

# Projective subsets of separable metric spaces<sup>1</sup>

Arnold W. Miller<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper we will consider two possible definitions of projective subsets of a separable metric space  $X$ . A set  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  iff there exists a complete separable metric space  $Y$  and Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  such that  $A = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (x, y) \in B\}$ . Except for the fact that  $X$  may not be completely metrizable, this is the classical definition of analytic set and hence has many equivalent definitions, for example,  $A$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  iff  $A$  is relatively analytic in  $X$ , i.e.  $A$  is the restriction to  $X$  of an analytic set in the completion of  $X$ . Another definition of projective we denote by  $\Sigma_1^X$  or abstract projective subset of  $X$ . A set  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$  iff there exists an  $n \in \omega$  and a Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times X^n$  such that  $A = \{x \in X : \exists y \in X^n (x, y) \in B\}$ . These sets can be far more pathological. While the family of sets  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  is closed under countable intersections and countable unions, there is a consistent example of a separable metric space  $X$  where  $\Sigma_1^X$  is not closed under countable intersections or countable unions. This takes place in the Cohen real model. Assuming CH there exists a separable metric space  $X$  such that every  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  set is Borel in  $X$  but there exists a  $\Sigma_1^1(X^2)$  set which is not Borel in  $X^2$ . The space  $X^2$  has Borel subsets of arbitrarily large rank while  $X$  has bounded Borel rank. This space is a Luzin set and the technique used here is Steel forcing with tagged trees. We give examples of spaces  $X$  illustrating the relationship between  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  and  $\Sigma_1^X$  and give some consistent examples partially answering an abstract projective hierarchy problem of Ulam.

---

<sup>1</sup>in *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 50(1990), 53-69.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by NSF grant 8801139

# 1 Equivalent definitions

For general background about analytic sets the reader should consult Kuratowski [4], Rogers [10], or Moschovakis [8]. One notation we will use thruout is

$$\text{proj}_X(B) = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (x, y) \in B\}$$

i.e. the projection of  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  onto  $X$ . We begin by considering the notion of  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ . This notion corresponds to any of the following equivalent definitions.

**Theorem 1.1** *For  $X$  a separable metric space and  $A \subseteq X$  the following are all equivalent and denoted  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ :*

1. *there exists a complete separable metric space  $Y$  and a Borel  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  such that  $A = \text{proj}_X(B) = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (x, y) \in B\}$*
2. *(relatively analytic) if  $\hat{X}$  is the completion of  $X$ , then there exists  $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{X}$  a  $\Sigma_1^1(\hat{X})$  set such that  $A = \hat{A} \cap X$*
3. *(Souslin in  $X$ ) there exists  $\langle A_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega} \rangle$  where each  $A_s \subseteq X$  is closed in  $X$  and  $A = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n}$*
4. *there exists  $\langle A_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega} \rangle$  where each  $A_s \subseteq X$  is Borel in  $X$  and  $A = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n}$*
5. *there exists a closed set  $C \subseteq X \times \omega^\omega$  such that  $A = \text{proj}_X(C)$*
6. *there exists a complete separable metric space  $Y$  and a closed set  $C \subseteq X \times Y$  such that  $A = \text{proj}_X(C)$*
7. *(truth tables) there exists  $T \subseteq P(\omega)$  which is  $\Sigma_1^1$  and  $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  each  $U_n \subseteq X$  Borel in  $X$  and  $A = \{x \in X : \{n \in \omega : x \in U_n\} \in T\}$*

proof:

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (4), (5)  $\rightarrow$  (6), (6)  $\rightarrow$  (1) trivial.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) Note that by the classical theory of analytic sets in complete metric spaces

$$\hat{A} = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n}$$

where each  $A_{f \upharpoonright n} \subseteq Y$  is closed in  $Y$ . Hence

$$A = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} (A_{f \upharpoonright n} \cap X)$$

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (5) Let

$$A = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n}$$

where each  $A_{f \upharpoonright n}$  is closed in  $X$ . Define  $C \subseteq X \times \omega^\omega$  by

$$C = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} (\bigcup_{s \in \omega^n} [s] \times A_s)$$

Then  $C$  is closed in  $X \times \omega^\omega$  and  $A$  is the projection onto  $X$  of  $C$ .

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (1) same proof as (3)  $\rightarrow$  (5).

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Let  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  be Borel. and let  $\hat{B} \subseteq \hat{X} \times Y$  be Borel such that  $\hat{B} \cap (X \times Y) = B$ . Now if  $\hat{A} = \text{proj}_{\hat{X}}(\hat{B})$  then  $\hat{A}$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(\hat{X})$ , and  $A = \hat{A} \cap X$ .

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (7) Let

$$T = \{Q \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} : \exists f \in \omega^\omega \forall n \in \omega f \upharpoonright n \in Q\}$$

so  $T \subseteq P(\omega^{<\omega})$ , then

$$x \in \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n} \text{ iff } \{s \in \omega^{<\omega} : x \in A_s\} \in T$$

(7)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Let  $D \subseteq P(\omega) \times \omega^\omega$  be Borel such that

$$T = \{y \in P(\omega) : \exists z \in \omega^\omega (y, z) \in D\}$$

Then

$$Q = \{(x, y, z) : (y, z) \in D \text{ and } \forall n (n \in y \text{ iff } x \in U_n)\}$$

is Borel in  $X \times \omega^\omega \times P(\omega)$  and

$$x \in A \text{ iff } \{n \in \omega : x \in U_n\} \in T \text{ iff } \exists y \exists z (x, y, z) \in Q$$

This completes the proof.

□

From a more abstract point of view, for example see Ulam [20], suppose we started with arbitrary countable field of subsets of a set  $X$ . We could then

form the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  that they generated and similarly the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X \times X$  generated by products of our original family and so on for all finite products  $X^n$ . Then closing under projection would give the abstract projective sets. Using the idea of Szpilrajn's characteristic function of a sequence of sets ([19]) this is basically equivalent to the following notion of  $\Sigma_1^X$  subset of  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *For  $X$  a separable metric space and  $A \subseteq X$  the following are all equivalent and denoted  $\Sigma_1^X$ :*

1. *there exists  $n \in \omega$  and a Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times X^n$  such that  $A = \{x \in X : \exists y \in X^n (x, y) \in B\}$*
2. *there exists  $n \in \omega$  and a Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times X^n$  and a continuous function  $f : B \mapsto X$  such that  $f''B = A$ .*
3. *there exists  $n \in \omega$  and a Borel set  $B \subseteq X \times X^n$  and a Borel function  $f : B \mapsto X$  such that  $f''B = A$ .*

proof:

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) since projection is continuous, (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) is trivial, and (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) because the graph of  $f$  is a Borel subset of  $X^n \times X$  and  $f''B$  is the projection onto  $X$  of the graph of  $f$ .

