

# Notes 4 : Laws of large numbers

Math 733-734: Theory of Probability

Lecturer: Sebastien Roch

References: [Fel71, Sections V.5, VII.7], [Dur10, Sections 2.2-2.4].

## 1 Easy laws

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of RVs. Throughout we let  $S_n = \sum_{k \leq n} X_k$ .

We begin with a straightforward application of Chebyshev's inequality.

**THM 4.1 ( $L^2$  weak law of large numbers)** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be uncorrelated RVs, i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j]$  for  $i \neq j$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu < +\infty$  and  $\text{Var}[X_i] \leq C < +\infty$ . Then  $n^{-1} S_n \rightarrow_{L^2} \mu$  and, as a result,  $n^{-1} S_n \rightarrow_P \mu$ .*

**Proof:** Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[S_n] &= \mathbb{E}[(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n])^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_i (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j])] = \sum_i \text{Var}[X_i], \end{aligned}$$

since, for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j])] = \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j] = 0.$$

Hence

$$\text{Var}[n^{-1} S_n] \leq n^{-2} (nC) \leq n^{-1} C \rightarrow 0,$$

that is,  $n^{-1} S_n \rightarrow_{L^2} \mu$ , and the convergence in probability follows from Chebyshev.

■

With a stronger assumption, we get an easy strong law.

**THM 4.2 (Strong Law in  $L^4$ )** *If the  $X_i$ s are IID with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < +\infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ , then  $n^{-1} S_n \rightarrow \mu$  a.s.*

**Proof:** Assume w.l.o.g. that  $\mu = 0$ . (Otherwise translate all  $X_i$ s by  $\mu$ .) Then

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n^4] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i,j,k,l} X_i X_j X_k X_l \right] = n\mathbb{E}[X_1^4] + 3n(n-1)(\mathbb{E}[X_1^2])^2 = O(n^2),$$

where we used that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^3 X_j] = 0$  by independence and the fact that  $\mu = 0$ . (Note that  $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}[X_1^4]$ .) Markov's inequality then implies that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n| > n\varepsilon] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n^4]}{n^4 \varepsilon^4} = O(n^{-2}),$$

which is summable, and (BC1) concludes the proof. ■

The law of large numbers has interesting implications, for instance:

**EX 4.3 (A high-dimensional cube is almost the boundary of a ball)** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be IID uniform on  $(-1, 1)$ . Let  $Y_i = X_i^2$  and note that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 1/3$ ,  $\text{Var}[Y_i] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2] \leq 1$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i^4] \leq 1 < +\infty$ . Then

$$\frac{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3},$$

both in probability and almost surely. In particular, this implies for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ (1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} < \|X^{(n)}\|_2 < (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \right] \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $X^{(n)} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . I.e., most of the cube is close to the boundary of a ball of radius  $\sqrt{n/3}$ .

## 2 Weak laws

In the case of IID sequences we get the following.

**THM 4.4 (Weak law of large numbers)** Let  $(X_n)_n$  be IID. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of constants  $(\mu_n)_n$  such that

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu_n \rightarrow_P 0,$$

is

$$n \mathbb{P}[|X_1| > n] \rightarrow 0.$$

In that case, the choice

$$\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \leq n}],$$

works.

**COR 4.5 ( $L^1$  weak law)** If  $(X_n)_n$  are IID with  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < +\infty$ , then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow_P \mathbb{E}[X_1].$$

**Proof:** From (DOM)

$$n\mathbb{P}[|X_1| > n] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_1|\mathbb{1}_{|X_1|>n}] \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1\mathbb{1}_{|X_1|\leq n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_1].$$

■

Before proving the theorem, we give an example showing that the condition in Theorem 4.4 does not imply the existence of a first moment. We need the following important lemma which follows from Fubini's theorem. (Exercise.)

**LEM 4.6** If  $Y \geq 0$  and  $p > 0$ , then

$$E[Y^p] = \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}[Y > y]dy.$$

**EX 4.7** Let  $X \geq e$  be such that, for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[X > x] = \frac{1}{x(\log x)^\alpha}, \quad \forall x \geq e.$$

(There is a jump at  $e$ . The choice of  $e$  makes it clear that the tail stays under 1.)  
Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = e^2 + \int_e^{+\infty} 2x \frac{1}{x(\log x)^\alpha} dx \geq 2 \int_e^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(\log x)^\alpha} dx = +\infty, \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0.$$

(Indeed, it decays slower than  $1/x$  which diverges.) So the  $L^2$  weak law does not apply. On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = e + \int_e^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x(\log x)^\alpha} dx = e + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{u^\alpha} du.$$

This is  $+\infty$  if  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . But for  $\alpha > 1$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = e + \frac{u^{-\alpha+1}}{-\alpha+1} \Big|_1^{+\infty} = e + \frac{1}{\alpha-1}.$$

Finally,

$$n\mathbb{P}[X > n] = \frac{1}{(\log n)^\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

(In particular, the WLLN does not apply for  $\alpha = 0$ .) Also, we can compute  $\mu_n$  in Theorem 4.4. For  $\alpha = 1$ , note that (by the change of variables above)

$$\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{1}_{X \leq n}] = e + \int_e^n \left( \frac{1}{x \log x} - \frac{1}{n \log n} \right) dx \sim \log \log n.$$

Note, in particular, that  $\mu_n$  may not have a limit.

