

# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Series Title	Progress in Mathematics	
Chapter Title	Notes on the Self-Reducibility of the Weil Representation and Higher-Dimensional Quantum Chaos	
Chapter SubTitle		
Copyright Year	2010	
Copyright Holder	Birkhäuser Boston	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Gurevich</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Shamgar</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Mathematics
	Organization	University of California
	Address	94720, Berkeley, CA, USA
	Email	hadani@math.uchicago.edu
Author	Family Name	<b>Hadani</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Ronny</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Mathematics
	Organization	University of Chicago
	Address	Chicago, IL, USA
	Email	
Summary	In these notes we discuss the <i>self-reducibility property</i> of the Weil representation. We explain how to use this property to obtain sharp estimates of certain higher-dimensional exponential sums which originate from the theory of quantum chaos. As a result, we obtain the Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem for a generic linear symplectomorphism $A$ of the torus	

	1
<hr/>	
<b>Notes on the Self-Reducibility of the Weil Representation and Higher-Dimensional Quantum Chaos</b>	2
	3
	4
Shamgar Gurevich* and Ronny Hadani†	5
* Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA	6
shamgar@math.berkeley.edu	7
† Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, IL, 60637, USA	8
hadani@math.uchicago.edu	9
<b>Summary.</b> In these notes we discuss the <i>self-reducibility property</i> of the Weil representation. We explain how to use this property to obtain sharp estimates of certain higher-dimensional exponential sums which originate from the theory of quantum chaos. As a result, we obtain the Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem for a generic linear symplectomorphism $A$ of the torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^{2N}/\mathbb{Z}^{2N}$ .	10 11 12 13 14
<b>Key words:</b> Hannay–Berry Model, Quantum Unique Ergodicity, Bounds on Exponential Sums, Weil Representation, Self-Reducibility.	15 16 17
<b>AMS codes:</b> 11F27, 11L07, 81Q50.	18
<b>1 Introduction</b>	19
<b>1.1 The Weil representation</b>	20
In his celebrated 1964 Acta paper [34] Weil constructed a certain (projective) unitary representation of a symplectic group over a local field $k$ (for example $k$ could be $\mathbb{R}$ , $\mathbb{C}$ , or a $p$ -adic field). This representation has many fascinating properties which have gradually been brought to light over the last few decades. It now appears that this representation is a central object, bridging various topics in mathematics and physics, including number theory, the theory of theta functions and automorphic forms, invariant theory, harmonic analysis, and quantum mechanics. Although it holds such a fundamental status, it is satisfying to observe that the Weil representation already appears in the study of functions on linear spaces. Given a $k$ -linear space $L$ , there exists an associated (polarized) symplectic vector space $V = L \times L^*$ . The Weil representation of the group $Sp = Sp(V, \omega)$ can be realized on the Hilbert space	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$\mathcal{H} = L^2(L, \mathbb{C})$ . Interestingly, some elements of the group  $Sp$  act by certain kinds of generalized Fourier transforms. In particular, there exists a specific element  $w \in Sp$  (called the Weyl element) whose action is given, up to a normalization, by the standard Fourier transform. From this perspective, the classical theory of harmonic analysis seems to be devoted to the study of a particular operator in the Weil representation.

In these notes we will be concerned only with the case of the Weil representations of symplectic groups over finite fields. The main technical part is devoted to the study of a specific property of the Weil representation—the *self-reducibility property*. Briefly, this is a property concerning a relationship between the Weil representations of symplectic groups of different dimensions. In parts of these notes we devoted some effort to developing a general theory. In particular, the results concerning the self-reducibility property apply also to the Weil representation over local fields.

We use the self-reducibility property to bound certain higher-dimensional exponential sums which originate from the theory of quantum chaos, thereby obtaining a proof of one of the main statements in the field—the Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem for a generic linear symplectomorphism of the  $2N$ -dimensional torus.

## 1.2 Quantum chaos problem

One of the main motivational problems in quantum chaos is [2, 3, 26, 30] describing eigenstates

$$\tilde{H}\Psi = \lambda\Psi, \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{H},$$

of a chaotic Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = Op(H) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. We deliberately use the notation  $Op(H)$  to emphasize the fact that the quantum Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}$  is a quantization of a classical Hamiltonian  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $M$  is a classical symplectic phase space (usually the cotangent bundle of a configuration space  $M = T^*X$ , in which case  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X)$ ). In general, describing  $\Psi$  is considered to be an extremely complicated problem. Nevertheless, for a few mathematical models of quantum mechanics rigorous results have been obtained. We shall proceed to describe one of these models.

### Hannay–Berry model

In [18] Hannay and Berry explored a model for quantum mechanics on the two-dimensional symplectic torus  $(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$ . Hannay and Berry suggested to quantize simultaneously the functions on the torus and the linear symplectic group  $\Gamma \simeq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . One of their main motivations was to study the phenomenon

of quantum chaos in this model [26, 28]. More precisely, they considered an ergodic discrete dynamical system on the torus which is generated by a hyperbolic automorphism  $A \in \Gamma$ . Quantizing the system, the classical phase space  $(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$  is replaced by a *finite dimensional* Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , classical observables, i.e., functions  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , by operators  $\pi(f) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$ , and classical symmetries by a unitary representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Shnirelman's theorem**

Analogous with the case of the Schrödinger equation, consider the following eigenstates problem

$$\rho(A)\Psi = \lambda\Psi.$$

A fundamental result, valid for a wide class of quantum systems which are associated to ergodic classical dynamics, is Shnirelman's theorem [31], asserting that in the semi-classical limit almost all (in a suitable sense) eigenstates become equidistributed in an appropriate sense.

A variant of Shnirelman's theorem also holds in our situation [4]. More precisely, we have that in the semi-classical limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  for almost all (in a suitable sense) eigenstates  $\Psi$  of the operator  $\rho(A)$  the corresponding *Wigner distribution*  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\cdot) \Psi \rangle : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  approaches the phase space average  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdot |\omega|$ . In this respect, it seems natural to ask whether there exist exceptional sequences of eigenstates? Namely, eigenstates that do not obey the Shnirelman's rule (*scarred* eigenstates). It was predicted by Berry [2, 3] that *scarring* phenomenon is not expected to be seen for quantum systems associated with *generic* chaotic classical dynamics. However, in our situation the operator  $\rho(A)$  is not generic, and exceptional eigenstates were constructed. Indeed, it was confirmed mathematically in [8] that certain  $\rho(A)$ -eigenstates might localize. For example, in that paper a sequence of eigenstates  $\Psi$  was constructed, for which the corresponding Wigner distribution approaches the measure  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}|\omega|$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Hecke quantum unique ergodicity**

A quantum system that obeys Shnirelman's rule is also called quantum ergodic. Can one impose some natural conditions on the eigenstates so that no exceptional eigenstates will appear? Namely, *quantum unique ergodicity* will hold. This question was addressed in a paper by Kurlberg and Rudnick [25]. In that paper, they formulated a rigorous notion of Hecke quantum unique ergodicity for the case  $\hbar = 1/p$ . The following is a brief description of that work. The basic observation is that the degeneracies of the operator  $\rho(A)$  are coupled with the existence of symmetries. There exists a commutative group of operators that commutes with  $\rho(A)$ , which can in fact be computed. In more detail, the representation  $\rho$  factors through the quotient group  $Sp = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . We denote by  $T_A \subset Sp$  the centralizer of the element  $A$ , now considered as

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

an element of the quotient group. The group  $T_A$  is called (cf. [25]) the *Hecke torus* corresponding to the element  $A$ . The Hecke torus acts semisimply on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore, we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi: T_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_\chi$  is the Hecke eigenspace corresponding to the character  $\chi$ . Consider a unit eigenstate  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$  and the corresponding Wigner distribution  $\mathcal{W}_\chi : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by the formula  $\mathcal{W}_\chi(f) = \langle \Psi | \pi(f) \Psi \rangle$ . The main statement in [25] proves an explicit bound on the semi-classical asymptotic of  $\mathcal{W}_\chi(f)$

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_\chi(f) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f |\omega| \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{p^{1/4}},$$

where  $C_f$  is a constant that depends only on the function  $f$ . In Rudnick's lectures at MSRI, Berkeley 1999 [27], and ECM, Barcelona 2000 [28], he conjectured that a stronger bound should hold true, i.e.,

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_\chi(f) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f |\omega| \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{p^{1/2}}. \tag{1}$$

A particular case (which implies (1)) of the above inequality is when  $f = \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is a non-trivial character. In this case, the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \xi |\omega|$  vanishes and in addition it turns out that  $C_\xi = 2 + o(1)$ . Hence, we obtain the following simplified form of (1)

$$|\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi)| \leq \frac{2 + o(1)}{\sqrt{p}}, \tag{2}$$

for sufficiently large  $p$ . These stronger bounds were proved in the paper [13]. It will be instructive to briefly recall the main ideas and techniques used in [13].

### Geometric approach

The basic observation to be made is that the theory of quantum mechanics on the torus, in the case  $\hbar = 1/p$ , can be equivalently recast in the language of the representation theory of finite groups in characteristic  $p$ . We will endeavor to give a more precise explanation of this matter. Consider the quotient vector space  $V = \mathbb{T}^\vee / p\mathbb{T}^\vee$ , where  $\mathbb{T}^\vee \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the lattice of characters on  $\mathbb{T}$ . We denote by  $H = H(V)$  the Heisenberg group associated to  $V$ . The group  $Sp$  is naturally identified with the group of linear symplectomorphisms of  $V$ . We have an action of  $Sp$  on  $H$ . The Stone-von Neumann theorem (see Theorem 5) states that there exists a unique irreducible representation  $\pi : H \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$ , with a non-trivial character  $\psi$  of the center of  $H$ . As a consequence of its uniqueness, its isomorphism class is fixed by  $Sp$ . This is equivalent to saying

that  $\mathcal{H}$  is equipped with a compatible projective representation  $\rho : Sp \rightarrow PGL(\mathcal{H})$ , which in fact can be linearized to an honest representation. This representation is the celebrated Weil representation. Noting that  $Sp$  is the group of rational points of the algebraic group  $\mathbf{Sp}$  (we use boldface letters to denote algebraic varieties), it is natural to ask whether there exists an algebro-geometric object that underlies the representation  $\rho$ . The answer to this question is positive. The construction is proposed in an unpublished letter of Deligne to Kazhdan [7], which appears now in [13, 16]. Briefly, the content of this letter is a construction of *representation sheaf*  $\mathcal{K}_\rho$  on the algebraic variety  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . We obtain, as a consequence, the following general principle:

**Motivic principle.** *All quantum mechanical quantities in the Hannay–Berry model are motivic in nature.*

By this we mean that every quantum-mechanical quantity  $\mathcal{Q}$  is associated with a vector space  $V_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (certain cohomology of a suitable  $\ell$ -adic sheaf) endowed with a Frobenius action  $\text{Fr} : V_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{Q}}$  so that  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Tr}(\text{Fr}|_{V_{\mathcal{Q}}})$ . In particular, it was shown in [13] that there exists a two-dimensional vector space  $V_\chi$ , endowed with an action  $\text{Fr} : V_\chi \rightarrow V_\chi$ , so that

$$\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi) = \text{Tr}(\text{Fr}|_{V_\chi}). \tag{3}$$

This, combined with the purity condition that the eigenvalues of  $\text{Fr}$  are of absolute value  $1/\sqrt{p}$ , implies the estimate (2).