□

Unlike  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ , any of which can be obtained by projecting a closed subset of  $X \times \omega^\omega$ ,  $\Sigma_1^X$  may require projecting arbitrarily high ranking Borel subsets of  $X \times X^n$ . The example of Miller [5] (Theorem 43 p.259) shows this. This  $X$  has the property that there exists a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^0$  Borel subset of  $X$  which is not the projection of any  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}^0$  set. The argument is similar to that of the last example of Section 2.

Note that it would be a mistake to consider a notion of projective which would allow arbitrary separable metric spaces  $Y$  in Theorem 1.1(6), because then every subset of  $X$  would be projective. To see this note that if  $A \subseteq X$  is arbitrary, then  $D = \{(x, y) \in X \times A : x = y\}$  is closed in  $X \times A$  and  $A$  is the projection of  $D$  onto  $X$ .

## 2 Relationship between $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ and $\Sigma_1^X$

Let  $\text{Borel}(X)$  be the family of Borel subsets of  $X$ . Clearly, we always have  $\text{Borel}(X) \subseteq \Sigma_1^1(X) \cap \Sigma_1^X$ . In this section we give some (consistent) examples of separable metric spaces illustrating some of the possible relationships between these three families.

**Example:**  $\text{Borel}(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X) = \Sigma_1^X$ .

If  $X$  is an uncountable complete separable metric space, such as  $\omega^\omega$ , then  $\Sigma_1^1(X) = \Sigma_1^X$  and  $\text{Borel}(X)$  is a proper subset of  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ , i.e.  $\text{Borel}(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X)$ .

**Example:**  $\text{Borel}(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^X$ .

For  $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$  and  $n \in \omega$  let

$$(n)A = \{y \in \omega^\omega : y(0) = n \text{ and } \exists x \in A \forall m x(m) = y(m+1)\}$$

Let  $A \subset \omega^\omega$  be a set which is not  $\Sigma_1^1(\omega^\omega)$ . Then  $X = (0)A \cup (1)\omega^\omega$ . To see that this works note that  $\text{Borel}(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X)$  because  $\omega^\omega$  is a clopen subspace of  $X$ . Also because  $X$  includes  $\omega^\omega$  we have  $\Sigma_1^1(X) \subseteq \Sigma_1^X$  (see Theorem 1.1). Also by Theorem 1.1 for every set of the form  $(0)B \cup (1)C$  which is  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  we have that  $C$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(\omega^\omega)$ . However  $(1)A$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$ , since it's the projection of

$$D = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : x(0) = 0, y(0) = 1, \text{ and } \forall n > 0 x(n) = y(n)\}$$

Consequently  $\Sigma_1^1(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^X$ .

The remaining examples are all consistent examples. The first two use Luzin sets (see Section 4).

**Example:** (CH)  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^1(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^X$

Let  $Y \subset \omega^\omega$  be a Luzin set, so by Theorem 4.1 section 4  $\text{Borel}(Y) = \Sigma_1^1(Y)$ . Let  $A \subset Y$  be a set which is not  $\Sigma_1^1(Y)$ , and let  $X = (0)Y \cup (1)A$ . Since  $X$  is a Luzin set  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^1(X)$ . On the other hand  $(0)A$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$ , so  $\Sigma_1^1(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^X$ .

**Example:** If  $X$  is a generic Luzin set, then  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^1(X) = \Sigma_1^X$ .

If  $X$  is countable or every subset of  $X$  is Borel in  $X$  (for example a Q-set), then we have  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^1(X) = \Sigma_1^X$ . To get an  $X$  of cardinality the

continuum we can use a generic Luzin set. By a generic Luzin set we mean that  $X \subset \omega^\omega$  is produced by forcing with the partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  of finite partial functions from  $\omega_1 \times \omega$  into  $\omega$  over some model of ZFC  $M$ . Then the  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic object is essentially a function  $G : \omega_1 \times \omega \mapsto \omega$  and we let  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  where  $x_\alpha(n) = G(\alpha, n)$ .

We need only show  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^X$ , since by Theorem 4.1 section 4, we already have that  $\Sigma_1^1(X) = \text{Borel}(X)$ . We do the argument just for the projection of Borel subsets of  $X \times X$ , since the argument for  $X \times X^n$  is similar. Let  $B \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$  be a Borel set. By the countable chain condition there exists a countable set  $Q \in M$  such that  $B$  has a Borel code in  $M[G \upharpoonright (Q \times \omega)]$ . Let  $D = \{(x, x) : x \in \omega^\omega\}$  and let  $Y = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in Q\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}(B \cap (X \times X)) &= \text{proj}(B \cap (Y \times X)) \\ &\cup \text{proj}(B \cap (X \times Y)) \\ &\cup \text{proj}(B \cap D \cap X) \\ &\cup \text{proj}(B \cap (X - Y)^2 - D) \end{aligned}$$

where projection is taken onto the first coordinate. Since  $Y$  is countable and  $\text{proj}(B \cap (Y \times X)) \subseteq Y$  it is Borel. Since cross sections of Borel sets are Borel and  $\text{proj}(B \cap (X \times Y))$  is a countable union of cross sections, it is Borel. If we let  $C = \{x : (x, x) \in B\}$ , then  $C$  is Borel and  $C \cap X = \text{proj}(B \cap D \cap X)$ .

So it suffices to see that  $\text{proj}(B \cap (X - Y)^2 - D)$  is in  $\text{Borel}(X)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $Y = \emptyset$  and that  $B \subseteq (\omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega) - D$  is coded in the ground model  $M$  (otherwise we could work over a new ground model  $M[Y]$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \omega^{<\omega}$  the partial order for forcing a single Cohen real and let  $[p] = \{x \in \omega^\omega : p \subseteq x\}$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For any two distinct  $x, y \in X$  we have  $x \in \text{proj}(B \cap X)$  iff there exists  $y \in X$  distinct from  $x$  such that  $(x, y) \in B$ . But since  $(x, y)$  is  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  generic over the ground model, we have that  $(x, y) \in B$  iff there exists  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $p \subset x$  and  $q \subset y$  such that  $(p, q) \Vdash (x, y) \in B$ . But since  $B$  is a Borel set coded in the ground model  $(p, q) \Vdash (x, y) \in B$  iff  $([p] \times [q]) \cap B$  is comeager in  $[p] \times [q]$ , (see Solovay [13]). Note that  $X$  is dense, so that it is easy to check now that  $x \in \text{proj}(B \cap X)$  iff  $x \in X$  and  $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Q} x \in [p]$  and  $([p] \times [q]) \cap B$  is comeager in  $[p] \times [q]$ . Hence the projection of  $B \cap X$  is in  $\text{Borel}(X)$ .

