

We can now easily show the standard duality exists.

thm 6 For the bialgebras

$$U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$

$$H = M_q(\mathbb{Z})$$

q not root of 1

\exists unique duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : U_q \rightarrow H \rightarrow k$

s.t	$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	a	b	c	d
e		0	1	0	0
f		0	0	1	0
k		q	0	0	q ⁻¹
k ⁻¹		q ⁻¹	0	0	q



pf Recall a basis for $H = M_q(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$x_{ij}^n \text{ det } q^t$$

$$n, t \in \mathbb{N} \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

define $e^\vee, f^\vee, k^\vee, (k^{-1})^\vee \in H^*$

as follows:

$$\left(e^v(x_{ij}^n \det q^t) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [n] & & & 0 \\ & 0 & [n-1] & & \\ & & 0 & \dots & \\ & 0 & & \dots & [1] \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(t^v(x_{ij}^n \det q^t) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ [1] & 0 & & & \\ & [2] & 0 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & & [n] & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(k^v(x_{ij}^n \det q^t) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = \text{diag}(q^n, q^{n-2}, q^{n-4}, \dots, q^{-n})$$

$$\left((k^{-1})^v(x_{ij}^n \det q^t) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} = \text{diag}(q^{-n}, q^{2-n}, \dots, q^n)$$

$$\left(\text{Recall } [n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \right)$$

In the algebra H^*

$e^v, f^v, k^v, (k^v)^{-1}$ satisfy the defining

relations for U_q

So \exists alg morphism $\varphi: U_q \rightarrow H^*$

that sends

$$e \rightarrow e^v, \quad f \rightarrow f^v, \quad k \rightarrow k^v, \quad (k^{-1}) \rightarrow (k^v)^{-1}$$

Define

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: U_q \times H \rightarrow k$$
$$u, x \rightarrow \varphi(u)(x)$$

So $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is bilinear.

By const $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies \star

Since φ is an alg morphism,

$$\langle uv, x \rangle = \sum_{(x)} \langle u, x' \rangle \langle v, x'' \rangle$$

$u, v \in U_q$
 $x \in H$

and

$$\langle 1, x \rangle = \varepsilon(x)$$

$x \in H$

One checks

$$\langle u, 1 \rangle = \varepsilon(u)$$

$\forall u \in U_q$

For $u \in U_q$ show

$$\langle u, xy \rangle = \sum_{(u)} \langle u', x \rangle \langle u'', y \rangle$$

$\forall x, y \in H$

"condition (u)"

By const

$C(e), C(+), C(k), C(k^+)$ hold.

For $u, v \in U_q$ s.t. $C(u), C(v)$ hold, show $C(uv)$ holds, pf is identical to case $q=1$, and omitted.

We have shown $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a standard duality.

One checks $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is unique.



Let $I =$ 2-sided ideal of $M_q(\mathbb{Z})$ gen by
"H

$$ad - q^2bc - 1$$

$$\text{So } SL_q(\mathbb{Z}) = M_q(\mathbb{Z}) / I$$

LEM 7 For the duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in Thm 6.

$$\langle u_q, I \rangle = 0$$

pf claim 1
 $\langle 1, I \rangle = 0$

pt cl 1 $\forall x, y \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1, x(\det q - 1)y \rangle &= \sum (x(\det q - 1)y) \\ &= \sum (x) \left(\sum (\det q - 1) \right) \sum (y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

claim 2

$$\langle e, I \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle f, I \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle k, I \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle k^{-1}, I \rangle = 0$$

pf d2

$$\forall x, y \in H$$

$$\langle e, x(\text{det}_g^{-1})y \rangle =$$

$$\langle e, x \rangle \underbrace{\langle k, \text{det}_g^{-1} \rangle}_{\substack{= \\ 1-1=0}} \langle k, y \rangle +$$

$$\varepsilon(x) \underbrace{\langle e, \text{det}_g^{-1} \rangle}_{\substack{= \\ 0-0=0}} \langle k, y \rangle +$$

$$\varepsilon(x) \underbrace{\varepsilon(\text{det}_g^{-1})}_{\substack{= \\ 1-1=0}} \langle e, y \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

other cases sim.

claim 3

$$\Delta(I) \subseteq I \otimes H + H \otimes I$$

pf d3

$$\forall x, y \in H$$

$$\Delta(x(\text{det}_g^{-1})y) = \Delta(x) \underbrace{\Delta(\text{det}_g^{-1})}_{\substack{= \\ \text{det}_g \otimes \text{det}_g - 1 \otimes 1}} \Delta(y)$$

$$\underbrace{=}_{\substack{= \\ (\text{det}_g^{-1}) \otimes \text{det}_g + 1 \otimes (\text{det}_g^{-1})}}$$

$$\subseteq I \otimes H + H \otimes I \checkmark$$

claim 4

Given $u, v \in U$, s.t

$$\langle u, I \rangle = 0 = \langle v, I \rangle$$

then

$$\langle uv, I \rangle = 0$$

pt. 4

$\forall x \in I,$

$$\langle uv, x \rangle = \sum_{(x)} \underbrace{\langle u, x' \rangle \langle v, x'' \rangle}$$

0 by d3 $x' \in I$ or $x'' \in I$

$$= 0$$

Result follows.



