

Recall our connected graph $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$, $|X| \geq 2$

Fix $x \in X$, write $M^x = M^x(x)$, $T = T(x)$, $d = \rho_x$

Until further notice assume that Γ is distance-regular with respect to x . Obs

$$c_i \neq 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad c_0 = 0$$

$$b_{i^*} \neq 0 \quad 0 \leq i^* \leq d-1, \quad b_d = 0$$

$$a_0 = 0, \quad \varsigma = 1$$

LEM 13

$$(i) \quad k_i c_i = k_{i^*} b_{i^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$$

$$(ii) \quad k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i^*}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d$$

pf (i) Count in two ways the edges between $\Gamma_{i^*}(x)$ and $\Gamma_i(x)$.

(ii) By (i) and induction on i . □

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$\Pi_i = E_i^* \Pi$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \Gamma_i(x)} \hat{y}$$

So $\Pi_0 = \hat{x}$,

$$\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} k_i \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq d$$

$$E_i^* \Pi_j = \delta_{ij} \Pi_j \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq d$$

We now consider the action of A on $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

LEM 14

(i) $A \Pi_a = \Pi,$

(ii) $A \Pi_i = b_{ii} \Pi_{ii} + a_i \Pi_i + c_{ii} \Pi_{nn} \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-1$

(iii) $A \Pi_d = b_{dd} \Pi_{dd} + ad \Pi_d$

pf (ii) For $1 \leq i \leq d-1,$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \Pi_i &= A \sum_{q \in P_i(x)} \hat{z} \\
 &= \sum_{q \in P_i(x)} \sum_{z \in P(q)} \hat{z} \\
 &= \sum_{z \in X} \hat{z} / |P(z) \cap P_i(x)| \\
 &= b_{ii} \sum_{z \in P_{ii}(x)} \hat{z} + a_i \sum_{z \in P_i(x)} \hat{z} \\
 &\quad + c_{ii} \sum_{z \in P_{nn}(x)} \hat{z} \\
 &= b_{ii} \Pi_{ii} + a_i \Pi_i + c_{ii} \Pi_{nn}
 \end{aligned}$$

(i), (iii) similar.

□

9/13/13

4

LEM 15 The vectors $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=0}^d$ form a basis for the primary T -module. Relative this basis,

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} a_0 b_0 & & & & 0 \\ c_1 a_1 b_1 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & & b_{d-1} \\ & & & & cd ad \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_i^* := \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{for } i \leq d.$$

\uparrow
i-coord

pf Let W denote the subspace of V spanned by

$\{\Pi_i\}_{i=0}^d$. By LEM 14, $AW \subseteq W$.

We saw $E_i^* \Pi_j = \delta_{ij} \Pi_j$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$) so

$E_i^* W \subseteq W$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. So W is a T -module.

Let \tilde{W} denote the primary T -module. Show $W = \tilde{W}$.

By construction $\hat{x} = \Pi_0 \in W$. Also $\hat{x} \in \tilde{W}$

So $W \cap \tilde{W} \neq 0$.

$W \cap \tilde{W}$ is a non-zero T -module contained in

\tilde{W} . T -module \tilde{W} is irreducible, so

$$W \cap \tilde{W} = \tilde{W}, \text{ ie } \tilde{W} \subseteq W$$

By construction

$$\dim W = d+1$$

We saw earlier

$$\dim \tilde{W} \geq d+1.$$

$$\text{So } W = \tilde{W}.$$

□

9/13/13

6

We now bring in some polynomials in one variable.

Let λ = indeterminate.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\lambda] = \mathbb{C}$ -algebra of polynomials in λ that have all coeffs in \mathbb{C} .

For $0 \leq i \leq dt$ define $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ by

$$f_0 = 1, \quad f_1 = \lambda$$

$$\lambda f_i = b_{dt} f_{dt} + a_{dt} f_{dt-1} + c_{dt} f_{dt-2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq dt)$$

$$\lambda f_d = b_{dt} f_{dt} + a_{dt} f_{dt-1} + \frac{c_{dt}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_d} f_{dt-2}$$

Observe that for $0 \leq i \leq d$

f_i has degree i , and coeff of λ^i is $\frac{1}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}$

Also

f_{dt} is monic with degree dt .

LEM 16

$$(i) \quad f_i(A)\hat{x} = \Pi_i \quad \text{osied}$$

$$(ii) \quad f_{\text{det}}(A)\hat{x} = 0$$

pf Compare the def of f_i with LEM 14. \square

- - -

Recall that M is the subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$

generated by A

LEM 17

(i) the primary T -module is $M\hat{x}^*$

(ii) For the action of A on $M\hat{x}^*$, f_{det} is

both the min poly. and char poly.

pf (i) By LEM 16 $M\hat{x}^*$ has basis $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=0}^d$.
Done by LEM 15.

$$(iii) \quad f_{\text{det}}(A)M\hat{x}^* = M f_{\text{det}}(A)\hat{x}^* = 0.$$

so min poly of A on $M\hat{x}^*$ divides f_{det}

A is diagonalizable on $M\hat{x}^*$ so on $M\hat{x}^*$
min poly of A = char poly of A .

