## Lecture 30

## 22 On the strength and degree of a Q-polynomial association scheme

We continue to discuss a symmetric association scheme  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ . Throughout this section we assume that the ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is Q-polynomial. Abbreviate  $\theta_i^* = Q_1(i)$  for  $0 \le i \le d$ . Recall that  $\theta_0^* = m_1$  and

$$E_1 = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* A_i.$$

Let Y denote a nonempty subset of X. Recall the inner distribution  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$  and dual distribution  $\{a_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ . Recall the degreee

$$s = |\{i | 1 \le i \le d, \ a_i \ne 0\}|$$

and the strength

$$t = \max\{i | 1 \le i \le d, \ a_1^* = a_2^* = \dots = a_i^* = 0\}.$$

Abbreviate  $e = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ . We saw earlier that  $s \geq e$  and

$$|Y| \ge m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_e. \tag{86}$$

Our next goal is to show that

$$|Y| \le m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_s. \tag{87}$$

We will show that the following are equivalent: (i) equality holds in (86); (ii) equality holds in (87); (iii) s = e.

As a warmup, first assume that s=0. Then  $|Y|=1=m_0$ . In this case  $a_i^*=m_i\neq 0$  for  $0\leq i\leq d$ . So t=0 and e=0.

To continue the warmup, assume that s=1. Recall  $t \leq 2s=2$ , so  $t \in \{0,1,2\}$ . We have  $|Y| \geq 2$ . There exists a unique integer i  $(1 \leq i \leq d)$  such that  $a_i \neq 0$ . For distinct  $y, z \in Y$  we have  $(y, z) \in R_i$ . We have  $a_i = |Y| - 1$ . Let M denote |X| times the inner product matrix for  $\{E_1\hat{y}\}_{y \in Y}$ . The entries of M are

$$M_{y,z} = \begin{cases} \theta_0^* & \text{if } y = z; \\ \theta_i^* & \text{if } y \neq z \end{cases} \qquad y, z \in Y.$$

The matrix M is positive semidefinite, so its eigenvalues are nonnegative. These eigenvalues are  $\theta_0^* + (|Y| - 1)\theta_i^*$  (with multiplicity 1) and  $\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*$  (with multiplicity |Y| - 1). We have  $\theta_0^* > \theta_i^*$ . We have  $\theta_0^* + (|Y| - 1)\theta_i^* = a_1^* \ge 0$ , with equality if and only if  $t \ge 1$ . Assume for

the moment that t = 0. The matrix M has all eigenvalues positive, so M is invertible. The vectors  $\{E_1\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  are linearly independent. Therefore  $|Y| \leq m_1$ , so  $|Y| < m_0 + m_1$ .

Next assume that  $t \geq 1$ . We have  $a_1^* = 0$ . The matrix M has rank |Y| - 1. We have  $|Y| - 1 \leq m_1$  so  $|Y| \leq m_0 + m_1$ . We have  $\theta_0^* + (|Y| - 1)\theta_i^* = 0$  so

$$\theta_i^* = \frac{-\theta_0^*}{|Y| - 1}.$$

We now consider  $a_2^* = Q_2(0) + (|Y| - 1)Q_2(i)$ . Recall that  $Q_2(j) = v_2^*(\theta_j^*)$  for  $0 \le j \le d$ , where

$$v_2^*(z) = rac{z^2 - q_{1,1}^1 z - heta_0^*}{q_{1,1}^2}.$$

We have

$$q_{1,1}^2 a_2^* = (\theta_0^*)^2 - q_{1,1}^1 \theta_0^* - \theta_0^* + (|Y| - 1) ((\theta_i^*)^2 - q_{1,1}^1 \theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)$$

$$= (\theta_0^*)^2 - \theta_0^* + (|Y| - 1) ((\theta_i^*)^2 - \theta_0^*)$$

$$= (\theta_0^*)^2 + (|Y| - 1) (\theta_i^*)^2 - |Y| \theta_0^*$$

SO

$$(|Y|-1)q_{1,1}^{2}a_{2}^{*} = (|Y|-1)(\theta_{0}^{*})^{2} + (|Y|-1)^{2}(\theta_{i}^{*})^{2} - (|Y|-1)|Y|\theta_{0}^{*}$$

$$= (|Y|-1)(\theta_{0}^{*})^{2} + (\theta_{0}^{*})^{2} - (|Y|-1)|Y|\theta_{0}^{*}$$

$$= |Y|(\theta_{0}^{*})^{2} - (|Y|-1)|Y|\theta_{0}^{*}$$

$$= |Y|\theta_{0}^{*}(\theta_{0}^{*}+1-|Y|)$$

$$= |Y|\theta_{0}^{*}(m_{0}+m_{1}-|Y|).$$

Therefore  $|Y| \leq m_0 + m_1$ , with equality if and only if  $a_2^* = 0$  if and only if t = 2. In summary, for s = 1 we have  $|Y| \leq m_0 + m_1$ , with equality if and only if t = 2. We now consider the case of general s.

