

LEM Assume \mathbb{Q} is subring of K

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}_n} p_\lambda \otimes m_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}_n} \frac{p_\lambda \otimes p_\lambda (-1)^{n-l(\lambda)}}{z_\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}_n} m_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda$$

pf

$$\sum_{\lambda} \frac{p_\lambda \otimes p_\lambda (-1)^{n-l(\lambda)}}{z_\lambda} \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda$$

||

$$\sum_{\lambda, \mu} \frac{m_\mu \otimes p_\lambda (-1)^{n-l(\lambda)} b_\mu^\lambda}{z_\lambda} \quad \sum_{\lambda, \mu} m_\lambda \otimes p_\mu \frac{b_\lambda^\mu (-1)^{n-l(\mu)}}{z_\mu}$$

|| $[\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu]$

|||

$$\sum_{\lambda, \mu} m_\mu \otimes p_\lambda \frac{b_\mu^\lambda (-1)^{n-l(\lambda)}}{z_\lambda}$$

□

Thm We have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}} \frac{p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)}{z_{\lambda}} (-1)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)}$$

pf

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}} e_{\lambda}(x) m_{\lambda}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}_n} e_{\lambda}(x) m_{\lambda}(y) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}_n} \frac{p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)}{z_{\lambda}} (-1)^{n - l(\lambda)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}} \frac{p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)}{z_{\lambda}} (-1)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)}$$

□

Assume \mathbb{Q} is a subring of K

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the following K -bases for Λ_n :

$$\Delta_\lambda \quad \lambda \in \text{Par}_n \quad *$$

$$\frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda} \quad \lambda \in \text{Par}_n \quad **$$

Write $*$ in terms of $**$

$$\Delta_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in \text{Par}_n} c_{\mu}^{\lambda} \frac{p_{\mu}}{z_{\mu}}$$

We will use the transition matrix $(c_{\mu}^{\lambda})_{\lambda, \mu \in \text{Par}_n}$
is the character table of the Sym group S_n .

Ex n=5

Write $\{\Delta_\lambda\}_\lambda$ in terms of $\{P_\lambda/z_\lambda\}_\lambda$

	Δ_{1^5}	Δ_{4^1}	Δ_{3^2}	$\Delta_{3^1 1^2}$	Δ_{2^3}	$\Delta_{2^2 1}$	$\Delta_{2^1 1^3}$
$\frac{1}{120} P_{1^5}$	1	4	5	6	5	4	1
$\frac{1}{12} P_{4^1}$	1	2	1	0	-1	-2	-1
$\frac{1}{8} P_{3^2}$	1	0	1	-2	1	0	1
$\frac{1}{6} P_{3^1 1^2}$	1	1	1	0	-1	1	1
$\frac{1}{6} P_{2^3}$	1	-1	1	0	-1	1	-1
$\frac{1}{4} P_{2^2 1}$	1	0	-1	0	1	0	-1
$\frac{1}{5} P_{2^1 1^3}$	1	-1	0	1	0	-1	1

(character table for S_5)

A bilinear form $(,)$ on Λ .

Def. We endow K -module Λ with a K -bilinear form $(,)$ w.r.t. which the S_λ are orthonormal:

$$(,)$$

$\Lambda \times \Lambda$	\rightarrow	K
$S_\lambda \quad S_\mu$	\rightarrow	$\delta_{\lambda, \mu}$

We obs $(,)$ is symmetric.

