

Lecture 29

L 29 / 1

LEM 11 Given $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$

Given group homs $\sigma, \sigma' : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

Given f.d. U_q -modules M, N

then

$$M_{\lambda, \sigma} \otimes N_{\lambda', \sigma'} \subseteq (M \otimes N)_{\lambda + \lambda', \sigma \sigma'}$$

pf

Given

$$m \otimes n \in M_{\lambda, \sigma} \otimes N_{\lambda', \sigma'}$$

Given $\alpha \in \Pi$

$$\Delta(k_\alpha) = k_\alpha \otimes k_\alpha \quad \text{so}$$

$$k_\alpha \cdot (m \otimes n) = (k_\alpha \cdot m) \otimes (k_\alpha \cdot n)$$

$$= \sigma(\alpha) q^{(\alpha, \lambda)}_m \otimes \sigma'(\alpha) q^{(\alpha, \lambda')}_n$$

$$= (\sigma \sigma')(\alpha) q^{(\alpha, \lambda + \lambda')}_{m \otimes n}$$

Result follows.

□

L29/2

COR 12 Given fid. U_q modules M, N

of type $\mathbb{1}$,

the U_q module $M \otimes N$ has type $\mathbb{1}$.

pf Use Lem 11

□

L29/4

COR 14 Given f.d. U_q module M .

If M has type \mathbb{I} then M^* has type \mathbb{I} .

pf By LEM 13 (ii).

□

LEM 15 Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$

Given group hom $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$

Given f.d. \mathcal{U}_q modules M, N

Then

$$\left(\text{Hom}(M, N) \right)_{\lambda, \sigma} = \left\{ f \in \text{Hom}(M, N) \mid \begin{array}{l} f(M_{\lambda', \sigma'}) \subseteq N_{\lambda + \lambda', \sigma \sigma'} \\ \forall \lambda', \sigma' \end{array} \right\}$$

pt Given $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$

$f \in \text{LHS}$

$$\Leftrightarrow k_{\mu} \circ f = \sigma(\mu) q^{(\mu, \lambda)} f \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{Q}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (k_{\mu} \circ f)(m) = \sigma(\mu) q^{(\mu, \lambda)} f(m) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{Q}, \forall m \in M$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (k_{\mu} \circ f)(m) = \sigma(\mu) q^{(\mu, \lambda)} f(m) \quad \begin{array}{l} \forall \mu \in \mathcal{Q}, \forall \lambda' \in \Lambda \\ \forall \sigma': \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \\ \forall m \in M_{\lambda', \sigma'} \end{array}$$

$$k_{\mu} f(k_{\mu}^{\sigma} m)$$

"

$$k_{\mu} f(k_{\mu}^{-\sigma} m)$$

"

$$k_{\mu} f\left(\sigma'(\mu) q^{-(\mu, \lambda')} m\right)$$

"

$$\sigma'(\mu) q^{-(\mu, \lambda')} k_{\mu} \circ f(m)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} (x, f)(m) = \sum_i x_i f(x_i^{\sigma}, m) \\ \text{where } \Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i^{\sigma} \end{array} \right]$$

L29/6

\leftarrow

$$\kappa_{\mu} \circ f(m) = (\sigma \sigma')(\mu) q^{(m, \lambda + \lambda')} f(m)$$

$\forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}$

$\forall \lambda, \lambda'$

$\forall m \in M_{\lambda, \lambda'}$

\leftarrow

$f \in \text{RHS}$

L29/7

COR 16 Given fid U_q -modules M, N of type \mathbb{I} .Then the U_q module $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ has type \mathbb{I} .pf Given $\lambda \in \Delta$ Given q -hom $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ $\sigma \neq \mathbb{I}$ show $(\text{Hom}(M, N))_{\lambda, \sigma} = 0$.Given $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)_{\lambda, \sigma}$ show $f = 0$ $\forall \lambda' \in \Delta$

$$f(M_{\lambda', \mathbb{I}}) \subseteq N_{\lambda + \lambda', \frac{\mathbb{I}\sigma}{\# \mathbb{I}}} = 0.$$

 M has type \mathbb{I} so

$$M = \sum_{\lambda' \in \Delta} M_{\lambda', \mathbb{I}}$$

$$\text{So } f(M) = 0$$

$$\text{So } f = 0$$

□

The quantum trace for U_q

Recall

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \beta$$

Recall that for \tilde{U}_q ,

$$S^2(x) = K_{2\rho}^{-1} \times K_{2\rho} \quad \forall x \in \tilde{U}_q$$

We want this to hold in U_q .