This example can also be obtained under CH using a proof similar to that of Theorem 4.2.

**Example:** (the set from Miller [6])  $\Sigma_1^X \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X)$ .

In Miller [6] (Theorem 4 p. 177) a forcing construction is given for a set  $X^* \subset \omega^\omega$  with the property that every subset of  $X^*$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(X^*)$ , but not every subset of  $X^*$  is in  $\text{Borel}(X^*)$ . From here on we will refer to  $X$  for the  $X^*$  of [6]. The argument given in [6] that not every subset of  $X$  is  $\text{Borel}(X)$  generalizes to show that the first generic Souslin set (i.e.  $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$ ) is not the projection of a Borel subset of  $X \times X^n$  for any  $n \in \omega$ . See the last paragraph of section 3 [6]. Suppose there exists  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_{\omega_2}$  and  $\tau \in 2^\omega$  such that

$$p \Vdash \text{“}\forall x \in X (x \in A \text{ iff } \exists \vec{y} \in X^n (x, \vec{y}) \in B_\tau)\text{”} \quad (1)$$

where  $B_\tau \subseteq X \times X^n$  is  $\Sigma_\beta^0$  set with code  $\tau$ . Using the countable chain condition of  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_{\omega_2}$  it is easy to obtain a countable  $K \subset \omega_2$  with  $0 \in K$ , and an  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \beta < \alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $K$  and  $\alpha$  also satisfies  $|p|(K, \alpha) = 0$ ,  $|\tau|(K, \alpha) = 0$ , and

$$\forall \delta \in K \forall \gamma < \alpha \{q \in \mathbb{Q}_\delta : |q|(K, \alpha) = 0\} \text{ decides “}\gamma \in Z_\delta\text{”}$$

Hence by Lemma 5 [6]  $|p|(K, \alpha)$  is a rank function with  $p$  in its domain (see [6] definition (11) p.172). Now we use the argument of the last paragraph on p.174 [6]. Let  $\gamma > \alpha + \omega$  be arbitrary and extend  $p$  to  $p_1$  by adding to  $p(0)$ ,  $p_\gamma(\emptyset) = 1$ , which means that

$$p_1 \Vdash \text{“}x_\gamma \in A\text{”}$$

Since  $p_1$  extends  $p$  by line (1)

$$p_1 \Vdash \text{“}\exists \vec{y} \in X^n (x_\gamma, \vec{y}) \in B_\tau\text{”}$$

So find  $\vec{y} \in X^n$  and  $p_2$  extending  $p_1$  so that

$$p_2 \Vdash \text{“}(x_\gamma, \vec{y}) \in B_\tau\text{”}$$

Now since  $(x_\gamma, \vec{y})$  is in the ground model we can think of this as a  $\Sigma_\beta^0$  statement about  $\tau$ , consequently by Lemma 2 [6] p.173, there exists a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\omega_2}$  with  $|q|(K, \alpha) < \beta$  which is compatible with  $p_2$  such that

$$q \Vdash \text{“}(x_\gamma, \vec{y}) \in B_\tau\text{”}$$

But now extend  $q$  to  $q_1$  by adding to  $q(0)$  that  $q_\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$  (this is possible because  $|q|(K, \alpha) < \beta$ ) but then

$$q_1 \Vdash "x_\gamma \notin A \text{ and } \exists \vec{y} \in X^n (x_\gamma, \vec{y}) \in B_\tau"$$

contradicting line (1) and the fact that  $q_1$  extends  $p$ .

**Problem:** Give examples of  $X$  such that  $\text{Borel}(X) = \Sigma_1^X \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X)$  and  $\text{Borel}(X) \subsetneq \Sigma_1^X \subsetneq \Sigma_1^1(X)$ .

### 3 Closure under unions and intersections

Our first two results are simple observations.

**Theorem 3.1** *For any separable metric space  $X$  the family of sets  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  is closed under countable unions and intersections.*

proof:

This is immediate from Theorem 1.1(2) since in complete metric spaces  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets are closed under countable intersection and union.

□

**Theorem 3.2** *For any separable metric space  $X$  the family of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\Sigma_1^X$ , is closed under finite unions and intersections.*

proof:

Let  $A_i = \text{proj}_X(B_i)$  where  $B_i \subseteq X \times X^{n_i}$  is Borel for  $i = 0$  or  $1$ . By replacing  $B_i$  with  $B_i \times X^{k_i}$  for a suitable  $k_i$  we may assume without loss of generality that  $n_0 = n_1$ . Then

$$A_0 \cup A_1 = \text{proj}_X(B_0 \cup B_1)$$

For intersection let  $\hat{B}_0 = B_0 \times X^{n_1}$  and

$$\hat{B}_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in X \times X^{n_0} \times X^{n_1} : (x, z) \in B_0\}$$

Then

$$A_0 \cap A_1 = \text{proj}_X(\hat{B}_0 \cap \hat{B}_1)$$

□

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the following theorem.



**Theorem 3.3** *It is relatively consistent with ZFC that there exists a separable metric space  $X$  such that  $\Sigma_1^X$  is closed under neither countable unions nor countable intersections.*

proof:

Fix  $Y \subseteq \omega^\omega$  a set in the ground model of cardinality  $\omega_1$  and consider the following forcing notions:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the partial order of finite partial functions from  $Y$  to  $2$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the direct sum of countably many copies of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\Sigma_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Q}$ . Of course both  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are isomorphic to the usual way of adding  $\omega_1$  Cohen reals. We view forcing with  $\mathbb{P}$  as equivalent to adding a sequence  $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of generic subsets of  $Y$ , i.e. if  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter, then for each  $n \in \omega$  let  $A_n = \{x \in Y : \exists p \in G \ p_n(x) = 1\}$ . For  $n \in \omega$  and  $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$  recall that

$$(n)A = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x(0) = n \text{ and } \exists y \in A \ \forall m \in \omega \ x(m+1) = y(m)\}$$

The space  $X$  is defined by

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (2n)Y \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (2n+1)A_n$$

i.e. countably many copies of  $Y$  and one of each  $A_n$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *For each  $n, m \in \omega$  the set  $(2m)A_n$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$ .*

proof:

Let  $D_{nm} \subset X \times X$  be the appropriate diagonal, namely,

$$D_{nm} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x(0) = 2m, y(0) = 2n+1, \forall k > 0 \ x(k) = y(k)\}$$

Then  $D_{nm}$  is closed and  $\text{proj}(D_{nm}) = (2m)A_n$ .

