## Lecture 12

Proposition 9.14. The following are equivalent:

- (i) the association scheme X is imprimitive;
- (ii) there exists a subset  $\{0\} \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., d\}$  such that  $R_{\Omega}$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) There exists a relation  $R_i$  ( $1 \le i \le d$ ) such that the graph  $(X, R_i)$  is not connected. Consider the corresponding set  $\Omega$  from Lemma 9.10. By Lemma 9.8 the relation  $R_{\Omega}$  is an equivalence relation. By construction  $\{0\} \subsetneq \Omega \subsetneq \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) There exists  $i \in \Omega$  with  $i \neq 0$ . Each equivalence class of  $R_{\Omega}$  is a disjoint union of connected components for  $(X, R_i)$ . The relation  $R_{\Omega}$  has more than one equivalence class, so  $(X, R_i)$  is not connected. Therefore X is imprimitive.

Earlier we used the intersection numbers to define the distribution diagrams of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Next we use the Krein parameters to define the representation diagrams of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Definition 9.15.** For  $1 \leq i \leq d$  we define a directed graph  $\Delta_{E_i}$  with vertex set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ ; vertices j, k satisfy  $j \to k$  whenever  $q_{i,j}^k > 0$ . Note that a vertex j of  $\Delta_{E_i}$  has a loop  $j \to j$  whenever  $q_{i,j}^j > 0$ . We call  $\Delta_{E_i}$  the  $E_i$ -representation diagram for  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Lemma 9.16.** We refer to the representation diagram  $\Delta_{E_i}$  from Definition 9.15. For  $0 \le a, b \le d$  the following are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a path from a to b;
- (ii) there exists a path from b to a.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Fix  $x \in X$ , and consider the dual Bose-Mesner algebra  $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}^*(x)$ . Since  $A_i^*$  is nonzero and diagonalizable, there exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\operatorname{tr}((A_i^*)^{t+1}) \neq 0$ . Recall that  $\{A_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{M}^*$ . Also recall that  $A_0^* = I$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq d$  we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(A_j^*) = \operatorname{tr} \sum_{\ell=0}^d Q_j(\ell) E_\ell^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^d Q_j(\ell) k_\ell = m_j \sum_{\ell=0}^d \overline{P_\ell(j)} = m_j \sum_{\ell=0}^d P_\ell(0) \overline{P_\ell(j)} k_\ell^{-1} = 0.$$

Write  $(A_i^*)^t = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j A_j^*$  and  $(A_i^*)^{t+1} = \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_j A_j^*$ . So  $A_i^* \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j A_j^* = \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_j A_j^*$ . We have  $\beta_0 \neq 0$  since the trace of  $(A_i^*)^{t+1}$  is nonzero. Observe that

$$\beta_0 = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j q_{i,j}^0 = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j \delta_{i,j} m_j = \alpha_i m_i.$$

By these comments  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . Without loss, we may assume that  $a \to b$  in  $\Delta_{E_i}$ . So  $q_{i,a}^b \neq 0$ . Observe that  $q_{b,\hat{i}}^a \neq 0$ , so  $E_b A_{\hat{i}}^* E_a \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$E_b(A_i^*)^t E_a = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_j E_b A_j^* E_a = \alpha_i E_b A_i^* E_a + \text{orthogonal terms} \neq 0.$$

Observe that

$$E_b(A_i^*)^t E_a = E_b A_i^* \left( \sum_{b_1=0}^d E_{b_1} \right) A_i^* \left( \sum_{b_2=0}^d E_{b_2} \right) A_i^* \cdots A_i^* \left( \sum_{b_{t-1}=0}^d E_{b_{t-1}} \right) A_i^* E_a$$

$$= \sum_{b_1} E_b A_i^* E_{b_1} A_i^* E_{b_2} A_i^* \cdots A_i^* E_{b_{t-1}} A_i^* E_a,$$

where the sum is over all paths  $b, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{t-1}, a$  in  $\Delta_{E_i}$ . Such a path exists because  $E_b(A_i^*)^t E_a \neq 0$ . We have shown that there exists a path in  $\Delta_{E_i}$  from b to a. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By symmetry.

