Mathematics 340, Spring 2011

Lectures 1 & 2 (Wilson)

Final Exam May 12, 2011 With Answers

Problem 1

For the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ :

(a) Find a basis for the solution space (null space) of A, the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  consisting of solutions of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ .

ANSWER:

First we reduce A to Reduced Row Echelon Form, getting  $A_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . We

see that the fifth column does not contain a leading entry, so the corresponding variable  $x_5$  can be given an arbitrary value. From the first row we see that  $x_1 + x_5 = 0$ , i.e.  $x_1$  must be  $-x_5$ . Similarly from the remaining rows we get  $x_2 = x_5$ ,  $x_3 = -2x_5$ , and

 $x_4 = -2x_5$ . So solutions must look like  $\begin{bmatrix} -x_5\\ x_5\\ -2x_5\\ -2x_5 \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e. the solutions are all multiples of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_5 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Hence a basis for the solution space is 
$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$
.

(b) What is the dimension of the null space of A (i.e. the nullity of A)? <u>ANSWER</u>:

Since there was one vector in the basis, the dimension of the null space is 1.

## Problem 2

For the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 ( the same matrix as in problem 1):

(a) What is the rank of A? <u>ANSWER</u>: We saw in the answer to problem 1 that the reduced row echelon form of  $A_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since there are four non-zero rows in that matrix, the rank of A is 4.

(b) Find a basis for the row space of A.

#### ANSWER:

From (a) We know that the row space will be a four dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_5$ , so we know we need four 5-element row vectors. We could use the rows of A, or the rows of  $A_R$ . Using the rows of A, one basis is  $\{[1, 2, -2, 3, 1], [0, 1, 0, 0, -1], [0, 0, 1, 0, 2], [0, -1, 1, 1, 5]\}$ .

(c) Find a basis for the column space of A that consists of some columns of A.

### <u>ANSWER</u>:

We can use the columns from  $A_R$  that have leading entries to pick out columns from A:

The leading entries are in the first four columns, so we use	(	1		2		-2		3		
	)		0		0					
		0	,	0	,	1	,	0		•
		0		1 _		1		1	J	

#### Problem 3

For each of the following functions from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , tell whether it is a linear transformation or not and give reasons for your answer:

(a)  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} a\\b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a+b\\a+2b \end{bmatrix}$ : <u>ANSWER</u>:

This <u>is</u> a linear transformation. You could check it directly from the definition, or you could write it as  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a+2b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ . We know that multiplication by a matrix always gives a linear transformation.

(b)  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} a\\b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a+b\\a+2 \end{bmatrix}$ : <u>ANSWER</u>:

This <u>is not</u> a linear transformation.  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ , so this function does not take the zero vector to the zero vector, which any linear transformation must do.

(c) 
$$L\left(\begin{bmatrix}a\\b\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}a-b\\a^2\end{bmatrix}$$
:  
ANSWER:

This <u>is not</u> a linear transformation. If we can find any instance where the requirements for a linear transformation fail, that would justify this claim, so there are many possible reasons to give. I note that  $L\left(2\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = L\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\2\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0\\4\end{bmatrix}$ , while  $2L\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = 2\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\2\end{bmatrix}$ . So this function does not satisfy  $L(c\vec{v}) = cL(\vec{v})$ .

Problem 4

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Find the characteristic polynomial of A,

### ANSWER:

 $\lambda I - A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + 1 & 4 \\ 1 & \lambda - 2 \end{bmatrix}$  The characteristic polynomial of A is the determinant of that matrix, i.e.  $(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2) - 4 \times 1 = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 6$ .

(b) What are the eigenvalues of A?

#### ANSWER:

We can factor  $\lambda^2 - \lambda - 6$  as  $(\lambda - 3)(\lambda + 2)$ , so the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 3$  and  $\lambda = -2$ .

(c) For each of the eigenvalues, describe all of the eigenvectors.

#### ANSWER:

We substitute each value of  $\lambda$  into the matrix  $\lambda I - A$  above and solve the corresponding homogeneous equations.

For  $\lambda = 3$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has solutions  $x_1 = -x_2$ , i.e. all multiples of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so the eigenvectors are all the <u>non-zero</u> multiples of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . For  $\lambda = -2$ :

 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has solutions  $x_1 = x_2$ , i.e. all multiples of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so the eigenvectors are all the <u>non-zero</u> multiples of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### Problem 5

Assume L is a linear transformation from a vector space V to a vector space W.

Prove that the range of L is a subspace of W.

#### ANSWER:

The range, the set of all vectors  $\vec{w}$  in W such that  $\vec{w} = L(\vec{v})$  for some  $\vec{v}$  in V, is by definition a sub<u>set</u> of W. We need to show (a) it is not empty, (b) it is closed under addition, and (c) it is closed under multiplication by scalars.