□

For  $k < \omega$  let  $B_k = (2k)(\bigcap_{n < k} A_n)$ . So  $B_k$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$  by Theorem 3.2. Also let  $B_k^* = B_k \cup \bigcup \{(2n)Y : n < \omega, n \neq k\}$ , then  $B_k^*$  is  $\Sigma_1^X$ , since  $\bigcup \{(2n)Y : n < \omega, n \neq k\}$  is clopen in  $X$  and hence  $\Sigma_1^X$ . So to prove the theorem it suffices to show  $R$  is not  $\Sigma_1^X$  where  $R$  is defined by:

$$R = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} B_k = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} B_k^*$$

Now since each  $B_k$  is a clopen subset of  $R$  it suffices to prove:

**Lemma 3.5**  $B_{k+1}$  is not the projection of a Borel subset of  $X \times X^k$ .

proof:

Suppose for contradiction that

$$B_{k+1} = (2k)(A_0 \cap \dots \cap A_k) = \text{proj}(B)$$

where  $B \subseteq X \times X^k$  is Borel. Decompose  $B$  as the countable union of Borel sets:

$$B = \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_k \in \omega} C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$$

where each  $C_{n_1, \dots, n_k} \subseteq (2k)Y \times (n_1)Z_1 \times \dots \times (n_k)Z_k$  is Borel and each  $Z_i$  is either  $Y$  or some  $A_j$  depending whether  $n_i$  is even or odd. By an easy density argument we can see that  $B_{k+1}$  must be uncountable. Hence to prove the lemma it suffices to see:

**Claim:** Each  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k})$  is countable.

To see this note that since there are only  $k$   $Z$ 's but  $k+1$  many  $A_j$ 's in the definition of  $B_{k+1}$  there must be some  $j \leq k$  which does not appear as a  $Z_i$ , however  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) \subseteq (2k)A_j$ . By the countable chain condition there exists a countable  $K \subset X$  such that the Borel code for  $C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  and hence  $C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  itself is an element of  $N = M[\langle A_j \upharpoonright K \rangle \langle A_i : i < \omega, i \neq j \rangle]$  where  $M$  is the ground model. It follows that  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k})$  is also in  $N$ . However  $A_j \upharpoonright (Y - K)$  is generic over  $N$ , so if  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) \cap (2k)(Y - K)$  is infinite then  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) - (2k)A_j \neq \emptyset$ , which would contradict the fact that  $\text{proj}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) \subseteq (2k)A_j$ . This proves the Claim, Lemma, and Theorem 3.3.

□

This proof also shows that it is possible that  $n - \Sigma_1^X \neq (n+1) - \Sigma_1^X$  for all  $n \in \omega$  where  $n - \Sigma_1^X$  is the family of projections of Borel subsets of  $X \times X^n$ . Note also that for fixed  $n$  the family of  $n - \Sigma_1^X$  sets is closed under countable union but not finite intersection. It is also true in this example that there exists a countable intersection of  $1 - \Sigma_1^X$  sets which is not  $\Sigma_1^X$ , namely if  $E_{kn} = (2n)A_k \cup \bigcup \{(2m)Y : m < \omega, m \neq n\}$  (each of which is  $1 - \Sigma_1^X$ ), then  $R = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcap_{k < n} E_{kn}$ .

**Problem:** Can we have an example where  $\Sigma_1^X$  is closed under countable union but not countable intersection? Can we have an example where  $\Sigma_1^X$  is closed under countable intersection but not countable union?

## 4 Properties of Products

A separable metric space  $X$  is Luzin iff it is uncountable and every meager subset of  $X$  is countable. A set is nowhere dense iff its closure has empty interior and meager iff it is the countable union of nowhere dense sets. The following theorem is well known.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $X$  is Luzin, then every  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  set is Borel in  $X$ .*

proof:

In an arbitrary topological space the Souslin operation preserves the property of Baire (see Kuratowski [4]). Hence for any  $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$  (by Theorem 1.1(3)) there exists open  $U$  and meager  $M$  such that  $A = (U - M) \cup (M - U)$ . But since meager sets are countable, clearly  $A$  is Borel.

□

**Theorem 4.2** *Assume the continuum hypothesis. Then there exists a Luzin space  $X$  such that every  $\Sigma_1^1(X^2)$  is Borel in  $X^2$ .*

proof:

This is true of any sufficiently generic Luzin set. Suppose that  $M_\alpha \preceq (HC, \in)$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  is an increasing sequence of countable elementary substructures whose union is all of  $HC$ , the hereditarily countable sets, and  $M_\alpha \in M_{\alpha+1}$  for each  $\alpha$ . For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  let  $x_\alpha \in (2^\omega \cap M_{\alpha+1})$  be a Cohen generic real over  $M_\alpha$ . Then  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  has the required property. Suppose  $A \subseteq 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(2^\omega \times 2^\omega)$ , then since it has the property of Baire, there exists a open  $U$  and meager  $M$  such that  $A = (U - M) \cup (M - U)$ . Let  $F$  be a meager Borel set with  $M \subseteq F$ . Suppose that  $F$  is coded in  $M_\alpha$ , then for every  $\beta \neq \gamma > \alpha$  we have that  $(x_\beta, x_\gamma) \notin F$ . To see this suppose that  $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$  and note that since  $F$  is meager, for comeagerly many  $x$ ,  $F_x = \{y : (x, y) \in F\}$  is meager (by the Kuratowski-Ulam Theorem see Oxtoby [9]). Consequently  $F_{x_\beta}$  which is coded in  $M_\gamma$  is meager and therefore  $x_\gamma \notin F_{x_\beta}$ . Hence

$$A \cap \{(x_\beta, x_\gamma) : \beta \neq \gamma > \alpha\} = U \cap \{(x_\beta, x_\gamma) : \beta \neq \gamma > \alpha\}$$

Also letting  $D = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ , then since  $D$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  we have that  $A \cap D$  is Borel in  $X$ . Finally for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$  let  $A_\beta = \{(x_\beta, x_\gamma) : \gamma <$

$\omega_1\} \cap A$  and  $A^\beta = \{(x_\gamma, x_\beta) : \gamma < \omega_1\} \cap A$ . Each of these is Borel in  $X^2$ , and so  $A$  is Borel in  $X^2$ .

□

This result also holds for generic Luzin sets.

