

Math 846

Lecture 38

Recall our PRG $P = (X, R)$ with $D \geq 2$

Assume P is Q poly wrt $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$

Fix $x \in X$ and write $T = T(x)$

Recall

$$V_{i_T} = (E_0^* V + \dots + E_i^* V) \wedge (E_0 V + \dots + E_i V)$$

$$\text{for } -1 \leq i_T \leq D$$

LEM 53 $\forall n \ 0 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\dim \tilde{V}_{ij} = \dim V_{ij} - \dim V_{i+1,j} - \dim V_{i,j+1} + \dim V_{i+1,j+1}$$

p.f We have

$$V_{ij} = \tilde{V}_{ij} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ ds}}{(V_{i+1,j} + V_{i,j+1})}$$

So

$$\dim V_{ij} = \dim \tilde{V}_{ij} + \dim (V_{i+1,j} + V_{i,j+1})$$

By linear algebra, for any subspaces Y, Z of any f.d vectn space.

$$\dim(Y+Z) + \dim(Y \cap Z) = \dim Y + \dim Z$$

So

$$\dim(V_{i+1,j} + V_{i,j+1}) = \dim V_{i+1,j} + \dim V_{i,j+1} - \dim(V_{i+1,j} \cap V_{i,j+1})$$

obs

$$V_{i+1,j} \cap V_{i,j+1} = V_{i+1,j+1}$$

Result follows.

□

Thm 54 For $0 \leq r, a \leq D$

Lec 3
3

$$V_{r,a} = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^a \tilde{V}_{ij} \quad (\text{dir sum})$$

pf Show

$$V_{r,a} = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^a \tilde{V}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

We show (*) by induction on $r+a$

(*) holds for $r+a=0$ since $\tilde{V}_{00} = V_{00}$

by constr.

Assume $r+a > 0$.

By constr

$$V_{r,a} = \tilde{V}_{r,a} + V_{r-1,a} + V_{r,a-1}$$

$$\text{By ind } V_{r-1,a} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^a \tilde{V}_{ij}$$

$$V_{r,a-1} = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \tilde{V}_{ij}$$

Combining these equations we get (*).

Show sum (*) is direct.

Lec 3B
4

Using Lem 53,

$$\dim V_{r2} = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^1 \dim \tilde{V}_{ij}$$

Therefore the sum (*) is direct. \square

COR 55 We have

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^p \tilde{V}_{ij} \quad (\text{dir sum})$$

pf ret $r=2=p$ in Prop 54.

DEF 56 We call the sum in COR 55

the split composition of V wrt \times

Caution: the sum is not orthogonal in general.

Open PROBLEM 57 What is the

combinatorial meaning of the split decomp?

For $0 \leq i, j \leq 0$ find a basis for \tilde{V}_{ij}

and find the action of A, A^k on this basis.

— 0 —

We will return to the split decomp shortly

Lec 37B

5

More about the unred T -modules.

Lec 38

6

$$F = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}$$

$\Gamma = (X, \mathbb{R})$ is a PRG with diam $0 \geq 2$

We do not assume Γ is \mathcal{Q} -poly.

Fix $x \in X$ write $T = T(x)$

Fix an unred T -module W

Recall

$r = \text{endpt of } W$

$d = \text{diam of } W$

$t = \text{dual endpt of } W$

$d^* = \text{dual diam}$

Recall

W is thin whenever $\dim E_i^* W \leq 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

-- dual thin -- $\dim E_i W \leq 1$ --

LEM 58 With above notation,

$$(i) \quad E_i^* A E_j^* W \neq 0 \text{ if } |i-j| = 1 \quad (r \leq i, j \leq r+d)$$

(ii) Assume W is Min. Then

$$E_r^* W + E_{r+1}^* W + \dots + E_{r+d}^* W = E_r^* W + A E_r^* W + \dots + A^d E_r^* W$$

($0 \leq i \leq d$)

(iii) Assume W is Min. Then $W = M E_r^* W$

(iv) Assume W is Min. Then

$$E_j E_r^* W = E_j W \quad (0 \leq j \leq D)$$

Moreover W is dual Min.