## 2.1 Truncation

To prove sufficiency, we use truncation. In particular, we give a weak law for triangular arrays which does not require a second moment—a result of independent interest.

**THM 4.8 (Weak law for triangular arrays)** For each  $n$ , let  $(X_{n,k})_{k \leq n}$  be independent. Let  $b_n$  with  $b_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and let  $X'_{n,k} = X_{n,k} \mathbb{1}_{|X_{n,k}| \leq b_n}$ . Suppose that

1.  $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}[|X_{n,k}| > b_n] \rightarrow 0$ .
2.  $b_n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}[X'_{n,k}] \rightarrow 0$ .

If we let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{n,k}$  and  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X'_{n,k}]$  then

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow_P 0.$$

**Proof:** Let  $S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X'_{n,k}$ . Clearly

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \right| > \varepsilon \right] \leq \mathbb{P}[S_n \neq S'_n] + \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{S'_n - a_n}{b_n} \right| > \varepsilon \right].$$

For the first term, by a union bound

$$\mathbb{P}[S'_n \neq S_n] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}[|X_{n,k}| > b_n] \rightarrow 0.$$

For the second term, we use Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{S'_n - a_n}{b_n} \right| > \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[S'_n]}{\varepsilon^2 b_n^2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}[X'_{n,k}] \rightarrow 0.$$

■

**Proof:** (of sufficiency in Theorem 4.4) We apply Theorem 4.4 with  $b_n = n$ . Note that  $a_n = n\mu_n$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1}\text{Var}[X'_{n,1}] &\leq n^{-1}\mathbb{E}[(X'_{n,1})^2] \\ &= n^{-1}\int_0^\infty 2y\mathbb{P}[|X'_{n,1}| > y]dy \\ &= n^{-1}\int_0^n 2y[\mathbb{P}[|X_{n,1}| > y] - \mathbb{P}[|X_{n,1}| > n]]dy \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{n}\int_0^n y\mathbb{P}[|X_1| > y]dy\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since we are “averaging” a function going to 0. Details in [D]. ■

The other direction is proved in the appendix.

### 3 Strong laws

Recall:

**DEF 4.9 (Tail  $\sigma$ -algebra)** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be RVs on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Define

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \sigma(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots), \quad \mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{T}_n.$$

By a previous lemma,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. It is called the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra of the sequence  $(X_n)_n$ .

**THM 4.10 (Kolmogorov’s 0-1 law)** Let  $(X_n)_n$  be a sequence of independent RVs with tail  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -trivial, i.e., for all  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  we have  $\mathbb{P}[A] = 0$  or 1. In particular, if  $Z \in \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{T}$  then there is  $z \in [-\infty, +\infty]$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}[Z = z] = 1.$$

**EX 4.11** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent. Then

$$\limsup_n n^{-1}S_n \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_n n^{-1}S_n$$

are almost surely a constant.

### 3.1 Strong law of large numbers

**THM 4.12 (Strong law of large numbers)** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be pairwise independent IID with  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < +\infty$ . Let  $S_n = \sum_{k \leq n} X_k$  and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ . Then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

If instead  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| = +\infty$  then

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \lim_n \frac{S_n}{n} \text{ exists } \in (-\infty, +\infty) \right] = 0.$$

**Proof:** For the converse, assume  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| = +\infty$ . From Lemma 4.6

$$+\infty = \mathbb{E}|X_1| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[|X_1| > n].$$

By (BC2)

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > n \text{ i.o.}] = 1.$$

Because

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)S_n - nS_{n+1}}{n(n+1)} = \frac{S_n - nX_{n+1}}{n(n+1)} = \frac{S_n}{n(n+1)} - \frac{X_{n+1}}{n+1},$$

we get that

$$\{\lim_n n^{-1}S_n \text{ exists } \in (-\infty, +\infty)\} \cap \{|X_n| > n \text{ i.o.}\} = \emptyset$$

because, on that event,  $S_n/n(n+1) \rightarrow 0$  so that

$$\left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} \right| > \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{i.o.}$$

a contradiction. The result follows because  $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > n \text{ i.o.}] = 1$ .