**The higher-dimensional Hannay–Berry model**

The higher-dimensional Hannay–Berry model is obtained as a quantization of a  $2N$ -dimensional symplectic torus  $(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$  acted upon by the group  $\Gamma \simeq Sp(2N, \mathbb{Z})$  of linear symplectic automorphisms. It was first constructed in [12], where, in particular, a quantization of the whole group of symmetries  $\Gamma$  was obtained. Consider a regular ergodic element  $A \in \Gamma$ , i.e.,  $A$  generates an ergodic discrete dynamical system and it is regular in the sense that it has distinct eigenvalues over  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is natural to ask whether quantum unique ergodicity will hold true in this setting as well, as long as one takes into account the whole group of hidden (Hecke) symmetries? Interestingly, the answer to this question is NO! Several new results in this direction have been announced recently. In the case where the automorphism  $A$  is *non-generic*, meaning that it has an invariant Lagrangian (and more generally co-isotropic) sub-torus  $\mathbb{T}_L \subset \mathbb{T}$ , an interesting new phenomenon was revealed. There exists a sequence  $\{\Psi_{\hbar}\}$  of Hecke eigenstates which are closely related to the physical phenomena of *localization*, known in the physics literature (cf. [20, 24]) as *scars*. We will call them *Hecke scars*. These states are localized in the sense that the associated Wigner distribution converges to the Haar measure  $\mu$  on the invariant Lagrangian sub-torus

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Psi_{\hbar}}(f) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}_L} f d\mu, \text{ as } \hbar \rightarrow 0, \tag{4}$$

for every smooth observable  $f$ . These special kinds of Hecke eigenstates were first established in [10]. The semi-classical interpretation of the localization phenomena (4) was announced in [23].

The above phenomenon motivates the following definition:

**Definition 1.** *An element  $A \in \Gamma$  is called generic if it is regular and admits no non-trivial invariant co-isotropic sub-tori.*

*Remark 1.* The collection of generic elements constitutes an open subscheme of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, a generic element need not be ergodic automorphism of  $\mathbb{T}$ . However, in the case where  $\Gamma \simeq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  every ergodic (i.e., hyperbolic) element is generic. An example of a generic element which is not ergodic is given by the Weyl element  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

In these notes we will require the automorphism  $A \in \Gamma$  to be generic. This case was first considered in [14], where using similar geometric techniques as in [13] the analogue of inequality (2) was obtained. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that the automorphism  $A$  is *strongly generic*, i.e., it has no non-trivial invariant sub-tori.

**Theorem 1 ([14]).** *Let  $\xi$  be a non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{T}$ . The following bound holds*

$$|\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\xi)| \leq \frac{[2 + o(1)]^N}{\sqrt{p}^N}, \tag{5}$$

where  $p$  is a sufficiently large prime number.

In particular, using the bound (5), we obtain the following statement for general observable:

**Corollary 1 (Hecke quantum unique ergodicity).** *Consider an observable  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and a sufficiently large prime number  $p$ . Then*

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_{\chi}(f) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{\sqrt{p}^N},$$

where  $\mu = |\omega|^N$  is the corresponding volume form and  $C_f$  is an explicit computable constant which depends only on the function  $f$ .

In these notes, using the self-reducibility property of the Weil representation, we improve the above estimates and obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2 (Sharp bound).** *Let  $\xi$  be a non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{T}$ . For sufficiently large prime number  $p$  we have*

$$|\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi)| \leq \frac{[2 + o(1)]^{r_p}}{\sqrt{p}^N}, \tag{6}$$

where the number  $r_p$  is an integer between 1 and  $N$ , that we will call the symplectic rank of  $T_A$ .

*Remark 2.* It will be shown (see Subsection 6.2) that the distribution of the symplectic rank  $r_p$  in the set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  is governed by the Chebotarev density theorem applied to a suitable Galois group. For example, in the case where  $A \in Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$  is strongly generic we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{r_p = r \mid p \leq x\}}{\pi(x)} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad r = 1, 2,$$

where  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of primes up to  $x$ .

*Remark 3.* For the more general version of Theorem 2, one that holds in the general generic case (Definition 1), see Subsection 6.3.

In order to witness the improvement of (6) over (5), it would be instructive to consider the following extreme scenario. Assume that the Hecke torus acts on  $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{2N}$  irreducibly. In this case it turns out that  $r_p = 1$ . Hence, becomes

$$|\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi)| \leq \frac{2 + o(1)}{\sqrt{p}^N},$$

which constitutes a significant improvement over the coarse topological estimate (5). Let us elaborate on this. Recall the motivic interpretation of the Wigner distribution. In [14] an analogous interpretation was given to the higher-dimensional Wigner distributions, realizing them as  $\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi) = \text{Tr}(\text{Fr}|_{V_\chi})$ , where, by the purity condition, the eigenvalues of  $\text{Fr}$  are of absolute value  $1/\sqrt{p}^N$ . But, in this setting the dimension of  $V_\chi$  is not 2, but  $2^N$ , i.e., the Frobenius looks like

$$\text{Fr} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & * & * \\ & \cdot & * & * \\ & & \cdot & * \\ & & & \lambda_{2^N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, if we use only this amount of information, then the best estimate which can be obtained is (5). Therefore, in this respect the problem that we confront is showing cancellations between different eigenvalues, more precisely angles, of the Frobenius operator acting on a high-dimensional vector space, i.e., cancellations in the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{2^N} e^{i\theta_j}$ , where the angles  $0 \leq \theta_j < 2\pi$  are

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

defined via  $\lambda_j = e^{i\theta_j} / \sqrt{p^N}$ . This problem is of a completely different nature, 228  
 which is not accounted for by standard cohomological techniques (we thank 229  
 R. Heath-Brown for pointing out to us [19] about the phenomenon of can- 230  
 cellations between Frobenius eigenvalues in the presence of high-dimensional 231  
 cohomologies). 232

*Remark 4.* Choosing a realization  $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{F}_p^N)$ , the matrix coefficient  $\mathcal{W}_\chi(\xi)$  233  
 is equivalent to an exponential sum of the form 234

$$\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^N} \Psi(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi + x} \bar{\Psi}(x + \xi_-). \quad (7)$$

Here one encounters two problems. First, it is not so easy to describe the 235  
 eigenstates  $\Psi$ . Second, the sum (7) is a high-dimensional exponential sum 236  
 (over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ), which is known to be hard to analyze using standard techniques. 237  
 The crucial point that we explain in these notes is that it can be realized, 238  
 essentially, as a one-dimensional exponential sum over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^N$ . 239

### 1.3 Solution via self-reducibility 240

Let us explain the main idea underlying the proof of estimate (6). Let us 241  
 assume for the sake of simplicity that the Hecke torus is completely inert, i.e., 242  
 acts irreducibly on the vector space  $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{2N}$ . 243

#### Representation theoretic interpretation 244 of the Wigner distribution 245

The Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi$  is a vector in a representation space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The space 246  
 $\mathcal{H}$  supports the Weil representation of the symplectic group  $Sp \simeq Sp(2N, k)$ , 247  
 $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ . The vector  $\Psi$  is completely characterized in representation theoretic 248  
 terms, as being a character vector of the Hecke torus  $T_A$ . As a consequence, 249  
 all quantities associated to  $\Psi$ , and in particular the Wigner distribution  $\mathcal{W}_\chi$  250  
 are characterized in terms of the Weil representation. The main observation 251  
 to be made is that the Hecke state  $\Psi$  can be characterized in terms of another 252  
 Weil representation, this time of a group of much smaller dimension. In fact, it 253  
 can be characterized, roughly, in terms of the Weil representation of  $SL_2(K)$ , 254  
 $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^N}$ . 255

#### Self-reducibility property 256

A fundamental notion in our study is that of a *symplectic module struc-* 257  
*ture*. A symplectic module structure is a triple  $(K, V, \bar{\omega})$ , where  $K$  is a finite 258  
 dimensional commutative algebra over  $k$ , equipped with an action on the 259  
 vector space  $V$ , and  $\bar{\omega}$  is a  $K$ -linear symplectic form satisfying the property 260  
 $\text{Tr}_{K/k}(\bar{\omega}) = \omega$ . Let us assume for the sake of simplicity that  $K$  is a field. Let 261

$\overline{Sp} = Sp(V, \overline{\omega})$  be the group of  $K$ -linear symplectomorphisms with respect to the form  $\overline{\omega}$ . There exists a canonical embedding

$$\iota : \overline{Sp} \hookrightarrow Sp. \tag{8}$$

We will be mainly concerned with symplectic module structures which are associated to maximal tori in  $Sp$ . More precisely, it will be shown that associated to a maximal torus  $T \subset Sp$  there exists a canonical symplectic module structure  $(K, V, \overline{\omega})$  so that  $T \subset \overline{Sp}$ . The most extreme situation is when the torus  $T \subset Sp$  is completely inert, i.e., acts irreducibly on the vector space  $V$ . In this particular case, the algebra  $K$  is in fact a field with  $\dim_K V = 2$  which implies that  $\overline{Sp} \simeq SL_2(K)$ , i.e., using (8) we get  $T \subset SL_2(K) \subset Sp$ .

Let us denote by  $(\rho, Sp, \mathcal{H})$  the Weil representation of  $Sp$ . The main observation now is (cf. [9]) the following:

**Theorem 3 (Self-reducibility property).** *The restricted representation  $(\overline{\rho} = \iota^* \rho, SL_2(K), \mathcal{H})$  is the Weil representation of  $SL_2(K)$ .*

Applying the self-reducibility property to the Hecke torus  $T_A$ , it follows that the Hecke eigenstates  $\Psi$  can be characterized in terms of the Weil representation of  $SL_2(K)$ . Therefore, in this respect, Theorem 2 is reduced to the result obtained in [13].

#### 1.4 Quantum unique ergodicity for statistical states

Let  $A \in \Gamma$  be a generic linear symplectomorphism. As in harmonic analysis, one would like to use Theorem 2 concerning the Hecke eigenstates in order to extract information on the spectral theory of the operator  $\rho(A)$  itself. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that  $A$  is strongly generic, i.e., it acts on the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  with no non-trivial invariant sub-tori. Next, a possible reformulation of the quantum unique ergodicity statement, one which is formulated for the automorphism  $A$  itself instead of the Hecke group of symmetries, is presented.

