

Lecture 34 Friday April 15

Recall R is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$

For R -modules, we continue to discuss rank

and linear independence.

Assume R is an integral domain.

Given an R -module V and elements $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$

in V . Consider the R -submodule

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n Rv_i$$

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: R^n &\longrightarrow W \\ (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) &\mapsto c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n \end{aligned}$$

obs φ is a surjective R -module hom

Moreover TFAE

(i) $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are lin indep.

(ii) φ is R -module iso.

LEM 14 Assume R is an integral domain.

Given an R -module V and R -submodules

U, U' of V such that $U \cap U' = 0$

Given n lin indep elements of U :

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$$

X

Given m lin indep elements of U' :

$$u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_m$$

XX

Then

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_m$$

are $m+n$ lin indep in V .

pf Given $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{c'_j\}_{j=1}^m$ in R

st

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i}_{U} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^m c'_j u'_j}_{U'} = 0$$

$$u \in U \cap U' = 0$$

$\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$ all 0 since * lin indep

$\{c'_j\}_{j=1}^m$ all 0 since ** lin indep

□

LEM 15 Assume R is an integral domain

Given an R -module V and linearly independent elements

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in V$$

For $A \in \text{Mat}_n(R)$ consider the elements

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} u_i \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

then v_j are lin. indep. iff $\det(A) \neq 0$

pf for

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \in R^n$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j v_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} u_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} c_j \right)}_{\text{coord } i \text{ of } Ac} u_i \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j v_j = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad Ac = 0$$

Recall $Ac = 0$ has a non-zero sol for c iff $\det(A) \neq 0$

Result follows.

□

COR 16 Assume R is an integral domain

Given an R -module V and lin indep elements

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in V$$

Given

$$0 \neq a_i \in R \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Then the elements

$$a_1u_1, a_2u_2, \dots, a_nu_n$$

are lin indep.

Pf The matrix

$$A = \text{diag} \left(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \right)$$

has det

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$$

X

$\neq 0$ since R is integral domain.

Result follows by LEM 15.

□

Assume R is an integral domain.

Given an R -module V

Given n lin indep elements

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V \quad n = \text{rank}(V)$$

So the R -submodule

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n Rv_i$$

$$\text{is } \text{iso } R^n$$

LEM 17 With above notation, the quotient

R -module V/W is torsion.

pf $\forall v \in V$ the elements

v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are lin dep

so $\exists a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ not all 0

$$\text{st } av + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = 0$$

$a \neq 0$ since v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are lin indep. Also

$$av \in \sum_{i=1}^n Rv_i = W$$

$$\text{so in } V/W \quad a(v+W) = av+W = W$$

so $v+W$ is torsion. \square

We now reverse the logical direction

LEM 18 Assume R is an integral domain.

Given an R -module V and $n \geq 0$

Assume \exists R -submodule W of V that is

iso to R^n and V/W is torsion. Then

$$\text{rank}(V) = n$$

$$\begin{matrix} 11 \\ N \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{pf} \quad \text{obs} \quad n = \text{rank}(W) \leq \text{rank}(V) = N$$

show $n \geq N$:

$$\exists \text{ lin indep } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N \in V$$

$$F_n \subset \{v_i\}_{i \leq N} \quad \exists \quad a \neq a_i \in R \quad \text{st}$$

$$a_i v_i \in W \quad \text{since } V/W \text{ torsion}$$

By Cor 16,

$$a_1 v_1, a_2 v_2, \dots, a_N v_N \quad \text{lin indep}$$

Now

$n \geq N$ since W has rank n .

□

Prop 19 Assume R is an integral domain.

Given R -modules U, V .

Then for the direct product R -module $U \times V$,

$$\text{rank}(U \times V) = \text{rank}(U) + \text{rank}(V)$$

$$\begin{matrix} " & " & " \\ N & m & n \end{matrix}$$

pf write $W = U \times V$

view U, V as R -submodules of W , so

$$W = U + V \quad (\text{dir sum})$$

\exists lin indep

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in U$$

\exists lin indep

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$$

Show $N \geq m+n$: The sum $U+V$ is direct, so

by LEMMA 14,

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \text{ lin indep in } W \quad \checkmark$$

Show $N \leq m+n$ \exists lin indep elements

$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N \in W$$

For $1 \leq i \leq N$ write

$$w_i = \underbrace{w_i^+}_{\text{U}} + \underbrace{w_i^-}_{\text{V}}$$

obs

$$w_i^+, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \text{ lin dep}$$

so $\exists \alpha \neq \alpha_i \in R$ st

$$\alpha_i w_i^+ \in \underbrace{R u_1 + R u_2 + \dots + R u_m}_{\text{U}}$$

obs

$$w_i^-, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \text{ lin dep}$$

so $\exists \beta \neq \beta_i \in R$ st

$$\beta_i w_i^- \in \underbrace{R v_1 + R v_2 + \dots + R v_n}_{\text{V}}$$

Obs
 $a_i b_i w_i \neq 0$ since R is int domain

Obs

$$\begin{aligned} a_i b_i w_i &= a_i b_i (w_i^+ + w_i^-) \\ &= b_i (a_i w_i^+) + a_i (b_i w_i^-) \end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{\text{R}}{\bar{U}} \quad \overset{\text{R}}{\bar{V}}$$

$$\in \bar{U} + \bar{V}$$

By Cor 16

$$a_i b_i w_i \underset{1 \leq i \leq N}{\in}$$

are lin indep.

By above LEM 14 we have R -module isomorphisms

$$\bar{U} \cong R^m, \quad \bar{V} \cong R^n$$

$$\text{so } \bar{U} + \bar{V} \cong R^{m+n}$$

$$\text{So } \text{rank } (\bar{U} + \bar{V}) = m+n$$

But * gives N lin indep elements in $\bar{U} + \bar{V}$.

So

$$N \leq m+n$$

□

4/15/16

10

Assume R is integral domain

Given f_g R -module V

Describe V

$$\text{Write } V = \sum_{i=1}^n Rv_i$$

Recall we may

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \longrightarrow & V \\ \varphi: & & \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) & \longmapsto & a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n \end{array}$$

is surg R -module homomorphism.

Let $W = \ker(\varphi)$

So W is R -submodule of R^n

φ induces R -module 150

$$R^n/W \longrightarrow V$$

$$x + W \longrightarrow \varphi(x)$$

To describe the solutions for V , it suffices to describe

the R -submodules W of R^n

4/15/16

11

Given R -submodule W of R^n

By LEM 13,

W is torsion-free

Write

$$m = \text{rank}(W)$$

obs

$$m \leq \text{rank}(R^n) = n$$

Natural question: does there exist an R -module $\xrightarrow{\text{iso}}$

$$W \cong R^m \quad ?$$

We will show:

ans is "No" in general

ans is "yes" if R is a PID

The next example illustrates why the ans is No

in general.