There are several steps in the proof of the  $\implies$  direction:

1. **Truncation.** Let  $Y_k = X_k \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_k| \leq k\}}$  and  $T_n = \sum_{k \leq n} Y_k$ . (Note that the  $Y_i$ 's are not identically distributed.) Since (by integrating and using Lemma 4.6)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[|X_k| > k] \leq \mathbb{E}|X_1| < +\infty,$$

(BC1) implies that it suffices to prove  $n^{-1}T_n \rightarrow \mu$ .

2. **Subsequence.** For  $\alpha > 1$ , let  $k(n) = \lceil \alpha^n \rceil$ . By Chebyshev's inequality, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}[|T_{k(n)} - \mathbb{E}[T_{k(n)}]| > \varepsilon k(n)] &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Var}[T_{k(n)}]}{k(n)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k(n)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}[Y_i] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \text{Var}[Y_i] \sum_{n: k(n) \geq i} \frac{1}{k(n)^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \text{Var}[Y_i] (C i^{-2}) \\
 &< +\infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the next to last line follows from the sum of a geometric series and the last line follows from the next lemma—proved later:

**LEM 4.13** *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Var}[Y_i]}{i^2} \leq \mathbb{E}[X_1] < +\infty.$$

By (DOM) and (BC1), since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] \rightarrow \mu$  and

$$\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n)} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

3. **Sandwiching.** To use a sandwiching argument, we need a monotone sequence. Note that the assumption of the theorem applies to both  $X_1^+$  and  $X_1^-$  and the result is linear so that we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $X_1 \geq 0$ . Then for  $k(n) \leq m < k(n+1)$

$$\frac{T_{k(n)}}{k(n+1)} \leq \frac{T_m}{m} \leq \frac{T_{k(n+1)}}{k(n)},$$

and using  $k(n+1)/k(n) \rightarrow \alpha$  we get

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbb{E}[X_1] \leq \liminf_m \frac{T_m}{m} \leq \limsup_m \frac{T_m}{m} \leq \alpha \mathbb{E}[X_1].$$

Since  $\alpha > 1$  is arbitrary, we are done. But it remains to prove the lemma:

**Proof:** By Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Var}[Y_i]}{i^2} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_i^2]}{i^2} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \int_0^{\infty} 2y \mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > y] dy \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\{y \leq i\}} 2y \mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > y] dy \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \left( 2y \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \mathbb{1}_{\{y \leq i\}} \right) \mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > y] dy \\
 &\leq \int_0^{\infty} C' \mathbb{P}[|Y_i| > y] dy \\
 &\leq C' \mathbb{E}|X_1|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second to last inequality follows by integrating. ■ ■

In the infinite case:

**THM 4.14 (SLLN: Infinite mean case)** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be IID with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1^+] = +\infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_1^-] < +\infty$ . Then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Proof:** Let  $M > 0$  and  $X_i^M = X_i \wedge M$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}|X_i^M| < +\infty$  the SLLN applies to  $S_n^M = \sum_{i \leq n} X_i^M$ . Then

$$\liminf_n \frac{S_n}{n} \geq \liminf_n \frac{S_n^M}{n} = \mathbb{E}[X_i^M] \uparrow +\infty,$$

as  $M \rightarrow +\infty$  by (MON) applied to the positive part. ■

### 3.2 Applications

An important application of the SLLN:

**THM 4.15 (Glivenko-Cantelli)** Let  $(X_n)_n$  be IID and, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \leq n} \mathbb{1}\{X_k \leq x\},$$



be the empirical distribution function. Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F$  is the distribution function of  $X_1$ .

**Proof:** Pointwise convergence follows immediately from the SLLN. Uniform convergence then follows from the boundedness and monotonicity of  $F$  and  $F_n$ . See [D] for details. ■

## References

- [Dur10] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Fel71] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II*. Second edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1971.

## A Symmetrization

To prove the other direction of the weak law, we use symmetrization.

**DEF 4.16** Let  $X \sim F$ . We say that  $X$  is symmetric if  $X$  and  $-X$  have the same distribution function, that is, if at points of continuity we have  $F(x) = 1 - F(-x)$  for all  $x$ .

**EX 4.17 (Symmetrization)** Let  $X_1$  be a RV (not necessarily symmetric) and  $\tilde{X}_1$ , an independent copy. Then  $X_1^\circ = X_1 - \tilde{X}_1$  is symmetric.

