

## Lecture 31

(Adjusting the notes from Lecture 30 by inserting a few results) Our next general goal is to treat the case of equality in Theorem 22.1. We will make use of the following concepts.

Recall the standard module  $V$ .

**Definition 22.2.** We turn the vector space  $V$  into a commutative, associative,  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra with multiplication  $\circ$  defined as follows:

$$\hat{y} \circ \hat{z} = \delta_{y,z} \hat{y} \quad y, z \in X. \quad (90)$$

The algebra  $V$  is isomorphic to the algebra of functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Motivated by this, we call the algebra  $V$  the *function algebra*.

In order to illustrate the multiplication  $\circ$ , let  $v, w \in V$  and write

$$v = \sum_{y \in X} v_y \hat{y}, \quad w = \sum_{y \in X} w_y \hat{y} \quad v_y, w_y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$v \circ w = \sum_{y \in X} v_y w_y \hat{y}.$$

**Lemma 22.3.** For the function algebra  $V$ , the multiplicative identity is  $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{y \in X} \hat{y}$ .

*Proof.* Routine. □

**Lemma 22.4.** Fix  $x \in X$  and write  $T = T(x)$ . For  $v \in V$  and  $0 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$A_i^* v = |X| E_i \hat{x} \circ v.$$

*Proof.* Write  $v = \sum_{y \in X} v_y \hat{y}$ . Pick  $y \in X$ . The  $y$ -coordinate of  $A_i^* v$  is

$$(A_i^* v)_y = (A_i^*)_{y,y} v_y = |X| (E_i)_{x,y} v_y.$$

The  $y$ -coordinate of  $E_i \hat{x} \circ v$  is

$$(E_i \hat{x} \circ v)_y = (E_i \hat{x})_y v_y = (E_i)_{y,x} v_y = (E_i)_{x,y} v_y.$$

The result follows. □

**Proposition 22.5.** For  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,

$$\text{Span}(E_i V \circ E_j V) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq d \\ q_{i,j}^k \neq 0}} E_k V. \quad (91)$$

*Proof.* We first establish the inclusion  $\subseteq$ . By construction  $E_i V = \text{Span}\{E_i \hat{y} | y \in X\}$ . We show that for  $x \in X$ ,

$$E_i \hat{x} \circ E_j V \subseteq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq d \\ q_{i,j}^k \neq 0}} E_k V.$$

Write  $T = T(x)$ . We have

$$E_i \hat{x} \circ E_j V = A_i^* E_j V \subseteq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq d \\ q_{i,j}^k \neq 0}} E_k V.$$

Next we establish the inclusion  $\supseteq$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq d$  such that  $q_{i,j}^k \neq 0$ , we show that  $\text{Span}(E_i V \circ E_j V) \supseteq E_k V$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Span}(E_i V \circ E_j V) &= \text{Span}\{E_i \hat{y} \circ E_j \hat{z} | y, z \in X\} \\ &\supseteq \text{Span}\{E_i \hat{y} \circ E_j \hat{y} | y \in X\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{(E_i \circ E_j) \hat{y} | y \in X\} \\ &= (E_i \circ E_j) V \\ &\supseteq (E_i \circ E_j) E_k V \\ &= \left( |X|^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^d q_{i,j}^\ell E_\ell \right) E_k V \\ &= |X|^{-1} q_{i,j}^k E_k V \\ &= E_k V. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now consider the case of equality in Theorem 22.1.

**Theorem 22.6.** *Let  $Y$  denote a nonempty subset of  $X$  with degree  $s$  and stength  $t$ . Write  $e = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^e m_i$ ;
- (ii)  $|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^s m_i$ ;
- (iii)  $s = e$ .

*Assume (i)–(iii) holds, and write  $E = \sum_{i=0}^s E_i$ . Then the vectors  $\{E \hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  form a basis for  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$  such that*

$$\langle E \hat{y}, E \hat{z} \rangle = \delta_{y,z} \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \quad (y, z \in Y).$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) This is Theorem 20.2(iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), (ii) We have

$$m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_e \leq |Y| \leq m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_e$$

and hence equality throughout.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It suffices to show that  $t = 2s$ . We adopt the notation from the proof of Theorem 22.1.

By the proof of Theorem 22.1, the vectors  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  are linearly independent and contained in  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$ . The subspace  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$  has dimension  $\sum_{i=0}^s m_i = |Y|$ . Therefore  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  is a basis for  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$ . Recall that

$$\langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{z} \rangle = \delta_{y,z} \quad (y, z \in Y).$$

Therefore, the vectors  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  form a basis for  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$  that is dual to the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$ . Let  $H \in M_Y(\mathbb{R})$  denote the transition matrix from the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  to the basis  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$ . For  $z \in Y$ ,

$$F\hat{z} = \sum_{y \in Y} H_{y,z} E\hat{y}.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we compute the  $(y, z)$ -entry of  $H$ . Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . We have

$$\langle F\hat{y}, F\hat{z} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} H_{w,z} \langle F\hat{y}, E\hat{w} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} H_{w,z} \delta_{y,w} = H_{y,z}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_{y,z} &= \langle F\hat{y}, F\hat{z} \rangle = |X|^2 \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^s \gamma_i \gamma_j \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_j \hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X|^2 \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i^2 \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_i \hat{z} \rangle = |X| \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i^2 Q_i(k) = |X| \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i^2 v_i^*(\theta_k^*). \end{aligned}$$