The element  $A$  acts via the Weil representation  $\rho$  on the space  $\mathcal{H}$  and decomposes it into a direct sum of  $\rho(A)$ -eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\rho(A))} \mathcal{H}_\lambda. \tag{9}$$

Considering an  $\rho(A)$ -eigenstate  $\Psi$  and the corresponding projector  $P_\Psi$  one usually studies the Wigner distribution  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \text{Tr}(\pi(\xi) P_\Psi)$  which, due to the fact that  $\text{rank}(P_\Psi) = 1$ , is sometimes called *pure state*. In the same way, we might think about an Hecke–Wigner distribution  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \text{Tr}(\pi(\xi) P_\chi)$ , attached to a  $T_A$ -eigenstate  $\Psi$ , as a *pure Hecke state*. Following von Neumann [33] we suggest the possibility of looking at the more general *statistical state*, defined by a non-negative, self-adjoint operator  $D$ , called the von Neumann density operator, normalized to have  $\text{Tr}(D) = 1$ . For example,

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

to the automorphism  $A$  we can attach the natural family of density operators 297  
 $D_\lambda = \frac{1}{m_\lambda} P_\lambda$ , where  $P_\lambda$  is the projector on the eigenspace  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  (9), and  $m_\lambda =$  298  
 $\dim(\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ . Consequently, we obtain a family of statistical states 299

$$\mathcal{W}_\lambda(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(\pi(\cdot)D_\lambda).$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\xi$  be a non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{T}$ . For a sufficiently large 300  
 prime number  $p$ , and every statistical state  $\mathcal{W}_\lambda$ , we have 301*

$$|\mathcal{W}_\lambda(\xi)| \leq \frac{(2 + o(1))^{r_p}}{\sqrt{p}^N}, \tag{10}$$

where  $r_p$  is an explicit integer  $1 \leq r_p \leq N$  which is determined by  $A$ . 302

Theorem 4 follows from the fact that the Hecke torus  $T_A$  acts on the 303  
 spaces  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ , and hence, one can use the Hecke eigenstates, and the bound 304  
 (6). In particular, using (10) we obtain for a general observable the following 305  
 bound: 306

**Corollary 2.** *Consider an observable  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and a sufficiently large prime 307  
 number  $p$ . Then 308*

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_\lambda(f) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{\sqrt{p}^N},$$

where  $\mu = |\omega|^N$  is the corresponding volume form and  $C_f$  is an explicit 309  
 computable constant which depends only on the function  $f$ . 310

### 1.5 Results 311

1. *Bounds of higher-dimensional exponential sums.* The main results of these 312  
 notes are a sharp estimates of certain higher-dimensional exponential 313  
 sums attached to tori in  $Sp(2N, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . This is the content of Theorems 12 314  
 and 14 and is obtained using the self-reducibility property of the Weil 315  
 representation as stated in Theorems 9 and 10. 316
2. *Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem.* The main application of these 317  
 notes is the proof of the *Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem*, i.e., 318  
 Theorems 17 and 18, for generic linear symplectomorphism of the torus 319  
 in any dimension. The proof of the theorem is a direct application of the 320  
 sharp bound on the higher-dimensional exponential sums. 321
3. *Multiplicities formula.* Exact formula for the multiplicities, i.e., the dimen- 322  
 sions of the character spaces for the action of maximal tori in the Weil 323  
 representation are derived. This is obtained first for the  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  case in 324  
 Theorem 8 using the character formula presented in Theorem 7. Then, as a 325  
 direct application of the self-reducibility property, the formula is extended 326  
 in Theorem 11 to the higher-dimensional cases. 327

In addition, a formulation of the quantum unique ergodicity statement 328  
for quantum chaos problems, close in spirit to the von Neumann idea about 329  
density operator, is suggested in Theorem 4. The statement includes only the 330  
quantum operator  $A$  rather than the whole Hecke group of symmetries [25]. 331  
The proof of the statement uses the Hecke operators as a harmonic analysis 332  
tool. 333

## 1.6 Structure of the notes 334

Apart from the introduction, the notes consist of five sections. 335

In Section 2 we give some preliminaries on representation theory which 336  
are used in the notes. In Subsection 2.3 we recall the invariant presentation 337  
of the Weil representation over finite fields [16], and we discuss applications 338  
to multiplicities. Section 3 constitutes the main technical part of this work. 339  
Here we develop the theory that underlies the self-reducibility property of 340  
the Weil representation. In particular, in Subsection 3.1 we introduce the 341  
notion of symplectic module structure. In Subsection 3.2 we prove the existence 342  
of symplectic module structure associated with a maximal torus in  $Sp$ . 343  
Finally, we establish the self-reducibility property of the Weil representation, 344  
i.e., Theorem 10, and apply this property to get information on multiplicities 345  
in Subsection 3.4. Section 4 is devoted to an application of the theory developed 346  
in previous sections to estimating higher-dimensional exponential sums 347  
which originate from the mathematical theory of quantum chaos. In Section 5 348  
we describe the higher-dimensional Hannay–Berry model of quantum mechanics 349  
on the torus. Finally, in Section 6 we present the main application of these 350  
notes—the proof of the Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem for generic 351  
linear symplectomorphisms of the  $2N$ -dimensional torus. 352

*Remark 5.* Complete proofs for the statements appearing in these notes will 353  
be given elsewhere. 354

## 1.7 Acknowledgments 355

It is a pleasure to thank our teacher J. Bernstein for his interest and guidance 356  
in this project. We thank D. Kazhdan for several interesting discussions. 357  
We appreciate the support of the Technion, and in particular of M. Baruch. 358  
We thank D. Kelmer for sharing with us computer simulation data. Finally, we 359  
would like to thank O. Ceyhan and the organizers of the conference AGAQ, 360  
held in Istanbul during June 2006, and J. Wolf and the organizers of the 361  
conference Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Their Representations, Berkeley, 362  
November 2006, for the invitation to present this work. 363

## 2 Preliminaries 364

In this section, we denote by  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field of  $q$  elements and odd 365  
characteristic. 366

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

**2.1 The Heisenberg representation** 367

Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a  $2N$ -dimensional symplectic vector space over the finite field  $k$ . There exists a two-step nilpotent group  $H = H(V, \omega)$  associated to the symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$ . The group  $H$  is called the *Heisenberg group*. It can be realized as the set  $H = V \times k$ , equipped with the multiplication rule

$$(v, z) \cdot (v', z') = (v + v', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}\omega(v, v')).$$

The center of  $H$  is  $Z(H) = \{(0, z) : z \in k\}$ . Fix a non-trivial central character  $\psi : Z(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . We have the following fundamental theorem:

**Theorem 5 (Stone–von Neumann).** *There exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible representation  $(\pi, H, \mathcal{H})$  with central character  $\psi$ , i.e.,  $\pi(z) = \psi(z)Id_{\mathcal{H}}$  for every  $z \in Z(H)$ .*

We call the representation  $\pi$  appearing in Theorem 5, the *Heisenberg representation* associated with the central character  $\psi$ .

*Remark 6.* The representation  $\pi$ , although it is unique, admits a multitude of different models (realizations). In fact, this is one of its most interesting and powerful attributes. In particular, to any Lagrangian splitting  $V = L' \oplus L$  there exists a model  $(\pi_{L',L}, H, \mathbb{C}(L))$ , where  $\mathbb{C}(L)$  denotes the space of complex valued functions on  $L$ . In this model, we have the following actions:

- $\pi_{L',L}(l')[f](x) = \psi(\omega(l', x))f(x);$  384
- $\pi_{L',L}(l)[f](x) = f(x + l);$  385
- $\pi_{L',L}(z)[f](x) = \psi(z)f(x),$  386  
 where  $l' \in L', x, l \in L$ , and  $z \in Z(H)$ . 387

The above model is called the Schrödinger realization associated with the splitting  $V = L' \oplus L$ .

**2.2 The Weyl transform** 390

Given a linear operator  $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  we can associate to it a function on the group  $H$  defined as follows

$$W(A)(h) = \frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \text{Tr}(A\pi(h^{-1})). \tag{11}$$

The transform  $W : \text{End}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(H)$  is called the *Weyl transform* [21, 35]. The Weyl transform admits a left inverse  $\pi : \mathbb{C}(H) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$  given by the extended action  $\pi(K) = \sum_{h \in H} K(h)\pi(h)$ .

**2.3 The Weil representation** 396

Let  $Sp = Sp(V, \omega)$  denote the group of linear symplectic automorphisms of  $V$ . The group  $Sp$  acts by group automorphisms on the Heisenberg group through its tautological action on the vector space  $V$ . A direct consequence of Theorem 5 is the existence of a projective representation  $\tilde{\rho} : Sp \rightarrow PGL(\mathcal{H})$ . The classical construction of  $\tilde{\rho}$  out of the Heisenberg representation  $\pi$  is due to Weil [34]. Considering the Heisenberg representation  $\pi$  and an element  $g \in Sp$ , one can define a new representation  $\pi^g$  acting on the same Hilbert space via  $\pi^g(h) = \pi(g(h))$ . Clearly both  $\pi$  and  $\pi^g$  have central character  $\psi$ ; hence, by Theorem 5, they are isomorphic. Since the space  $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \pi^g)$  is one-dimensional, choosing for every  $g \in Sp$  a non-zero representative  $\tilde{\rho}(g) \in \text{Hom}_H(\pi, \pi^g)$  gives the required projective representation. In more concrete terms, the projective representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  is characterized by the formula

$$\tilde{\rho}(g) \pi(h) \tilde{\rho}(g^{-1}) = \pi(g(h)), \tag{12}$$

for every  $g \in Sp$  and  $h \in H$ . It is a peculiar phenomenon of the finite field setting that the projective representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  can be linearized into an honest representation. This linearization is unique, except in the case the finite field is  $\mathbb{F}_3$  and  $\dim V = 2$  (for the canonical choice in the latter case see [17]).

**Theorem 6.** *There exists a canonical unitary representation*

$$\rho : Sp \longrightarrow GL(\mathcal{H}),$$

satisfying the formula (12).

**Invariant presentation of the Weil representation** 416

An elegant description of the Weil representation can be obtained using the Weyl transform (see Subsection 2.2). Given an element  $g \in Sp$ , the operator  $\rho(g)$  can be written as  $\rho(g) = \pi(K_g)$ , where  $K_g$  is the Weyl transform  $K_g = W(\rho(g))$ . The homomorphism property of  $\rho$  is manifested as

$$K_g * K_h = K_{gh} \quad \text{for every } g, h \in Sp,$$

where  $*$  denotes (properly normalized) group theoretic convolution on  $H$ . Finally, the function  $K$  can be explicitly described on an appropriate subset of  $Sp$  [16]. Let  $U \subset Sp$  denote the subset consisting of all elements  $g \in Sp$  such that  $g - I$  is invertible. For every  $g \in U$  and  $v \in V$  we have

$$K_g(v) = \nu(g) \psi(\frac{1}{4} \omega(\kappa(g)v, v)), \tag{13}$$

where  $\kappa(g) = \frac{g+I}{g-I}$  is the Cayley transform [21, 36], and

$$\nu(g) = (G/q)^{2N} \sigma(\det(g - I)),$$

with  $\sigma$  the unique quadratic character of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , and  $G = \sum_{z \in Z(H)} \psi(z^2)$  the quadratic Gauss sum.

