

LEM 41 Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, λ dominant

Then $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$,

each f_α, g_α is loc nilp on $\widetilde{L}(\lambda)$

pf

Recall

$$\widetilde{L}(\lambda) = M(\lambda) / \widetilde{N}$$

$$\widetilde{N} \subseteq N = \text{max'l proper } U_\lambda\text{-submodule of } M(\lambda)$$

Quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} M(\lambda) &\longrightarrow \widetilde{L}(\lambda) \\ x &\longrightarrow x + \widetilde{N} \end{aligned}$$

is surj hom of U_λ -modules

Also, by the def of \widetilde{N}

$$f_\alpha^{n(\alpha)+1} \widetilde{v}_\lambda = 0$$

$$\widetilde{v}_\lambda = 1 + \widetilde{N}$$

$$n(\alpha) = \frac{z(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

Recall $M(\lambda)$ is ds of its wt spaces, all wts $\leq \lambda$

Applying quotient map, we find

$\widetilde{L}(\lambda)$ is ds of its wt spaces, all wts $\leq \lambda$

claim $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$ e_α is loc nil on $L(\lambda)$ ~ L33/2

pt d Given $\alpha \in \Pi$, Given $0 \neq x \in L(\lambda)$

display $M > 0$ st
$$e_\alpha^M x = 0$$

wlog x is a wt vector; let μ denote corresp wt.

Since $\mu \leq \lambda$,

$\lambda - \mu =$ sum of simple roots

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \alpha_i$$

$a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ $1 \leq i \leq l$
 $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$

Pick $M > a_i$ where $\alpha = \alpha_i$

obs $e_\alpha^M x$ is wt vector with wt $\mu + M\alpha$

and

$$\mu + M\alpha \not\leq \lambda$$

So

$$e_\alpha^M x = 0$$

✓

claim $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, f_λ is loc nilp on $\widetilde{L}(\lambda)$ L33/3

pf cl Recall $M(\lambda)$ is spanned by vectors of form

$$f_{\beta_1} f_{\beta_2} \dots f_{\beta_n} v_\lambda \quad \begin{array}{l} n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

Applying the quot map $M(\lambda) \rightarrow \widetilde{L}(\lambda)$, we find

$$\widetilde{L}(\lambda) \text{ is spanned by vectors of form } f_{\beta_1} f_{\beta_2} \dots f_{\beta_n} \widetilde{v}_\lambda \quad \begin{array}{l} n \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Given $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{T}$

show $\exists M > 0$ st

$$f_\lambda^M f_{\beta_1} f_{\beta_2} \dots f_{\beta_n} \widetilde{v}_\lambda = 0$$

We do this by ind on n .

$n=0$:

$$f_\lambda^M \widetilde{v}_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } M = n|\lambda| + 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$n \geq 1$

Abbr

$$R = R_0,$$

$$x = f_{\beta_2} \dots f_{\beta_n} \tilde{v}_x$$

By ind $\exists M' > 0$ st

$$f_{\alpha}^{M'} x = 0$$

Write $R = M' - 1$ so

$$f_{\alpha}^{R+1} x = 0$$

Find $M > 0$ st

$$f_{\alpha}^M f_{\beta} x = 0$$

WLOG $\alpha \neq \beta$ else triv.

show

$$f_{\alpha}^{R+1-A_{\alpha\beta}} f_{\beta} x = 0$$

 $A = \text{Cartan matrix}$

Consider the vectors

$$f_{\alpha}^{R+1-A_{\alpha\beta}-t} f_{\beta} f_{\alpha}^t x$$

$$0 \leq t \leq R+1-A_{\alpha\beta}$$

Find linear dependencies among (1)

(1)

Recall q-Serre rel

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{1-A_{\alpha\beta}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{\alpha\beta} \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^\alpha f_\alpha \quad f_\beta f_\alpha^2 = 0$$

So $f_\alpha \in \text{ker}$

$$f_\alpha^{R-t} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{1-A_{\alpha\beta}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{\alpha\beta} \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^\alpha f_\alpha \quad f_\beta f_\alpha^2 \right) f_\alpha^t x = 0 \quad (**)$$

Also since $f_\alpha^{RH} x = 0$,

$$f_\alpha^{RH-A_{\alpha\beta}-t} f_\beta f_\alpha^t x = 0 \quad RH-t \leq RH-A_{\alpha\beta} \quad (***)$$

(**), (***) give a homogeneous system of linear equations in the variables (i), (ii). Coef matrix is upper triangular with all diag entries 1, so nonsingular. Now all vectors (i) are 0

take $t=0$ to get

$$f_\alpha^{RH-A_{\alpha\beta}} f_\beta x = 0$$

claim proved ✓



Note Jantzen proves a more general result:

Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$\forall \alpha \in \Pi$ pick pos integers

$m(\alpha), s(\alpha)$

let $J =$ left ideal of U_q gen by

$$e_\alpha^{m(\alpha)}, f_\alpha^{s(\alpha)}, k_\alpha - q^{(\lambda, \alpha)} 1$$

$\alpha \in \Pi$

then on the U_q module

$$U_q / J$$

each of e_α, f_α is loc nilp $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$.

