

## Lecture 36 Wednesday April 20

Recall  $R$  is a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$

LEMMAS 23, 24 are special cases of the following result,

Theorem 25 Assume  $R$  is a PID

Consider a free  $R$ -module  $R^n$

Given an  $R$ -submodule  $W$  of  $R^n$

Write  $m = \text{rank}(W)$

then  $\exists$  linearly independent generators  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  for  $R^n$  and nonzero  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$  in  $R$  such that both

(i)  $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_m$

(ii)  $W = R d_1 v_1 + R d_2 v_2 + \dots + R d_m v_m$

Pf Use induction on  $n$

Case  $n=0$  ✓

Case  $n \geq 1$ :

4/20/16

2

Assume  $W \neq 0$  else  $m=0$  and result is true.

So  $m \geq 1$ .

Recall

$$\text{Hom}_R(R^n, R) = \left\{ \varphi \mid \varphi: R^n \rightarrow R \text{ is an } R\text{-module hom} \right\}$$

$\text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$  contains

$$0: \begin{array}{l} R^n \rightarrow R \\ x \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$\forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$

$\varphi(W)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R$ ,  
in other words an ideal of the ring  $R$ .

Define the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \varphi(W) \mid \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R) \right\}$$

$\Sigma$  contains the  $0$  ideal of  $R$

4/20/16  
3

claim I :  $\Sigma$  contains an element  $J$   
that is not properly contained in any element of  $\Sigma$   
"  $J$  is maximal "

pf I Suppose not.  $\Sigma$  is nonempty, so

pick  $J_1 \in \Sigma$

$\exists J_2 \in \Sigma$  st  $J_1 \subsetneq J_2$

$\exists J_3 \in \Sigma$  st  $J_2 \subsetneq J_3$

...

the sequence

$J_1 \subsetneq J_2 \subsetneq \dots$

contradicts the fact that the  $R$ -module  $R$  is Noetherian  $\checkmark$

the ideal  $J$  is principal; write

$$J = R d_1 \quad d_1 \in R$$

pick

$$v \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$$

st

$$J = v(W)$$

Pick  $y \in W$  s.t.

$$d_i = v(y)$$

Claim II :  $d_i \neq 0$

pf II Pick  $0 \neq w \in W$

write

$$w = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$$

$$d_i \in R$$

$$\exists i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ s.t. } d_i \neq 0$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: R^n &\longrightarrow R \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &\longrightarrow a_i \end{aligned}$$

is an  $R$ -module hom. so

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$$

$$\text{So } \varphi(w) \in \Sigma$$

By constr

$$\varphi(w) \neq 0$$

Now  $d_i \neq 0$ , else

$$R d_i \subsetneq \varphi(w)$$

a contradiction.



9/20/16

5

claim III : For  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$ ,

$d_i$  divides  $\varphi(y)$

pf III Suppose not. Then

$$\varphi(y) \notin R d_i = J$$

So  $\varphi(y) \neq 0$

Define  $d = \text{GCD}(d_i, \varphi(y))$

So 
$$R d = \underbrace{R d_i}_J + R \varphi(y)$$

obs

$$J \subsetneq R d$$

$\exists r, \lambda \in R$  such that

$$d = \underbrace{r d_i}_J + \lambda \varphi(y)$$

Define  $\psi = r \nu + \lambda \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^n, R)$

obs

$$d = \psi(y)$$

Now

$$J \subsetneq R d = R \psi(y) = \psi(Ry) \subseteq \psi(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(w_i)$$

This contradicts the maximality of  $J$  from claim I.

Claim IV :  $\exists v_i \in R^n$  such that  $y = d_i v_i$

pf IV For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \longrightarrow & R & * \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) & \longrightarrow & a_i & \end{array}$$

is an  $R$ -module hom

By Claim III,  $d_i$  divides the image of  $y$  under  $*$

So  $d_i$  divides each coordinate of  $y$ .