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

**2.4 The Heisenberg–Weil representation** 428

Let  $J$  denote the semi-direct product  $J = Sp \ltimes H$ . The group  $J$  is sometimes 429 referred to as the *Jacobi* group. The compatible pair  $(\pi, \rho)$  is equivalent to 430 a single representation  $\tau : J \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$  of the Jacobi group defined by the 431 formula  $\tau(g, h) = \rho(g)\pi(h)$ . It is an easy exercise to verify that the Egorov 432 identity (12) implies the multiplicativity of the map  $\tau$ . 433

In these notes, we would like to adopt the name *Heisenberg–Weil repre-* 434 *sentation* when referring to the representation  $\tau$ . 435

**2.5 Character formulas** 436

The invariant presentation (11) and formula (13) imply [16] a formula for the 437 character of the  $2N$ -dimensional Heisenberg–Weil representation over a finite 438 field (cf. [9, 22]). 439

**Theorem 7 (Character formulas [16]).** *The character  $ch_\rho$  of the Weil* 440 *representation, when restricted to the subset  $U$ , is given by* 441

$$ch_\rho(g) = \sigma((-1)^N \det(g - I)), \tag{14}$$

*and the character  $ch_\tau$  of the Heisenberg–Weil representation, when restricted* 442 *to the subset  $U \times H$ , is given by* 443

$$ch_\tau(g, v, z) = ch_\rho(g)\psi(\frac{1}{4}\omega(\kappa(g)v, v) + z). \tag{15}$$

**2.6 Application to multiplicities** 444

We would like to apply the formula (15) to the study of the multiplicities 445 arising from actions of tori via the Weil representation (cf. [1, 9, 32]). Let us 446 start with the two-dimensional case (see Theorem 11 for the general case). 447 Let  $T \subset Sp \simeq SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be a maximal torus. The torus  $T$  acts semisimply on 448  $\mathcal{H}$ , decomposing it into a direct sum of character spaces  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi:T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . 449

As a consequence of having the explicit formula (14), we obtain a simple 450 description for the multiplicities  $m_\chi = \dim \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . Denote by  $\sigma : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  the 451 unique quadratic character of  $T$ . 452

**Theorem 8 (Multiplicities formula).** *We have  $m_\chi = 1$  for any character* 453  *$\chi \neq \sigma$ . Moreover,  $m_\sigma = 2$  or  $0$ , depending on whether the torus  $T$  is split or* 454 *inert, respectively.* 455

What about the multiplicities for action of tori in the Weil representation 456 of higher-dimensional symplectic groups? This problem can be answered (see 457 Theorem 11) using the self-reducibility property of the Weil representation. 458

**3 Self-reducibility of the Weil representation** 459

In this section, unless stated otherwise, the field  $k$  is an arbitrary field of characteristic different from two. 460  
461

**3.1 Symplectic module structures** 462

Let  $K$  be a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over the field  $k$ . Let  $\text{Tr} : K \rightarrow k$  be the trace map, associating to an element  $x \in K$  the trace of the linear operator  $m_x : K \rightarrow K$  obtained by left multiplication by the element  $x$ . Consider a symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$  over  $k$ . 463  
464  
465  
466

**Definition 2.** A symplectic  $K$ -module structure on  $(V, \omega)$  is an action  $K \otimes_k V \rightarrow V$ , and a  $K$ -linear symplectic form  $\bar{\omega} : V \times V \rightarrow K$  such that 467  
468

$$\text{Tr} \circ \bar{\omega} = \omega. \tag{16}$$

Given a symplectic module structure  $(K, V, \bar{\omega})$  on a symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$ , we denote by  $\overline{Sp} = Sp(V, \bar{\omega})$  the group of  $K$ -linear symplectomorphisms with respect to the form  $\bar{\omega}$ . The compatibility condition (16) gives a natural embedding 469  
470  
471  
472

$$\iota : \overline{Sp} \hookrightarrow Sp. \tag{17}$$

**3.2 Symplectic module structure associated with a maximal torus** 473

Let  $T \subset Sp$  be a maximal torus. 474

**A particular case** 475

In order to simplify the presentation, let us assume first that  $T$  acts irreducibly on the vector space  $V$ , i.e., there exists no non-trivial  $T$ -invariant subspaces. Let  $A = Z(T, \text{End}(V))$ , be the centralizer of  $T$  in the algebra of all linear endomorphisms. Clearly (due to the assumption of irreducibility)  $A$  is a division algebra. Moreover, we have 476  
477  
478  
479  
480

*Claim.* The algebra  $A$  is commutative. 481

In particular, this claim implies that  $A$  is a field extension of  $k$ . Let us now describe a special quadratic element in the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(A/k)$ . Denote by  $(\cdot)^t : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  the symplectic transpose characterized by the property 482  
483  
484  
485

$$\omega(Rv, u) = \omega(v, R^t u),$$

for all  $v, u \in V$ , and every  $R \in \text{End}(V)$ . It can be easily verified that  $(\cdot)^t$  preserves  $A$ , leaving the subfield  $k$  fixed, hence, it defines an element  $\Theta \in \text{Gal}(A/k)$ , satisfying  $\Theta^2 = \text{Id}$ . Denote by  $K = A^\Theta$  the subfield of  $A$  consisting of elements fixed by  $\Theta$ . We have the following proposition: 486  
487  
488  
489

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

**Proposition 1 (Hilbert's Theorem 90).** *We have  $\dim_K V = 2$ .* 490

**Corollary 3.** *We have  $\dim_K A = 2$ .* 491

As a corollary, we have the following description of  $T$ . Denote by  $N_{A/K} : A \rightarrow K$  the standard norm map. 492  
493

**Corollary 4.** *We have  $T = S(A) = \{a \in A : N_{A/K}(a) = 1\}$*  494

The symplectic form  $\omega$  can be lifted to a  $K$ -linear symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$ , which is invariant under the action of the torus  $T$ . This is the content of the following proposition: 495  
496  
497

**Proposition 2 (Existence of canonical symplectic module structure).** 498  
*There exists a canonical  $T$ -invariant  $K$ -linear symplectic form  $\bar{\omega} : V \times V \rightarrow K$  satisfying the property  $\text{Tr} \circ \bar{\omega} = \omega$ .* 499  
500

Concluding, we obtained a  $T$ -invariant symplectic  $K$ -module structure on  $V$ . 501  
502

Let  $\overline{Sp} = Sp(V, \bar{\omega})$  denote the group of  $K$ -linear symplectomorphisms with respect to the symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$ . We have (17) a natural embedding  $\overline{Sp} \subset Sp$ . The elements of  $T$  commute with the action of  $K$ , and preserve the symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  (Proposition 2); hence, we can consider  $T$  as a subgroup of  $\overline{Sp}$ . By Proposition 1 we can identify  $\overline{Sp} \simeq SL_2(K)$ , and using (17) we obtain 503  
504  
505  
506  
507

$$T \subset SL_2(K) \subset Sp. \tag{18}$$

To conclude we see that  $T$  consists of the  $K$ -rational points of a maximal torus  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{SL}_2$  (in this case  $T$  consists of the rational points of an inert torus). 508  
509

**General case** 510

Here, we drop the assumption that  $T$  acts irreducibly on  $V$ . By the same argument as before, the algebra  $A = Z(T, \text{End}(V))$  is commutative, yet, it may no longer be a field. The symplectic transpose  $(\cdot)^t$  preserves the algebra  $A$ , and induces an involution  $\Theta : A \rightarrow A$ . Let  $K = A^\Theta$  be the subalgebra consisting of elements  $a \in A$  fixed by  $\Theta$ . Following the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1, we can show that  $V$  is a free  $K$ -module of rank 2. Following the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2, we can show that there exists a canonical symplectic form  $\bar{\omega} : V \times V \rightarrow K$ , which is  $K$ -linear and invariant under the action of the torus  $T$ . Concluding, associated to a maximal torus  $T$  there exists a  $T$ -invariant symplectic  $K$ -module structure 511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520

$$(K, V, \bar{\omega}). \tag{19}$$

Denote by  $\overline{Sp} = Sp(V, \bar{\omega})$  the group of  $K$ -linear symplectomorphisms with respect to the form  $\bar{\omega}$ . We have a natural embedding 521  
522

$$\iota_S : \overline{Sp} \hookrightarrow Sp \tag{20}$$

and we can consider  $T$  as a subgroup of  $\overline{Sp}$ . Finally, we have  $\overline{Sp} \simeq SL_2(K)$ , and  $T$  consists of the  $K$ -rational points of a maximal torus  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{SL}_2$ . In particular, the relation (18) holds also in this case:  $T \subset SL_2(K) \subset Sp$ .

We shall now proceed to give a finer description of all objects discussed so far. The main technical result is summarized in the following lemma:

**Lemma 1 (Symplectic decomposition).** *We have a canonical decomposition*

$$(V, \omega) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Xi} (V_\alpha, \omega_\alpha), \tag{21}$$

into  $(T, A)$ -invariant symplectic subspaces. In addition, we have the following associated canonical decompositions

1.  $T = \prod T_\alpha$ , where  $T_\alpha$  consists of elements  $t \in T$  such that  $t|_{V_\beta} = \text{Id}$  for every  $\beta \neq \alpha$ .
2.  $A = \bigoplus A_\alpha$ , where  $A_\alpha$  consists of elements  $a \in A$  such that  $a|_{V_\beta} = \text{Id}$  for every  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . Moreover, each sub-algebra  $A_\alpha$  is preserved under the involution  $\Theta$ .
3.  $K = \bigoplus K_\alpha$ , where  $K_\alpha = A_\alpha^\Theta$ . Moreover,  $K_\alpha$  is a field and  $\dim_{K_\alpha} V_\alpha = 2$ .
4.  $\bar{\omega} = \bigoplus \bar{\omega}_\alpha$ , where  $\bar{\omega}_\alpha : V_\alpha \times V_\alpha \rightarrow K_\alpha$  is a  $K_\alpha$ -linear  $T_\alpha$ -invariant symplectic form satisfying  $\text{Tr} \circ \bar{\omega}_\alpha = \omega_\alpha$ .

**Definition 3.** *We will call the set  $\Xi$  (21) the symplectic type of  $T$  and the number  $|\Xi|$  the symplectic rank of  $T$ .*

Using the results of Lemma 1, we have an isomorphism

$$\overline{Sp} \simeq \prod \overline{Sp}_\alpha, \tag{22}$$

where  $\overline{Sp}_\alpha = Sp(V_\alpha, \bar{\omega}_\alpha)$  denotes the group of  $K_\alpha$ -linear symplectomorphisms with respect to the form  $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$ . Moreover, for every  $\alpha \in \Xi$  we have  $T_\alpha \subset \overline{Sp}_\alpha$ . In particular, under the identifications  $\overline{Sp}_\alpha \simeq SL_2(K_\alpha)$ , there exist the following sequence of inclusions

$$T = \prod T_\alpha \subset \prod SL_2(K_\alpha) = SL_2(K) \subset Sp, \tag{23}$$

and for every  $\alpha \in \Xi$  the torus  $T_\alpha$  coincides with the  $K_\alpha$ -rational points of a maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{SL}_2$ .

