

# Math 541 Notes

Mar 25, 2011

**Theorem:**  $\exists!$  homomorphism  $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , which is onto.

*Proof.* Uniqueness: Suppose  $\varphi$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we are then led to the following discussion:

**Claim 1:**  $\varphi(\tau) = -1, \forall \tau$  transpositions.

Remark: Every  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written (with parity unchanged, i.e. If  $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_k = \tau'_1 \dots \tau'_r$ , then  $k - r$  is even.)

$$\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$$

where  $\tau_i$  are transpositions.

*Proof.* (proof of Claim 1) If  $\varphi(\tau) = 1, \forall \tau$  transpositions,

$$\text{then } \varphi(\sigma) \stackrel{\text{hom}}{=} \varphi(\tau_1) \circ \dots \circ \varphi(\tau_l) = 1 \dots 1 = 1$$

so  $\varphi$  is not onto,

so  $\exists \tau$  such that  $\tau = (ab)$  such that  $\varphi(\tau) = -1$  □

**Claim 2:** Any  $\tau, \tau'$  transpositions are conjugated. i.e.,  $\exists \sigma \in S_n$  such that  $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau'$ .

In particular  $\forall \tau, \tau'$ ,

$$\varphi(\tau') = \varphi(\sigma \cdot \tau \cdot \sigma^{-1}) = \varphi(\sigma) \varphi(\tau) \varphi(\sigma)^{-1} \stackrel{\varphi(\sigma) \in \{\pm 1\}}{=} \varphi(\tau)$$

*Proof.* (proof of Claim 2)  $\tau = (ab)$

$$\tau' = (cd)$$

$$\text{computation: } (bc)(ab)(bc)^{-1} = (ac)$$

$$(ad)(ac)(ad)^{-1} = (cd)$$

$$\text{thus we conclude } \sigma(ab)\sigma^{-1} = (cd),$$

$$\text{where } \sigma = (ad)(bc). \quad \square$$

The above discussion implies the uniqueness because if  $\varphi, \varphi'$  satisfies conditions of theorem, then  $\forall \sigma \in S_n$ , we have

$\varphi(\sigma) \stackrel{\text{hom}}{=} \varphi(\tau_1) \circ \dots \circ \varphi(\tau_n) = \varphi'(\tau_1) \circ \dots \circ \varphi'(\tau_n) \stackrel{\varphi' \text{ hom}}{=} \varphi'(\sigma)$ , where the second equality is because  $\varphi(\tau_i) = -1 = \varphi'(\tau_i), \forall \tau_i$  transpositions.

Existence: we have constructed before the homomorphism

$$\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

and it is onto, since  $\text{sgn}(\tau) = -1, \forall \tau$  transpositions. □

**Corollary:** If  $\sigma = \tau_l \dots \tau_1$  and  $\sigma = \alpha_{l'} \dots \alpha_1$  are two decompositions of  $\sigma$  into transpositions, then  $l' - l$  is even (always both odd or both even).

*Proof.*  $sgn(\sigma) = sgn(\tau_l \circ \dots \circ \tau_n) \stackrel{hom}{=} sgn(\tau_l) \circ \dots \circ sgn(\tau_l) = (-1)^l$   
 $sgn(\sigma) = sgn(\alpha_{l'} \dots \alpha_{l'}) = (-1)^{l'}$   
 $(-1)^{l'-l} = 1$  □

**Lemma:** Every permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written uniquely as  $\sigma = c_l \dots c_1$  up to order, where each  $c_i$  is a permutation which is a cycle

$$c_i = (\overset{\rightarrow}{a_{1i}} \overset{\rightarrow}{a_{2i}} \dots \overset{\rightarrow}{a_{ni}}), |c_i| \cap |c_j| \neq 0, i \neq j$$

(Example: In  $S_3$  (123) is a cycle)

such that intersections between  $c_i, |c_i| = \emptyset$  is empty.

*Proof.* by induction on  $n$

$$n = 1$$

$$n = 2$$

both satisfy.

General  $n$ , suppose claim is true in  $S_k, \forall k < n$ .

Take  $id \neq \sigma \in S_n$ . Take the element 1, without loss of generality,  $\sigma(1) = 2$ .

