

Lecture 19 Wednesday March 2

Given a ring R (not nec commutative)

Given R -module M

Comments about M

• For $r \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} r0 &= r(0+0) \\ &= r0 + r0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } r0 = 0$$

• Also for $a \in M$

$$a + -a = 0$$

$$r(a + -a) = r0 = 0$$

ii

$$ra + r(-a)$$

$$\text{So } r(-a) = -ra$$

• Given R -submodules A, B of M

Define

$$A+B = \{ a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B \}$$

Note that $A+B$ is an R -submodule of M .

R-Module homomorphisms

Motivation

Given abel groups M, N Given group homomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ Recall $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ $a, b \in M$ View M, N as \mathbb{Z} -modules

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(2a) &= \varphi(a+a) \\ &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(a) \\ &= 2\varphi(a)\end{aligned}$$

$a \in M$

More generally

$$\varphi(na) = n\varphi(a)$$

 $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $a \in M$

Given field F

Given vector spaces V, W over F

Given a linear transformation $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$

Recall

$$\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$$

$$a, b \in V$$

$$\varphi(\alpha a) = \alpha \varphi(a)$$

$$\alpha \in F \quad a \in V$$

Def 1 Given a ring R and

R -modules M, N .

A function $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is an R -module

homomorphism whenever

$$\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$$

$$a, b \in M$$

$$\varphi(ra) = r \varphi(a)$$

$$r \in R \quad a \in M$$

Ex Given R -modules M, N

The function

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} : \quad M &\rightarrow N \\ a &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is an R -module homomorphism.

LEM 2 Given R -modules M, N

Given an R -module hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$

Define $K = \{ a \in M \mid \varphi(a) = 0 \}$ "the kernel of φ "

Then K is an R -submodule of M

pf Check K is a subgroup of M

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(0+0) = \varphi(0) + \varphi(0)$$

$$\text{so } \varphi(0) = 0$$

$$0 \in K$$

For $a, b \in K$ show $a+b \in K$:

$$\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

For $r \in R$ and $a \in K$ show

$$ra \in K:$$

$$\varphi(ra) = r \varphi(a) = r \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

□

LEM 3 Given R -modules M, N
 Given R -module hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$

Define $\varphi(M) = \{x \in N \mid \exists a \in M \text{ st } \varphi(a) = x\}$
 "Image of φ "

Then $\varphi(M)$ is an R -submodule of N .

pf (ex.)

□

DEF 4. Given R -modules M, N

A function $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism of R -modules

whenever f is a homomorphism of R -modules

and f is a bijection.

Given R -modules M, N . Define

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \left\{ \varphi \mid \varphi: M \rightarrow N \text{ is an } \right. \\ \left. R\text{-module hom} \right\} \quad *$$

Next goal: investigate \mathcal{H} .

Is this a group? R -module? ring? or what?

Addition in $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$

Given $\varphi, \phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ define a function

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi + \phi: & M \longrightarrow N \\ a & \longrightarrow \varphi(a) + \phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

Check if $\varphi + \phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$:

For $a, b \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi + \phi)(a+b) & \stackrel{?}{=} (\varphi + \phi)(a) + (\varphi + \phi)(b) \\ \text{"} & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{"} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{"} \\ \varphi(a+b) + \phi(a+b) & \qquad \qquad \qquad \varphi(a) + \phi(a) \qquad \qquad \qquad \varphi(b) + \phi(b) \\ \text{"} & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{"} \\ \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \qquad \varphi(a) + \phi(b) & \qquad \qquad \text{ok} \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in R$ and $a \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi + \phi)(ra) & \stackrel{?}{=} r \underbrace{(\psi + \phi)(a)}_{\psi(a) + \phi(a)} \\ & \parallel \\ & \psi(ra) + \phi(ra) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \quad \parallel \\ r\psi(a) & \quad r\phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}} \parallel \quad \text{ok}$$

$$r(\psi(a) + \phi(a))$$

So

$$\psi + \phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

Recall the map

$$0 \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

sends

$$a \rightarrow 0$$

$$\forall a \in M$$

LEM 5 Given R -modules M, N .

Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, $+$, \mathcal{O} is an abelian group.

pf By const

$$\varphi + \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} + \varphi = \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

Also

$+$ is associative for $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$

since it is assoc for M, N .

For $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ define a function

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi &: M \rightarrow N \\ a &\rightarrow -\varphi(a) \end{aligned}$$

Show

$$-\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

For $a, b \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-\varphi)(a+b) & \stackrel{?}{=} (-\varphi)(a) + (-\varphi)(b) \\ \parallel & \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ -\varphi(a+b) & \qquad \qquad -\varphi(a) \qquad -\varphi(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)) \\ & \parallel \\ &= -\varphi(a) - \varphi(b) \end{aligned}$$

OK

For $r \in R$ and $a \in M$ check

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (-\varphi)(ra) & \stackrel{?}{=} & r(-\varphi)(a) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ -\varphi(ra) & & -\varphi(a) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ -r\varphi(a) & \text{OK} & -r\varphi(a) \end{array}$$

So

$$-\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

By constr

$$\varphi + (-\varphi) = (-\varphi) + \varphi = 0$$



For $\lambda \in R$ and $a \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (r\psi)(\lambda a) & \stackrel{?}{=} \lambda (r\psi)(a) \\
 & \quad \parallel \\
 r(\psi(\lambda a)) & \quad \lambda(r\psi(a)) \\
 & \quad \parallel \\
 r(\lambda\psi(a)) & \quad (\lambda r)\psi(a) \\
 & \quad \parallel \\
 (r\lambda)\psi(a) & \quad \text{Require } r\lambda = \lambda r
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$r\psi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

if R is commutative.

LEM 6 Assume R is commutative.

Given R -modules M, N . Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

becomes an R -module with action

$$\begin{aligned} R \times \text{Hom}_R(M, N) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \\ r \quad \varphi &\longrightarrow r\varphi \end{aligned}$$

pf For $r, s \in R$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$
show

$$(r+s)\varphi \stackrel{?}{=} r\varphi + s\varphi$$

For $a \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} ((r+s)\varphi)(a) &\stackrel{?}{=} (r\varphi + s\varphi)(a) \\ \parallel &\parallel \\ (r+s)\varphi(a) &= (r\varphi)(a) + (s\varphi)(a) \\ \parallel &\parallel \\ r\varphi(a) + s\varphi(a) &\text{ ok} \end{aligned}$$

Next check

$$(r\Delta)\varphi \stackrel{?}{=} r(\Delta\varphi)$$

For $a \in M$ show

$$\left((r\Delta)\varphi \right)(a) \stackrel{?}{=} \left(r(\Delta\varphi) \right)(a)$$

"

$$r(\Delta\varphi)(a)$$

$$(r\Delta)\varphi(a)$$

$$r(\Delta\varphi(a))$$

"

$$(r\Delta)\varphi(a)$$

OK

For $r \in R$ and $\varphi, \phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ show

$$r(\varphi + \phi) \stackrel{?}{=} r\varphi + r\phi$$

For $a \in M$

$$\left(r(\varphi + \phi) \right)(a) \stackrel{?}{=} \left(r\varphi + r\phi \right)(a)$$

$$r\left((\varphi + \phi)(a) \right)$$

$$\left(r\varphi \right)(a) + \left(r\phi \right)(a)$$

$$r\varphi(a)$$

$$r\phi(a)$$

$$r\left(\varphi(a) + \phi(a) \right)$$

$$r\varphi(a) + r\phi(a)$$

OK

Now assume R has 1

Show

$$1 \varphi \stackrel{?}{=} \varphi$$

$\varphi \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$

For $a \in M$

$$(1 \varphi)(a) \stackrel{?}{=} \varphi(a)$$

\parallel

$$1 \varphi(a)$$

OK

\parallel

$$\varphi(a)$$

□