### 3.3 Self-reducibility of the Weil representation

In this subsection we assume that the field  $k$  is a finite field of odd characteristic (although, the results continue to hold true also for local fields of characteristic  $\neq 2$ , i.e., with the appropriate modification, replacing the group

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$Sp$  with its double cover  $\widetilde{Sp}$ . Let  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation associated with a central character  $\psi : Z(J) = Z(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Recall that  $J = Sp \ltimes H$ , and  $\tau$  is obtained as a semi-direct product,  $\tau = \rho \ltimes \pi$ , of the Weil representation  $\rho$  and the Heisenberg representation  $\pi$ . Let  $T \subset Sp$  be a maximal torus.

**A particular case**

For clarity of presentation, assume first that  $T$  acts irreducibly on  $V$ . Using the results of the previous section, there exists a symplectic module structure  $(K, V, \overline{\omega})$  (in this case  $K/k$  is a field extension of degree  $[K : k] = N$ ). The group  $\overline{Sp} = Sp(V, \overline{\omega})$  is imbedded as a subgroup  $\iota_S : \overline{Sp} \hookrightarrow Sp$ . Our goal is to describe the restriction

$$(\overline{\rho} = \iota_S^* \rho, \overline{Sp}, \mathcal{H}). \tag{24}$$

Define an auxiliary Heisenberg group

$$\overline{H} = V \times K, \tag{25}$$

with the multiplication given by  $(v, z) \cdot (v', z') = (v + v', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\omega}(v, v'))$ . There exists homomorphism

$$\iota_H : \overline{H} \rightarrow H, \tag{26}$$

given by  $(v, z) \mapsto (v, \text{Tr}(z))$ . Consider the pullback  $(\overline{\pi} = \iota_H^* \pi, \overline{H}, \mathcal{H})$ . We have

**Proposition 3.** *The representation  $(\overline{\pi} = \iota_H^* \pi, \overline{H}, \mathcal{H})$  is the Heisenberg representation associated with the central character  $\psi = \psi \circ \text{Tr}$ .*

The group  $\overline{Sp}$  acts by automorphisms on the group  $\overline{H}$  through its natural action on the  $V$ -coordinate. This action is compatible with the action of  $Sp$  on  $H$ , i.e., we have  $\iota_H(g \cdot h) = \iota_S(g) \cdot \iota_H(h)$  for every  $g \in \overline{Sp}$ , and  $h \in \overline{H}$ . The description of the representation  $\overline{\rho}$  (24) now follows easily (cf. [9]).

**Theorem 9 (Self-reducibility property (particular case)).** *The representation  $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{Sp}, \mathcal{H})$  is the Weil representation associated with the Heisenberg representation  $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{H}, \mathcal{H})$ .*

*Remark 7.* We can summarize the result in a slightly more elegant manner using the Jacobi groups. Let  $J = Sp \ltimes H$  and  $\overline{J} = \overline{Sp} \ltimes \overline{H}$  be the Jacobi groups associated with the symplectic spaces  $(V, \omega)$  and  $(V, \overline{\omega})$  respectively. We have a homomorphism  $\iota : \overline{J} \rightarrow J$ , given by  $\iota(g, h) = (\iota_S(g), \iota_H(h))$ . Let  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $J$  associated with a character  $\psi$  of the center  $Z(J)$  (note that  $Z(J) = Z(H)$ ), then the pullback  $(\iota^* \tau, \overline{J}, \mathcal{H})$  is the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $\overline{J}$ , associated with the character  $\overline{\psi} = \psi \circ \text{Tr}$  of the center  $Z(\overline{J})$ .

**The general case**

585

Here, we drop the assumption that  $T$  acts irreducibly on  $V$ . Let  $(K, V, \bar{\omega})$  be the associated symplectic module structure (19). Using the results of Subsection 3.2, we have decompositions

586  
587  
588

$$(V, \omega) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Xi} (V_\alpha, \omega_\alpha), \quad (V, \bar{\omega}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Xi} (V_\alpha, \bar{\omega}_\alpha), \quad (27)$$

where  $\bar{\omega}_\alpha : V_\alpha \times V_\alpha \rightarrow K_\alpha$ . Let (cf. 25)  $\bar{H} = V \times K$ , be the Heisenberg group associated with  $(V, \bar{\omega})$ . There exists (cf. (26)) a homomorphism  $\iota_H : \bar{H} \rightarrow H$ . Let us describe the pullback  $\bar{\pi} = \iota_H^* \pi$  of the Heisenberg representation. First, we note that the decomposition (27) induces a corresponding decomposition of the Heisenberg group,  $\bar{H} = \prod \bar{H}_\alpha$ , where  $\bar{H}_\alpha$  is the Heisenberg group associated with  $(V_\alpha, \bar{\omega}_\alpha)$ . We have the following proposition

589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594

**Proposition 4.** *There exists an isomorphism*

595

$$(\bar{\pi}, \bar{H}, \mathcal{H}) \simeq \left( \bigotimes \bar{\pi}_\alpha, \prod \bar{H}_\alpha, \bigotimes \mathcal{H}_\alpha \right),$$

where  $(\bar{\pi}_\alpha, \bar{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  is the Heisenberg representation of  $\bar{H}_\alpha$  associated with the central character  $\bar{\psi}_\alpha = \psi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_\alpha/k}$ .

596  
597

Let  $\iota_S : \bar{S}p \hookrightarrow Sp$ , be the embedding (20). Our next goal is to describe the restriction  $\bar{\rho} = \iota_S^* \rho$ . Recall that we have a decomposition  $\bar{S}p = \prod \bar{S}p_\alpha$  (see (22)). In terms of this decomposition we have (cf. [9])

598  
599  
600

**Theorem 10 (Self-reducibility property—general case).** *There exists an isomorphism*

601  
602

$$(\bar{\rho}, \bar{S}p, \mathcal{H}) \simeq \left( \bigotimes \bar{\rho}_\alpha, \prod \bar{S}p_\alpha, \bigotimes \mathcal{H}_\alpha \right),$$

where  $(\bar{\rho}_\alpha, \bar{S}p_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  is the Weil representation associated with the Heisenberg representation  $\bar{\pi}_\alpha$ .

603  
604

*Remark 8.* As before, we can state an equivalent result using the Jacobi groups  $J = Sp \ltimes H$  and  $\bar{J} = \bar{S}p \ltimes \bar{H}$ . We have a decomposition  $\bar{J} = \prod \bar{J}_\alpha$ , where  $\bar{J}_\alpha = \bar{S}p_\alpha \ltimes \bar{H}_\alpha$ . Let  $\tau$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $J$  associated with a character  $\psi$  of the center  $Z(J)$  (note that  $Z(J) = Z(H)$ ). Then the pullback  $\bar{\tau} = \iota^* \tau$  is isomorphic to  $\bigotimes \bar{\tau}_\alpha$ , where  $\bar{\tau}_\alpha$  is the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $\bar{J}_\alpha$ , associated with the character  $\bar{\psi}_\alpha = \psi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_\alpha/k}$  of the center  $Z(\bar{J}_\alpha)$ .

605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

**3.4 Application to multiplicities** 612

Let us specialize to the case where the field  $k$  is a finite field of odd characteristic. Let  $T \subset Sp$  be a maximal torus. The torus  $T$  acts, via the Weil representation  $\rho$ , on the space  $\mathcal{H}$ , decomposing it into a direct sum of  $T$ -character spaces  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . Consider the problem of determining the multiplicities  $m_\chi = \dim(\mathcal{H}_\chi)$ . Using Lemma 1, we have (see (23)) a canonical decomposition of  $T$

$$T = \prod T_\alpha, \tag{28}$$

where each of the tori  $T_\alpha$  coincides with a maximal torus inside  $\overline{Sp} \simeq SL_2(K_\alpha)$ , for some field extension  $K_\alpha \supset k$ . In particular, by (28) we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_\chi = \bigotimes_{\chi_\alpha: T_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_{\chi_\alpha}, \tag{29}$$

where  $\chi = \prod \chi_\alpha : \prod T_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Hence, by Theorem 10, and the result about the multiplicities in the two-dimensional case (see Theorem (8)), we can compute the integer  $m_\chi$  as follows. Denote by  $\sigma_\alpha$  the quadratic character of  $T_\alpha$  (note that by Theorem (8) the quadratic character  $\sigma_\alpha$  cannot appear in the decomposition (29) if the torus  $T_\alpha$  is inert).

**Theorem 11.** *We have* 627

$$m_\chi = 2^l,$$

where  $l = \#\{\alpha : \chi_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha\}$ . 628

**4 Bounds on Higher-Dimensional Exponential Sums** 629

In this section we present an application of the self-reducibility technique to bound higher-dimensional exponential sums attached to tori in  $Sp = Sp(V, \omega)$ , where  $(V, \omega)$  is a  $2N$ -dimensional symplectic vector space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . These exponential sums originated from the theory of quantum chaos (see Sections 5 and 6). Let  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation associated with a central character  $\psi : Z(J) = Z(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Recall that  $J = Sp \ltimes H$ , and  $\tau$  is obtained as a semi-direct product  $\tau = \rho \ltimes \pi$  of the Weil representation  $\rho$  and the Heisenberg representation  $\pi$ . Consider a maximal torus  $T \subset Sp$ . The torus  $T$  acts semisimply on  $\mathcal{H}$ , decomposing it into a direct sum of character spaces  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . We shall study common eigenstates  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . In particular, we will be interested in estimating matrix coefficients of the form  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle$  where  $\xi \in V$  is not contained in any proper  $T$ -invariant subspace. It will be convenient to assume first that the torus  $T$  is completely inert (i.e., acts irreducibly on  $V$ ). In this case one can show (see Theorem 11) that  $\dim \mathcal{H}_\chi = 1$  for every  $\chi$ . Below we sketch a proof of the following estimate.

**Theorem 12.** For  $\xi \in V$  which is not contained in any proper  $T$ -invariant subspace, we have

$$|\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle| \leq \frac{2 + o(1)}{\sqrt{p^N}}.$$

Let us explain why it is not easy to get such a bound by a direct calculation. Choosing a Schrödinger realization (see Remark 6), we can identify  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{F}_p^N)$ . Under this identification, the matrix coefficient is equivalent to a sum

$$\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^N} \Psi(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} \xi + x} \overline{\Psi}(x + \xi_-). \quad (30)$$

In this respect two problems are encountered. First, it is not easy to describe the eigenstates  $\Psi$ . Second, the sum (30) is a high-dimensional exponential sum, which is known to be hard to analyze using standard techniques.