**Theorem 4.3** *Assume the continuum hypothesis. Then there exists a Luzin space  $X$  such that not every  $\Sigma_1^1(X^2)$  is Borel in  $X^2$ .*

proof:

It suffices to construct  $X, Y \subseteq 2^\omega$  Luzin sets such that there exists  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  which is  $\Sigma_1^1(X \times Y)$  but not (relatively) Borel in  $X \times Y$ . For  $x, y \in 2^\omega$  let  $x + y$  be pointwise addition modulo 2, i.e.  $(x + y)(n) = x(n) + y(n) \pmod 2$ . Let

$$A = \{(x, y) : x + y \text{ is the characteristic function of a nonwellfounded set}\}$$

More precisely let  $\# : \omega^{<\omega} \mapsto \omega$  be a fixed bijection, then

$$(x, y) \in A \text{ iff } \exists f \in \omega^\omega \forall n \in \omega (x + y)(\#f \upharpoonright n) = 1$$

Clearly  $A$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(2^\omega \times 2^\omega)$ . Lemma 4.4 will finish the proof of the theorem. Let  $M_\alpha$  be as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and let  $\langle B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  list all Borel subsets of  $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  with  $B_\alpha$  coded in  $M_\alpha$ . Using Lemma 4.4 construct  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and  $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that  $x_\alpha$  and  $y_\alpha$  are Cohen generic over  $M_\alpha$  and  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in (A - B_\alpha) \cup (B_\alpha - A)$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are Luzin sets, but  $A \cap (X \times Y)$  is not Borel in  $X \times Y$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Suppose that  $M$  is a countable transitive model of ZFC-Power Set and  $B \subseteq 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  is a Borel set coded in  $M$ , then there exists  $x, y \in 2^\omega$  Cohen generic over  $M$  such that  $(x, y) \in (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ .*

proof:

To prove this we use Steel forcing [14] as explained in Harrington [3]. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be Steel forcing with tagged trees, hence

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{\langle t, h \rangle : t \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} \text{ finite subtree, } h : t \mapsto \omega_1 \cup \{\infty\} \text{ a rank function}\}$$

where rank function means that  $h(\emptyset) = \infty$  and  $s \subsetneq r \in t \rightarrow h(s) < h(r)$  ( $\alpha < \infty < \infty$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ). If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over a model  $M$ , then  $G$  is essentially

equal to  $(T, H)$  where  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  is a tree and  $H : T \mapsto \omega_1 \cup \{\infty\}$  is a rank function. It has the property that if  $H(s) = \infty$ , then  $T_s$  is nonwellfounded ( $T_s = \{t \in \omega^{<\omega} : s \hat{\ } t \in T\}$ ); and otherwise if  $H(s) \in \omega_1$ , then  $T_s$  is wellfounded. Let  $x \in 2^\omega$  be Cohen generic real over  $M$  and let  $G = (T, H)$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over  $M[x]$ . Let  $z \in 2^\omega$  be the characteristic function of some  $T_{\langle n \rangle} \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  and let  $y = x + z$ .

**Claim:**  $y$  is a Cohen real over  $M$ .

proof:

Let  $\mathbb{P} = 2^{<\omega}$  be Cohen real forcing, then iterated forcing is the same as product forcing:  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$  since conditions are finite. So  $x$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M[G]$  and since  $z \in M[G]$  and  $y = x + z$  we have that  $y$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M[G]$  and hence over  $M$ .

□

Let  $\langle n \rangle$  be such that  $H(\langle n \rangle) = \infty$  so that  $T_{\langle n \rangle}$  is not wellfounded.

**Case 1.**  $\langle x, y \rangle \notin B$

Since  $x + y = z$  codes a nonwellfounded tree we're done, since  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A - B$ .

**Case 2.**  $\langle x, y \rangle \in B$

In this case we use the main property of Steel forcing. Let  $p \in G$  be a condition such that  $p \Vdash \langle x, y \rangle \in B$ . The statement " $\langle x, y \rangle \in B$ " is a Borel proposition with code in  $M[x]$  about the real  $z$  since  $y = x + z$ . Therefore " $\langle x, y \rangle \in B$ " is equivalent to a propositional sentence in  $L_{\omega_1 \omega}$  built up from the atomic propositions " $s \in \hat{T}$ " where  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$  and  $\hat{T}$  is a name in the ground model for the generic object  $T$ . This propositional sentence is in  $M[x]$  and has rank less than  $\omega_1^{M[x]}$ . Say it has rank  $\gamma$ . Then working in  $M[x]$  we can find a condition  $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $p(\gamma) = \bar{p}(\gamma)$  (see Harrington [3]) with the property  $\bar{h}(\langle n \rangle) \in \omega_1$ . By the retagging lemma  $\bar{p} \Vdash \langle x, y \rangle \in B$ . Hence if we take  $\bar{G}$  to be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over  $M[x]$  with  $\bar{p} \in \bar{G}$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in B - A$ . This proves the lemma and hence the theorem.

□

This result can also be proved for Sierpiński sets. Steel forcing has also been used effectively in Stern [15] [18] [17] [16] and Friedman [2]. This proof is a slight generalization of a classical construction due to Sierpiński [12] of a Luzin set  $X$  such that  $X^2$  can be mapped continuously onto  $2^\omega$ . In fact we show that this set could have been used to prove Theorem 4.3.

I. Reclaw has pointed out the following result.

**Theorem 4.5** (Reclaw) *For any separable metric space  $X$  if  $X$  has bounded Borel order, then  $X$  cannot be mapped continuously onto the real line.*

proof:

Theorem 12 of Bing, Bledsoe, and Mauldin [1] says that if  $G$  is a countable family of subsets of the real line closed under complementation and whose  $\sigma$ -algebra contains all Borel subsets of the real line, then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $G$  contains  $\omega_1$  distinct levels. Now suppose  $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, onto, and one-to-one. Let  $G$  smallest family of sets closed under complements and containing a basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  and the image under  $f$  of a basis for  $X$ . The hierarchy generated by  $G$  must have  $\omega_1$  levels and therefore the same is true for the Borel hierarchy of  $X$ .

□

Thus Reclaw answers a question of Miller [7] negatively, since it is impossible to map a  $\sigma$ -set continuously onto the reals. The following is proved similarly to Theorem 12 of Bing et al [1].

**Theorem 4.6** *Suppose  $G$  is a countable family of subsets of  $\omega^\omega$  closed under complementation and such that the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $G$ , which we denote  $B(G)$ , contains all Borel subsets of  $\omega^\omega$ . Then there exists a set  $X \subset \omega^\omega$  which is not in  $B(G)$  but is obtained by applying the Souslin operation to sets in  $B(G)$ , i.e. there exists  $B_s \in B(G)$  for  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_{f \upharpoonright n}$*

proof:

Denote by  $S(G)$  the family of sets obtained by applying the Souslin operation to sets in  $G$ . The idea of the proof is to obtain a universal set for  $S(G)$ . Namely there exists a map  $U : \omega^\omega \mapsto S(G)$  which is onto and has the property that the diagonal  $D = \{x : x \in U(x)\}$  is in  $S(G)$ . This will conclude the proof since  $D$  cannot be in  $B(G)$ , else for some  $x \in \omega^\omega$  we would have  $U(x) = \omega^\omega - D$  and hence for this  $x$  we would have  $x \in U(x)$  iff  $x \notin U(x)$ .