) pf Suppose $\exists i, j$ ($r \leq i, j \leq r+d$) st

Lec 30
8

$$E_i^* A E_j^* W = 0 \text{ and } |i-j|=1.$$

If $i-j=1$ then

$$E_r^* W + \dots + E_j^* W$$

is a non-zero proper subspace of W that is

closed under A and M_i^* . But A, M_i^*

generate T so this contradicts the irreducibility of W .

) If $j-i=1$ then

$$E_j^* W + \dots + E_{r+d}^* W$$

is a non-zero proper subspace of W that is closed

under A and M_i^* , contradicting the irreducibility

of W .

(ii) By (i) and since

$$E_i^* A E_j^* = 0 \text{ if } |i-d| > 1 \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d)$$

(iii) \supseteq : Since W is M -invariant

\subseteq : Set $i=d$ in (ii) and

use

$$W = \sum_{l=0}^d E_r^{*l} W$$

(iv) For $0 \leq j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_j W &= E_j M E_r^* W \\ &= E_j E_r^* W \end{aligned}$$

$$E_j M = \text{span}(E_j)$$

Now

$$\dim E_j W \leq \dim E_r^* W = 1$$

□

We give the dual to Lem 98

Lec 98
10

LEM 99. With above notation, assume
that Γ is Q -poly wrt $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$. Then

(i) $E_i A^* E_j W \neq 0$ if $|i-j|=1$ ($t \leq i, j \leq t+d^*$)

(ii) Assume W is dual Min. Then

$$E_t W + \dots + E_{t+i} W = E_t W + A^i E_t W + \dots + (A^*)^i E_t W$$

($0 \leq i \leq d^*$)

(iii) Assume W is dual Min. Then $W = M^* E_t W$

(iv) Assume W is dual Min. Then

$$E_j^* E_t W = E_j^* W \quad (0 \leq j \leq 0)$$

Moreover W is Min.

pf Similar to the proof of Lem 98.

□

Next we get some inequalities on r_i . We need a lemma:

Lec 30
11

LEM 60 With above notation the following hold for $0 \leq h \leq D$

(i) For $0 \neq w \in E_h^* V$,

$$\left| \left\{ i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i w = 0 \right\} \right| \leq 2h$$

(ii) Assume Γ is \mathbb{Q} -poly wrt $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$. Then for $0 \neq w \in E_h V$,

$$\left| \left\{ i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^* w = 0 \right\} \right| \leq 2h$$

pf (i) Suppose not. Then \exists subset

$$\Omega \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots, D\}, \quad |\Omega| = 2h+1$$

st

$$E_i w = 0 \quad \forall i \in \Omega$$

By const $E_h^* w = w$.

Lec 390

12

For $i \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E_h^* E_i w \\ &= E_h^* E_i E_h^* w \end{aligned}$$

$$= E_h^* \left(|X|^{-1} m_i \sum_{l=0}^D u_l(\sigma_i) A_l \right) E_h^* w$$

$$E_h^* A_l E_h^* = 0 \text{ for } l > 2h$$

So

$$0 = \sum_{l=0}^{2h} u_l(\sigma_i) E_h^* A_l E_h^* w$$

Letting i range over Ω we get a system of

$2h+1$ homogeneous linear equations in the unknowns

$$E_h^* A_l E_h^* w \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2h$$

The coefficient matrix is essentially Vandermonde

since the polynomial u_l has degree l
and the θ_i are distinct.

Therefore the coefficient matrix is invertible, forcing

$$E_h^* A_l E_h^* w = 0 \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2h$$

This is a contradiction since

$$E_h^* A_0 E_h^* w = E_h^* w = w \neq 0.$$

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i)

□

COR 61 With the above notation, let W denote an irred T -module with endpt r , dual endpt t , diam d , dual diam d^* . Then

(i) $2r + d^* \geq D$

(ii) Assume that Γ is Q -poly wrt $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$.
Then $2t + d \geq D$

pf (i) Pick $0 \neq w \in E_r^* W$. By Lem 50 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} 2r &\geq \left| \left\{ i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i w = 0 \right\} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \left\{ i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i W = 0 \right\} \right| \\ &= D - d^* \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i)

□