**LEM 4.18** For all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_1^\circ| > t] \leq 2\mathbb{P}[|X_1| > t/2]. \quad (1)$$

If  $m$  is a median for  $X_1$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 \leq m] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X_1 \geq m] \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and assume w.l.o.g.  $m \geq 0$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_1^\circ| > t] \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}[|X_1| > t + m]. \quad (2)$$

**Proof:** For the first one, at least one of  $|X_1| > t/2$  or  $|\tilde{X}_1| > t/2$  must be satisfied. For the second one, the following are enough

$$\{X_1 > t + m, \tilde{X}_1 \leq m\} \cup \{X_1 < -t - m, \tilde{X}_1 \geq -m\},$$

and note that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 \geq -m] \geq \mathbb{P}[X_1 \geq m] \geq 1/2.$$

■

**LEM 4.19** Let  $\{Y_k\}_{k \leq n}$  be independent and symmetric with  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$  and  $M_n$  equal to the first term among  $\{Y_k\}_{k \leq n}$  with greatest absolute value. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n| \geq t] \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}[|M_n| \geq t]. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, if the  $Y_k$ 's have a common distribution  $F$  then

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n| \geq t] \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \exp(-n[1 - F(t) + F(-t)])). \quad (4)$$

**Proof:** We start with the second one. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[|M_n| < t] \leq (F(t) - F(-t))^n \leq \exp(-n[1 - F(t) + F(-t)]).$$

Plug the latter into the the first statement.

For the first one, note that by symmetry we can drop the absolute values. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq t] = \mathbb{P}[M_n + (S_n - M_n) \geq t] \geq \mathbb{P}[M_n \geq t, (S_n - M_n) \leq 0]. \quad (5)$$

By symmetry, the four combinations  $(\pm M_n, \pm(S_n - M_n))$  have the same distribution. Indeed  $M_n$  and  $S_n - M_n$  are not independent but their sign is because  $M_n$  is defined by its absolute value and  $S_n - M_n$  is the sum of the other variables. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n \geq t] \leq \mathbb{P}[M_n \geq t, (S_n - M_n) \geq 0] + \mathbb{P}[M_n \geq t, (S_n - M_n) \leq 0],$$

and the two terms on the RHS are equal. Plugging this back into (5), we are done.

■

Going back to the proof of necessity:

**Proof:**(of necessity in Theorem 4.4) Assume that there is  $\mu_n$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n - n\mu_n| \geq \varepsilon n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$S_n^\circ = (S_n - n\mu_n)^\circ = \sum_{k \leq n} X_k^\circ.$$

Therefore, by (1), assuming w.l.o.g.  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[|S_n - n\mu_n| \geq \varepsilon n] &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}[|S_n^\circ| \geq 2\varepsilon n] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} (1 - \exp(-n\mathbb{P}[|X_1^\circ| \geq 2n\varepsilon])) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n\mathbb{P}[|X_1| \geq 2n\varepsilon + m]\right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n\mathbb{P}[|X_1| \geq n]\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small enough and  $n$  large enough. Since the LHS goes to 0, we are done. ■

## B St-Petersburg paradox

**EX 4.20 (St-Petersburg paradox)** Consider an IID sequence with

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = 2^j] = 2^{-j}, \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

Clearly  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = +\infty$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_1| \geq n] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

(indeed it is a geometric series and the sum is dominated by the first term) and therefore we cannot apply the WLLN. Instead we apply the WLLN for triangular arrays to a properly normalized sum. We take  $X_{n,k} = X_k$  and  $b_n = n \log_2 n$ . We check the two conditions. First

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}[|X_{n,k}| > b_n] = \Theta\left(\frac{n}{n \log_2 n}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

To check the second one, let  $X'_{n,k} = X_{n,k} \mathbb{1}_{|X_{n,k}| \leq b_n}$  and note

$$\mathbb{E}[(X'_{n,k})^2] = \sum_{j=1}^{\log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 n} 2^{2j} 2^{-j} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 n} = 2n \log_2 n.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X'_{n,k})^2] = \frac{2n^2 \log_2 n}{n^2 (\log_2 n)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally,

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X'_{n,k}] = n\mathbb{E}[X'_{n,1}] = n \sum_{j=1}^{\log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 n} 2^j 2^{-j} = n(\log_2 n + \log_2 \log_2 n),$$

so that

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow_P 0,$$

and

$$\frac{S_n}{n \log_2 n} \rightarrow_P 1.$$

**THM 4.21** Let  $(X_n)_n$  be IID with  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| = +\infty$  and  $S_n = \sum_{k \leq n} X_k$ . Let  $a_n$  be a sequence with  $a_n/n$  increasing. Then  $\limsup_n |S_n|/a_n = 0$  or  $+\infty$  according as  $\sum_n \mathbb{P}[|X_1| \geq a_n] < +\infty$  or  $= +\infty$ .

The proof uses random series and is presented in [D].

**EX 4.22 (Continued)** Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_1| \geq n \log_2 n] = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n \log_2 n}\right),$$

which is not summable. Therefore, by the previous theorem

$$\limsup_n \frac{S_n}{n \log_2 n} = +\infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$