

## Solutions to sample midterm questions

1. Let  $x = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}x - 1 &= \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}} \\(x - 1)^2 &= 1 + \sqrt{2} \\x^2 - 2x + 1 &= 1 + \sqrt{2} \\x^2 - 2x &= \sqrt{2} \\x^4 - 4x^3 + 4x^2 &= 2 \\x^4 - 4x^3 + 4x^2 - 2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $x = p/q$ , then  $p$  divides 2 and  $q$  divides 1. The only possibilities are  $\pm 1$ , and  $\pm 2$ . But  $\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}} > 1$ , and thus  $x > 2$ . Thus  $x$  must be irrational.

2. Define  $a_n = 8^n / (n!)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} &= \frac{8^{n+1}}{((n+1)!)^2} \frac{(n!)^2}{8^n} \\&= \frac{8}{(n+1)^2} \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

and therefore by the ratio test,  $\sum 8^n / (n!)^2$  converges.

Now consider  $\sum (-1)^n b_n$  where  $b_n = 1 / \sqrt{n^2 + n}$ . Since  $n$  and  $n^2$  are both increasing functions,  $n^2 + n$  is an increasing function also, and hence  $1 / \sqrt{n^2 + n}$  is a decreasing function. In addition,  $b_n < 1/n$  for all  $n$ , so  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, the series  $\sum (-1)^n b_n$  satisfies the conditions for the alternating series theorem, and hence it converges.

3. (a) Let  $x \in S \cup T$ . Then either  $x \in S$  so  $x \leq \sup S$ , or  $x \in T$  so  $x \leq \sup T$ . Hence,  $x \leq \max\{\sup S, \sup T\}$ . Thus  $\max\{\sup S, \sup T\}$  is an upper bound for  $\sup S \cup T$ .

Now suppose that  $m$  is an upper bound for  $S \cup T$ . Hence  $m \geq x$  for all  $x \in S \cup T$ . Thus  $m \geq s$  for all  $s \in S$ , so  $m \geq \sup S$  as  $\sup S$  is the least upper bound for  $S$ . Similarly  $m \geq t$  for all  $t \in T$ . Hence  $m \geq \sup T$  as  $\sup T$  is the least upper bound of  $T$ . Therefore  $m \geq \max\{\sup S, \sup T\}$ . Hence  $\max\{\sup S, \sup T\}$  is an upper bound, and it is the least upper bound, so it must equal  $\sup S \cup T$ .

Now consider  $x \in S \cap T$ . Hence  $x \in S$  and  $x \in T$ . Then  $x \leq \sup S$  and  $x \leq \sup T$ , so  $x \leq \min\{\sup S, \sup T\}$ , and therefore  $\sup S \cap T \leq \min\{\sup S, \sup T\}$ .

(b) For a non-empty set  $A$ ,  $\sup A \neq -\infty$ , so it suffices to consider when the suprema become positive infinity. Suppose  $\sup S = \infty$ . Then  $S$  is not bounded

above. Hence  $S \cup T$  is not bounded above. Therefore  $\sup S \cup T = \infty$  and the identity still holds.

For the second identity, if  $\sup S = \infty$ , then  $\min\{\sup S, \sup T\} = \sup T$ . Since  $\sup T$  is an upper bound for  $T$ , it is also an upper bound for  $S \cap T$ , and hence the identity still holds.

The same arguments can be applied if  $\sup T = \infty$ .

(c) Consider  $S = \{1, 3\}$  and  $T = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $\sup S = 3$  and  $\sup T = 2$ , so  $\min\{\sup S, \sup T\} = 2$ . However,  $S \cap T = \{1\}$  and so  $\sup S \cap T = 1 < 2$ .

4. Let  $\lim s_n = s$ . Since  $s_n$  converges, there exists an  $N_1$  such that  $n > N_1$  implies that  $|s_n - s| < 1$ . Hence  $-1 < s_n - s$  and  $s_n > s - 1$ .

Now pick  $M > 0$ . Since  $t_n$  diverges, there exists an  $N_2$  such that

$$t_n > 1 - s + M$$

for all  $n > N_2$ . Hence for  $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ ,

$$s_n + t_n > (s - 1) + 1 - s + M = M$$

and thus  $s_n + t_n$  diverges to infinity.

5. (a) Define  $a_N = \sup\{s_n : n > N\}$  and  $b_N = \sup\{t_n : n > N\}$ . Now, for  $n > N$ ,

$$s_n + t_n \leq a_N + b_N$$

since  $a_N$  and  $b_N$  are upper bounds for  $s_n$  and  $t_n$ . If  $c_N = \sup\{s_n + t_n : n > N\}$ , then

$$c_N \leq a_N + b_N.$$

The sequences  $(a_N)$ ,  $(b_N)$ , and  $(c_N)$  are non-increasing. Suppose that  $\lim c_N > \lim a_N + \lim b_N$ . Then  $\lim c_N = \lim a_N + \lim b_N + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so there exist  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that if  $k > K_1$

$$a_k < \lim a_N + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and if  $k > K_2$  then

$$b_k < \lim b_N + \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Now for all  $k > \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &\leq a_k + b_k \\ &< \left(\lim a_N + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) + \left(\lim b_N + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\ &< (\lim a_N + \lim b_N + \epsilon) - \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \lim c_N - \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

But then  $|c_k - \lim c_N| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $k > \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ , so  $c_k$  does not converge to  $\lim c_N$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $\lim c_N \leq \lim a_N + \lim b_N$ , and hence  $\limsup s_n + t_n \leq \limsup s_n + \limsup t_n$ .