Write

$$y = (d_1 b_1, d_1 b_2, \dots, d_1 b_n) \quad b_i \in R$$

define

$$v_i = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in R^n$$

By const

$$y = d_i v_i$$

✓

claim V:  $\nu(v_i) = 1$

pf V We have

$$d_i = \nu(y) = \nu(d_i v_i) = d_i \nu(v_i)$$

$$\text{So } d_i (1 - \nu(v_i)) = 0$$

$\neq 0$   
 $R$  is an integral domain so

$$1 - \nu(v_i) = 0$$

✓

claim VI: The sum  $R^n = Rv_i + \ker(\nu)$  is direct.

pf VI the  $R$ -module hom  $\nu: R^n \rightarrow R$  is surjective

by claim V. Claim follows via LEM 21

✓

claim VII: The sum

$$W = R d_1 + W \cap \ker(\nu)$$

is direct

pf VII the map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu|_W : W &\rightarrow R \\ w &\rightarrow \nu(w) \end{aligned}$$

is an  $R$ -module hom.

Its image is

$$\nu(W) = J = R d_1$$

So  $d_1$  divides  $\nu(w) \quad \forall w \in W$

For  $w \in W \quad \exists$  element in  $R$ , denoted  $\phi(w)$ , st

$$\nu(w) = \phi(w) d_1$$

the map

$$\begin{aligned} W &\rightarrow R \\ \phi : w &\rightarrow \phi(w) \end{aligned}$$

is an  $R$ -module hom

Recall

$$\nu(y) = d_1$$

||

$$\phi(y) d_1$$



4/20/16

9

So

$$\phi(y) = 1$$

So

 $\phi$  is surjective

Now by LEM 21 the sum

$$W = Ry + \ker(\phi)$$

is direct.

By construction

$$y = \text{div}$$

$$\ker(\phi) = W \cap \ker(\nu)$$

The claim follows



— o —

If  $n=1$  we are done.For the rest of the pf assume  $n \geq 2$

By Claim VI,

$$R^n = Rv_1 + \ker(\nu) \quad (\text{dir sum})$$

rank:  $n \quad \perp \quad n-1$

By Prop 22  $\exists$   $R$ -module iso

$$\ker(\nu) \cong R^{n-1}$$

By Claim VII,

$$W = R d_{i_1} + W \cap \ker(\nu) \quad (\text{dir sum})$$

rank:  $m \quad \perp \quad m-1$

obs

$W \cap \ker(\nu)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $\ker(\nu)$

By induction,  $\exists$  lin indep gens  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$  for  $\ker(\nu)$

and nono  $d_2, d_3, \dots, d_m$  in  $R$  st both

(i)  $d_2 | d_3 | \dots | d_m$

(ii)  $W \cap \ker(\nu) = R d_2 v_2 + R d_3 v_3 + \dots + R d_m v_m$

4/20/16

11

By const

 $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are lin indep gens for  $R^n$ 

Also

$$W = R \cdot d_1 + R \cdot d_2 + \dots + R \cdot d_n$$

claim VIII

$d_1 \mid d_2$

pf VIII $\exists$   $R$ -module hom

$\varphi: R^n \rightarrow R$

that sends

$v_1 \rightarrow 1,$

$v_2 \rightarrow 1,$

$v_i \rightarrow 0 \quad (3 \leq i \leq n)$

Consider

$\varphi(W) \in \Sigma$

$d_1 \in W,$

$d_2 \in W$

$\varphi(d_1) = d_1$

$\varphi(d_2) = d_2$

$d_1, d_2 \in \varphi(W)$

$Rd_1 + Rd_2 \subseteq \varphi(W)$

 $\stackrel{||}{\mathcal{J}}$ 

$d_2 \in Rd_1$  else

$\mathcal{J} \not\subseteq \varphi(W)$  cont.

So  $d_1 \mid d_2$

□