By const

$$(A_n, A_m) = 0 \quad \text{if } n \neq m \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Call $(,)$ the Hall inner product

LEM For $u, v \in \Lambda$,

(i) $(w(u), v) = (u, w(v))$
 $w = \text{fund invol}$

(ii) $(\xi(u), v) = (u, \xi(v))$

pf wlog $u = \lambda_i, v = \lambda_j, i, j \in \text{Par}$

(i) $(w(\lambda_i), \lambda_j) \stackrel{?}{=} (\lambda_i, w(\lambda_j))$
 \parallel \parallel
 λ_i^t λ_j
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$
 \parallel
 $\delta_{\lambda_i^t, \lambda_j}$ $=$ $\lambda_{\lambda_i^t, \lambda_j}$
 ok

(ii) ξ_m

□

LEM We have

$$(m_\lambda, h_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \lambda, \mu \in \text{Par}$$

pf wlog $|\lambda| = |\mu| (=n)$

Consider these K -bases for Λ_n :

$$\{a_\lambda\}_\lambda$$

$$\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$$

$$\{m_\lambda\}_\lambda$$

$$\{m_\lambda^*\}_\lambda$$

\uparrow
dual basis wrt (.)

Show $h_\lambda = m_\lambda^* \quad \forall \lambda$

Consider these transition matrices:

$$\{m_\lambda\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{a_\lambda\}_\lambda \quad (1)$$

$$\{a_\lambda\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{h_\lambda\}_\lambda \quad (2)$$

$$\{a_\lambda\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{m_\lambda^*\}_\lambda \quad (3)$$

Recall

$$A_\lambda = \sum_m K_m^\lambda m_\mu$$

$\forall \lambda$

$$h_\lambda = \sum_\mu K_\lambda^\mu a_\mu$$

So (1), (2) are transpose.

By lin alg and since $A_\lambda^* = A_\lambda$

(1), (3) are transpose.

So (2) = (3) and result follows. □

LEM We have

$$(w_\lambda, e_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \lambda, \mu \in \text{Par}$$

pf obs

$$(w_\lambda, e_\mu) = (w(m_\lambda), w(h_\mu))$$

$$= (w^2(m_\lambda), h_\mu)$$

$$= (m_\lambda, h_\mu)$$

$$= \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$$

□

LEM Assume \mathbb{Q} is a subring of K

then

$$(p_\lambda, p_\mu) = \int_{\lambda, \mu} z_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \text{Par}$$

pt wlog $|\lambda| = |\mu| (= n)$

Consider these K -bases for Λ_n

$$\{m_\lambda\}_\lambda, \quad \{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$$

$$\{p_\lambda\}_\lambda, \quad \{p_\lambda^*\}_\lambda, \quad \left\{\frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}\right\}_\lambda$$

show $p_\lambda^* = \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda$

Consider these trans matrices

$$\{m_\lambda\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{p_\lambda\}_\lambda \quad (1)$$

$$\left\{\frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}\right\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{h_\lambda\}_\lambda \quad (2)$$

$$\{p_\lambda^*\}_\lambda \rightarrow \{h_\lambda\}_\lambda \quad (3)$$

Recall

$$p_\lambda = \sum_n b_n^\lambda m_n$$

$$h_\lambda = \sum_n \frac{b_n^\lambda}{z_n} p_n$$

So (1), (2) are transpose.

By lin alg and since $m_\lambda^* = h_\lambda$

(1), (3) are transpose.

So (2) = (3) and result follows. \square

COR Assume the field \mathbb{R} is a subring of K

then the elements

$$\frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda^{1/2}}$$

$$\lambda \in \text{Par}$$

form a K -basis for Λ that is orthonormal
wrt (,)

□

Recall the restricted dual Hopf alg

$$\Lambda^0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n^*$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists$ K -module iso

$$\theta_n: \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n^*$$

st $\forall x, y \in \Lambda_n$

$$(\theta_n(x))(y) = \theta_n(x)(y)$$

\exists K -module iso

$$\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^0$$

st

$$\theta / \Lambda_n = \theta_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Next goal: show θ is a Hopf algebra iso.

