

# CH 4 Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

Throughout,

$\mathfrak{g} =$  fin dim'l ss Lie alg /  $\mathbb{C}$

$\mathfrak{l} = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$

$0 \neq q \in \mathbb{F}$  not a root of 1

$\tilde{U}_q = \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}), \quad U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  as before

General goal: describe the f.d.  $U_q$ -modules

— 0 —

Recall root Lattice

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{l}} a_i \alpha_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ } | \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{l} \right\} \quad \Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\mathfrak{l}}\}$$

$\mathcal{Q}$  has natural abel group str iso

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{\mathfrak{l}}$$

View  $\{1, -1\}$  as group under mult

Given hom of groups

$$\sigma: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$$

define  $\epsilon_i = \sigma(d_i) \quad 1 \leq i \leq l$

then  $\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \epsilon_1^{a_1} \epsilon_2^{a_2} \dots \epsilon_l^{a_l}$$

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i d_i$$

Conversely, given

$$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{1, -1\}$$

the map

$$\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l a_i d_i \rightarrow \epsilon_1^{a_1} \dots \epsilon_l^{a_l}$$

is hom of groups

So  $2^n = \# \text{ of homs } \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

Recall wt lattice

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \lambda \in H^* \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi \right\}$$

LEM 1 For a fd  $U_q$ -module  $M$

let  $0 \neq v \in M$  denote a common eigenvector for

$$K_\alpha \quad \alpha \in \Pi$$

then  $\exists$  unique  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and

$\exists$  unique hom 1 ps  $\sigma: G \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

st

$$K_{\mu} v = \sigma(\mu) q^{(\mu, \lambda)} v \quad \forall \mu \in \Phi \quad (*)$$

pf

For  $\alpha \in \Pi$  recall alg hom

$$\begin{aligned} U_{q_\alpha}(sl_2) &\rightarrow U_q \\ e &\rightarrow e_\alpha \\ f &\rightarrow f_\alpha \\ k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow k_\alpha^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

Using this,  $U_q$ -module  $M$  becomes a  $U_{q_\alpha}(sl_2)$ -module

$v$  is an eigenvector for  $K \in U_{q_\alpha}(sl_2)$ ; eigenvalue has

form

$$E_\alpha q_\alpha^{j_\alpha} \quad E_\alpha \in \{1, -1\} \quad j_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\alpha, v} &= \kappa_{\alpha, v} \\ &= \varepsilon_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha} v \end{aligned}$$

Obs  $\exists$  unique hom of gps

$$\sigma: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{1, \tau\}$$

st

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi$$

Since  $\Pi$  is a basis for  $H^*$ , $\exists$  unique  $\lambda \in H^*$  st

$$(\lambda, \alpha) = \delta_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi$$

claim  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  :ptd

$$\forall \alpha \in \Pi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \lambda \rangle &= (\nu(\alpha^{\vee}), \lambda) \\ &= \left( \frac{\alpha}{\delta_{\alpha}}, \lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \delta_{\alpha}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Z} \quad \checkmark$$

claim proved

Now  $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\alpha} v &= \varepsilon_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}^{\partial \alpha} v \\ &= \sigma(\alpha) \eta^{\alpha \partial \alpha} v \\ &= \sigma(\alpha) \eta^{(\alpha, \lambda)} v \end{aligned}$$

So (\*) holds for  $\mu = \alpha$

Now (\*) holds  $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}$  by linearity □

DEF 2 Given  $U, \text{mod } M$

Given  $\lambda \in \Delta$

Given gp hom  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$

Let  $M_{\lambda, \sigma} = \left\{ v \in M \mid \kappa_{u, v} = \sigma(u) q^{(u, \lambda)} v \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{Q} \right\}$

"weight space for  $\lambda, \sigma$ "

LEM 3 Referring to def 2.

$$(i) \quad e_{\alpha} \circ M_{\lambda, \sigma} \subseteq M_{\lambda + \alpha, \sigma}$$

$\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

$$(ii) \quad f_{\alpha} \circ M_{\lambda, \sigma} \subseteq M_{\lambda - \alpha, \sigma}$$

pt (i) Given  $v \in M_{\lambda, \sigma}$

show  $e_{\alpha} \circ v \in M_{\lambda + \alpha, \sigma}$

show that for  $\mu \in \Phi$ ,

$$k_{\mu} \circ (e_{\alpha} \circ v) \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma(\mu) \cdot \begin{matrix} (\lambda + \alpha, \mu) \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} e_{\alpha} \circ v$$

$$\text{LHS} = (k_{\mu} e_{\alpha}) \circ v$$

$$= \underbrace{k_{\mu} e_{\alpha} k_{\mu}^{-1}}_{\begin{matrix} \parallel \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} e_{\alpha}} \underbrace{k_{\mu} \circ v}_{\begin{matrix} \parallel \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} (\lambda, \mu) \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} v}$$

$$= \sigma(\mu) \cdot \begin{matrix} (\lambda + \alpha, \mu) \\ \downarrow \\ \end{matrix} e_{\alpha} \circ v$$

$$= \text{RHS} \quad \checkmark$$

(ii) Sim.

