

We show that $C_1 = C$. Suppose $C_1 \subsetneq C$. Since C is connected, there exists $r \in C_1$ and $s \in C \setminus C_1$ such that $s \rightarrow r$. We examine the r -coordinate in $B_i^t v = k_i v$; this gives

$$k_i = \sum_{j=0}^d (B_i^t)_{r,j} v_j = \sum_{j=0}^d (B_i)_{j,r} v_j = \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r v_j. \quad (36)$$

For $0 \leq j \leq d$ we have $p_{i,j}^r = 0$ if $j \notin C$ and $|v_j| \leq 1$ if $j \in C$; therefore $p_{i,j}^r |v_j| \leq p_{i,j}^r$. Consequently

$$k_i = \left| \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r v_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r |v_j| \leq \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r = k_i. \quad (37)$$

Combining (36), (37) we obtain $v_j = 1$ for all $j \in C$ such that $j \rightarrow r$. This fails for $j = s$, so we have a contradiction. Therefore $C_1 = C$, so $v_r = 1$ for $r \in C$. In particular $v_r = v_s$ for $r, s \in C$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) This holds because B_i^t has constant row sum k_i .

We have shown that (a), (b) are equivalent. Consequently $\dim W = m$, and the result follows.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. □

Lecture 13

Proposition 9.18. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ such that Δ_{A_i} is disconnected;*
- (ii) *there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ such that Δ_{E_j} is disconnected.*

Proof. For $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ we have

$$\frac{P_i(j)}{k_i} = \frac{\overline{Q_j(i)}}{m_j}.$$

Therefore, $P_i(j) = k_i$ if and only if $Q_j(i) = m_j$. The result follows from this and Proposition 9.17. □

Proposition 9.19. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the association scheme \mathcal{X} is primitive;*
- (ii) *the distribution diagram Δ_{A_i} is connected for $1 \leq i \leq d$;*
- (iii) *the representation diagram Δ_{E_j} is connected for $1 \leq j \leq d$.*

Proof. By Definition 9.4, Corollary 9.13, and Proposition 9.18. □

Problem 9.20. For a finite group G , show that the following are equivalent:

- (i) *the conjugacy-class association scheme for G is primitive;*
- (ii) *G is simple.*

10 Subschemes and quotient schemes

Throughout this section, we assume that $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ is a commutative association scheme with Bose-Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} , associate matrices $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$, and primitive idempotents $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

Throughout this section, we assume that \mathcal{X} is imprimitive. By Proposition 9.14 there exists a subset $\{0\} \subsetneq \Omega \subsetneq \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ such that R_Ω is an equivalence relation. Recall that

$$R_\Omega = \cup_{k \in \Omega} R_k.$$

Write $s+1 = |\Omega|$. Permuting the relations $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$ if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\Omega = \{0, 1, \dots, s\}.$$

Lemma 10.1. *The following hold:*

- (i) if $0 \leq i, j \leq s$ and $p_{i,j}^k > 0$ then $0 \leq k \leq s$ ($0 \leq i, j, k \leq d$);
- (ii) for $0 \leq i \leq s$ we have $0 \leq i' \leq s$.

Proof. (i) The relation R_Ω is transitive.

(ii) The relation R_Ω is symmetric. □

Lemma 10.2. *Let $Y \subseteq X$ denote an equivalence class of R_Ω . Then:*

- (i) $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \{R_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s)$ is a commutative association scheme;
- (ii) $p_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{Y}) = p_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{X})$ ($0 \leq i, j, k \leq s$);
- (iii) $k_i(\mathcal{Y}) = k_i(\mathcal{X})$ ($0 \leq i \leq s$);
- (iv) $|Y| = \sum_{i=0}^s k_i$ where $k_i = k_i(\mathcal{X}) = k_i(\mathcal{Y})$.

Proof. (i) We check that \mathcal{Y} satisfies the four conditions in Definition 1.1.

- The trivial relation for \mathcal{Y} is $R_0|_{Y \times Y} = \{(y, y) | y \in Y\}$.
- The relations $\{R_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s$ partition $Y \times Y$, because for $(x, y) \in Y \times Y$ we have $(x, y) \in R_\Omega$, so there exists $i \in \Omega = \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$ such that $(x, y) \in R_i$. This i is unique by construction.
- For $0 \leq i \leq s$ we have $0 \leq i' \leq s$ and

$$(R_i|_{Y \times Y})^t = R_{i'}|_{Y \times Y}.$$

- For $0 \leq i, j, k \leq s$ and $x, y \in Y$ with $(x, y) \in R_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{X}) &= |\{z \in X | (x, z) \in R_i, (z, y) \in R_j\}| \\ &= |\{z \in Y | (x, z) \in R_i|_{Y \times Y}, (z, y) \in R_j|_{Y \times Y}\}| \\ &= p_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) This was obtained in the proof of (i) above.
- (iii) Since $k_i = p_{i,i}^0$.
- (iv) Clear. □

Definition 10.3. The association scheme \mathcal{Y} in Lemma 10.2 is called the *subscheme of \mathcal{X} induced on Y* .