LEM 17 For U_q ,

$$S^2(x) = k_{2p}^{-1} x k_{2p} \quad \forall x \in U_q$$

pf Over quotient map $\tilde{U}_q \rightarrow U_q$ is a hom of Hopf algebras (call it σ)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{So} & \tilde{U}_q & \xrightarrow{\sigma} U_q \\ & \downarrow S & \downarrow S \\ & \tilde{U}_q & \xrightarrow{\sigma} U_q \end{array} \quad \text{commutes}$$

σ is surjective so $\forall x \in U_q$

$$\exists y \in \tilde{U}_q \text{ st } \sigma(y) = x$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(x) &= S^2(\sigma(y)) \\ &= \sigma(S^2(y)) \\ &= \sigma(k_{2p}^{-1} y k_{2p}) \\ &= (\sigma(k_{2p}))^{-1} \sigma(y) \sigma(k_{2p}) \\ &= k_{2p}^{-1} x k_{2p} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

□

L29/10

Given Hopf alg (A, Δ, ϵ, S)

Recall Assumption 31 in Ch 2:

$\exists k \in A$ st k^{-1} exists and

$$S^2(x) = k^{-1} x k \quad \forall x \in A$$

We proved some results under this assumption.

These results now hold for $A = U_q$ and $k = K_{qp}$

For example, earlier we showed that if a Hopf alg (A, Δ, ϵ, S) satisfies Assumption 31, then for any f.d. A -module M , the map

$$\text{tr}_q : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}(M) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ \varphi & \rightarrow & \text{tr}(\varphi \circ k^{-1}) \end{array}$$

is a Hom of A -modules, called the quantum trace.

So for $A = U_q$ the quantum trace is

$$\text{tr}_q : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}(M) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ \varphi & \rightarrow & \text{tr}(\varphi \circ K_{qp}^{-1}) \end{array}$$

L29/11

Given group hom $\sigma : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

Given P.d. $U_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -module M

next goal : Compare

$M,$

M twisted via $\tilde{\sigma}$

DEF 18 Given group hom $\sigma : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

define an alg hom

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \varepsilon_{\sigma} : \\
 U_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \\
 e_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \\
 f_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \\
 K_{\alpha}^{\pm 1} \rightarrow \sigma(\alpha)
 \end{array}
 \quad \alpha \in \Pi$$

L29/12

DEF 19 Given group hom $\sigma: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

endow \mathbb{F} with a U_q -module structure via

$$U_q \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

$$y \quad 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad E_\sigma(y)$$

Call this U_q module

$$L(0, \sigma)$$

L29/13

LEM 20 Given group hom $\sigma: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

Given fid. U_q module M ,

the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^{\text{twisted via } \tilde{\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & L(\sigma, \sigma) \otimes M \\ m & \longrightarrow & 1 \otimes m \end{array}$$

is an iso of U_q modules.

pt map is iso of vs \checkmark
check map is hom of U_q modules

Given $x \in U_q$ write $\Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i'$

$$M^{\text{twist}} \longrightarrow L(\sigma, \sigma) \otimes M$$

$$m \longrightarrow 1 \otimes m$$

↓ apply x

↓

↓

$$x \cdot m = \sum_{\text{crs}} x_{\tilde{\sigma}, m}$$

$$x \cdot (1 \otimes m) = \sum_i \underbrace{(x_i \cdot 1)}_{\varepsilon_{\sigma}(x_i)} \otimes (x_i' \cdot m)$$

$$= 1 \otimes \left(\sum_i \varepsilon_{\sigma}(x_i) x_i' \cdot m \right)$$

→

$$1 \otimes (x_{\tilde{\sigma}, m})$$

≡ ?

L29/14

Require

$$x_{\tilde{\sigma}} = \sum_i \varepsilon_{\sigma}(x_i) x_i'$$

check this on gens:

x	$x_{\tilde{\sigma}}$	$\Delta(x)$	$\sum_i \varepsilon_{\sigma}(x_i) x_i'$
k_{α}	$\sigma(\alpha) k_{\alpha}$	$k_{\alpha} \otimes k_{\alpha}$	$\sigma(\alpha) k_{\alpha}$
e_{α}	$\sigma(\alpha) e_{\alpha}$	$e_{\alpha} \otimes I + k_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$ $\downarrow \varepsilon_{\sigma}$ 0	$\sigma(\alpha) e_{\alpha}$
f_{α}	f_{α}	$f_{\alpha} \otimes k_{\alpha}^{\vee} + I \otimes f_{\alpha}$ $\downarrow \varepsilon_{\sigma}$ 0	f_{α}

OK

□