7/13/13

8

char poly of A on M_x^* has degree d_{tt}
 f_{dtt} has degree d_{tt}

Result follows. □

DEF 18 Let $\{\theta_i \beta_{i=0}^d\}$ denote the roots

of f_{dtt} .

[these roots are among the eigenvalues of Γ]

call $\{\theta_i \beta_{i=0}^d\}$ the primary eigenvalues of $\underline{\Gamma}$

with respect to x

9/13/13
9

LEM 19 For any eigenvalue θ_i of Γ ,

(i) Assume θ_i is primary. Then $E_i \hat{x}$ is a basis for $E_i M \hat{x}$

(ii) Assume θ_i is not primary. Then $E_i \hat{x} = 0$

pf (i) By def $E_i M \hat{x} \neq 0$. E_i is a prim idempotent

$$\text{so } E_i M = \mathbb{C} E_i.$$

$$\text{so } E_i M \hat{x} = \mathbb{C} E_i \hat{x} \neq 0$$

(ii) By def of primary, $E_i M \hat{x} = 0$

□

LEM 20 $\{E_i \hat{x}\}_{i=0}^d$ is an orthog basis for $M \hat{x}$.

pf By L19 and since

$$M \hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i M \hat{x} \quad (\text{ods})$$

□

9/13/13

10

DEF 21 For oried define

$$\Pi_i^* = E_i \hat{x}$$

$$m_i = \|\Pi_i^*\|^2$$

Note

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^d \Pi_i^*$$

$$m_i \neq 0 \quad \text{oried}$$

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^d m_i$$

We have seen that both

$$\{\Pi_i\}_{i=0}^d, \quad \{\Pi_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$$

are orthogonal bases for M_x^* . We now consider how these bases are related.

9/13/13

LEM 22 For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$

11

$$\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j^* \rangle = f_i(\theta_j) m_j$$

pf

$$\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j^* \rangle = \left\langle f_i(A) \hat{x}, \underbrace{E_1}_{||} \hat{x} \right\rangle$$

 E_2^2

$$= \left\langle \underbrace{E_1}_{||} f_i(A) \hat{x}, E_2 \hat{x} \right\rangle$$

$$f_i(\theta_j) E_j$$

$$= f_i(\theta_j) \| E_2 \hat{x} \|^2$$

$$= f_i(\theta_j) m_j.$$

□

9/13/13

12

We now give the transition matrices

between our bases for $M^{\hat{x}}$

LEM 23

For $0 \leq j \leq d$

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{1}_j = \sum_{i=0}^d f_j(\theta_i) \mathbb{1}_i^*$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbb{1}_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{f_i(\theta_j)}{k_i} m_i \mathbb{1}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{pf } (i) \quad \mathbb{1}_j &= f_j(A) \hat{x} \\
 &= I f_j(A) \hat{x} \quad I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^d f_j(A) E_i \hat{x} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^d f_j(A) \underbrace{E_i \hat{x}}_{\mathbb{1}_i^*} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^d f_j(\theta_i) \underbrace{\mathbb{1}_i \hat{x}}_{\mathbb{1}_i^*}
 \end{aligned}$$

9/13/13

13

(ii) Use LEM 22. write

$$\Pi_j^* = \sum_{h=0}^d \alpha_h \Pi_h \quad \alpha_h \in \mathbb{C}$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j^* \rangle &= \left\langle \Pi_i, \sum_{h=0}^d \alpha_h \Pi_h \right\rangle \\ &= \overline{\alpha_i} k_i \end{aligned}$$

By LEM 22

$$\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j^* \rangle = f_i(\theta_j) m_j$$

So

$$\overline{\alpha_i} = \frac{f_i(\theta_j) m_j}{k_i} = \alpha_i$$

□

9/13/13

The polynomials $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are
 "orthogonal" in the following sense.

14

LEM 24 For $0 \leq r, s \leq d$

$$(i) \quad \sum_{i=0}^d f_r(\alpha_i) f_s(\alpha_i) m_i = \delta_{rs} k_r$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{i=0}^d f_i(\alpha_r) f_i(\alpha_s) k_i = \delta_{rs} m_r$$

pf (i)

$$\delta_{rs} k_r = \langle \Pi_r, \Pi_s \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^d f_r(\alpha_i) \Pi_i^*, \sum_{j=0}^d f_s(\alpha_j) \Pi_j^* \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^d f_r(\alpha_i) f_s(\alpha_i) m_i$$

(ii)

$$\delta_{rs} m_r = \langle \Pi_r^*, \Pi_s^* \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{f_i(\theta_r) m_r}{k_i} \Pi_i, \sum_{j=0}^d \frac{f_j(\theta_s) m_s}{k_j} \Pi_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{f_i(\theta_r) f_i(\theta_s) m_r m_s}{k_i^2} k_i$$

result follows. \square

DEF 25 P is said to be

distance-regular whenever $\theta_x \in X$

(i) P is distance-regular with respect to x ;

(ii) The intersection numbers of P with
respect to x do not depend on x .

— o —