(a) Since  $L(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}_W$  for any linear transformation from V to W, we have that  $\vec{0}_W$  is L(something in V), so the range of L contains  $\vec{0}_W$ , so the range is not empty.

(b) We need to show that the sum of any two vectors in the range produces a vector in the range. Suppose  $\vec{w_1}$  and  $\vec{w_2}$  are any two vectors in the range of L. Since they are in the range, there must be vectors  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$  in V such that  $\vec{w_1} = L(\vec{v_1})$  and  $\vec{w_2} = L(\vec{v_2})$ . But then  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} = L(\vec{v_1}) + L(\vec{v_2})$ , and since L is a linear transformation that must be  $L(\vec{v_1} + \vec{v_2})$ , so  $\vec{v_1} + \vec{v_2}$  is a vector in V that L takes to  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}$ , hence  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}$  is in the range of L.

(c) We need to show that any scalar multiple of a vector in the range of L is in the range of L. Let  $\vec{w}$  be any vector in the range of L, and let c be any scalar. Since  $\vec{w}$  is in the range,  $\vec{w} = L(\vec{v})$  for some  $\vec{v} \in V$ . Then  $c\vec{w} = cL(\vec{v}) = L(c\vec{v})$  (since L is a linear transformation), hence  $c\vec{w}$  is "L of something in V", i.e.  $c\vec{w}$  is in the range of L.

Problem 6

Let L be the linear transformation from  $P_2$  (the space of polynomials of degree at most two) to  $P_2$  defined by L(p(t)) = p'(t), the derivative of the polynomial function. Using the "standard" ordered basis  $B = \{1, t, t^2\}$  (with the vectors in that order!):

(a) Find the matrix A representing L with respect to B and B.

#### ANSWER:

We apply L to (i.e. take the derivative of) each vector in B, and find the coordinate vector of the result with respect to B.

For the first vector 1 in *B*, the derivative gives 0 which is  $0 \times 1 + 0 \times t + 0 \times t^2$ , so the coordinate vector is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . For the second vector *t*, the derivative is 1, so the coordinate vector is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Lastly, the derivative of  $t^2$  is  $2t = 0 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 + 0 \times t^2$ , and the coordinate vector of that is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Putting these together, the matrix is  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(b) For the polynomial  $p(t) = 3 - 2t + 2t^2$ , what is the coordinate vector  $[p(t)]_B$ ? <u>ANSWER</u>:

Since  $3 - 2t + 2t^2$  is already written as a linear combination of 1, t, and  $t^2$ , we can read off the coordinate vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(c) What is the coordinate vector  $[L(p(t))]_B$  for L(p(t))? <u>ANSWER</u>:

Taking the derivative,  $L(3 - 2t + 2t^2) = -2 + 4t$ , so its coordinate vector is  $\begin{bmatrix} -2\\ 4\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(d) Use the matrix from (a) and the vectors from (b) and (c) to show that the matrix "does the right thing", i.e. that multiplying a coordinate vector by the matrix does give you the coordinates for the result of applying L.

ANSWER:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Problem 7

Suppose that L is a linear transformation from a vector space V to a vector space W, and that the kernel of L contains only the zero vector of V. Show that L must be 1 - 1. ANSWER:

Assume that L is a linear transformation from V to W and that only  $\vec{0}_V$  is in the kernel of L, i.e.  $\vec{0}_V$  is the only vector in V that L takes to  $\vec{0}_W$ .

Then if  $L(\vec{u}) = L(\vec{v})$ ,  $L(\vec{u}) - L(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}_W$ , and since L is linear that tells us  $L(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = \vec{0}_W$ , i.e.  $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$  is in the kernel of L. But the only vector in the kernel is  $\vec{0}_V$ , so we must have  $\vec{u} - \vec{v} = \vec{v}_V$ . Then  $\vec{u} = \vec{v}$ . So we have shown that whenever L takes two vectors to the same result in W, the two were really the same to begin with, i.e. L is 1 - 1.