Let  $G = \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  and let  $\# : \omega^{<\omega} \mapsto \omega$  be our fixed bijection. For any  $x \in \omega^\omega$  let  $A_s^x = G_{x(\#s)}$  and let  $U(x) = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n < \omega} A_{f \upharpoonright n}^x$ . We need to see that the diagonal  $D$  is in  $S(G)$ . For fixed  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$  let  $B_s = \{x : x \in G_{x(\#s)}\}$ . It is easy to see that

$$D = \{x : x \in U(x)\} = \bigcup_{f \in \omega^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_{f \upharpoonright n}$$

Now  $B_s = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{x \in \omega^\omega : x(\#s) = n \text{ and } x \in G_n\}$  since we are assuming every clopen subset of  $\omega^\omega$  is in  $B(G)$  we have that each  $B_s$  is in  $B(G)$ . Since  $G$  is closed under complementation we know that  $B(G)$  is the smallest family of sets containing  $G$  and closed under countable unions and countable intersections. Two classical results of Sierpiński are that  $S(S(G)) = S(G)$  and  $S(G)$  is closed under countable union and countable intersection (for a proof see Rogers and Jayne [11]). So  $B(G) \subseteq S(G)$  and  $D \in S(G)$ .  
 $\square$

Note that since every uncountable complete separable metric space contains a homeomorphic Borel copy of  $\omega^\omega$  this result also holds for every uncountable complete separable metric space. Just as in Reclaw's result we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.7** *For any separable metric space  $X$  if  $X$  can be mapped continuously onto  $\omega^\omega$ , then  $\Sigma_1^1(X) - \text{Borel}(X)$  is nonempty.*

**Problem:** (Mauldin) Is it consistent to have a separable metric space  $X$  with bounded Borel order but not every  $\Sigma_1^1(X)$  subset is Borel in  $X$ ?

In Theorem 4.3 the Borel order of  $X^2$  is  $\omega_1$ .

## 5 The hierarchy of projective sets

For  $X$  a separable metric space we make the following definitions.

- Define  $\Sigma_0^X = \Pi_0^X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{Borel}(X^n)$  (the set of all Borel subsets of finite products of  $X$ ).
- Define  $A \subseteq X^m$  to be  $\Pi_{n+1}^X$  iff  $X^m - A$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}^X$ .
- Define  $A \subseteq X^m$  to be  $\Sigma_{n+1}^X$  iff there exists a  $k \in \omega$  and  $B \subseteq X^m \times X^k$  in  $\Pi_n^X$  such that  $A = \text{proj}_{X^m}(B) = \{x \in X^m : \exists y \in X^k (x, y) \in B\}$ .
- Define  $\Delta_n^X = \Sigma_n^X \cap \Pi_n^X$ .

**Theorem 5.1**  $\Delta_n^X \subseteq \Sigma_n^X \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^X$  and  $\Delta_n^X \subseteq \Pi_n^X \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^X$ .

proof:

Left to the reader.

□

**Theorem 5.2**  $\Delta_n^X$ ,  $\Sigma_n^X$ , and  $\Pi_n^X$  are closed under finite unions and intersections.

proof:

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

□

Define the projective subsets of  $X$  to be the  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Sigma_n^X$  and define the projective order of  $X$  to be the least  $n < \omega$  such that every projective subset of  $X$  is  $\Sigma_n^X$ .

**Problem:** (Ulam [20]) For what  $n$  does there exist a space of projective order  $n$ .

Obviously a countable space has projective order 0 and a complete uncountable space has infinite projective order.

**Problem:** Is it consistent with ZFC that every uncountable space has infinite projective order? In fact, I do not know if it is consistent with ZFC that every uncountable space has projective order greater than 0.

**Theorem 5.3** In the Cohen real model there exist subsets of  $\omega^\omega$  which have projective order 1 and 2.

proof:

Let  $X \subset \omega^\omega$  be a batch of  $\omega_1$  Cohen reals and let  $A \subset X$  be a Cohen generic subset with finite conditions. Let  $Y = (0)X \cup (1)A$  and let  $Z = (0)X \cup (1)A \cup (2)(X - A)$ . We will show that the projective order of  $Y$  is 2 and the projective order of  $Z$  is 1. We begin with the proof for  $Y$ .

An  $A$ -cylinder is one of the sets  $A_{in}$  where  $1 \leq i \leq n < \omega$  and  $A_{in} = Y^{i-1} \times (0)A \times Y^{n-i}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the smallest family of sets containing Borel( $Y^n$ ) for all  $n$  and all  $A$ -cylinders and closed under finite union and finite intersection. Our main lemma is that  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1^Y$  (Lemma 5.7). The next three lemmas will be used to prove the main lemma.



**Lemma 5.4** *Suppose  $C \in \Sigma$  where  $C \subseteq Y^n \times Y$  and there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that for all  $(\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle, y) \in C$  we have  $y_i = y$ , then  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) \in \Sigma$ .*

proof:

Define  $p : Y^n \mapsto Y^n \times Y$  by  $p(\vec{y}) = (\vec{y}, y)$  where  $y = y_i$ . Then  $p$  is continuous, hence for any  $B$  Borel we have  $p^{-1}(B)$  is Borel. Also for  $A$ -cylinders:

$$p^{-1}(Y^n \times (0)A) = Y^{i-1} \times (0)A \times Y^{n-i}$$

and for  $j < n$ :

$$p^{-1}(Y^j \times (0)A \times Y^{n-j}) = Y^j \times (0)A \times Y^{n-j-1}$$

Hence  $p^{-1}$  of elements of  $\Sigma$  are elements of  $\Sigma$ . But note that  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) = p^{-1}(C)$ .

□

For  $y \in \omega^\omega$  with  $y(0) = 0$  or  $1$  define  $\tilde{y} \in \omega^\omega$  by  $\tilde{y}(0) = 1 - y(0)$  and for all  $m > 0$ ,  $\tilde{y}(m) = y(m)$ .

