

Math 846 Presentation
Parameters of a code, Hamming code
Instructor: Pro. Paul Terwilliger

Ruocheng Yang

May 2023

Introduction.

Math Model: Sender $\xrightarrow{\text{code}}_{\text{(error correction)}}^C$ channel $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon} v=c+\varepsilon$

decode \xleftarrow{c} Receiver \xrightarrow{v}

$x \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ information; $x \rightarrow c$ codeword with error correction capability
 ε : error vector

Definitions: def 1: \mathbb{F}_q^n , n-dim v.s. over \mathbb{F}_q , nonempty subset C in \mathbb{F}_q^n called a q-element code, n: length of code vectors in C called code words

- $K = |C|$, $1 \leq K \leq q^n$. number of code words
- $k = \log_q K$, information bits ($k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \leq n$)
- $\frac{k}{n}$: efficiency or information rate

We need a def. to compare the capability of error correction

def 2. $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad \vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$

- Hamming weight of \vec{a} $W_H(a) = \#\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i \neq 0\}$
- Hamming distance $d_H(a, b) = \#\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i \neq b_i\} = W_H(a-b)$

Easy to check this is a distance. (omit H)

def 3. Assume C is code with length n and q-element $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ nonempty

$K = |C| \geq 2$ minimal Hamming distance = minimal distance of C

$$d \triangleq d(C) = \min \{d(c, c') \mid c, c' \in C, c \neq c'\}$$

Easy but fundamental:

Thm. If d is the minimal distance of C , then C can examine $\leq d-1$ elements errors, also can do error correction $\leq \left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ elements.

Proof. triangle inequality.

- Assume the sending code $c \in C$, errors $\leq d-1$ i.e. $1 \leq W(\varepsilon) \leq d-1$, so accept $v = c + \varepsilon \in C$
- $\Rightarrow d(c, v) = w(v-c) = w(\varepsilon) \leq d-1$. Also for $c' \neq c$, $d(c, c') \geq d$, so $v \neq c'$, v is not a code \checkmark
- Assume $1 \leq W(\varepsilon) \leq \left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$, so $d(c, v) = w(\varepsilon) \leq \left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$, and for $c' \neq c$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(c', v) &\geq d(c, c') - d(c, v) \\ &\geq d - \left\lceil \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rceil > \left\lceil \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rceil \end{aligned}$$

so c is a unique closest code for v , $v \rightarrow c$ ✓ correct.

Parameters. For fixed \mathbb{F}_q^n , $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ has three parameters.

- Length n
- Number of codes $K = |C|$ (or use information bits $k = \log_2 K$), $0 \leq k \leq n$
- Minimal distance $d = d(C)$, $1 \leq d \leq n$

We represent the error code as $(n, k, d)_q$ or $[n, k, d]_q$ or q -ary ...

Topics :

- Good codes i.e. $\frac{k}{n}$ and d big enough
- Good decoding

For ①, n, k, d are restricting each other.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{k}{n} = \max = 1, k = n, K = q^n, C = \mathbb{F}_q^n & (d=1) \\ d = \max = n, \max |C| = q, K \leq q, k \leq 1, \frac{k}{n} \leq n \end{cases}$$

So a good way to ask this is fixing two of them.

Eg. get the Hamming bound. Hard to create good codes.

For ②, it is from application save time to code and decode eg. Then above

So we need Combinatorics, Algebra even Geometry

Classification. For \mathbb{F}_q^n , classify q -ary codes i.e. some n, k, d .

def 4. (equivalence)

component

- Permutation. $\sigma \in S_n$ $\sigma(c) = (c_{\sigma(1)}, c_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ $\sigma(C) = \{\sigma(c) \mid c \in C\} \vee$
- Element Permutation. $f: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ bijection, $f(0)=0$
- $f(c) = (f(c_1), \dots, f(c_n)) \quad f(C) = \{f(c) \mid c \in C\} \vee$
- Parallel transport $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $C' = C + v = \{c + v \mid c \in C\} \vee$

Some bounds of error-correcting codes

Thm. (Hamming bound) For $(n, k, d)_q$, we have

$$q^n \geq K \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} (q-1)^i \binom{n}{i}$$

Proof. Counting vectors in the "balls".

For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, define $S_q(v, r) = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid d(u, v) \leq r\}$

- Numer of vectors in this balls.

For $i \geq 0$, if $d(u, v) = i$, then u and v have i distinct components, fix v . $\binom{n}{i}$ to choose i components. Since on these i components, $v_i \neq u_i$, so every component has $q-1$ choices, other components are same.

