

# Notes 14 : Martingales

Math 733-734: Theory of Probability

Lecturer: Sebastien Roch

References: [Wil91, Section 10], [Dur10, Section 5.2], [KT75, Section 6.1].

## 1 Martingales

### 1.1 Definitions

**DEF 14.1** A filtered space is a tuple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}, \mathbb{P})$  where:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space
- $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$  is a filtration, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\infty \equiv \sigma(\cup \mathcal{F}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

where each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

**EX 14.2** Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  be iid RVs. Then a filtration is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n), \forall n \geq 0.$$

Fix  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**DEF 14.3** A process  $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is adapted if  $W_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n$ .

(Intuitively, the value of  $W_n$  is known at time  $n$ .)

**EX 14.4** Continuing. Let  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  where  $S_n = \sum_{i \leq n} X_i$  is adapted.

Our main definition is the following.

**DEF 14.5** A process  $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a martingale (MG) if

- $\{M_n\}$  is adapted
- $\mathbb{E}|M_n| < +\infty$  for all  $n$
- $\mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$

A superMG or subMG is similar but the last equality holds with  $\leq$  or  $\geq$  respectively. (Note that for a MG, by (TOWER), we have  $\mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_m] = M_m$  for all  $n > m$ .)

## 1.2 Examples

**EX 14.6 (Sums of iid RVs with mean 0)** Let

- $X_0, X_1, \dots$  iid RVs integrable and centered with  $X_0 = 0$
- $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$
- $S_n = \sum_{i \leq n} X_i$

Then note that  $\mathbb{E}|S_n| < \infty$  by triangle inequality and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{n-1} + X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= S_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}[X_n] = S_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**EX 14.7 (Variance of a sum)** Same setup with  $\sigma^2 \equiv \text{Var}[X_1] < \infty$ . Define

$$M_n = S_n^2 - n\sigma^2.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| \leq \sum_{i \leq n} \text{Var}[X_i] + n\sigma^2 \leq 2n\sigma^2 < +\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_n + S_{n-1})^2 - n\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_n^2 + 2X_n S_{n-1} + S_{n-1}^2 - n\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \sigma^2 + 0 + S_{n-1}^2 - n\sigma^2 = M_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**EX 14.8 (Exponential moment of a sum; Wald's MG)** Same setup with  $\phi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda X_1)] < +\infty$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Define

$$M_n = \phi(\lambda)^{-n} \exp(\lambda S_n).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda)^n}{\phi(\lambda)^n} = 1 < +\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \phi(\lambda)^{-n} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(X_n + S_{n-1})) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \phi(\lambda)^{-n} \exp(\lambda S_{n-1}) \phi(\lambda) = M_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**EX 14.9 (Product of iid RVs with mean 1)** Same setup with  $X_0 = 1$ ,  $X_i \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 1$ . Define

$$M_n = \prod_{i \leq n} X_i.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| = 1$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1} \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1}.$$

**EX 14.10 (Accumulating data; Doob's MG)** Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{F})$ . Define

$$M_n = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_n].$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| \leq \mathbb{E}|X| < +\infty,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1},$$

by (TOWER).

**EX 14.11 (Eigenvalues of transition matrix)** A Markov chain (MC) on a countable  $E$  is a process of the following form:

- $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in E}$ ,  $\{p(i, j)\}_{i, j \in E}$
- $Y(i, n) \sim p(i, \cdot)$  (indep.)
- $Z_0 \sim \mu$  and  $Z_n = Y(Z_{n-1}, n)$ .

Suppose  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is s.t.

$$\sum_j p(i, j) f(j) = \lambda f(i), \quad \forall i,$$

with  $\mathbb{E}|f(Z_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $n$ . Define

$$M_n = \lambda^{-n} f(Z_n).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| < +\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \lambda^{-n} \mathbb{E}[f(Z_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \sum_j p(Z_{n-1}, j) f(j) \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \cdot \lambda \cdot f(Z_{n-1}) = M_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

**EX 14.12 (Branching Process)** A branching process is a process of the following form:

- $X(i, n)$ ,  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ , iid with mean  $m$
- $Z_0 = 1$  and  $Z_n = \sum_{i \leq Z_{n-1}} X(i, n)$

Note that for  $f(j) = j$  in the context of the previous example we have

$$\sum_j p(i, j)j = mi,$$

so that  $M_n = m^{-n} Z_n$  is a MG.

## 2 Connection to gambling

**DEF 14.13** A process  $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is predictable if  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**EX 14.14** Continuing Example 14.2.  $C_n = \mathbb{1}\{S_{n-1} \leq k\}$  is predictable.