□

LEM 9 For a f.d.  $U_q$ -module  $M$ ,

(i)  $M$  is direct sum of its wt spaces

(ii) each  $f_\alpha, e_\alpha, f_\alpha$  is nilp on  $M$   $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

pf (i)  $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$  view  $M$  as

$U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module as in pt of Lem 1

$M$  is dir sum of the wt spaces for  $K \in U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

so  $K_\alpha$  is diagonalizable on  $M$

So  $\{K_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$  are mutually commuting and diagonalizable on  $M$

Now by linear algebra

$M$  is dir sum of common eigenspaces for  $\{K_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$

Result follows by LEM 1.

(ii) follows from Lem 50 in Ch I

□



DEF 5 Given a fid.  $U_q$  module  $M$ ,

Given a hom of groups  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, \tau\}$

Write

$$M^\sigma = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda, \sigma}$$

Obs  $M^\sigma$  is a  $U_q$ -submodule of  $M$  by LEM 3

Also

$$M = \sum_{\sigma} M^\sigma$$

(dir sum of  $U_q$  modules)

where the sum is over all group homs  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, \tau\}$

L 28/10

DEF 6 Given a fid.  $U_q$ -module  $M$ ,

Given group hom  $\sigma: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

We say  $M$  has type  $\sigma$  whenever

$$M = M\sigma$$

Of special interest are the  $U_q$ -modules of type  $\mathbb{I}$ ,

where

$$\mathbb{I}: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \{1, -1\} \\ \lambda & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Next goal: "reduction to type  $\mathbb{I}$ "

LEM 7

Given group hom  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

L28/11

$\exists$  alg iso

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}: \quad u_\alpha &\longrightarrow u_\alpha \\ e_\alpha &\longrightarrow \sigma(\alpha) e_\alpha \\ f_\alpha &\longrightarrow f_\alpha \\ k_\alpha^{\pm 1} &\longrightarrow \sigma(\alpha) k_\alpha^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

Moreover  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = 1$ .

pf  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an alg hom since it respects the defining  
rels for  $U_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (ex)

One checks  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = 1$  so  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is iso.

□

L 28/12

LEM 8 For any alg  $A$

and any aut  $\theta$  of  $A$

and any  $A$ -module  $M$ ,

the action

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times M & \longrightarrow & M \\
 y & m & \longrightarrow y^\theta \cdot m
 \end{array}$$

turns  $M$  into an  $A$ -module, called

$M$  twisted via  $\theta$

pf check assoc:

$$\forall x, y \in A$$

$$x \cdot (y \cdot m) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{new action}$$

$$\forall x, y \in A$$

$$(xy) \cdot m \text{ new action}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LHS} &= x^\theta (y^\theta \cdot m) && \text{old action} \\
 &= (x^\theta y^\theta) \cdot m && \text{---} \\
 &= (xy)^\theta \cdot m && \text{---} \\
 &= \text{RHS}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Back to  $U_q$  -

L28/13

LEM 9 Given group hom  $\sigma: Q \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$

Given a f.d.  $U_q$  module  $M$

(i) IF  $M$  has type  $\sigma$  then  $M$  twisted via  $\tilde{\sigma}$  has type  $\mathbb{1}$   
 $\sigma$

(ii) IF  $M$  has type  $\mathbb{1}$  then

pf (i) One checks that  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda,$

$$M_{\lambda, \sigma} \quad (\text{orig action})$$

$$= M_{\lambda, \mathbb{1}} \quad (\text{twisted action})$$

(ii) sim

□

Next goal : show

the set of type II f.d.  $U_q$  modules is closed

under the operations of

dir sum, tensor product, dual space,  $\text{Hom}(M, N), \dots$

LEM 10 The "trivial"  $U_q$  module  $\mathbb{F}$   
is type II

pf Recall  $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

$$k_{\alpha} \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(k_{\alpha}) \cdot 1$$
$$= 1$$

□

Note Given f.d.  $U_q$  modules  $M, N$   
of type II, it is clear that

$$M \oplus N \text{ is type II}$$