**Theorem 22.1.** Let Y denote a nonempty subset of X with degree s. Then

$$|Y| \le m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_s. \tag{88}$$

*Proof.* Recall the standard module  $V = \mathbb{R}^X$ . The subspace  $\sum_{i=0}^s E_i V$  has dimension  $\sum_{i=0}^s m_i$ . Define

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{s} E_i.$$

For  $y \in Y$  we have

$$E\hat{y} \in \sum_{i=0}^{s} E_i V.$$

It suffices to show that the vectors  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  are linearly independent.

Define the set

$$S = \{i | 1 \le i \le d, \ a_i \ne 0\}.$$

We have s = |S|. Define the polynomial

$$f(z) = \prod_{i \in S} \frac{z - \theta_i^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*}.$$

We have  $f(\theta_0^*) = 1$ . Also for  $1 \le j \le d$ ,  $f(\theta_j^*) = 0$  if and only if  $j \in S$ . The polynomial f(z) has degree s. Write

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma_i v_i^*(z) \qquad \qquad \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

where each  $v_i^*(z)$  has degree i and  $Q_i(j) = v_i^*(\theta_j^*)$  for  $0 \le j \le d$ . Define

$$F = |X| \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_i E_i.$$

For  $y \in Y$  we have

$$F\hat{y} \in \sum_{i=0}^{s} E_i V.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we show that

$$\langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{z}\rangle = \delta_{y,z}.\tag{89}$$

Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . We have

$$\langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{z}\rangle = |X| \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \gamma_{j} \langle E_{i}\hat{y}, E_{j}\hat{z}\rangle = |X| \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_{i} \langle E_{i}\hat{y}, E_{i}\hat{z}\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_{i} Q_{i}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_{i} v_{i}^{*}(\theta_{k}^{*}) = f(\theta_{k}^{*}).$$

If y = z, then k = 0 and  $f(\theta_0^*) = 1$ . If  $y \neq z$ , then  $k \in S$  and  $f(\theta_k^*) = 0$ . By these comments we obtain (89).

We can now easily show that  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  are linearly independent. Suppose we are given real numbers  $\{\alpha_y\}_{y\in Y}$  such that

$$0 = \sum_{y \in Y} \alpha_y E \hat{y}.$$

We show that  $\alpha_y = 0$  for  $y \in Y$ . For  $y \in Y$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{z \in Y} \alpha_z \langle E\hat{z}, F\hat{y} \rangle = \sum_{z \in Y} \alpha_z \delta_{y,z} = \alpha_y.$$

We have shown the vectors  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  are linearly independent. This implies the inequality (88).

**Theorem 22.2.** Let Y denote a nonempty subset of X with degree s and stength t. Write e = |t/2|. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) 
$$|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^{e} m_i$$
;

(ii) 
$$|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^{s} m_i$$
;

(iii) 
$$s = e$$
.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) This is Theorem 20.2(iii).

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i), (ii)$  We have

$$m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_e \le |Y| \le m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_e$$

and hence equality thoughout.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). It suffices to show that t=2s. We adopt the notation from the proof of Theorem 22.1.

The vectors  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  form a basis for  $\sum_{i=0}^{s} E_{i}V$ . Recall that

$$\langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{z}\rangle = \delta_{y,z}$$
  $(y, z \in Y).$ 

Therefore, the vectors  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  form a basis for  $\sum_{i=0}^{s} E_i V$  that is dual to the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$ . Let  $H\in M_Y(\mathbb{R})$  denote the transition matrix from the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  to the basis  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$ . For  $z\in Y$ ,

$$F\hat{z} = \sum_{y \in Y} H_{y,z} E\hat{y}.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we compute the (y, z)-entry of H. Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . We have

$$\langle F\hat{y}, F\hat{z}\rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} H_{w,z} \langle F\hat{y}, E\hat{w}\rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} H_{w,z} \delta_{y,w} = H_{y,z}.$$

Therefore

$$H_{y,z} = \langle F\hat{y}, F\hat{z} \rangle = |X|^2 \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \gamma_i \gamma_j \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_j \hat{z} \rangle$$

$$= |X|^2 \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_i^2 \langle E_i \hat{y}, E_i \hat{z} \rangle = |X| \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_i^2 Q_i(k) = |X| \sum_{i=0}^{s} \gamma_i^2 v_i^*(\theta_k^*).$$

The matrix  $H^{-1}$  is the transition matrix from the basis  $\{F\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$  to the basis  $\{E\hat{y}\}_{y\in Y}$ . For  $z\in Y$ ,

$$E\hat{z} = \sum_{y \in Y} (H^{-1})_{y,z} F\hat{y}.$$

For  $y, z \in Y$  we compute the (y, z)-entry of  $H^{-1}$ . Write  $(y, z) \in R_k$ . We have

$$\langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} (H^{-1})_{w,z} \langle E\hat{y}, F\hat{w} \rangle = \sum_{w \in Y} (H^{-1})_{w,z} \delta_{y,w} = (H^{-1})_{y,z}.$$