**Lemma 5.5** *Suppose  $C \in \Sigma$  where  $C \subseteq Y^n \times Y$  and there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that for all  $(\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle, y) \in C$  we have  $y = \tilde{y}_i$ , then  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) \in \Sigma$ .*

proof:

Define  $q : Y^n \mapsto Y^n \times \omega^\omega$  by  $q(\vec{y}) = (\vec{y}, y)$  where  $y = \tilde{y}_i$ . Note that  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) = q^{-1}(C)$ , so it is enough to check that preimages of Borel sets and  $A$ -cylinders are elements of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $B \subseteq Y^n \times Y$  be Borel and let  $\hat{B} \subseteq Y^n \times \omega^\omega$  be Borel such that  $B = \hat{B} \cap (Y^n \times Y)$ , then

$$q^{-1}(B) = q^{-1}(\hat{B}) \cap (Y^{i-1} \times [(0)A \cup (1)A] \times Y^{n-i})$$

This set is the intersection of a Borel set with the union of an  $A$ -cylinder and a clopen set, hence it is in  $\Sigma$ . Now we consider the preimages of  $A$ -cylinders,  $q^{-1}(A_{j_{n+1}})$ . Suppose  $1 \leq j < n + 1$ , then

$$q^{-1}(Y^{j-1} \times (0)A \times Y^{n+1-j}) = \{\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle : y_j \in (0)A \text{ and } y_i \in (0)A \cup (1)A\}$$

which is the union of a clopen set and an  $A$ -cylinder. In case  $j = n + 1$ :

$$q^{-1}(Y^n \times (0)A) = Y^{i-1} \times (1)A \times Y^{n-i}$$

which is a clopen set. So in each case the preimage is in  $\Sigma$  and the lemma is proved.

□

**Lemma 5.6** Suppose  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n+1$  ( $k$  may be zero) and  $C \subseteq Y^{n+1}$  is given by

$$C = A_{j_1 n+1} \cap A_{j_2 n+1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k n+1} \cap B$$

- $B \subseteq Y^{n+1}$  is the intersection with  $Y^{n+1}$  of a Borel subset of  $(\omega^\omega)^{n+1}$  coded in  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$  where  $\Gamma$  is a countable set indexed in the ground model  $V$ ,
- there exists  $s \in 2^{n+1}$  such that  $C \subset s(0)\omega^\omega \cup \dots \cup s(n)\omega^\omega$ ,
- there exists an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  with the property that for all  $\langle y_0, \dots, y_n \rangle \in C$  and  $i, j < n+1$

$$i \approx j \text{ iff } \forall m > 0 \ y_i(m) = y_j(m)$$

and for all  $i \neq n, i \not\approx n$ ,

- there exists  $t \in (\Gamma \cup \{*\})^{n+1}$  such that  $t(n) = *$  and for all  $i < n+1$   $t(i) \in \Gamma$  implies  $y_i = s(i) \hat{\ } t(i)$  and  $t(i) = *$  implies  $y_i \notin (s(i))\Gamma$ .

Then  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) \in \Sigma$ .

proof:

Define  $Q \subseteq (\omega^\omega)^{n+1}$  to be the  $G_\delta$  set determined by the above conditions, namely  $\vec{y} \in Q$  iff for all  $i, j < n+1$   $y_i(0) = s(i)$ ,  $i \approx j$  iff  $\forall m > 0 \ y_i(m) = y_j(m)$ ,  $t(i) \in \Gamma$  implies  $y_i = s(i) \hat{\ } t(i)$ , and  $t(i) = *$  implies  $y_i \notin (s(i))\Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq (\omega^{<\omega})^{n+1}$  be the subpartial order defined by

$$\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P} \text{ iff } \exists \vec{y} \in Q \ \forall i < n+1 \ p_i \subseteq y_i$$

And for  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}$  define

$$[\vec{p}] = \{\vec{y} \in Q : \forall i < n+1 \ p_i \subseteq y_i\}$$

The set of  $[\vec{p}]$  form a basis for  $Q$ .

Consider  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$  to be the ground model. Any  $\vec{y} \in Q$  determines the filter  $\{\vec{p} : \forall i < n+1 \ p_i \subseteq y_i\}$  on  $\mathbb{P}$ . We claim that every  $\vec{y} \in Y^{n+1} \cap Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$ . To see this note that  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined in  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$  and the rest of  $X$  and  $A$  are generic over  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$ .

Since

$$C = A_{j_1 n+1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{j_k n+1} \cap B \subseteq Y^{n+1} \cap Q$$

where  $B \subseteq Y^{n+1}$  is Borel and coded in the ground model  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$ , by genericity we have:

$$\forall \vec{y} \in C \exists \vec{p} \in \mathbb{P} \vec{y} \in [\vec{p}] \text{ and } [\vec{p}] \cap Y^{n+1} \cap Q \subseteq B$$

Let  $B = Y^{n+1} \cap \hat{B}$  where  $\hat{B} \subseteq Q$  is an (absolute) Borel subset of the complete metric space  $Q$ . Since Borel sets have the property of Baire, there exists an open set  $U \subseteq Q$  and a meager (in  $Q$ ) Borel set  $F \subseteq Q$  such that  $U$  and  $F$  are coded in the ground model  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$  and  $(\hat{B} - U) \cup (U - \hat{B}) \subseteq F$ . Consequently we have that  $B = Y^{n+1} \cap U$ . For  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}$  define  $[\vec{p} \upharpoonright n] \subseteq Y^n$  by  $\vec{y} \in [\vec{p} \upharpoonright n]$  iff  $\forall i, j < n, p_i \subseteq y_i, y_i(0) = s(i), (i \approx j \text{ iff } \forall m > 0 y_i(m) = y_j(m)), t(i) \in \Gamma \rightarrow y_i = s(i) \hat{\wedge} t(i), \text{ and } t(i) = * \rightarrow y_i \notin (s(i))\Gamma$ .

**Claim:** If  $j_k < n$ , then

$$proj_{Y^n}(C) = A_{j_1 n} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k n} \cap \left( \bigcup_{[\vec{p}] \subseteq U} [\vec{p} \upharpoonright n] \cap Y^n \right)$$

else if  $j_k = n$ , then

$$proj_{Y^n}(C) = A_{j_1 n} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_{k-1} n} \cap \left( \bigcup_{[\vec{p}] \subseteq U} [\vec{p} \upharpoonright n] \cap Y^n \right)$$

proof:

$\subseteq$  This is clear since  $B = Y^{n+1} \cap U$ .