## Problem 8

For the vector space  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ , with ordered bases  $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and  $T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}:$$

Find the matrix  $P_{S\leftarrow T}$  for changing coordinates from T to S. ANSWER:

For each vector in T, we find its coordinates with respect to S. For the first vector,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we

need to solve  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  for a, b, and c. You can set that up as a system of equations, but we can also just see the answer: That last vector in S is exactly what we want, so a = b = 0 and c = 1, and the coordinate vector is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Now for the second vector,  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ , solving to make  $a \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  give that vector: The vectors multiplied by a and by b have 0 in the third entry, so c must be 2 to make the third place work out. But that puts a 2 in the middle place: We fix that by making b = -2. So far that puts a 0 in the top position, so we let a = -1 and get the coordinates as  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Moving to the third vector, in the same way we find  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so the coordinate vector is  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\-1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We assemble these as the matrix, getting  $P_{S\leftarrow T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1\\0 & -2 & -1\\1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Problem 9

The set of vectors 
$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
 is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

the vector space of three element column vectors. Using the ordinary "dot product" as an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

(a) Use the Gram-Schmidt process starting with B to find an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e. a basis where each pair of distinct vectors is orthogonal.

## ANSWER:

To make the notation match both our textbook and my online description, I will give names to the three vectors making up S,  $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\vec{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We create new vectors  $\vec{v}_1$ ,  $\vec{v}_2$ , and  $\vec{v}_3$  that are orthogonal as follows. Start by letting  $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

Now make  $\vec{v}_2$  by starting with  $\vec{u}_2$  and subtracting its projection onto  $\vec{v}_1$ :  $\vec{v}_2 = \vec{u}_2 - \frac{(\vec{u}_2, \vec{v}_1)}{(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1)} \vec{v}_1$ .

We are to use the dot product for those inner products:  $(\vec{u}_2, \vec{v}_1) = \vec{u}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ =  $2 \times 2 + 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 = 12$ . In the same way we get  $(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1) = 2 \times 2 + 2$ 

So we want to compute  $\vec{v}_2 = \vec{u}_2 - \frac{12}{9}\vec{v}_1 = \vec{u}_2 - \frac{4}{3}\vec{v}_1$ . But for now all we care about is orthogonality, not magnitude, so we could instead use 3 times that result,  $3\vec{u}_2 - 4\vec{v}_2$ , and not have to deal with fractions: (You did not need to do that, it just makes the arithmetic

easier to follow!) We get 
$$\vec{v}_2 = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6\\6\\9 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 8\\4\\8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

(As a check, the dot product of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is now  $(2 \times -2) + (1 \times 2) + (2 \times 1) = 0$ , so these are indeed orthogonal!)

Now we construct  $\vec{v}_3$  by starting with  $\vec{u}_3$  and subtracting its projections onto each of  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$ ,  $\vec{v}_3 = \vec{u}_3 - \frac{(\vec{u}_3, \vec{v}_1)}{(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1)}\vec{v}_1 - \frac{(\vec{u}_3, \vec{v}_2)}{(\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_2)}\vec{v}_2$ . Computing those inner (dot) products: We already had  $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 1$ .  $\vec{u}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = -2 + 1 + 0 = -1$ .  $\vec{u}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2 + 2 = 4$ .  $\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 4 + 4 + 1 = 9$ . So the formula above gives us  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{-1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{4}{9} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Again we can simplify things bu using 9 times that vector for  $\vec{v}_3$  to eliminate fractions, getting  $\vec{v}_3 = 9 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and again we can check that this does give 0 for the dot product with either  $\vec{v}_1$  or  $\vec{v}_2$ . Summarizing, our new, orthogonal, basis is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\-2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

(b) Continue from what you found in (a) to get a basis which is <u>orthonormal</u>, i.e. in addition to being orthogonal it now has the magnitude (norm, size) of each vector equal to 1. ANSWER:

We multiply each of these vectors by 
$$1/||\vec{v}||$$
: Each has magnitude  $||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{9} = 3$ , so the resulting vectors are  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

Problem 10

Suppose L is a linear transformation from V to W. Prove: If L is 1-1 and  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$  is a linearly independent set in V, then  $\{L(\vec{v}_1), L(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, L(\vec{v}_k)\}$  is a linearly independent set in W.

# ANSWER:

We are given that L is a linear transformation from V to W which is 1 - 1, so its kernel is just  $\vec{0}_V$ . For the given linearly independent set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$  in V, consider the vectors  $L(\vec{v}_1), L(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, L(\vec{v}_k)$  in W and suppose some linear combination  $a_1L(\vec{v}_1) + a_2L(\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + a_kL(\vec{v}_k)$  gives the zero vector  $\vec{0}_W$  in W. Since L is linear we can rewrite that as  $L(a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k) = \vec{0}_W$ . But that says  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k$  is in the kernel of L, so it must be  $\vec{0}_V$ , i.e.  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0}_V$ , but the vectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$  are linearly independent, so the coefficients  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0$ . Recapping, any linear combination of the vectors  $L(v_1), L(v_2), \ldots, L(v_k)$  that gives  $\vec{0}_W$  must have all zero coefficients, so the vectors are linearly independent.