To motivate the next result, we make some observations. Pick an integer i  $(1 \le i \le d)$ . Recall that

$$P_i(0) = k_i,$$
  $Q_i(0) = m_i,$   $|P_i(j)| \le k_i,$   $|Q_i(j)| \le m_i$   $(0 \le j \le d).$ 

The intersection matrix  $B_i$  has all entries real and nonnegative. It is diagonalizable, and its characteristic polynomial has roots  $\{P_i(j)\}_{j=0}^d$ . The dual intersection matrix  $B_i^*$  has all entries real and nonnegative. It is diagonalizable, and its characteristic polynomial has roots  $\{Q_i(j)\}_{j=0}^d$ . Recall the distribution diagram  $\Delta_{A_i}$  and the representation diagram  $\Delta_{E_i}$ . The following result is a special case of the Frobenius theory for nonnegative matrices.

## Proposition 9.17. With the above notation,

(i) the number of connected components of  $\Delta_{A_i}$  is equal to

$$|\{j|0 \le j \le d, P_i(j) = k_i\}|;$$
 (35)

(ii) the number of connected components of  $\Delta_{E_i}$  is equal to

$$|\{j|0 \le j \le d, \ Q_i(j) = m_i\}|.$$

*Proof.* (i) Let W denote the  $k_i$ -eigenspace for  $B_i^t$ . The dimension of W is equal to (35). Let m denote the number of connected components for  $\Delta_{A_i}$ . We will show that dim W=m. For  $0 \leq r, s \leq d$  define  $r \sim s$  whenever r, s are in the same connected component of  $\Delta_{A_i}$ . Let  $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d)^t \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ . We show that the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $v \in W$ ;
- (b)  $v_r = v_s \text{ if } r \sim s \ (0 \le r, s \le d).$
- (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $C \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., d\}$  denote a connected component of  $\Delta_{A_i}$ . We show that  $v_r = v_s$  for  $r, s \in C$ . First assume that  $v_r = 0$  for  $r \in C$ . Then certainly  $v_r = v_s$  for  $r, s \in C$ . Next assume that  $\{v_r\}_{r \in C}$  are not all 0. Multiplying v by a nonzero scalar if necessary, we may assume that  $|v_r| \leq 1$  for  $r \in C$ , and also  $v_r = 1$  for some  $r \in C$ . Define  $C_1 = \{r \in C | v_r = 1\}$ .

We show that  $C_1 = C$ . Suppose  $C_1 \subsetneq C$ . Since C is connected, there exists  $r \in C_1$  and  $s \in C \setminus C_1$  such that  $s \to r$ . We examine the r-coordinate in  $B_i^t v = k_i v$ ; this gives

$$k_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (B_i^t)_{r,j} v_j = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (B_i)_{j,r} v_j = \sum_{j=0}^{d} p_{i,j}^r v_j.$$
(36)

For  $0 \leq j \leq d$  we have  $p_{i,j}^r = 0$  if  $j \notin C$  and  $|v_j| \leq 1$  if  $j \in C$ ; therefore  $p_{i,j}^r |v_j| \leq p_{i,j}^r$ . Consequently

$$k_i = \left| \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r v_j \right| \le \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r |v_j| \le \sum_{j=0}^d p_{i,j}^r = k_i.$$
 (37)

Combining (36), (37) we obtain  $v_j = 1$  for all  $j \in C$  such that  $j \to r$ . This fails for j = s, so we have a contradiction. Therefore  $C_1 = C$ , so  $v_r = 1$  for  $r \in C$ . In particular  $v_r = v_s$  for  $r, s \in C$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) This holds because  $B_i^t$  has constant row sum  $k_i$ .

We have shown that (a), (b) are equivalent. Consequently  $\dim W = m$ , and the result follows.

**Proposition 9.18.** The following are equivalent:

- (i) there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$  such that  $\Delta_{A_i}$  is disconnected;
- (ii) there exists  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$  such that  $\Delta_{E_j}$  is disconnected.

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  we have

$$\frac{P_i(j)}{k_i} = \frac{\overline{Q_j(i)}}{m_i}.$$

Therefore,  $P_i(j) = k_i$  if and only if  $Q_j(i) = m_j$ . The result follows from this and Proposition 9.17.

Proposition 9.19. The following are equivalent:

- (i) the association scheme X is primitive;
- (ii) the distribution diagram  $\Delta_{A_i}$  is connected for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (iii) the representation diagram  $\Delta_{E_j}$  is connected for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .

Proof. By Definition 9.4, Corollary 9.13, and Proposition 9.18.

**Problem 9.20.** For a finite group G, show that the following are equivalent:

- (i) the conjugacy–class association scheme for G is primitive;
- (ii) G is simple.