Recall $I =$ 2-sided ideal of $M_q(\mathbb{Z})$ gen by
 $ad - q^2bc - 1$

$$SL_q(\mathbb{Z}) = M_q(\mathbb{Z}) / I$$

By LEM χ and the construction, the duality $\langle \cdot \rangle$

in \mathcal{H}_m induces a duality

$$\langle \cdot \rangle : U_q \times SL_q(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow k$$

Recall $SL_q(\mathbb{Z})$ is Hopf alg. Its antipode

S satisfies

$$S(a) = d$$

$$S(b) = -q^2b$$

$$S(c) = -q^{-2}c$$

$$S(d) = a$$

Recall U_q is also a Hopf alg. Its antipode

S satisfies

$$S(e) = -ek^{-1}$$

$$S(f) = -kf$$

$$S(k) = k^{-1}$$

$$S(k^{-1}) = k$$

LEM 8 For $u \in U_g$ and $x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\langle S(u), x \rangle = \langle u, S(x) \rangle$$

*

pf One checks * holds for

$$u \in \{e, f, k, k^{-1}\}, \quad x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$$

ex $u=e$
 $x=a$ $\langle S(e), a \rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \langle e, S(a) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & - \langle ek^{-1}, a \rangle \qquad \qquad \qquad \langle e, d \rangle \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \sum_{(a')} \langle e, a' \rangle \langle k^{-1}, a'' \rangle \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \langle e, a \rangle \langle k^{-1}, a \rangle + \langle e, b \rangle \langle k^{-1}, c \rangle \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad 1 \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{OK} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \end{aligned}$$

Given $u \in \{e, f, k, k^{-1}\}$ and $x, y \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

sit $\langle S(u), x \rangle = \langle u, S(x) \rangle$

$$\langle S(u), y \rangle = \langle u, S(y) \rangle$$

show $\langle S(u), xy \rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \langle u, S(xy) \rangle$

Case $u=e$

$$\langle S(e), x \rangle = ? \langle e, S(x) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e, S(x) \rangle &= \langle e, S(y) S(x) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{(e)} \langle e', S(y) \rangle \langle e'', S(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(e) = e \otimes k + 1 \otimes e$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle e, S(y) \rangle \langle k, S(x) \rangle + \langle 1, S(y) \rangle \langle e, S(x) \rangle \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ &\quad \langle S(e), y \rangle \quad \langle S(k), x \rangle \quad \varepsilon(S(y)) \quad \langle S(e), x \rangle \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ &\quad \langle -ek^T, y \rangle \quad \langle k^T, x \rangle \quad \varepsilon(y) \quad \langle -ek^T, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle S(e), x \rangle = - \langle ek^T, x \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(ek^T) &= \Delta(e) \Delta(k)^T \\ &= (e \otimes k + 1 \otimes e)(k^T \otimes k^T) \\ &= ek^T \otimes 1 + k^T \otimes ek^T \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \langle ek^T, x \rangle \langle 1, y \rangle - \langle k^T, x \rangle \langle ek^T, y \rangle$$

\parallel
 $\varepsilon(y)$

ok

Case $u=f$

12/9/15

11

$$\langle S(f), xy \rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \langle f, S(xy) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, S(xy) \rangle &= \langle f, S(y) S(x) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{(f)} \langle f', S(y) \rangle \langle f'', S(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(f) = k^T \circ f + f \circ 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle k^T, S(y) \rangle \langle f, S(x) \rangle + \langle f, S(y) \rangle \langle 1, S(x) \rangle \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ &\quad \langle S(k^T), y \rangle \quad \langle S(f), x \rangle \quad \langle S(f), y \rangle \quad \epsilon(S(x)) \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ &\quad \langle k, y \rangle \quad - \langle kf, x \rangle \quad - \langle kf, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle S(f), xy \rangle = \langle -kf, xy \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(kf) &= \Delta(k) \Delta(f) \\ &= (k \circ k) (k^T \circ f + f \circ 1) \\ &= 1 \circ kf + kf \circ k \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \langle 1, x \rangle \langle kf, y \rangle - \langle kf, x \rangle \langle k, y \rangle$$

\parallel
 $\epsilon(x)$

OK

Case $u=k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle S(k), xy \rangle &= \langle k, S(xy) \rangle \\
 &= \langle k, S(y)S(x) \rangle \\
 &= \langle k, S(y) \rangle \langle k, S(x) \rangle \\
 &= \langle k, S(y) \rangle \langle k, S(x) \rangle \\
 &= \langle S(k), y \rangle \langle S(k), x \rangle \\
 &= \langle k^T, y \rangle \langle k^T, x \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

" $\Delta(k^T) = k^T \otimes k^T$

OK

So far, * holds for $u \in \{e, f, k, k^T\}$ and $\forall x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

Given $u, v \in U_g$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle S(u), x \rangle &= \langle u, S(x) \rangle \quad \forall x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\
 \langle S(v), x \rangle &= \langle v, S(x) \rangle \quad \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Show $\langle S(uv), x \rangle = \langle uv, S(x) \rangle \quad \forall x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi(uv), x \rangle &= \langle \psi(v) \psi(u), x \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{(x)} \langle \psi(v), x' \rangle \langle \psi(u), x'' \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{(x)} \langle v, \psi(x') \rangle \langle u, \psi(x'') \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\langle uv, \psi(x) \rangle = \sum_{(\psi(x))} \langle u, \psi(x') \rangle \langle v, \psi(x'') \rangle$$

$$[\Delta^{op} \circ \psi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \Delta]$$

$$= \sum_{(x)} \langle u, \psi(x'') \rangle \langle v, \psi(x') \rangle$$

ok

Result follows

□