

Next, we consider how the algebra T acts on the standard module V . By a T -module we mean a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $TW \subseteq W$. A T -module W is *irreducible* whenever W is nonzero, and W does not contain a T -module besides 0 and W .

Lemma 6.19. *Let W denote a T -module. Then the orthogonal complement W^\perp is a T -module.*

Proof. For $A \in T$ we have $\overline{A}^t \in T$. Also

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, \overline{A}^t v \rangle \quad u, v \in V.$$

By these comments we obtain the result. \square

Corollary 6.20. *The standard module V is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T -modules.*

Proof. Use Lemma 6.19. \square

Next, we describe a particular irreducible T -module called the primary T -module. Recall the vector $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{y \in X} \hat{y}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define the vector

$$\mathbf{1}_i = \sum_{y \in \Gamma_i(x)} \hat{y}.$$

Observe that

$$E_i^* \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_i = A_i \hat{x} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Consequently

$$\mathcal{M}^* E_0 V = \mathcal{M} E_0^* V. \quad (28)$$

Lemma 6.21. *The vector space $\mathcal{M}^* E_0 V = \mathcal{M} E_0^* V$ is an irreducible T -module.*

Proof. Define $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M}^* E_0 V = \mathcal{M} E_0^* V$. We have $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ since $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M} E_0^* V$. We have $\mathcal{M}^* \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ since $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M}^* E_0 V$. Therefore $T\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, so \mathcal{V} is a T -module. We show that the T -module \mathcal{V} is irreducible. The standard T -module V is a direct sum of irreducible T -modules. There exists an irreducible T -module that is not orthogonal to \hat{x} . This T -module is closed under E_0^* , so it contains \hat{x} and also $\mathcal{M}\hat{x} = \mathcal{V}$. This T -module must equal \mathcal{V} by irreducibility. \square

Lecture 9

Definition 6.22. Define $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M}^* E_0 V = \mathcal{M} E_0^* V$. The T -module \mathcal{V} is called *primary*.

Lemma 6.23. *For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have*

$$|X| E_i \hat{x} = A_i^* \mathbf{1}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Both vectors in (29) have y -coordinate $|X|(E_i)_{y,x}$ for $y \in X$. □

Definition 6.24. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $\mathbf{1}_i^*$ denote the common vector in (29).

We clarify the definitions. Note that $\mathbf{1}_0 = \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{1}_0^* = \mathbf{1}$. Moreover

$$\mathbf{1}_0^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \mathbf{1}_i, \quad \mathbf{1}_0 = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \mathbf{1}_i^*.$$

The following result is routinely verified.

Lemma 6.25. *For the primary T -module \mathcal{V} ,*

- (i) $\mathbf{1}_i$ is a basis for $E_i^* \mathcal{V}$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$);
- (ii) $\{\mathbf{1}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for \mathcal{V} ;
- (iii) $\mathbf{1}_i^*$ is a basis for $E_i \mathcal{V}$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$);
- (iv) $\{\mathbf{1}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for \mathcal{V} .

Next, we describe how the bases $\{\mathbf{1}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\mathbf{1}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are related.

Lemma 6.26. *For $0 \leq j \leq d$ we have*

- (i) $\mathbf{1}_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \overline{P_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i^*$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{1}_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \overline{Q_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i$.

Proof. (i) Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_j &= E_j^* \mathbf{1} = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d P_j(i) A_i^* \mathbf{1} = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d P_j(i) \mathbf{1}_i^* \\ &= |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d P_j(\hat{i}) \mathbf{1}_i^* = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \overline{P_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Observe

$$\mathbf{1}_j^* = |X| E_j \hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^d Q_j(i) A_i \hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^d Q_j(i) \mathbf{1}_{i'} = \sum_{i=0}^d Q_j(i') \mathbf{1}_i = \sum_{i=0}^d \overline{Q_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i.$$

□

Next we describe how the algebra T acts on the bases $\{\mathbf{1}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\mathbf{1}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$.