So there are $(q-1)^i \cdot \binom{n}{i}$ satisfying $d(u, v) = i$.

$$\text{So } |S_q(v, r)| = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{n}{i} (q-1)^i.$$

Now we assume $\exists (n, k, d)$ q -ary C , name $l = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$, focus on $S_q(c, l)$, there are K balls.

For distinct balls $S_q(c_1, l)$ & $S_q(c_2, l)$, these not intersect each other since if $u \in S_q(c_1, l) \cap S_q(c_2, l)$, then $d(c_1, c_2) \leq l$, $d(c_1, c_2) \leq l$

$$\Rightarrow d(c_1, c_2) \leq d(c_1, u) + d(c_2, u) \leq 2l = 2 \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor \leq d-1$$

But $d(c_1, c_2) \geq d$.

So all the sum of elements = $K \cdot \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{n}{i} (q-1)^i \leq \#(\mathbb{F}_q^n) = q^n$.

#

def 5. perfect code (equality) i.e. q -ary C $(n, k, 2l+1) \Rightarrow q^n = K \cdot \sum_{i=0}^l (q-1)^i \binom{n}{i}$

Good: K balls $S_q(c, l)$ fill the entire space.

1973. Van Lint & Tietäväinen decide all of the perfect codes. (there is a survey)

Remark. Another bounds: • Singleton bound : $K \leq q^{n-d+1}$ ($n \geq k+d-1$)

↓

Good ✓ MDS (Maximal Distance Separable) code

For binary codes, Plotkin bound : $K \leq \begin{cases} 2 \lfloor \frac{d}{2k-n} \rfloor & K \text{ even} \\ 2 \lfloor \frac{d}{2k-n} \rfloor - 1 & K \text{ odd} \end{cases}$

Indeed, finding good codes is a combinatorial problem, since C is just a subset of \mathbb{F}_q^n .
So consider C to be a linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Remark: For Singleton bound, we can create the set $C_a = \{(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \mid (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, a) \in C\}$, $d(C_a) \geq d(C) = d$.

For q codes C_a ($a \in F_q$), all numbers $\Rightarrow |C| = k = \sum_{a \in F_q} |C_a|$. $\exists a \in F_q$ s.t. $|C_a| \geq \frac{k}{q}$, so $\exists (n, \geq \frac{k}{q}, \geq d)$ q -ary code. Keep doing this, we know $\exists q$ -ary code C' with parameters $(d, \geq \frac{k}{q}n, d)$.

$$\Rightarrow q \geq |C'| \geq \frac{k}{q^{n-d+1}}, \text{ so } k \leq q^{n-d+1}$$

MDS code is related to polynomial code. See references for details.

Linear codes

Parity Check matrix & Generator matrix

def 6. (Linear codes) Linear subspace of F_p^n over F_p i.e. $\forall c, c' \in C \Rightarrow a, a' \in F_p$, $a(c+c') \in C$

$$k = \dim_{F_p} C, K = |C| = q^k, d(C) = \min \{w(c) \mid 0 \neq c \in C\}$$

Lemma. $d(C) = \min \{w(c) \mid 0 \neq c \in C\}$

Proof. $0 \in C$.

Tools in LA. F_q -basis of C $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, $v_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) \quad (\forall i \in k \quad a_{ij} \in F_q \quad 1 \leq j \leq n)$

Each code can be uniquely written by $c = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k \quad (b_i \in F_q)$

$$\text{rank}(G) = k, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kn} \end{pmatrix} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) G$$

↓ generator matrix, not unique, depends on basis.

error-coding: $\begin{array}{ccc} g: F_q^k & \longrightarrow & C \subseteq F_q^n \end{array}$

$$(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mapsto (b_1, \dots, b_k) G$$

q^k messages

On the other hand, k -dim sub v.s. must be all solutions of homogeneous linear equations

$$\text{e.g. } \begin{cases} b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + \dots + b_{1n}x_n = 0 \\ \vdots \\ b_{k1}x_1 + b_{k2}x_2 + \dots + b_{kn}x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$H = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n-k, 1 \leq j \leq n} \quad \text{rank}(H) = n-k$$

↓ parity check matrix

From def, $H \in F_q^{n-k \times n}$, $v \in C \Leftrightarrow VH^T = 0$, so we can use H to check $v \in C$ or not.

H can also determine the minimal distance of the linear code.

$$H = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \quad u_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1i} \\ b_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ b_{ni} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

Lem. q -ary $[n, k]$ code C , if d vectors in U_C is \mathbb{F}_q linear independent, and $\exists d$ vectors $(\mathbb{F}_q$ linear dependent, C has minimal distance d .

