conversely, for any recursive, complete, first-order theory T , if there is a hyperarithmetically finite sequence H_1, \dots, H_k of representations of models of T such that for every A , if A codes a model of T then there is an isomorphism π between the model coded by A and that coded by one of the H_i 's, then T is an Ehrenfeucht theory.

Now, the proposition follows. T_e 's being a complete first-order theory is an arithmetic property and hence Π_1^1 . By the Spector-Gandy Theorem, see Sacks [9], the Π_1^1 predicates are closed under existential quantification over the hyperarithmetically sets. Thus, the condition above, that there exist hyperarithmetically sets H_1, \dots, H_k , for all sets A , there exists π hyperarithmetically in H_1, \dots, H_k and A , with arithmetically described properties, is a Π_1^1 condition. \square

4. A SIMULTANEOUS REDUCTION FOR EHRENFUCHT THEORIES AND THEORIES WITH CONTINUUM MANY MODELS

In this section, we will establish the simultaneous reduction

$$(\Pi_1^1, \Sigma_1^1) \leq_m (3\text{Models}, \text{Continuum}) \quad (1)$$

where 3Models and Continuum are (the index sets of) the properties of being an Ehrenfeucht theory with exactly three countable models, and a theory having continuum many countable models, respectively. Our proof will be based largely on Reed [7], which in turn used previous work of Peretyat'kin [6] and Millar [2]. (Since our proof uses the rather involved machinery of Reed [7] so heavily and mostly without changes, we will assume familiarity with this paper throughout the rest of the proof.)

We first observe that one can easily modify the proof of the Σ_1^1 -completeness of the property of a computable tree $\text{Tr} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ having an infinite path to obtain a reduction

$$(\Pi_1^1, \Sigma_1^1) \leq_m (\text{NoPath}, \text{InfPath}) \quad (2)$$

where NoPath and InfPath are (the index sets of) the properties of being a computable tree $\text{Tr} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ having no infinite path, and having continuum many infinite paths, respectively.

We now compose the reduction from (2) with the reduction given in Reed [7]: Reed defines, for each computable tree $\text{Tr} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$, and uniformly in an index e of Tr , a complete decidable theory T_e “coding” the tree Tr into a “dense tree”. (Actually, Reed only defines T_e for trees Tr having exactly one infinite path, but his definition in Part II of [7] can be applied to any computable $\text{Tr} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ and always yields a complete decidable theory T_e .)

Checking over Reed’s analysis of 1-types over T_e in Part III of [7], one can now easily verify the following: If Tr has no infinite path then T_e has exactly three countable models, namely, the countable computable models omitting the type $\Gamma^*(x)$ (since Tr contains no infinite paths). On the other hand, if Tr has infinitely many infinite paths then T_e admits a partial type $\Gamma_f^* = \{c_\xi < x \mid \xi \subset f\}$ for each infinite path $f \in [\text{Tr}]$, and by an argument analogous to that for Corollary 15.1 in Reed [7] (which shows that the type $\Delta(x)$ can be realized without the type $\Gamma^*(x)$ being realized), for any two distinct paths $f, g \in [\text{Tr}]$, the partial types Γ_f^* and Γ_g^* can be realized independently of each other. Thus T_e has continuum many types and so also continuum many countable models.

To finish off, we note an easy corollary of our proof as well as two remarks:

Corollary 2. *The property of a decidable theory having only decidable models is Π_1^1 -hard, as is the property of a decidable theory having only computable models.*

Remark 3. (1) Our proof actually shows that the property of being an Ehrenfeucht theory with exactly three models is already Π_1^1 -complete.

(2) The first-order language used in our proof is not fixed; i. e., the language depends on the tree Tr and thus on the index e used for the construction. However, we can simply add constant symbols c_η (for $\eta \in \omega^{<\omega} - T$) denoting a fixed element of our model, and empty relations E_ξ^η , etc. (for η or $\xi \in \omega^{<\omega} - T$), to achieve a fixed language.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kleene, Stephen C., *Introduction to metamathematics*, D. Van Nostrand Co., New York, N. Y., 1952.
- [2] Millar, Terrence S., *Persistently finite theories with hyperarithmetical models*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), 91–99.
- [3] Nadel, Mark E., *Scott sentences and admissible sets*, Ann. Math. Logic **7** (1974), 267–294.
- [4] Odifreddi, Piergiorgio, *Classical recursion theory*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 125, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989.
- [5] Odifreddi, Piergiorgio, *Classical recursion theory, Vol. II*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 143, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1999.
- [6] Peretjat'kin, Mikhail G., *Complete theories with a finite number of countable models*, Algebra i Logika **12** (1973), 550–576, 618.
- [7] Reed, Robert C., *A decidable Ehrenfeucht theory with exactly two hyperarithmetical models*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **53** (1991), 135–168.
- [8] Sacks, Gerald E., *On the number of countable models*, in: “Southeast Asian conference on logic (Singapore, 1981)”, pp. 185–195, Stud. Logic Found. Math., 111, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [9] Sacks, Gerald E., *Higher recursion theory*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [10] Soare, Robert I., *Recursively enumerable sets and degrees*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI
53706-1388, USA

E-mail address: lemp@math.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,
CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: slaman@math.berkeley.edu