(b) Suppose

$$s_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and that

$$t_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\sup\{s_n : n > N\}$  and  $\sup\{t_n : n > N\} = 1$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence

$$(\limsup s_n) \cdot (\limsup t_n) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

However,  $s_n t_n = 0$  for all  $n$ , and thus  $\limsup(s_n t_n) = 0 \neq 1$ .

6. Suppose that  $a > b$ . Then define  $\epsilon = a - b > 0$ . Then there exists an  $N_1$  such that  $n > N_1$  implies that  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon/2$ . Similarly there exists an  $N_2$  such that  $n > N_2$  implies that  $|b_n - b| < \epsilon/2$ . Now consider any  $k$  such that  $k > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then  $|a_k - a| < \epsilon/2$ , and hence  $-\epsilon/2 < a_k - a$ , so

$$a_k > a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = a - \frac{a - b}{2} = \frac{a + b}{2}.$$

In addition,  $|b_k - b| < \epsilon/2$ , so  $b_k - b < \epsilon/2$ , and hence

$$b_k < b + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = b + \frac{a - b}{2} = \frac{a + b}{2}.$$

Combining these two inequalities shows that  $a_k > b_k$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $a \leq b$ .

7. Since the lower limit of  $A$  is an open interval, it does not have a minimum, however  $\inf A = 0$ . Since  $A$  is not bounded above, it does not have a maximum.  $\sup A = \infty$  for sets not bounded above.

Since  $B$  has no smallest element, the minimum does not exist. However, since the fractions become arbitrarily close to 0,  $\inf B = 0$ . The maximum is given by  $\max B = 1/2$ , attained for the case when  $n = 1$ , and hence  $\sup B = \max B = 1/2$ .

8. For the first sequence, make use of the root test where  $a_n = 6^n/n^n$ . Then

$$(a_n)^{1/n} = \frac{6}{n}$$

which converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\sum 6^n/n^n$  converges. For the second sequence, since  $n + 1/2 \leq 2n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n + 1/2} \geq \frac{1}{2n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, so does  $\sum \frac{1}{2n}$ , and hence by the comparison test,  $\sum 1/(n + 1/2)$  does also.

9. Choose an element  $t \in T$ . Then either

- $t \in S$ . Hence  $t \leq \sup S$ .
- There exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s = -t$ . Hence  $s \geq \inf S$ , and therefore  $t \leq -\inf S$ .

Thus either  $t \leq \sup S$  or  $t \leq -\inf S$  so  $t \leq \max\{\sup S, -\inf S\}$ . Hence it is an upper bound.

Now suppose that  $l$  is an upper bound for  $T$ . Then  $l \geq t$  for all elements  $t \in T$ . Hence  $l \geq |s|$  for all elements  $s \in S$ , and thus

$$-l \leq s \leq l$$

for all elements in  $s$ , from which the following two deductions can be made:

- Since  $s \leq l$  for all  $s$ , then  $l \geq \sup S$  since  $\sup S$  is the least upper bound for  $S$ .
- Since  $-l \leq s$  for all  $s$ , then  $-l \leq \inf S$  since  $\inf S$  is the greatest lower bound for  $S$ . Hence  $l \geq -\inf S$ .

These two results show that  $l \geq \max\{\sup S, -\inf S\}$ . Hence  $\max\{\sup S, -\inf S\}$  is an upper bound for  $T$  and it is the least upper bound, so it must be  $\sup T$ .

10. Define  $v_N = \sup\{s_n : n > N\}$ . There are two cases:

- $\limsup t_n = -q$  for some  $q > 0$ . Then there exists a  $K_1$  such that  $|v_N - (-q)| < q/2$  for all  $N > K_1$ . Hence  $v_{K_1+1} < (-q) + (q/2) = -q/2$ , and thus  $t_n < -q/2$  for all  $n > K_1 + 1$ . For this case, define  $\lambda = -q/2$ .
- $\limsup t_n = -\infty$ . Then there exists a  $K_1$  such that  $v_N < -1$  for all  $N > K_1$ . Hence  $v_{K_1+1} < -1$ , and thus  $t_n < -1$  for all  $n > K_1 + 1$ . For this case, define  $\lambda = -1$ .

Now consider the sequence  $s_n t_n$ . Pick  $M < 0$ . Then since  $\lim s_n = \infty$ , there exists a  $K_2$  such that  $n > K_2$  implies that  $s_n > M/\lambda$ .

Now suppose  $n > \max\{K_1 + 1, K_2\}$ . Then  $s_n > M/\lambda$  and  $t_n < \lambda$ , so  $s_n t_n < M$ . This is true for any  $M < 0$ , so  $\lim s_n t_n = -\infty$ .