Consider the subscheme $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \{R_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s)$ from Lemma 10.2. Our next general goal is to describe how the Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathcal{Y} is related to \mathcal{M} .

For notational convenience, define

$$k_\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^s k_i, \quad A_\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^s A_i.$$

Note that

$$A_i \circ A_\Omega = A_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq s).$$

Moreover

$$A_\Omega \circ A_\Omega = A_\Omega. \quad (38)$$

Lemma 10.4. *The matrices $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^s$ form a basis for a subalgebra \mathcal{M}_Ω of \mathcal{M} that is closed under Hadamard multiplication, complex conjugation, and the transpose map. With respect to Hadamard multiplication, \mathcal{M}_Ω is an algebra with multiplicative identity A_Ω .*

Proof. By Lemma 10.1 and the construction. □

By construction, the algebra \mathcal{M}_Ω in Lemma 10.4 is commutative.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r denote an ordering of the equivalence classes of R_Ω . We have $|X_i| = k_\Omega$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The sets $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^r$ partition X , so

$$r = k_\Omega^{-1}|X|.$$

Relative to the partition $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^r$, every matrix in \mathcal{M}_Ω is block-diagonal, with each diagonal block of dimension $k_\Omega \times k_\Omega$. For example A_Ω is block diagonal, with each diagonal block a copy of J with dimension $k_\Omega \times k_\Omega$. We have $J^2 = k_\Omega J$. Therefore

$$(A_\Omega)^2 = k_\Omega A_\Omega. \quad (39)$$

Lemma 10.5. *Let $Y \subseteq X$ denote an equivalence class of R_Ω , and consider the association scheme $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \{R_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s)$ from Lemma 10.2.*

- (i) *The associate matrices of \mathcal{Y} are $\{A_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s$.*
- (ii) *The Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathcal{Y} is $\mathcal{M}_\Omega|_{Y \times Y}$.*

(iii) *The map*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_\Omega &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Omega|_{Y \times Y} \\ A &\mapsto A|_{Y \times Y}\end{aligned}$$

is an algebra isomorphism with respect to matrix multiplication and Hadamard multiplication.

(iv) *The above map sends $k_\Omega^{-1}A_\Omega$ to the trivial primitive idempotent for \mathcal{Y} .*

Proof. (i) By construction.

(ii) By (i) above.

(iii) The map is a bijection because it sends the basis $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^s$ of \mathcal{M}_Ω to the basis $\{A_i|_{Y \times Y}\}_{i=0}^s$ of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega|_{Y \times Y}$. The map is an algebra homomorphism by the block-diagonal nature of the matrices in \mathcal{M}_Ω .

(iv) By the comments above (39). □

The algebra \mathcal{M}_Ω is closed under the conjugate-transpose map. By Lemma 3.3 the algebra \mathcal{M}_Ω has a basis of primitive idempotents. One of these primitive idempotents is $k_\Omega^{-1}A_\Omega$, in view of Lemma 10.5(iv).

Lemma 10.6. *For the subalgebra \mathcal{M}_Ω the primitive idempotents have the form $\{E_{\Lambda_i}\}_{i=0}^s$ such that:*

(i) $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=0}^s$ is a partition of $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ into nonempty sets;

(ii) $E_{\Lambda_i} = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_i} E_j$ $(0 \leq i \leq s)$;

(iii) $0 \in \Lambda_0$;

(iv) $E_{\Lambda_0} = k_\Omega^{-1}A_\Omega$.

Proof. (i), (ii) Each primitive idempotent E of \mathcal{M}_Ω is a linear combination of $\{E_j\}_{j=0}^d$. In this linear combination, each coefficient is zero or one because $E^2 = E$. The result is a routine consequence of this.

(iii) There exists i ($0 \leq i \leq s$) such that $0 \in \Lambda_i$. After relabelling, we may assume that $i = 0$.

(iv) There exists i ($0 \leq i \leq s$) such that $E_{\Lambda_i} = k_\Omega^{-1}A_\Omega$. We have $i = 0$ by (iii) and $A_\Omega J \neq 0$. □

Recall the eigenmatrices P, Q for \mathcal{X} . Let P_Ω, Q_Ω denote the eigenmatrices for \mathcal{Y} .

Proposition 10.7. *The following (i)–(iv) hold.*

(i) *For $0 \leq i \leq s$ the submatrix $P|_{\Lambda_i \times \Omega}$ has all rows identical.*

(ii) *For $0 \leq i, j \leq s$ the (i, j) -entry of P_Ω is equal to the (α, j) -entry of P , where $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$.*

(iii) *For $0 \leq j \leq s$ the submatrix $Q|_{\{s+1, \dots, d\} \times \Lambda_j}$ has row sum 0.*