**EX 14.15** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an integrable adapted process and  $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , a bounded predictable process. Define

$$M_n = \sum_{i \leq n} (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]) C_i.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}|M_n| \leq \sum_{i \leq n} 2\mathbb{E}|X_n|K < +\infty,$$

where  $|C_n| < K$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_n - M_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}])C_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= C_n(\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

## 2.1 Fair games

Take the previous example with  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  a MG, that is,

$$M_n = (C \bullet X)_n \equiv \sum_{i \leq n} C_i (X_i - X_{i-1}),$$

where  $\{(C \bullet X)_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called the *martingale transform* and is a discrete analogue of stochastic integration. If you think of  $X_n - X_{n-1}$  as your net winnings per unit stake at time  $n$ , then  $C_n$  is a gambling strategy and  $(C \bullet X)$  is your total winnings up to time  $n$  in a *fair game*.

Arguing as in the previous example, we have the following theorem.

**THM 14.16 (You can't beat the system)** *Let  $\{C_n\}$  be a bounded predictable process and  $\{X_n\}$  be a MG. Then  $\{(C \bullet X)_n\}$  is also a MG. If, moreover,  $\{C_n\}$  is nonnegative and  $\{X_n\}$  is a superMG, then  $\{(C \bullet X)_n\}$  is also a superMG.*

## 2.2 Stopping times

Recall:

**DEF 14.17** *A random variable  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, +\infty\}$  is called a stopping time if*

$$\{T \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \forall n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_+,$$

*or, equivalently,*

$$\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \forall n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_+.$$

*(To see the equivalence, note*

$$\{T = n\} = \{T \leq n\} \setminus \{T \leq n-1\},$$

*and*

$$\{T \leq n\} = \cup_{i \leq n} \{T = i\}.)$$

In the gambling context, a stopping time is a time at which you decide to stop playing. That decision should only depend on the history up to time  $n$ .

**EX 14.18** *Let  $\{A_n\}$  be an adapted process and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then*

$$T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : A_n \in B\},$$

*is a stopping time.*

### 2.3 Stopped supermartingales are supermartingales

**DEF 14.19** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be an adapted process and  $T$  be a stopping time. Then

$$X_n^T(\omega) \equiv X_{T(\omega) \wedge n}(\omega),$$

is called  $\{X_n\}$  stopped at  $T$ .

**THM 14.20** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a superMG and  $T$  be a stopping time. Then the stopped process  $X^T$  is a superMG and in particular

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

The same result holds at equality if  $\{X_n\}$  is a MG.

**Proof:** Let

$$C_n^{(T)} = \mathbb{1}\{n \leq T\}.$$

Note that

$$\{C_n^{(T)} = 0\} = \{T \leq n - 1\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1},$$

so that  $C^{(T)}$  is predictable. It is also nonnegative and bounded. Note further that

$$(C^{(T)} \bullet X)_n = X_{T \wedge n} - X_0 = X_n^T - X_0.$$

Apply the previous theorem. ■

### 2.4 Optional stopping theorem

When can we say that  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ ? As a counter-example, think of the simple random walk started at 0 with  $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : S_n = 1\}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}[T < +\infty] = 1$ .

**THM 14.21** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a superMG and  $T$  be a stopping time. Then  $X_T$  is integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

if one of the following holds:

1.  $T$  is bounded
2.  $X$  is bounded and  $T$  is a.s. finite
3.  $\mathbb{E}[T] < +\infty$  and  $X$  has bounded increments
4.  $X$  is nonnegative and  $T$  is a.s. finite.

The first three hold with equality if  $X$  is a MG.

**Proof:** From the previous theorem, we have

$$(*) \quad \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n} - X_0] \leq 0.$$

1. Take  $n = N$  in  $(*)$  where  $T \leq N$  a.s.
2. Take  $n$  to  $+\infty$  and use (DOM).
3. Note that

$$|X_{T \wedge n} - X_0| \leq \left| \sum_{i \leq T \wedge n} (X_i - X_{i-1}) \right| \leq KT,$$

where  $|X_n - X_{n-1}| \leq K$  a.s. Use (DOM).

4. Use (FATOU).

■

### 3 Martingale convergence theorem

**DEF 14.22** We say that  $\{X_n\}_n$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  if

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| < +\infty.$$

**THM 14.23 (Martingale convergence theorem)** Let  $X$  be a superMG bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Then  $X_n$  converges and is finite a.s. Moreover, let  $X_\infty = \liminf_n X_n$  then  $X_\infty \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}|X_\infty| < +\infty$ .

To prove this key theorem, we use the connection to gambling.

#### 3.1 A natural gambling strategy

Recall that

$$(C \bullet X)_n = \sum_{i \leq n} C_i (X_i - X_{i-1}),$$

where  $C_n$  is predictable and  $X_n$  is a superMG, can be interpreted as your net winnings in a game. A natural strategy is to choose  $\alpha < \beta$  and apply the following

- REPEAT
  - Wait until  $X_n$  gets below  $\alpha$

– Play a unit stake until  $X_n$  gets above  $\beta$  and stop playing

- UNTIL TIME  $N$

More formally, let

$$C_1 = \mathbb{1}\{X_0 < \alpha\},$$

and

$$C_n = \mathbb{1}\{C_{n-1} = 1\}\mathbb{1}\{X_{n-1} \leq \beta\} + \mathbb{1}\{C_{n-1} = 0\}\mathbb{1}\{X_{n-1} < \alpha\}.$$

Then  $\{C_n\}$  is predictable.

### 3.2 Upcrossings

Define the following stopping times. Let  $T_0 = -1$ ,

$$T_{2k-1} = \inf\{n > T_{2k-2} : X_n < \alpha\},$$

and

$$T_{2k} = \inf\{n > T_{2k-1} : X_n > \beta\}.$$

The number of upcrossings of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  by time  $N$  is

$$U_N[\alpha, \beta] = \sup\{k : T_{2k} \leq N\}.$$

**LEM 14.24 (Doob's Upcrossing Lemma)** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a superMG. Then

$$(\beta - \alpha)\mathbb{E}U_N[\alpha, \beta] \leq \mathbb{E}[(X_N - \alpha)^-].$$

**Proof:** Let  $Y_n = (C \bullet X)_n$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}$  is a superMG and satisfies

$$Y_N \geq (\beta - \alpha)U_N[\alpha, \beta] - (X_N - \alpha)^-,$$

since  $(X_N - \alpha)^-$  overestimates the loss during the last interval of play. The result follows from  $\mathbb{E}[Y_N] \leq 0$ . ■

**COR 14.25** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a superMG bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Then

$$U_N[\alpha, \beta] \uparrow U_\infty[\alpha, \beta],$$

$$(\beta - \alpha)\mathbb{E}U_\infty[\alpha, \beta] \leq |\alpha| + \sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| < +\infty,$$

so that

$$\mathbb{P}[U_\infty[\alpha, \beta] = \infty] = 0.$$

**Proof:** Use (MON). ■



### 3.3 Convergence theorem

We are ready to prove the main theorem.

**Proof:**(of THM 14.23) Let  $\alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  and

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \{\omega : \liminf X_n < \alpha < \beta < \limsup X_n\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &\equiv \{\omega : X_n \text{ does not converge in } [-\infty, +\infty]\} \\ &= \{\omega : \liminf X_n < \limsup X_n\} \\ &= \cup_{\alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{Q}} \Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \subseteq \{U_\infty[\alpha, \beta] = \infty\},$$

we have  $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}] = 0$ . By countability,  $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda] = 0$ . Use (FATOU) on  $|X_n|$  to conclude. ■

A very useful corollary:

**COR 14.26** *If  $\{X_n\}$  is a nonnegative superMG then  $X_n$  converges a.s.*

**Proof:**  $\{X_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}^1$  since

$$\mathbb{E}|X_n| = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0], \forall n. \quad \blacksquare$$

**EX 14.27 (Polya's Urn)** *An urn contains 1 red ball and 1 green ball. At each time, we pick one ball and put it back with an extra ball of the same color. Let  $R_n$  (resp.  $G_n$ ) be the number of red balls (resp. green balls) after the  $n$ th draw. Let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(R_0, G_0, R_1, G_1, \dots, R_n, G_n)$ . Define  $M_n$  to be the fraction of green balls. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \frac{R_{n-1}}{G_{n-1} + R_{n-1}} \frac{G_{n-1}}{G_{n-1} + R_{n-1} + 1} \\ &\quad + \frac{G_{n-1}}{G_{n-1} + R_{n-1}} \frac{G_{n-1} + 1}{G_{n-1} + R_{n-1} + 1} \\ &= \frac{G_{n-1}}{G_{n-1} + R_{n-1}} = M_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M_n \geq 0$  and is a MG, we have  $M_n \rightarrow M_\infty$  a.s. See [Dur10, Section 4.3] for distribution of the limit and a generalization, or decipher,

$$\mathbb{P}[G_n = m + 1] = \binom{n}{m} \frac{m!(n-m)!}{(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{n+1},$$

so that

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n \leq x] = \frac{\lfloor x(n+2) - 1 \rfloor}{n+1} \rightarrow x,$$

(by a sandwich argument).

**EX 14.28 (Convergence in  $L^1$ ?)** We give an example that shows that the conditions of the Martingale Convergence Theorem do not guarantee convergence of expectations. Let  $\{S_n\}$  be SRW started at 1 and

$$T = \inf\{n > 0 : S_n = 0\}.$$

Then  $\{S_{T \wedge n}\}$  is a nonnegative MG. It can only converge to 0. (Any other integer value would not be possible because convergence would have to have occurred at a finite time and the next time step would have to be different.) But  $\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 1 \neq 0$ .

## References

- [Dur10] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [KT75] Samuel Karlin and Howard M. Taylor. *A first course in stochastic processes*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975.
- [Wil91] David Williams. *Probability with martingales*. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.