Continue,  $\sigma(2) = 1$  or  $\sigma(2) = 3 \neq 1$

In the first case,  $\sigma = (12) \circ \underbrace{(3 \dots)}_{\tau}$

$\tau$  can be considered as an element in  $S_k, k \equiv n - 2$

(\*) by induction,

$$\tau = (\dots) \circ (\dots) \circ (\dots)$$

In the second case,  $\sigma = (12 \dots m) \circ \underbrace{(\dots)}_{\tau}$

If  $m = n, \sigma = (12 \dots n)$

If  $m < n$ , we are back in argument like (\*). □

## Math 541 Notes

Mar 28, 2011

Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism.

**Claim:** (a) Denote  $\ker(\varphi) = \{g \in G \mid \varphi(g) = 1_G\}$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi) < G$ .  
(b)  $\{\varphi(g) \mid g \in G\} = \text{Im}(\varphi) < H$

*Proof.* a)(i) Closure.  $g_1, g_2 \in \ker(\varphi)$

$$\varphi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \varphi(g_1) \cdot \varphi(g_2) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \Rightarrow g_1, g_2 \in \ker(\varphi)$$

(ii) Identity.

$$\varphi(1_G \cdot 1_G) = \varphi(1_G) = \varphi(1_G) \cdot \varphi(1_G)$$

Multiply both sides by  $\varphi(1_G)^{-1}$ , get

$$1_H = \varphi(1_G),$$

$$\text{so } \varphi(1_G) = 1_H.$$

(iii) Show that for  $g \in \ker(\varphi)$  also

$$g^{-1} \in \ker(\varphi)$$

$$\text{but } \varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1} = 1^{-1} = 1.$$

b)  $\text{Im}(\varphi) < H$

(i) Closure.  $h_1 = \varphi(g_1), h_2 = \varphi(g_2)$

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 = \varphi(g_1) \cdot \varphi(g_2) \stackrel{\text{hom}}{=} \varphi(g_1 \cdot g_2)$$

(ii)  $1_H \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$ , because  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ .

(iii) If  $h = \varphi(g) \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$

$$\text{then } h^{-1} = \varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(g^{-1})$$

$$\text{so } h^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\varphi).$$

□

### Examples:

(1) Let  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the general linear group of order 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\det : GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$$

$\ker(\det) = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , called special linear group.

(2)  $n \geq 1, \text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\ker(\text{sgn}) = A_n,$$

It is called alternating group of order  $n$ , and has  $\#A_n = n!/2$  groups of even permutations.

For instance, let's consider  $\ker(\text{sgn})$  of the following map:

$$\text{sgn} : S_3 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

In this case the solution is  $\ker(\text{sgn}) = \{(id), (123), (132)\}$ .

(3)  $\det : O(2) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  Hw4

$$\ker(\det) = SO(2) = R = \text{rotations of } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It is a special  $O$  group.

(4)  $m, n$  with no common divisor.

A good example is  $m = 2, n = 3$ .

In addition to the above, consider  $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} = \{0, \dots, mn-1\}$ .

**Definition:** Let  $G, H$  be groups. Define the product of  $G$  and  $H$  to be the triple

- $\odot G \times H$  – Cartesian product,
- $\odot$  operation  $(g, h) \cdot (g', h') = (gg', hh')$ ,
- $\odot \text{Id} = (1_G, 1_H)$ .

**Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)** The map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$   
 $x \mapsto (x \bmod m, x \bmod n)$

is an isomorphism of groups.

*Proof.* (i) hom. HW

(ii) Bijection enough to show  $1-1$  compute  $\ker(\varphi)$ .

Suppose  $x \in \ker(\varphi)$   $0 \leq x < mn$ . This means

$$x \bmod m = 0 \Rightarrow m|x$$

$$x \bmod n = 0 \Rightarrow n|x$$

Recall if  $N$  is an integer  $\geq 1$

then  $\exists!$  factorization?

$$N = P_1^{k_1} \dots P_r^{k_r} \text{ prime number}$$

$$\text{Now } m|x, m = P_1^{k_1} \dots P_r^{k_r}$$

$$n|x, n = P_1'^{k'_1} \dots P_r'^{k'_r}$$

$P_i$ s and  $P'_i$ s are different

$$\text{so } mn|x \Rightarrow x \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$$

□