

# Lecture 21

## 16 Embeddings into spheres

Throughout this section we consider a symmetric association scheme  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ . Recall that

$$i' = i, \quad \hat{i} = i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

and that

$$P_i(j) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad Q_i(j) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

To avoid trivialities, we assume that  $d \geq 1$ .

It will be convenient to work over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We take the standard module to be  $V = \mathbb{R}^X$ . We endow  $V$  with a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  such that  $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t v$  for all  $u, v \in V$ . Abbreviate  $\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle$ .

Throughout this section we fix a nontrivial primitive idempotent  $E$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $E = E_1$ . We abbreviate

$$\theta_i^* = Q_1(i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Note that

$$E = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* A_i.$$

Recall that  $EV$  is a common eigenspace for the Bose-Mesner algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 16.1.** We define the map

$$\rho : \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow EV \\ y \mapsto |X|^{1/2} E\hat{y} \end{array}$$

We call  $\rho$  the *spherical representation* of  $\mathcal{X}$  associated with  $E$ .

By construction,

$$EV = \text{Span}\{\rho(y) \mid y \in X\}.$$

**Lemma 16.2.** *The following hold for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

(i) *For  $y, z \in X$  such that  $(y, z) \in R_i$ ,*

$$\langle \rho(y), \rho(z) \rangle = \theta_i^*.$$

(ii) For  $y \in X$ ,

$$\sum_{z \in \Gamma_i(y)} \rho(z) = P_i(1)\rho(y),$$

where we recall

$$\Gamma_i(y) = \{z \in X \mid (y, z) \in R_i\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(y), \rho(z) \rangle &= |X| \langle E\hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X| \langle \hat{y}, E^t E\hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X| \langle \hat{y}, E^2 \hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X| \langle \hat{y}, E\hat{z} \rangle \\ &= |X| \left( (y, z)\text{-entry of } E \right) \\ &= \theta_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \Gamma_i(y)} \rho(z) &= |X|^{1/2} \sum_{z \in \Gamma_i(y)} E\hat{z} \\ &= |X|^{1/2} E \sum_{z \in \Gamma_i(y)} \hat{z} \\ &= |X|^{1/2} E A_i \hat{y} \\ &= |X|^{1/2} A_i E \hat{y} \\ &= |X|^{1/2} P_i(1) E \hat{y} \\ &= P_i(1) \rho(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that  $\theta_0^* = Q_1(0) = m_1$ . By Lemma 16.2(i),

$$\|\rho(y)\|^2 = \theta_0^* \quad (y \in X).$$

**Lemma 16.3.** For  $y, z \in X$  the angle between  $\rho(y), \rho(z)$  has cosine  $\theta_i^*/\theta_0^*$ , where  $(y, z) \in R_i$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 16.2(i) and the comment above the lemma statement. □

**Definition 16.4.** The spherical representation  $\rho$  is said to be *nondegenerate* whenever  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  are mutually distinct.

Recall the  $Q$ -polynomial property from Definition 12.1.

**Definition 16.5.** We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  *$Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$*  whenever there exists a  $Q$ -polynomial ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents such that  $E = E_1$ .

**Lemma 16.6.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$ . Then  $\rho$  is nondegenerate.*

*Proof.* We saw earlier that  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  are mutually distinct. □

**Definition 16.7.** The spherical representation  $\rho$  is said to be *weakly nondegenerate* whenever  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

Let us clarify the meaning of weakly nondegenerate.

**Lemma 16.8.** *The spherical representation  $\rho$  is weakly nondegenerate if and only if the vectors  $\{\rho(y) | y \in X\}$  are mutually distinct.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 16.3. □

Recall the representation diagram  $\Delta_E$  from Definition 9.15.

**Lemma 16.9.** *The spherical representation  $\rho$  is weakly nondegenerate if and only if  $\Delta_E$  is connected.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 9.17. □

**Definition 16.10.** The spherical representation  $\rho$  is said to be *balanced* whenever:

- (i)  $\rho$  is weakly nondegenerate;
- (ii) for distinct  $y, z \in X$  and  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$  we have

$$\sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(y) \cap \Gamma_j(z)} \rho(w) - \sum_{w \in \Gamma_j(y) \cap \Gamma_i(z)} \rho(w) \in \text{Span}(\rho(y) - \rho(z)). \quad (58)$$

The equation (58) is called the *balanced set condition*.

**Lemma 16.11.** *Assume that  $\rho$  is balanced, and pick distinct  $y, z \in X$ . For  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$  we have*

$$\sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(y) \cap \Gamma_j(z)} \rho(w) - \sum_{w \in \Gamma_j(y) \cap \Gamma_i(z)} \rho(w) = r_{i,j}^k (\rho(y) - \rho(z)),$$

where  $(y, z) \in R_k$  and

$$r_{i,j}^k = p_{i,j}^k \frac{\theta_i^* - \theta_j^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_k^*}.$$

*Proof.* The left-hand side of (58) is a scalar multiple of  $\rho(y) - \rho(z)$ ; denote the scalar by  $\alpha$ . To compute  $\alpha$ , take the inner product of  $\rho(y)$  with each side of (58). We have

$$\left\langle \rho(y), \sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(y) \cap \Gamma_j(z)} \rho(w) \right\rangle = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(y) \cap \Gamma_j(z)} \langle \rho(y), \rho(w) \rangle = \sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(y) \cap \Gamma_j(z)} \theta_i^* = p_{i,j}^k \theta_i^*.$$

Similarly

$$\left\langle \rho(y), \sum_{w \in \Gamma_j(y) \cap \Gamma_i(z)} \rho(w) \right\rangle = p_{i,j}^k \theta_j^*.$$

We also have

$$\langle \rho(y), \rho(y) \rangle = \theta_0^*, \quad \langle \rho(y), \rho(z) \rangle = \theta_k^*.$$

By these comments,

$$p_{i,j}^k (\theta_i^* - \theta_j^*) = \alpha (\theta_0^* - \theta_k^*).$$

The result follows.  $\square$

We have some comments about the representation diagram  $\Delta_E$ . This diagram has vertex set  $0, 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is symmetric, the edges in  $\Delta_E$  are undirected. Some of the vertices might have a loop. Let  $\Delta_E^R$  denote the diagram obtained from  $\Delta_E$  by removing the loops. We call  $\Delta_E^R$  the *reduced representation diagram* for  $E$ .

We now state the next main result.

**Theorem 16.12.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\rho$  is balanced;
- (ii)  $\Delta_E^R$  is a tree.

We will prove Theorem 16.12 shortly. First we mention a corollary.

**Corollary 16.13.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$ . Then  $\rho$  is balanced.*

*Proof.* The diagram  $\Delta_E^R$  is a path and hence a tree.  $\square$

To prove Theorem 16.12, we will use the subconstituent algebra. For the rest of this section, fix a vertex  $x \in X$ . Recall that  $T = T(x)$  is generated by  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}^*(x)$ . Abbreviate  $A^* = A_1^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ . By construction

$$A^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* E_i^*.$$

We define a subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  of the vector space  $T$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Span}\{MA^*N - NA^*M \mid M, N \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

**Lemma 16.14.** *The set*

$$\{E_i A^* E_j - E_j A^* E_i \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq d, q_{i,j}^1 \neq 0\} \quad (59)$$

*is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .*