

Proof. (i)–(iii) By our above comments.

(iv) Suppose not. Then $\delta = 2e + 2$ is even. Pick $y \in Y$. Pick $z \in X$ such that $\partial(y, z) = e + 1$. The vertex z is not contained in any of the subsets (78). Therefore the subsets (78) do not partition X , a contradiction. \square

Definition 19.5. The code Y is called *perfect* whenever equality holds in (79).

Recall the characteristic vector ψ_Y . Recall the Bose-Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} and the vector $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in X} \hat{x}$.

Theorem 19.6. (Lloyd type theorem, I). *Assume that Y is perfect, and write $\delta = 2e + 1$.*

- (i) *The vectors $\{A_i \psi_Y\}_{i=0}^e$ are linearly independent;*
- (ii) $(A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_e) \psi_Y = \mathbf{1}$;
- (iii) $(A - kI)(A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_e) \psi_Y = 0$;
- (iv) *the vectors $\{A_i \psi_Y\}_{i=0}^e$ span $\mathcal{M} \psi_Y$;*
- (v) $\dim \mathcal{M} \psi_Y = 1 + e$;
- (vi) *the dual degree $s^* = e$.*

Proof. (i) For these vectors the nonzero coordinates are in disjoint locations.

(ii) Because X is partitioned by the subsets

$$\{z \in X \mid \partial(y, z) \leq e\} \quad y \in Y.$$

(iii) The graph Γ is regular with valency k , so $A\mathbf{1} = k\mathbf{1}$. The result follows by this and (ii).

(iv) Let W denote the span of $\{A_i \psi_Y\}_{i=0}^e$. Note that $\psi_Y \in W$. We show that $W = \mathcal{M} \psi_Y$. To do this, it suffices to show that $AW \subseteq W$. For $0 \leq i \leq e - 1$ we have $AA_i \psi_Y \in W$, because AA_i is a linear combination of A_{i-1} , A_i , A_{i+1} . Also, $AA_e \psi_Y \in W$ in view of (iii).

(v) By (i), (iv) above.

(vi) We show that the dimension of $\mathcal{M} \psi_Y$ is equal to $1 + s^*$. The vector space \mathcal{M} has a basis $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$. The vector space $\mathcal{M} \psi_Y$ has a basis consisting of the nonzero vectors among $\{E_i \psi_Y\}_{i=0}^d$. The cardinality of this basis is $1 + s^*$, in view of Lemma 18.11. So the dimension of $\mathcal{M} \psi_Y$ is equal to $1 + s^*$. The result follows by this and (v). \square

Lecture 27

Theorem 19.7. (Lloyd type theorem, II). *Assume that Y is perfect, and write $\delta = 2e + 1$. Define a polynomial*

$$\Psi_e(z) = \sum_{i=0}^e v_i(z),$$

where the polynomial $v_i(z)$ has degree i and $A_i = v_i(A)$. Then the roots of $\Psi_e(z)$ are

$$\{\theta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, E_i \psi_Y \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. The polynomial $\Psi_e(z)$ has degree e . There are e many integers i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) such that $E_i\psi_Y \neq 0$. For these i we show that $\Psi_e(\theta_i) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A - kI)(A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_e)\psi_Y \\ &= (A - kI)\Psi_e(A)\psi_Y. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E_i(A - kI)\Psi_e(A)\psi_Y \\ &= (\theta_i - k)\Psi_e(\theta_i)E_i\psi_Y. \end{aligned}$$

If $E_i\psi_Y \neq 0$ then $\Psi_e(\theta_i) = 0$, by the above equation and $\theta_i \neq k$. The result follows. \square

The polynomial $\Psi_e(z)$ in Theorem 19.7 is called the *Lloyd polynomial*. This polynomial is determined by e and the intersection numbers of the scheme.

Next we consider Lloyd I, II using linear programming. Let Y denote a code with minimum distance δ , and write $e = \lfloor (\delta - 1)/2 \rfloor$. Note that $\delta = 2e + 1$ or $\delta = 2e + 2$. Let us take $M = \{0, 2e + 1, 2e + 2, \dots, d\}$ and $C = Q$. Recall the inner distribution vector $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of Y . We have $a_0 = 1$ and $a_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2e$. The vector $\{a_i\}_{i \in M}$ is a program for (Q, M) . Next we display a program $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^d$ for $(Q, M)'$. Define

$$K_e = \sum_{i=0}^e k_i.$$

Note that

$$K_e = \sum_{i=0}^e P_i(0) = \sum_{i=0}^e v_i(\theta_0) = \Psi_e(\theta_0).$$

Define

$$\alpha_j = \left(\frac{\Psi_e(\theta_j)}{K_e} \right)^2 \quad (0 \leq j \leq d).$$

By construction, $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Recall that

$$Q_j(i) = \frac{P_i(j)m_j}{k_i} = \frac{v_i(\theta_j)m_j}{k_i} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

So for $i \in M^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j Q_j(i) &= \sum_{j=0}^d \left(\frac{\Psi_e(\theta_j)}{K_e} \right)^2 \frac{v_i(\theta_j)m_j}{k_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2 k_i} \sum_{j=0}^d (\Psi_e(\theta_j))^2 v_i(\theta_j)m_j. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the polynomial $\Psi_e(z)$ has degree e . Write

$$(\Psi_e(z))^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2e} c_\ell v_\ell(z). \quad c_\ell \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We recall the orthogonality relation for P . For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have

$$\delta_{i,j} |X| k_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^d P_i(\ell) P_j(\ell) m_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^d v_i(\theta_\ell) v_j(\theta_\ell) m_\ell.$$