Proof. $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \quad w_H(c) = l$

$$c=0 \Leftrightarrow 0=Hc^T = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = c_{j_1}u_{j_1} + \dots + c_{j_l}u_{j_l}$$

Weight l codes in C give l \mathbb{F}_q -linear dependent vectors in H . So min distance = $\min_{v_j} \# \{i : v_{ij} \neq 0\}$

H can also be used to error-correcting.

Exercise. \exists a linear code C' equivalent to code C , s.t. C' has the generator matrix in form $G' = [I_k \mid P]$, $P \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(k \times n-k)}$ and C' has parity check matrix $[P^T \mid I_{n-k}]$.

Useful.

Error Correction Decoding Algorithm for Linear Codes.

Assume C is a q -ary $[n, k, d]$ code, $d = \left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$, and C has $H = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ u_i has length $n-k$ on \mathbb{F}_q . If some errors happen, i.e. receive a vector $y = c + \epsilon$ and $w(\epsilon) \leq d$ (error positions $\leq d$).

- Compute $v = Hy^T$.

This is a length $n-k$ vector on \mathbb{F}_q , called the parity checking vector.

- If $v=0$, then $\epsilon=0$, $y=c$.

- If $v \neq 0$, then v can be represented by $\leq d$ vectors via linear combinations using u_1, \dots, u_n .

i.e. $v = a_{i_1}u_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_t}u_{i_t} \quad (1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t \leq n)$,

and $1 \leq t \leq d$, $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_t} \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, and

$$\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$$

$\epsilon_{i_1} = a_{i_1}$, $\epsilon_{i_2} = a_{i_2}$, ..., $\epsilon_{i_t} = a_{i_t}$, and when $i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t$, $\epsilon_i = 0$. So $c = y - \epsilon$

i.e. There are t errors, and errors are i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t , values are $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_t}$.

Extended code.

Exercise. Assume C is a $[n, k, d]$ - binary code, $d \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$, then the extended code

$$C' = \{(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n+1} \mid (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in C, c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n + c_{n+1} = 0\}$$

is a $[n+1, k, d+1]$ - binary code.

Hamming Code (perfect linear code, also Golay code)

Assume $m \geq 2$, \mathbb{F}_q^m has $q^m - 1$ non-zero vectors, and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ are linearly dependent $\Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ s.t. $\alpha v_2 = v_1$. Projective equivalence: each class has $q-1$ vectors $\Rightarrow \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ classes. Choose a representative vector from each class u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n , $n = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$, length of u_i is m .

$$H_m = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$$

def. 7 (Hamming code) q -ary code with parity check matrix H_m .

Thm. Parameters of $[n, k, d]$ Hamming code is $\left[\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}-m, 3 \right]$, and perfect.

Proof. $(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, \dots, 0) \dots (0, 0, \dots, 1) \in$ different equivalent classes, two of them are linear independent
 $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(H)=m$. So $n = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1} : \# \{\text{equivalent classes}\}$, $k = n-m = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1} - m$

So each column in H belongs to different equivalent classes, any two columns are linear independent

\hookrightarrow Sum of two columns nonzero, so must be equivalent to one column vector in H , so these three L.d.

$$\Rightarrow d=3, \sum_{i=0}^k (q-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = 1 + (q-1)n = 1 + q^m - 1 = q^m = q^{nk} \quad \checkmark$$

Ex. $[7, 4, 3]$ -code binary Hamming code. When $q=2$, H_m is a matrix with $2^m - 1$ m -length nonzero vectors.

$$\text{So } [n, k, 3] = [2^m - 1, 2^m - m, 3] \quad (m \geq 2)$$

For $m=3$,

$$H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (I_3 : P)$$

So from this we get the generator matrix

$$G_3 = (P^T ; I_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is equivalent to example before.

Also, Golay code is a good perfect code. (Later)

1973, as before said, for every nontrivial q -ary perfect code, n, k, d must be same as Hamming code or Golay code.

But $[n, k, d]$ only invariant under equivalent classes, not "perfect invariants". Generally, we have codes with same parameters but not equivalent.

So we need something specific.

e.g. MacWilliams identity & weight polynomial

[References]

[1] Algebraic Combinatorics. Eiichi Bannai, Etsuko Bannai
Tatsuro Ito , Rie Tanaka

[2] Introduction to Coding Theory. Van Lint

[3] Coding Theory . Van Lint