Interestingly enough, representation theory suggests a remedy for both problems. Our strategy will be to interpret the matrix coefficient  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle$  in representation theoretic terms, and then to show, using the self-reducibility technique, that (30) is equivalent to a 1-dimensional sum over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^N$ .

**Representation theory and dimensional reduction of (30)**

The torus  $T$  acts irreducibly on the vector space  $V$ . Invoking the result of Section 3.2, there exists a canonical symplectic module structure associated to  $T$ . Recall that in this particular case the algebra  $K$  is in fact a field, and  $\dim_K V = 2$  (in our case  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^N$ ). Let  $\overline{J} = \overline{Sp} \times \overline{H}$  be the Jacobi group associated to the (two-dimensional) symplectic vector space  $(V, \overline{\omega})$  over  $K$ . There exists a natural homomorphism  $\iota : \overline{J} \rightarrow J$ . Invoking the results of Section 3.3, the pullback  $\overline{\tau} = \iota^* \tau$  is the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $\overline{J}$ , i.e.,  $\overline{\tau} = \overline{\rho} \times \overline{\pi}$ .

Let  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . Denote by  $P_\chi$  the orthogonal projector on the vector space  $\mathcal{H}_\chi$ . We can write  $P_\chi$  in terms of the Weil representation  $\overline{\rho}$

$$P_\chi = \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{B \in T} \chi^{-1}(B) \overline{\rho}(B). \quad (31)$$

Since  $\dim \mathcal{H}_\chi = 1$  (Theorem 11) we realize that

$$\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \text{Tr}(P_\chi \pi(\xi)). \quad (32)$$

Substituting (31) in (32), we can write

$$\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{B \in T} \chi^{-1}(B) \text{Tr}(\overline{\rho}(B) \pi(\xi)).$$

Noting that  $\text{Tr}(\overline{\rho}(B) \pi(\xi))$  is nothing other than the character  $\text{ch}_{\overline{\tau}}(B \cdot \xi)$  of the Heisenberg–Weil representation  $\overline{\tau}$ . and that  $|T| = p^N + 1$ , we deduce that it is enough to prove that

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$$\left| \sum_{B \in T} \chi^{-1}(B) \text{ch}_{\bar{\tau}}(B \cdot \xi) \right| \leq 2\sqrt{q}, \quad (33)$$

where  $q = p^N$ . Now, note that the left-hand side of (33) is a one-dimensional exponential sum over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which is defined completely in terms of the two-dimensional Heisenberg–Weil representation  $\bar{\tau}$ . Estimate (33) is then a particular case of the following theorem, proved in [13].

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a two-dimensional symplectic vector space over a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  be the corresponding Heisenberg–Weil representation. Let  $T \subset Sp$  be a maximal torus. We have the following estimate*

$$\left| \sum_{B \in T} \chi(B) \text{ch}_{\tau}(B \cdot \xi) \right| \leq 2\sqrt{q}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\chi$  is a character of  $T$ , and  $0 \neq \xi \in V$  is not an eigenvector of  $T$ .

#### 4.1 General case

In this subsection we state and prove the analogue of Theorem 12, where we drop the assumption of  $T$  being completely inert. In what follows, we use the results of Subsections 3.2 and 3.3.

Let  $(K, V, \bar{\omega})$  be the symplectic module structure associated with the torus  $T$ . The algebra  $K$  is no longer a field, but decomposes into a direct sum of fields  $K = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Xi} K_{\alpha}$ . We have canonical decompositions

$$(V, \omega) = \bigoplus (V_{\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha}), \quad (V, \bar{\omega}) = \bigoplus (V_{\alpha}, \bar{\omega}_{\alpha}).$$

Recall that  $V_{\alpha}$  is a two-dimensional vector space over the field  $K_{\alpha}$ . The Jacobi group  $\bar{J}$  decomposes into  $\bar{J} = \prod \bar{J}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\bar{J}_{\alpha} = \bar{S}p_{\alpha} \times \bar{H}_{\alpha}$  is the Jacobi group associated to  $(V_{\alpha}, \bar{\omega}_{\alpha})$ . The pullback  $(\bar{\tau} = i^* \tau, \bar{J}, \mathcal{H})$  decomposes into a tensor product  $(\bigotimes \bar{\tau}_{\alpha}, \prod \bar{J}_{\alpha}, \bigotimes \mathcal{H}_{\alpha})$ , where  $\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}$  is the Heisenberg–Weil representation of  $\bar{J}_{\alpha}$ . The torus  $T$  decomposes into  $T = \prod T_{\alpha}$ , where  $T_{\alpha}$  is a maximal torus in  $\bar{S}p_{\alpha}$ . Consequently, the character  $\chi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  decomposes into a product  $\chi = \prod \chi_{\alpha} : \prod T_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , and the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi}$  decomposes into a tensor product

$$\mathcal{H}_{\chi} = \bigotimes \mathcal{H}_{\chi_{\alpha}}. \quad (35)$$

It follows from the above decomposition that it is enough to estimate matrix coefficients with respect to pure tensor eigenstates, i.e., eigenstates of the form  $\Psi = \bigotimes \Psi_{\alpha}$ , where  $\Psi_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi_{\alpha}}$ . For a vector of the form  $\xi = \bigoplus \xi_{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\left\langle \bigotimes \Psi_{\alpha} | \pi(\xi) \bigotimes \Psi_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \prod \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \pi(\xi_{\alpha}) \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle. \quad (36)$$

Hence, we need to estimate the matrix coefficients  $\langle \Psi_\alpha | \pi(\xi_\alpha) \Psi_\alpha \rangle$ , but these are defined in terms of the two-dimensional Heisenberg–Weil representation  $\bar{\tau}_\alpha$ . In addition, we recall the assumption that the vector  $\xi \in V$  is not contained in any proper  $T$ -invariant subspace. This condition in turn implies that no summand  $\xi_\alpha$  is an eigenvector of  $T_\alpha$ . Hence, we can use Lemma 13, obtaining

$$|\langle \Psi_\alpha | \pi(\xi_\alpha) \Psi_\alpha \rangle| \leq 2/\sqrt{p}^{[K_\alpha:\mathbb{F}_p]}. \tag{37}$$

Consequently, using (36) and (37) we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle \bigotimes \Psi_\alpha | \pi(\xi) \bigotimes \Psi_\alpha \right\rangle \right| \leq 2^{|\Xi|} / \sqrt{p}^{\sum [K_\alpha:\mathbb{F}_p]} = 2^{|\Xi|} / \sqrt{p}^{[K:\mathbb{F}_p]} = 2^{|\Xi|} / \sqrt{p}^N.$$

Recall that the number  $r_p = |\Xi|$  is called the symplectic rank of the torus  $T$ . The main application of the self-reducibility property, presented in these notes, is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a  $2N$ -dimensional vector space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  the corresponding Heisenberg–Weil representation. Let  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$  be a unit  $\chi$ -eigenstate with respect to a maximal torus  $T \subset Sp$ . We have the following estimate:*

$$|\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle| \leq \frac{m_\chi \cdot (2 + o(1))^{r_p}}{\sqrt{p}^N},$$

where  $1 \leq r_p \leq N$  is the symplectic rank of  $T$ ,  $m_\chi = \dim \mathcal{H}_\chi$ , and  $\xi \in V$  is not contained in any  $T$ -invariant subspace.

## 5 The Hannay–Berry model

We shall proceed to describe the higher-dimensional Hannay–Berry model of quantum mechanics on toral phase spaces. This model plays an important role in the mathematical theory of quantum chaos as it serves as a model where general phenomena, which are otherwise treated only on a heuristic basis, can be rigorously proven.

### 5.1 The classical phase space

Our classical phase space is the  $2N$ -dimensional symplectic torus  $(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the group of linear symplectic automorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Note that  $\Gamma \simeq Sp(2N, \mathbb{Z})$ . On the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  we consider an algebra of complex functions (observables)  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ . We denote by  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2N}$  the lattice of characters (exponents) of  $\mathbb{T}$ . The form  $\omega$  induces a skew-symmetric form on  $\Lambda$ , which we denote also by  $\omega$ , and we assume it takes integral values on  $\Lambda$  and is normalized so that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\omega|^N = 1$ .

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

**5.2 The classical mechanical system** 732

We take our classical mechanical system to be of a very simple nature. Let  $A \in \Gamma$  be a generic element (see Definition 1), i.e.,  $A$  is regular and admits no invariant co-isotropic sub-tori. The last condition can be equivalently restated in dual terms, namely, requiring that  $A$  admits no invariant isotropic subvectorspaces in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . The element  $A$  generates, via its action as an automorphism  $A : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , a discrete time dynamical system.

**5.3 Quantization** 739

Before we employ the formal model, it is worthwhile to discuss the general phenomenological principles of quantization which are common to all models. Principally, quantization is a protocol by which one associates a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  to the classical phase space, which in our case is the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ ; In addition, the protocol gives a rule

$$f \rightsquigarrow Op(f) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

by which one associates an operator on the Hilbert space to every classical observable, i.e., a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ . This rule should send a real function into a self-adjoint operator. In addition, in the presence of classical symmetries which in our case are given by the group  $\Gamma$ , the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  should support a (projective unitary) representation  $\Gamma \rightarrow PGL(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\gamma \mapsto U(\gamma) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

which is compatible with the quantization rule  $Op(\cdot)$ .

More precisely, quantization is not a single protocol, but a one-parameter family of protocols, parameterized by a parameter  $\hbar$  called the Planck constant. Accepting these general principles, one searches for a formal model by which to quantize. In this work we employ a model called the *non-commutative torus* model.

**5.4 The non-commutative torus model** 756

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the algebra of trigonometric polynomials on  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of functions  $f$  which are a finite linear combinations of characters. We shall construct a one-parametric deformation of  $\mathcal{A}$  called the non-commutative torus [6, 29].