Aside Given a Hopf algebra H over K that is finite-free

Given a K -basis for H : $\{v_i\}_i$

dual K -basis for H^* : $\{v_i^*\}_i$

Compare Hopf algebras H, H^* :

	H	H^*
mult	$v_i v_j = \sum_h c_{ij}^h v_h$	$v_i^* v_j^* = \sum_h r_{ij}^h v_h^*$
comult	$\Delta(v_h) = \sum_{i,j} r_{ij}^h v_i \otimes v_j$	$\Delta(v_h^*) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^h v_i^* \otimes v_j^*$
unit	$1 = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$	$\sum_i \beta_i v_i^*$
counit	$\varepsilon = \sum_i \beta_i v_i^*$	$\sum_i \alpha_i v_i$ (view $(H^*)^* = H$)
antipode	$S(v_j) = \sum_i \sigma_{ij} v_i$	$S^*(v_j^*) = \sum_i \sigma_{ij} v_i^*$

pf check assertions for H^*

mult

$$v_i^* * v_j^* = ? \sum_h \gamma_{ij}^h v_h^*$$

$$v_r (v_i^* * v_j^*) = ? \sum_h \gamma_{ij}^h \underbrace{v_h^*}_{\delta_{hr}} \underbrace{\quad}_{\delta_{ir}}$$

$$\left[\Delta(v_i) = \sum_{a,t} \gamma_{at}^i v_a v_t \right]$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{a,t} \gamma_{st}^r v_i^*(v_a) v_j^*(v_t)}_{\delta_{ir}^r}$$

ok

Comult

$$\Delta(v_h^*) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^h v_i^* \otimes v_j^*$$

Recall def 4 Δ

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta: H^* &\xrightarrow{m^*} (H \otimes H)^* \xrightarrow{\text{can}} H^* \otimes H^* \\ &\quad \quad \quad \phi \quad \quad \quad \hookrightarrow f \otimes g \\ &\quad \quad \quad \phi(a \otimes b) = f(a)g(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$v_h^* \xrightarrow{\quad} m^*(v_h^*) \xrightarrow[\text{?}]{\text{can}} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^h v_i^* \otimes v_j^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{at} \quad m^*(v_h^*) (v_a \otimes v_t) &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^h \underbrace{v_i^*(v_a)}_{\delta_{ia}} \underbrace{v_j^*(v_t)}_{\delta_{jt}} \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \parallel \\ &v_h^*(m(v_a \otimes v_t)) \quad \parallel \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{c_{at}^h} \\ &v_h^*(v_a v_t) \\ &\quad \parallel \\ &\underbrace{v_h^* \left(\sum_r c_{at}^r v_r \right)}_{c_{at}^h} \end{aligned}$$

OK

The assertions about H^* for unit, counit, antipode
we saw earlier. □

With above notation endow H with a

H -bilinear form $(,)$ st

$$(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j$$

∃ H -module iso

$$\theta: H \rightarrow H^*$$

st $\forall x, y \in H$

$$\theta(x)(y) = (x, y)$$

obs

$$\theta(v_i) = v_i^* \quad \forall i$$

COR With above notation TFAE

(i) $\theta: H \rightarrow H^*$ is a Hopf alg iso

(ii) $c_{ij}^h = \delta_{ij}^h$

$$d_i = \beta_i \quad \forall h, i, j$$

$$S_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$$

pf clear

□

Above is about the case of H finite free

The case of H graded of finite type is similar (ex).

Back to $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^0$

Thm The map $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^0$ is a Hopf alg iso.

pf Recall Λ is graded of finite type.
Recall the k -bilinear form

$$(\Delta_\lambda, \Delta_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \lambda, \mu \in \text{Par}$$

Apply the version of the prev COR that applies here.

Compare $1, \varepsilon$

$$1 = \Delta \phi$$

Also

$$\varepsilon(1) = 1,$$

$$\varepsilon(\Delta_\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda \neq \emptyset \quad \lambda \in \text{Par}$$

So $\varepsilon = 1^*$ ✓

Antipode S

Recall

$$S(\Delta_\lambda) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \Delta_{\lambda^*} \quad \lambda \in \text{Par}$$

So wrt the k -basis $\{\Delta_\lambda\}_\lambda$ the matrix rep S

is symmetric ✓