Lemma 6.27. *For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have*

- (i) $E_i^* \mathbf{1}_j = \delta_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_j$;
- (ii) $A_i^* \mathbf{1}_j = Q_i(j) \mathbf{1}_j$;

$$(iii) E_i \mathbf{1}_j = |X|^{-1} \overline{P_j(i)} \sum_{h=0}^d \overline{Q_i(h)} \mathbf{1}_h;$$

$$(iv) A_i \mathbf{1}_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{i',j}^k \mathbf{1}_k.$$

Proof. (i) Clear.

(ii) Observe

$$A_i^* \mathbf{1}_j = A_i^* E_j^* \mathbf{1} = Q_i(j) E_j^* \mathbf{1} = Q_i(j) \mathbf{1}_j.$$

(iii) Observe

$$\begin{aligned} E_i \mathbf{1}_j &= E_i A_{j'} \hat{x} = A_{j'} E_i \hat{x} = P_{j'}(i) E_i \hat{x} = \overline{P_j(i)} E_i \hat{x} \\ &= |X|^{-1} \overline{P_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i^* = |X|^{-1} \overline{P_j(i)} \sum_{h=0}^d \overline{Q_i(h)} \mathbf{1}_h. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Observe

$$A_i \mathbf{1}_j = A_i A_{j'} \hat{x} = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{i,j'}^k A_k \hat{x} = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{i,j'}^k \mathbf{1}_{k'} = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{i,j'}^{k'} \mathbf{1}_k = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{i',j}^k \mathbf{1}_k.$$

□

Lemma 6.28. For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have

$$(i) E_i \mathbf{1}_j^* = \delta_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_j^*;$$

$$(ii) A_i \mathbf{1}_j^* = P_i(j) \mathbf{1}_j^*;$$

$$(iii) E_i^* \mathbf{1}_j^* = |X|^{-1} \overline{Q_j(i)} \sum_{h=0}^d \overline{P_i(h)} \mathbf{1}_h^*;$$

$$(iv) A_i^* \mathbf{1}_j^* = \sum_{k=0}^D q_{i,j}^k \mathbf{1}_k^*.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.27. (i) Clear.

(ii) Observe

$$A_i \mathbf{1}_j^* = |X| A_i E_j \hat{x} = |X| P_i(j) E_j \hat{x} = P_i(j) \mathbf{1}_j^*.$$

(iii) Observe

$$E_i^* \mathbf{1}_j^* = E_i^* A_j^* \mathbf{1} = A_j^* E_i^* \mathbf{1} = A_j^* \mathbf{1}_i = Q_j(i) \mathbf{1}_i = \overline{Q_j(i)} \mathbf{1}_i = |X|^{-1} \overline{Q_j(i)} \sum_{h=0}^d \overline{P_i(h)} \mathbf{1}_h^*.$$

(iv) Observe

$$A_i^* \mathbf{1}_j^* = A_i^* A_j^* \mathbf{1} = \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k A_k^* \mathbf{1} = \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k \mathbf{1}_k^* = \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k \mathbf{1}_k^* = \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k \mathbf{1}_k^*.$$

□

Next we bring in the bilinear form.

Lemma 6.29. *For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have*

$$(i) \quad \langle \mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} k_i;$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle \mathbf{1}_i^*, \mathbf{1}_j^* \rangle = \delta_{i,j} |X| m_i;$$

$$(iii) \quad \langle \mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j^* \rangle = \overline{P_i(j)} m_j = Q_j(i) k_i.$$

Proof. (i) Routine.

(ii) Observe

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_i^*, \mathbf{1}_j^* \rangle = |X|^2 \langle E_i \hat{x}, E_j \hat{x} \rangle = |X|^2 \langle \hat{x}, E_i E_j \hat{x} \rangle = \delta_{i,j} |X|^2 \langle \hat{x}, E_i \hat{x} \rangle = \delta_{i,j} |X| m_i.$$

(iii) Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j^* \rangle &= |X| \langle A_i \hat{x}, E_j \hat{x} \rangle = |X| \langle \hat{x}, \overline{(A_i)^t} E_j \hat{x} \rangle = |X| \langle \hat{x}, A_i E_j \hat{x} \rangle \\ &= |X| \overline{P_i(j)} \langle \hat{x}, E_j \hat{x} \rangle = \overline{P_i(j)} m_j = Q_j(i) k_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

7 Duality for commutative association schemes

In this section we discuss the concept of duality for commutative association schemes. To motivate things, we start with a small example.