Lem 41 above is a special case of this in which λ is dom

and

$$m(\alpha) = 1,$$

$$s(\alpha) = \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha) + 1}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

$\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

LEM 4.2 Given dominant $\lambda \in \Lambda$

L33/7

Given $w \in W = \text{Weyl}$ is for z

Then $\forall \mu \in \Lambda$

$$(i) \quad \dim \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{\mu} \right) = \dim \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{w(\mu)} \right)$$

(ii) If μ is a wt for $\widetilde{L(\lambda)}$ then so is $w(\mu)$

pf (i) Recall W is gen by fundamental reflections

$$s_{\alpha} \quad \alpha \in \Pi$$

$$s_{\alpha}: x \longrightarrow x - \langle \alpha^{\vee}, x \rangle \alpha$$

WLOG

$$w = s_{\alpha} \quad \alpha \in \Pi$$

Recall our alg hom

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}(sl_2) &\rightarrow U_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ e &\rightarrow e_{\alpha} \\ f &\rightarrow f_{\alpha} \\ k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow k_{\alpha}^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, view $\widetilde{L(\lambda)}$ as $U_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}$ based module.

Consider

$$M = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{\mu + t\alpha}$$

obs M is $U_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule of $\widetilde{L(\lambda)}$

Wish to apply Lem 40 to M_0

check assumptions:

• e_{α}, f_{α} Loc nilp on M by Lem 41 ✓

• each $\widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{\mu + t\alpha}$ $t \in \mathbb{Z}$

is a wt space of M , and these are all f.d. ✓

so M is ds of its wt spaces,
wt spaces of M all f.d. ✓

• M has type 1 by constr ✓

Now by LEM 40 (i),

$$\dim \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{\mu} \right) = \dim \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)}_{\alpha(\mu)} \right)$$

(ii) By (i)

□

LEM 43

For a dominant $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

L 33/9

$$\dim \widetilde{L(\lambda)} < \infty.$$

pf write

$$\Omega = \left\{ \mu \in \Lambda \mid \mu \text{ acts } \neq \widetilde{L(\lambda)} \right\}$$

So

$$\widetilde{L(\lambda)} = \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)} \Big|_{\mu} \right) \quad (\text{ds of vectn spaces})$$

Recall

$$\dim \left(\widetilde{L(\lambda)} \Big|_{\mu} \right) < \infty \quad \forall \mu \in \Omega$$

Suf to show

$$|\Omega| < \infty$$

Recall W acts on Λ Subset $\Omega \subseteq \Lambda$ is W -invar by LEM 42 (ii) Ω is disjoint union of W -orbits.Each W -orbit is finite since $|W| < \infty$ show $\#$ W -orbits on Ω is finite

L 33/10

Recall each W -orbit on Λ contains a
unique dom wt.

Also $\mu \leq \lambda$ $\forall \mu \in \Omega$

But only finitely many dominant wts $\leq \lambda$.

So # W -orbits on Ω is finite.

Result follows.

□

L33/11

COR 44 For a dominant $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\dim L(\lambda) < \infty.$$

Pf By LEM 43 and comments prior to Def 39. \square

We now classify up to iso the f.d. mod U_q -modules.

Thm 45 The U_q modules

$$L(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Delta, \quad \lambda \text{ dominant}$$

(*)

are f.d. and irred.

Each f.d. mod U_q -module

is isomorphic to exactly one U_q module in (*).

pf $L(\lambda)$ f.d. by cor 44

$L(\lambda)$ irred by constr

Given f.d. mod U_q module M ,

M iso some $L(\lambda)$ by LEM 36

λ dom by Lem 34

λ unique by Lem 35

□

Next main step in Jantzen:

each f.d. U_q -module is semi-simple.

(Lang argument)

Instead we will jump to p 141 (ch 8 in Jantzen)

CH 5 The Braid Group Action

- first review some facts about $U(q)$
- generalise to $U_q(q)$