For $i \in M^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j Q_j(i) &= \frac{1}{K_e^2 k_i} \sum_{j=0}^d (\Psi_e(\theta_j))^2 v_i(\theta_j) m_j \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2 k_i} \sum_{j=0}^d \sum_{\ell=0}^{2e} c_\ell v_\ell(\theta_j) v_i(\theta_j) m_j \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2 k_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2e} c_\ell \sum_{j=0}^d v_\ell(\theta_j) v_i(\theta_j) m_j \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2 k_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2e} c_\ell |X| \delta_{\ell,i} k_i \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that the vector $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^d$ is a program for $(Q, M)'$. Next, we compute the objective function γ for the program $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \sum_{\ell=0}^d \alpha_\ell Q_\ell(0) = \frac{1}{K_e^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^d (\Psi_e(\theta_\ell))^2 m_\ell \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^d \sum_{i=0}^e \sum_{j=0}^e v_i(\theta_\ell) v_j(\theta_\ell) m_\ell = \frac{1}{K_e^2} \sum_{i=0}^e \sum_{j=0}^e \sum_{\ell=0}^d v_i(\theta_\ell) v_j(\theta_\ell) m_\ell \\ &= \frac{1}{K_e^2} \sum_{i=0}^e \sum_{j=0}^e |X| \delta_{i,j} k_i = \frac{|X|}{K_e^2} \sum_{i=0}^e k_i = \frac{|X|}{K_e}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the linear programming bound,

$$|Y| = \sum_{i \in M} a_i = g \leq \gamma = \frac{|X|}{K_e}.$$

In other words,

$$|Y|(k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_e) \leq |X|. \quad (80)$$

Equality is attained in (80) if and only if $g = \gamma$ if and only if $\{a_i\}_{i \in M}$ is a maximal program for (Q, M) and $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^d$ is a minimal program for $(Q, M)'$. Assume this is the case. The code Y is perfect, so $\delta = 2e + 1$ is odd. We have

$$\alpha_j \left(\sum_{i \in M} a_i Q_j(i) \right) = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq d).$$

Thus for $1 \leq j \leq d$ such that $\sum_{i \in M} a_i Q_j(i) \neq 0$, we have $\alpha_j = 0$ and hence $\Psi_e(\theta_j) = 0$. The number of such j is equal to the dual degree s^* of Y , and the degree of $\Psi_e(z)$ is equal to e , so $s^* \leq e$. We have $s^* \geq e$ by the MacWilliams inequality, so $s^* = e$. For the polynomial $\Psi_e(z)$ the set of roots is $\{\theta_j | 1 \leq j \leq d, \sum_{i \in M} a_i Q_j(i) \neq 0\}$. Recall that for $1 \leq j \leq d$, $E_j \psi_Y \neq 0$ if and only if $\sum_{i \in M} a_i Q_j(i) \neq 0$. So for $\Psi_e(z)$ the set of roots is $\{\theta_j | 1 \leq j \leq d, E_j \psi_Y \neq 0\}$.

20 Designs in a Q -polynomial association scheme

We continue to discuss a symmetric association scheme $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$. Throughout this section we assume that the ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is Q -polynomial. Abbreviate $\theta_i^* = Q_1(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Let Y denote a nonempty subset of X . Recall the inner distribution $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and dual distribution $\{a_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ of Y .

Definition 20.1. For an integer t ($0 \leq t \leq d$), we call Y a t -design whenever $a_i^* = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Assume that Y is a t -design, and write $e = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$. Recall the degree

$$s = |\{i | 1 \leq i \leq d, a_i \neq 0\}|.$$

By Corollary 18.14,

$$s \geq e.$$

Recall the multiplicities $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of \mathcal{X} . The Lloyd theorem has the following dual.

Theorem 20.2. Assume that Y is a t -design, and write $e = \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$.

(i) We have

$$|Y| \geq m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_e. \quad (81)$$

(ii) Assume that equality holds in (81). Then $t = 2e$ is even.

(iii) Assume that equality holds in (81). Then $s = e$.

(iv) Assume that equality holds in (81). Define a polynomial

$$\Psi_e^*(z) = \sum_{i=0}^e v_i^*(z),$$

where the polynomial $v_i^*(z)$ has degree i and $Q_i(j) = v_i^*(\theta_j^*)$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. Then the roots of $\Psi_e^*(z)$ are

$$\{\theta_j^* | 1 \leq j \leq d, a_j \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. We use the linear programming method. Let us take $M = \{0, 2e + 1, 2e + 2, \dots, d\}$ and $C = P$. Recall the dual distribution vector $\{a_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ of Y . We have $a_0^* = |Y|$ and $a_i^* = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2e$. For $0 \leq j \leq d$ we have

$$a_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i^* P_j(i).$$

Define

$$b_i = \frac{a_i^*}{|Y|} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

We have $b_0 = 1$ and $b_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2e$. Moreover for $0 \leq j \leq d$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^d b_i P_j(i) = \frac{|X|}{|Y|} a_j \geq 0.$$

By these comments, the vector $\{b_i\}_{i \in M}$ is a program for (P, M) . Next we display a program $\{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^d$ for $(P, M)'$. Define

$$K_e^* = \sum_{i=0}^e m_i.$$

Note that

$$K_e^* = \sum_{i=0}^e Q_i(0) = \sum_{i=0}^e v_i^*(\theta_0^*) = \Psi_e^*(\theta_0^*).$$

Define

$$\beta_j = \left(\frac{\Psi_e^*(\theta_j^*)}{K_e^*} \right)^2 \quad (0 \leq j \leq d).$$

By construction, $\beta_0 = 1$ and $\beta_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Recall that

$$P_j(i) = \frac{Q_i(j)k_j}{m_i} = \frac{v_i^*(\theta_j^*)k_j}{m_i} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$