Let  $\hbar = 1/p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime number, and consider the additive character  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ ,  $\psi(t) = e^{\frac{2\pi it}{p}}$ . We give here the following presentation of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  be the free non-commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by the symbols  $s(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda$ , and the relations

$$s(\xi)s(\eta) = \psi(\frac{1}{2}\omega(\xi, \eta))s(\xi + \eta). \tag{38}$$

Here we consider  $\omega$  as a map  $\omega : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  satisfies the following properties: 766

- As a vector space  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  is equipped with a natural basis  $s(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda$ . Hence 767  
we can identify the vector space  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  with the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  for each value 768  
of  $\hbar$ , 769

$$\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \simeq \mathcal{A}. \tag{39}$$

- Substituting  $\hbar = 0$  we have  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$ . Hence, we see that indeed  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  is a 770  
deformation of the algebra of (algebraic) functions on  $\mathbb{T}$ . 771
- The group  $\Gamma$  acts by automorphisms on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ , via  $\gamma \cdot s(\xi) = s(\gamma\xi)$ , 772  
where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\xi \in \Lambda$ . This action induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on the category 773  
of representations of  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ , taking a representation  $\pi$  and sending it to the 774  
representation  $\pi^\gamma$ , where  $\pi^\gamma(f) = \pi(\gamma f)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ . 775

Using the identification (39), we can describe a choice for the quantization 776  
of the functions. We just need to pick a representation of the quantum alge- 777  
bra  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ . But what representation to pick? It turns out that, we have a canonical 778  
choice. All the irreducible algebraic representations of  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  are classified [12] 779  
and each of them is of dimension  $p^N$ . We have 780

**Theorem 15 (Invariant representation [12]).** *Let  $\hbar = 1/p$  where  $p$  is a 781  
prime number. There exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible repre- 782  
sentation  $\pi : \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{\hbar})$  which is fixed by the action of  $\Gamma$ . Namely,  $\pi^\gamma$  is 783  
isomorphic to  $\pi$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . 784*

Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}, \mathcal{H})$  be a representative of the special representation defined 785  
in Theorem 15. For every element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have an isomorphism  $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma) : 786  
\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  intertwining the representations  $\pi$  and  $\pi^\gamma$ , namely, it satisfies 787  
 $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)\pi(f)\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)^{-1} = \pi(\gamma f)$ , for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The isomorphism 788  
 $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)$  is not unique but unique up to a scalar (this is a consequence of Schur's 789  
lemma and the fact that  $\pi$  and  $\pi^\gamma$  are irreducible representations). It is easy 790  
to realize that the collection  $\{\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)\}$  constitutes a projective representation 791  
 $\tilde{\rho} : \Gamma \rightarrow PGL(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $\hbar = 1/p$  where  $p$  is an odd prime  $\neq 3$ . We have the 792  
following linearization theorem (cf. [11, 13]) 793

**Theorem 16 (Linearization).** *The projective representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  can be lin- 794  
earized uniquely to an honest representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$  that factors 795  
through the finite quotient group  $Sp \simeq Sp(2N, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . 796*

*Remark 9.* The representation  $\rho : Sp \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$  is the celebrated Weil 797  
representation, here obtained via quantization of the torus. 798

### 5.5 The quantum dynamical system 799

Recall that we started with a classical dynamic on  $\mathbb{T}$ , generated by a generic 800  
(i.e., regular with no non-trivial invariant co-isotropic sub-tori) element  $A \in \Gamma$ . 801  
Using the Weil representation, we can associate to  $A$  the unitary operator 802

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$\rho(A) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , which constitutes the generator of discrete time quantum 803  
 dynamics. We would like to study the  $\rho(A)$ -eigenstates 804

$$\rho(A)\Psi = \lambda\Psi,$$

which satisfy additional symmetries. This we do in the next section. 805

## 6 The Hecke quantum unique ergodicity theorem 806

It turns out that the operator  $\rho(A)$  has degeneracies namely, its eigenspaces 807  
 might be extremely large. This is manifested in the existence of a group of 808  
 hidden symmetries commuting with  $\rho(A)$  (note that classically the group of 809  
 linear symplectomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}$  that commute with  $A$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{T}_A(\mathbb{Z})$ , does not 810  
 contribute much to the harmonic analysis of  $\rho(A)$ ). These symmetries can 811  
 be computed. Indeed, let  $T_A = Z(A, Sp)$ , be the centralizer of the element 812  
 $A$  in the group  $Sp$ . Clearly  $T_A$  contains the cyclic group  $\langle A \rangle$  generated by 813  
 the element  $A$ , but it often happens that  $T_A$  contains additional elements. 814  
 The assumption that  $A$  is regular (i.e., has distinct eigenvalues) implies that 815  
 for sufficiently large  $p$  the group  $T_A$  consists of the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points of a 816  
 maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}_A \subset \mathbf{Sp}$ , i.e.,  $T_A = \mathbf{T}_A(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (more precisely,  $p$  large enough 817  
 so that it does not divides the discriminant of  $A$ ). The group  $T_A$  is called the 818  
*Hecke* torus. It acts semisimply on  $\mathcal{H}$ , decomposing it into a direct sum of 819  
 character spaces  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi: T_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . We shall study common eigenstates  $\Psi \in$  820

$\mathcal{H}_\chi$ , which we call *Hecke eigenstates* and will be assumed to be normalized 821  
 so that  $\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ . In particular, we will be interested in estimating matrix 822  
 coefficients of the form  $\langle \Psi | \pi(f) \Psi \rangle$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is a classical observable on 823  
 the torus  $\mathbb{T}$  (see Subsection 5.4). We will call these matrix coefficients *Hecke-* 824  
*Wigner distributions*. It will be convenient for us to start with the following 825  
 case. 826

### 6.1 The strongly generic case 827

Let us assume first that the automorphism  $A$  acts on  $\mathbb{T}$  with no invariant 828  
 sub-tori. In dual terms, this means that the element  $A$  acts irreducibly on the 829  
 $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . 830

We denote by  $r_p$  the symplectic rank of  $T_A$ , i.e.,  $r_p = |\Xi|$  where  $\Xi =$  831  
 $\Xi(T_A)$  is the symplectic type of  $T_A$  (see Definition 3). By definition we have 832  
 $1 \leq r_p \leq N$  (for example, we get the two extreme cases:  $d_p = 1$  when the 833  
 torus  $T_A$  acts irreducibly on  $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{2N}$ , and  $d_p = N$  when  $T_A$  splits). We have 834

**Theorem 17.** Consider a non-trivial exponent  $0 \neq \xi \in \Lambda$  and a sufficiently 835  
 large prime number  $p$ . Then for every normalized Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$  836  
 the following bound holds: 837

$$|\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle| \leq \frac{m_\chi \cdot 2^{r_p}}{\sqrt{p^N}}, \tag{40}$$

where  $m_\chi = \dim(\mathcal{H}_\chi)$ . 838

The lattice  $\Lambda$  constitutes a basis for  $\mathcal{A}$ , hence, using the bound (40) we obtain 839

**Corollary 5 (Hecke quantum unique ergodicity—strongly generic case).** 840  
 Consider an observable  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and a sufficiently large prime number  $p$ . 841  
 For every normalized Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi$  we have 842

$$\left| \langle \Psi | \pi(f) \Psi \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{\sqrt{p^N}},$$

where  $\mu = |\omega|^N$  is the corresponding volume form and  $C_f$  is an explicit 843  
 computable constant which depends only on the function  $f$ . 844

*Remark 10.* In Subsection 6.2 we will elaborate on the distribution of the 845  
 symplectic rank  $r_p$  (40) and in Subsection 6.3 the more general statements 846  
 where  $A \in \Gamma$  is any generic element (see Definition 1) will be stated and 847  
 proved. 848

**Proof of Theorem 17** 849

The proof is by reduction to the bound on the Hecke–Wigner distribu- 850  
 tions obtained in Section 4, namely reduction to Theorem 14. Our first 851  
 goal is to interpret the Hecke–Wigner distribution  $\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle$  in terms of the 852  
 Heisenberg–Weil representation. 853

**Step 1.** Replacing the non-commutative torus by the finite Heisenberg 854  
 group. Note that the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a representation space of both the alge- 855  
 bra  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$  and the group  $Sp$ . We will show next that the representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{A}_\hbar, \mathcal{H})$  856  
 is equivalent to the Heisenberg representation of some finite Heisenberg group. 857  
 The representation  $\pi$  is determined by its restriction to the lattice  $\Lambda$ . However, 858  
 the restriction 859

$$\pi|_\Lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H}),$$

is not multiplicative and in fact constitutes (see Formula (38)) a projective 860  
 representation of the lattice given by 861

$$\pi(\xi)\pi(\eta) = \psi(\frac{1}{2}\omega(\xi, \eta))\pi(\xi + \eta). \tag{41}$$

It is evident from (41) that the map  $\pi|_\Lambda$  factors through the quotient  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector 862  
 space  $V$  863

$$\Lambda \rightarrow V = \Lambda/p\Lambda \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H}). \tag{42}$$

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

The vector space  $V$  is equipped with a symplectic structure  $\omega$  obtained via specialization of the corresponding form on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $H = H(V, \omega)$  be the Heisenberg group associated with  $(V, \omega)$ . Recall that as a set  $H = V \times \mathbb{F}_p$  and the multiplication is given by

$$(v, z) \cdot (v', z') = (v + v', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}\omega(v, v')). \tag{43}$$

From formula (41), the factorization (42), and the multiplication rule (43) we learn that the map  $\pi : V \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$ , given by (42), lifts to an honest representation of the Heisenberg group  $\pi : H \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H})$ . Finally, the pair  $(\rho, \pi)$ , where  $\rho$  is the Weil representation obtained using quantization of the torus (see Theorem 16) glues into a single representation  $\tau = \rho \times \pi$  of the Jacobi group  $J = Sp \times H$ , which is of course nothing other than the Heisenberg–Weil representation

$$\tau : J \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{H}). \tag{44}$$

Having the Heisenberg–Weil representation at our disposal we proceed to

**Step 2. Reformulation.** Let  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_A$  be the algebraic group scheme defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\Lambda = \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Z})$  and for every prime  $p$  we have  $V = \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $T_A = \mathbf{T}_A(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . In this setting for every prime number  $p$  we can consider the lattice element  $\xi \in \Lambda$  as a vector in the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space  $V$ .

Let  $(\tau, J, \mathcal{H})$  be the Heisenberg–Weil representation (44) and consider a normalized Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . We need to verify that for a sufficiently large prime number  $p$  we have

$$|\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle| \leq \frac{m_\chi \cdot 2^{r_p}}{\sqrt{p}^N}, \tag{45}$$

where  $m_\chi$  denotes the multiplicity  $m_\chi = \dim \mathcal{H}_\chi$  and  $r_p$  is the symplectic rank of  $T_A$ . This verification is what we do next.

**Step 3. Verification.** We need to show that we meet the conditions of Theorem 14. What is left to check is that for sufficiently large prime number  $p$  the vector  $\xi \in V$  is not contained in any  $T_A$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ . Let us denote by  $O_\xi$  the orbit  $O_\xi = T_A \cdot \xi$ . We need to show that for sufficiently large  $p$  we have

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \{O_\xi\} = V. \tag{46}$$

The condition (46) is satisfied since it holds globally. In more details, our assumption on  $A$  guarantees that it holds for the corresponding objects over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{\mathbf{T}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \xi\} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Hence (46) holds for a sufficiently large prime number  $p$ .