The matrix  $H^{-1}$  is the transition matrix from the basis  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  to the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$ . For  $z \in Y$ ,

$$E\hat{z} = \sum_{y \in Y} (H^{-1})_{y,z} F\hat{y}.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we compute the  $(y, z)$ -entry of  $H^{-1}$ . Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . We have

$$\langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} (H^{-1})_{w,z} \langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{w} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} (H^{-1})_{w,z} \delta_{y,w} = (H^{-1})_{y,z}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{-1})_{y,z} &= \langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^s \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_j \hat{z} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^s \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_i \hat{z} \rangle = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s Q_i(k) = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(\theta_k^*). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the vector  $\psi_Y$ . Note that

$$\psi_Y = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i \psi_Y = E_0 \psi_Y + \sum_{i=1}^d E_i \psi_Y = \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^d E_i \psi_Y.$$

Our goal is to show that  $t = 2s$ . It suffices to show that  $a_i^* = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2s$ . It suffices to show that  $E_i \psi_Y = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2s$ . It suffices to show that

$$\left\langle \psi_Y - \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \mathbf{1}, E_0 V + E_1 V + \cdots + E_{2s} V \right\rangle = 0. \quad (92)$$

By Proposition 22.5 and since the ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is  $Q$ -polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 V + E_1 + \cdots + E_{2s} V &= \text{Span}\{u \circ v \mid u, v \in E_0 V + E_1 V + \cdots + E_s V\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \mid y, z \in Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

By these comments, the requirement (92) becomes

$$\left\langle \psi_Y - \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \mathbf{1}, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \right\rangle = 0, \quad y, z \in Y. \quad (93)$$

We will show (93). For  $y, z \in Y$  we have

$$\langle \mathbf{1}, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle = \langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{z} \rangle = \delta_{y,z}.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_Y, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle &= \sum_{w \in Y} \langle \hat{w}, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} \langle E\hat{y}, \hat{w} \rangle \langle F\hat{z}, \hat{w} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{w \in Y} \langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{w} \rangle \langle F\hat{z}, E\hat{w} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} \langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{w} \rangle \delta_{z,w} = \langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(\theta_k^*), \quad (y, z) \in R_k. \end{aligned}$$

With these comments in mind, we verify (93). Pick  $y, z \in Y$ . First assume that  $y = z$ . Then  $y, z$  satisfy (93) because

$$\langle \psi_Y, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(\theta_0^*) = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s m_i = \frac{|Y|}{|X|} = \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \langle \mathbf{1}, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle.$$

Next assume that  $y \neq z$ . Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . In equation (93), the left-hand side is equal to

$$\langle \psi_Y, E\hat{y} \circ F\hat{z} \rangle = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(\theta_k^*).$$

Therefore, the vertices  $y, z$  satisfy (93) provided that  $\theta_k^*$  is a root of the polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(z)$ . By these comments,  $t = 2s$  provided that  $\theta_k^*$  is a root of  $\sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(z)$  for all  $k \in S$ . The polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(z)$  has degree  $s = |S|$ . Recall that the polynomial  $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i v_i^*(z)$  has roots  $\{\theta_k^*\}_{k \in S}$ . Therefore,  $t = 2s$  provided that  $f(z)$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^s v_i^*(z)$  agree up to a scalar factor. In other words,  $t = 2s$  provided that  $\gamma_i$  is independent of  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . We now compute  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=0}^s$ . We have

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i m_i \quad (94)$$

because

$$1 = f(\theta_0^*) = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i v_i^*(\theta_0^*) = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i m_i.$$

If  $\gamma_i$  is independent of  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s$ , then the common value must be  $|Y|^{-1}$ . Our next goal is to show

$$\gamma_i = |Y|^{-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq s). \quad (95)$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq s$  we have  $\gamma_i \geq 0$ ; otherwise the vectors  $\{E' \hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$  remain linearly independent, where  $E' = E - E_i$ . But these vectors are contained in the subspace  $E_0 V + \cdots + E_{i-1} V + E_{i+1} V + \cdots + E_s V$  of dimension  $|Y| - m_i$ , a contradiction. For notational convenience, define  $\gamma_i = 0$  for  $s+1 \leq i \leq d$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq s$  we have

$$m_i(1 - |Y|\gamma_i) = \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=0}^d \gamma_j q_{i,j}^k a_k^*, \quad (96)$$

which is obtained using the definition of the  $a_k^*$ . By (96) we obtain  $\gamma_i \leq |Y|^{-1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . Combining this with (94) we obtain (95). We have shown (95), so  $t = 2s$ . We have

$$F = |X| \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i E_i = \frac{|X|}{|Y|} \sum_{i=0}^s E_i = \frac{|X|}{|Y|} E.$$

Recall that  $\langle E \hat{y}, F \hat{z} \rangle = \delta_{y,z}$  for  $y, z \in Y$ . By these comments

$$\langle E \hat{y}, E \hat{z} \rangle = \delta_{y,z} \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \quad (y, z \in Y).$$

□