Consider the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ with three elements. Of course G is abelian, so each conjugacy class contains one element. Consider the conjugacy-class association scheme \mathcal{X} for G . The associate matrices of \mathcal{X} are

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $A_2 = A_1^2$ and $A_1^3 = I$. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ denote a primitive third root of unity. Note that

$$\bar{\omega} = \omega^2 = \omega^{-1}, \quad 1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0.$$

The primitive idempotents of \mathcal{X} are

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \\ \omega^2 & \omega & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first and second eigenmatrices of \mathcal{X} are

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$P = \overline{Q}. \quad (30)$$

We will interpret (30) using duality.

For the rest of this section, we assume that $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ is a commutative association scheme with Bose-Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} , associate matrices $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$, and primitive idempotents $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

Definition 7.1. A *duality* of \mathcal{X} is a \mathbb{C} -linear bijection $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ that satisfies (i), (ii) below:

- (i) $\Psi(AB) = \Psi(A) \circ \Psi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (ii) $\Psi(\Psi(A)) = |X|A^t$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

We say that \mathcal{X} is *self-dual* whenever \mathcal{X} has a duality.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that \mathcal{X} has a duality Ψ . Then*

- (i) $\Psi(A \circ B) = |X|^{-1}\Psi(A)\Psi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (ii) $\Psi(A^t) = (\Psi(A))^t$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. (i) Each side is equal to $|X|\Psi^{-1}(A^t \circ B^t)$.

(ii) Each side is equal to $|X|^{-1}\Psi^3(A)$. □

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that \mathcal{X} has a duality Ψ . Then*

- (i) $\Psi(I) = J$;
- (ii) $\Psi(J) = |X|I$.

Proof. (i) For $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Psi(A) = \Psi(AI) = \Psi(A) \circ \Psi(I).$$

The result follows.

(ii) For $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Psi(A) = \Psi(A \circ J) = |X|^{-1}\Psi(A)\Psi(J).$$

So $|X|^{-1}\Psi(J) = I$. The result follows. □

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that \mathcal{X} has a duality Ψ . Then there exists an ordering $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the relations such that*

$$\Psi(E_i) = A_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Proof. For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$. In this equation we apply Ψ to each side; this yields

$$\delta_{i,j} \Psi(E_i) = \Psi(E_i E_j) = \Psi(E_i) \circ \Psi(E_j).$$

By these comments, the sequence $\{\Psi(E_i)\}_{i=0}^d$ is a permutation of the sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$. The result follows. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Assume that \mathcal{X} has a duality Ψ such that $\Psi(E_i) = A_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then (i)–(iv) hold below:*

$$(i) \quad \Psi(A_i) = |X|E_i^t \quad (0 \leq i \leq d);$$

$$(ii) \quad i' = \hat{i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d);$$

$$(iii) \quad P = \overline{Q};$$

$$(iv) \quad p_{i,j}^k = q_{i,j}^k \quad (0 \leq i, j, k \leq d).$$

Proof. (i) We have

$$|X|E_i^t = \Psi(\Psi(E_i)) = \Psi(A_i).$$

(ii) We have

$$A_i = \Psi(E_i) = \Psi(E_i^t) = (\Psi(E_i))^t = A_i^t = A_{i'}.$$

(iii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have $A_i = \sum_{j=0}^d P_i(j) E_j$. In this equation we apply Ψ to each side; this yields

$$|X|E_i^t = \sum_{j=0}^d P_i(j) A_j.$$

We may now argue

$$\sum_{j=0}^d P_i(j) A_j = |X|E_i^t = |X|\overline{E_i} = \sum_{j=0}^d \overline{Q_i(j)} A_j$$

Therefore $P_i(j) = \overline{Q_i(j)}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Consequently $P = \overline{Q}$.

(iv) We have

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k E_k \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

In this equation, we apply Ψ to each side and evaluate the result; this yields

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d q_{i,j}^k A_k \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$