## 6.2 The distribution of the symplectic rank

We would like to compute the asymptotic distribution of the symplectic rank  $r_p$  (45) in the set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , i.e.,

$$\delta(r) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{r_p = r ; p \leq x\}}{\pi(x)}, \tag{47}$$

where  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of prime numbers up to  $x$ . 897

We fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and denote by  $G$  the Galois 898  
 group  $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Consider the vector space  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ . By extension of 899  
 scalars the symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q})$  induces a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear symplectic form 900  
 on  $\mathbf{V}$ , which we will also denote by  $\omega$ . Let  $\mathbf{T}$  denote the algebraic torus  $\mathbf{T} =$  901  
 $\mathbf{T}_A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ . The action of  $\mathbf{T}$  on  $\mathbf{V}$  is completely reducible, decomposing it into 902  
 one-dimensional character spaces  $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathbf{V}_\chi$ . 903

Let  $\Theta$  be the restriction of the symplectic transpose  $(\cdot)^t : \text{End}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow$  904  
 $\text{End}(\mathbf{V})$  to  $\mathbf{T}$ . The involution  $\Theta$  acts on the set of characters  $\mathfrak{X}$  by  $\chi \mapsto \Theta(\chi) =$  905  
 $\chi^{-1}$  and this action is compatible with the action of the Galois group  $G$  on 906  
 $\mathfrak{X}$  by conjugation  $\chi \mapsto g\chi g^{-1}$ , where  $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $g \in G$ . This means (recall 907  
 that  $A$  is strongly generic) that we have a transitive action of  $G$  on the set 908  
 $\mathfrak{X}/\Theta$ . Consider the kernel  $K = \ker(G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X}/\Theta))$ , and the corresponding 909  
 finite Galois group  $Q = G/K$ . Considering  $Q$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X}/\Theta)$  we 910  
 define the cycle number  $c(C)$  of a conjugacy class  $C \subset Q$  to be the number of 911  
 irreducible cycles that compose a representative of  $C$ . By a direct application 912  
 of the Chebotarev theorem [5] we get 913

**Proposition 5 (Chebotarev's theorem).** *The distribution  $\delta$  (47) obeys* 914

$$\delta(r) = \frac{|C_r|}{|Q|},$$

where  $C_r = \bigcup_{\substack{C \subset Q \\ c(C)=r}} C$ . 915

### 6.3 The general generic case 916

Let us now treat the more general case where the automorphism  $A$  acts on  $\mathbb{T}$  in 917  
 a generic way (Definition 1). In dual terms, this means that the torus  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}) =$  918  
 $\mathbf{T}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  acts on the symplectic vector space  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q}) = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  decomposing it 919  
 into an orthogonal symplectic direct sum 920

$$(\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q}), \omega) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Xi} (\mathbf{V}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}), \omega_\alpha), \tag{48}$$

with an irreducible action of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  on each of the spaces  $\mathbf{V}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ . For an 921  
 exponent  $\xi \in \Lambda$  define its support with respect to the decomposition (48) by 922  
 $S_\xi = \text{Supp}(\xi) = \{\alpha; P_\alpha \xi \neq 0\}$ , where  $P_\alpha : \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q})$  is the projector 923  
 onto the space  $\mathbf{V}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$  and denote by  $d_\xi$  the dimension  $d_\xi = \sum_{\alpha \in S_\xi} \dim \mathbf{V}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ . 924

The decomposition (48) induces a decomposition of the torus  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  into a 925  
 product of completely inert tori 926

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Xi} \mathbf{T}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}). \tag{49}$$

Consider now a sufficiently large prime number  $p$  and specialize all the objects involved to the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The Hecke torus  $T = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  acts on the quantum Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  decomposing it into an orthogonal direct sum  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} \mathcal{H}_\chi$ . The decomposition (49) induces decompositions on the level of groups of points  $T = \prod_{\alpha \in \Xi} T_\alpha$ , where  $T_\alpha = \mathbf{T}_\alpha(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , on the level of characters

$$\chi = \prod_{\alpha} \chi_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha} T_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \text{ and on the level of character spaces } \mathcal{H}_\chi = \bigotimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\chi_\alpha}. \tag{932}$$

For each torus  $T_\alpha$  we denote by  $r_{p,\alpha} = r_p(T_\alpha)$  its symplectic rank (see Definition 3) and we consider the integer  $|S_\xi| \leq r_{p,\xi} \leq d_\xi$  given by  $r_{p,\xi} = \prod_{\alpha \in S_\xi} r_{p,\alpha}$ .

Let us denote by  $m_{\chi_\xi}$  the dimension  $m_{\chi_\xi} = \sum_{\alpha \in S_\xi} \dim \mathcal{H}_{\chi_\alpha}$ . Finally, we can state the theorem for the generic case. We have

**Theorem 18 (Hecke quantum unique ergodicity—generic case).** Consider a non-trivial exponent  $0 \neq \xi \in \Lambda$  and a sufficiently large prime number  $p$ . Then for every normalized Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\chi$  the following bound holds:

$$|\langle \Psi | \pi(\xi) \Psi \rangle| \leq \frac{m_{\chi_\xi} \cdot 2^{r_{p,\xi}}}{\sqrt{p}^{d_\xi}}. \tag{50}$$

Considering the decomposition (48) we denote by  $d$  the dimension  $d = \min_{\alpha} \mathbf{V}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since the lattice  $\Lambda$  constitutes a basis for the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of observables on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then using the bound (50) we obtain

**Corollary 6.** Consider an observable  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and a sufficiently large prime number  $p$ . Then for every normalized Hecke eigenstate  $\Psi$  we have

$$\left| \langle \Psi | \pi(f) \Psi \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C_f}{\sqrt{p}^d},$$

where  $\mu = |\omega|^N$  is the corresponding volume form and  $C_f$  is an explicit computable constant which depends only on the function  $f$ .

The proof of Theorem 18 is a straightforward application of Theorem 17. Indeed, considering the decomposition (48) of the torus  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  to a product of completely inert tori  $\mathbf{T}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ , we may apply the theory developed for the strongly generic case in Subsection (6.1) to each of the tori  $\mathbf{T}_\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$  to deduce Theorem 18.

*Remark 11.* As explained in Subsection (6.2) the distribution of the symplectic rank  $r_{p,\xi}$  is determined by the Chebotarev theorem applied to (now a product of) suitable finite Galois groups  $Q_\alpha$  attached to the tori  $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in S_\xi$  (49).

*Remark 12.* The corresponding quantum unique ergodicity theorem for statistical states of generic automorphism  $A$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  (see Theorem 4) follows directly from Theorem 18.

## References

1. Adams J. and Moy A., Unipotent representations and reductive dual pairs over finite fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 340, no. 1, (1993), 309–321
2. Berry M.V., Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions. *J. Phys. A* 10, no. 12 (1977), 2083–2091.
3. Berry M.V., Semiclassical Mechanics of regular and irregular motion. *Les Houches Lecture Series Session XXXVI*, eds. G. Iooss, R.H.G. Helleman and R. Stora, North Holland, Amsterdam (1983), 171–271.
4. Bouzouina A. and De Bièvre S., Equipartition of the eigenstates of quantized ergodic maps on the torus. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 178 (1996), 83–105.
5. Chebotarev N.G., Determination of the density of the set of primes corresponding to a given class of permutations, *Izv. Akad. Nauk.*, 17 (1923), 205–230.
6. Connes A., Algèbres et géométrie différentielle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B* 290 (1980), no. 13, A599–A604.
7. Deligne P., Metaplectique. *A letter to Kazhdan* (1982).
8. Faure F., Nonnenmacher S. and De Bievre S. Scarred eigenstates for quantum cat maps of minimal periods. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 239 (2003), 449–492.
9. Gérardin P., Weil representations associated to finite fields. *J. Algebra* 46, no. 1, (1977), 54–101.
10. Gurevich S., Weil representation, Deligne’s sheaf, and proof of the Kurlberg-Rudnick conjecture. *Ph.D. Thesis* (submitted: March 2005)
11. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., On Hannay-Berry equivariant quantization of the Torus. *arXiv:math-ph/0312039* (2001).
12. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., On Hannay-Berry equivariant quantization of higher-dimensional tori. *arXiv:math-ph/0403036* (2002).
13. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., Proof of the Kurlberg-Rudnick rate conjecture. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, Volume 342, Issue 1, (2006), Pages 69–72.
14. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., Hecke quantum unique ergodicity for the higher-dimensional Hannay-Berry models. *arXiv:math-ph/0403036* (2004).
15. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., Heisenberg realizations, eigenfunctions and proof of the Kurlberg-Rudnick Supremum Conjecture. *arXiv:math-ph/0511036* (2005).
16. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., The geometric Weil representation. *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, Birkhäuser Basel (Accepted: Dec. 2006).
17. Gurevich S. and Hadani R., Quantization of symplectic vector spaces over finite fields. *arXiv:0705.4556* (2005).
18. Hannay J.H. and Berry M.V., Quantization of linear maps on the torus—Fresnel diffraction by a periodic grating. *Physica D1* (1980), 267–291.
19. Heath-Brown R., Private communication. Montreal, July 2005.
20. Heller J.E., Bound-state eigenstates of classically chaotic Hamiltonian systems: scars of periodic orbits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 53 (1984), 1515–1518.
21. Howe R., Invariant theory and duality for classical groups over finite fields with applications to their singular representation theory, preprint, Yale University.

S. Gurevich and R. Hadani

22. Howe R., On the character of Weil's representation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1001  
177 (1973), 287–298. 1002
23. Kelmer D., Arithmetic Quantum Unique Ergodicity for Symplectic Linear Maps 1003  
of the Multidimensional Torus. *math-ph/0510079*. 1004
24. Kaplan L. and Heller E.J. Measuring scars of periodic orbits. *Phys. Rev. E* 59 1005  
(1999). 1006
25. Kurlberg P. and Rudnick Z., Hecke theory and equidistribution for the 1007  
quantization of linear maps of the torus. *Duke Math. Jour.* 103 (2000), 47–78. 1008
26. Marklof J., Arithmetic quantum chaos. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics, 1009  
eds. J.-P. Francoise, G.L. Naber and Tsou S.T. Oxford: Elsevier, Volume 1 1010  
(2006), 212–220. 1011
27. Rudnick Z., The quantized cat map and quantum ergodicity. *Lecture at the* 1012  
*MSRI conference—Random Matrices and their Applications, Berkeley, June* 1013  
*7–11, 1999*. 1014
28. Rudnick Z., On quantum unique ergodicity for linear maps of the torus. 1015  
*European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), Progr. Math.,* 1016  
*202, Birkhäuser, Basel.* (2001), 429–437. 1017
29. Rieffel M.A., Non-commutative tori—a case study of non-commutative differ- 1018  
entiable manifolds, *Contemporary Math.* 105 (1990), 191–211. 1019
30. Sarnak P., Arithmetic quantum chaos. *The Schur lectures, 1992, Tel Aviv. Israel* 1020  
*Math. Conf. Proc., 8, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan* (1995) 183–236. 1021
31. Shnirelman A. I., Ergodic properties of eigenstates. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 29, no. 1022  
6(180) (1974), 181–182. 1023
32. Srinivasan B., Weil representations of finite classical groups. *Invent. Math.* 51, 1024  
no. 2, 143–153 (1979). 1025
33. von Neumann J., Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics. *Princeton* 1026  
*University Press* (1955). 1027
34. Weil A., Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.* 111 (1964) 1028  
143–211. 1029
35. Weyl H., Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik. *1st edition Hirzel, Leipzig* 1030  
(1928). 1031
36. Weyl H., The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations. 1032  
*Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.* (1939). 1033