$\supseteq$  Suppose  $\vec{y} = \langle y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \rangle \in [\vec{p} \upharpoonright n]$  where  $[\vec{p}] \subseteq U$ . We need to show that  $\exists y_n \in Y$  such that  $(\vec{y}, y_n) \in C$ . Now  $A_{j_k n+1}$  may or may not be  $A_{nn+1}$  which would require  $y_n \in (0)A$ . But note that  $t(n) = *$  so  $y_n \notin (s(n))\Gamma$  and  $\forall i < n$  we have  $i \not\approx n$  so for all  $m > 0 y_i(m) \neq y_n(m)$ . Since  $A$  is generically chosen we can always find such a  $y_n$ .

This concludes the proof of the Claim and since the right hand sides are clearly in  $\Sigma$  the Lemma is proved.

□

Finally, we are ready to prove the main lemma:

**Lemma 5.7**  $\Sigma_1^Y = \Sigma$ , i.e. the smallest family of sets containing  $Borel(Y^n)$  for all  $n$  and all  $A$ -cylinders and closed under finite union and intersection.

proof:

Recall that  $A$ -cylinders are sets of form  $A_{in} = Y^{i-1} \times (0)A \times Y^{n-i}$  Each  $A$ -cylinder is in  $\Sigma_1^Y$  since  $A_{in} = \text{proj}_{Y^n}(D_{in+1})$  where

$$D_{in+1} = \{(\vec{y}, y) \in Y^{n+1} : y_i(0) = 0, y(0) = 1, \text{ and } \forall m > 0 y(m) = y_i(m)\}$$

Hence  $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma_1^Y$  since each Borel set in  $Y$  and each  $A$ -cylinder is in  $\Sigma_1^Y$  and  $\Sigma_1^Y$  is closed under finite unions and intersections (Theorem 5.2).

To show that  $\Sigma_1^Y \subseteq \Sigma$  it is enough to show that  $\Sigma$  is closed under projection, i.e. if  $C \in \Sigma$  and  $C \subseteq Y^n \times Y$ , then  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) \subseteq Y^n$  is in  $\Sigma$ . To this end for  $i < n$  let  $C_i = \{\vec{y} \in C : \forall m > 0 y_i(m) = y_n(m)\}$  and define  $C_n = C - \bigcup_{i < n} C_i$ . Note that each  $C_i$  for  $i \leq n$  is a Borel set intersected with  $C$ . Since  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) = \bigcup_{i \leq n} \text{proj}_{Y^n}(C_i)$  it is enough to see each  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C_i)$  is in  $\Sigma$ . The case  $C_i$  for  $i < n$  is handled by Lemma 5.4 and 5.5.

So without loss of generality assume  $C = C_n$ , i.e.

$$\forall \vec{y} \in C \forall i < n \exists m > 0 y_i(m) \neq y_n(m) \quad (2)$$

By normal form every set in  $\Sigma$  which is contained in  $Y^{n+1}$  is a finite union of sets of the form:  $A_{j_1 n+1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k n+1} \cap B$  where  $B$  is Borel. So we can assume

$$C = A_{j_1 n+1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k n+1} \cap B \quad (3)$$

where  $B \subseteq Y^{n+1}$  is the intersection with  $Y^{n+1}$  of a Borel subset of  $(\omega^\omega)^{n+1}$  coded in  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$  where  $\Gamma$  is a countable set indexed in the model  $V$ . Although we will cut  $B$  down some more it will only be by intersecting it with Borel sets coded in the ground model  $V[X \upharpoonright \Gamma, A \upharpoonright \Gamma]$ . Working in this model we can write  $B$  as a union of Borel sets  $B_k$  for  $k < \omega$  such that for each  $B_k$ :

$$\exists s \in 2^{n+1} \quad B_k \subset s(0)\omega^\omega \cup \dots \cup s(n)\omega^\omega \quad (4)$$

and there exists  $t \in (\Gamma \cup \{*\})^{n+1}$  such that  $\forall \vec{y} \in B_k \forall i < n+1$

$$t(i) \in \Gamma \quad \rightarrow \quad y_i = s(i) \wedge t(i) \quad (5)$$

and

$$t(i) = * \quad \rightarrow \quad y_i \notin (s(i))\Gamma \quad (6)$$

and an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\forall \vec{y} \in B_k \forall j, i < n+1$

$$j \approx i \text{ iff } \forall m > 0 y_i(m) = y_j(m) \quad (7)$$

Fix  $B_k$  and the  $t$  and  $\approx$  given by lines (6) and (7). And let  $C_k = C \cap B_k$ . We claim there exists a Borel set  $H_k$  such that

$$\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C_k) = A_{j_1 n} \cap \cdots \cap A_{j_{k^*} n} \cap H_k$$

where  $k^* = n - 1$  if  $k = n$  and otherwise  $k^* = k$ . The reason is that if  $t(n) \in \Gamma$ , then  $\text{proj}_{Y^n}(C_k)$  is the  $t(n)$  cross section of  $C_k$ . Otherwise use lines (2) thru (7) to apply Lemma 5.6. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_{Y^n}(C) &= \text{proj}_{Y^n} \left( \bigcup_{k < \omega} C_k \right) = \bigcup_{k < \omega} \text{proj}_{Y^n}(C_k) \\ &= \bigcup_{k < \omega} (A_{j_1 n} \cap \cdots \cap A_{j_{k^*} n} \cap H_k) = A_{j_1 n} \cap \cdots \cap A_{j_{k^*} n} \cap \bigcup_{k < \omega} H_k \end{aligned}$$

Since this set is in  $\Sigma$  we are done.

□

Now we prove the Theorem.

We claim the the projective order of  $Y$  is 2 where  $Y = (0)X \cup (1)A$ . By the Lemma 5.7 we see that  $(0)A$  is not  $\Pi_1^Y$ , hence the projective order of  $Y$  is at least 2. Let  $\Delta$  be the smallest family containing all Borel subsets of  $Y^n$  for all  $n$  and all  $A$  cylinders  $(Y^i \times (0)A \times Y^j)$ , and  $(X - A)$  cylinders  $(Y^i \times (0)(X - A) \times Y^j)$ , and closed under finite union and intersection. Note that  $\Delta$  is closed under complementation and  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta_2^Y$ .

**Lemma 5.8**  $\Delta$  is closed under projection.

proof:

Similar to Lemma 5.7.

□

Hence  $\Delta$  is the set of all projective subsets of  $Y$  and the projective order of  $Y$  is 2.

Next we see that  $Z = (0)X \cup (1)A \cup (2)(X - A)$  has projective order 1. Let  $\Delta_0$  be defined similarly to  $\Delta$  but for  $Z$ , i.e. let  $\Delta_0$  be the smallest family containing all Borel subsets of  $Z^n$  for all  $n$  and all  $A$ -cylinders  $(Z^i \times (0)A \times Z^j)$ , and  $(X - A)$ -cylinders  $(Z^i \times (0)(X - A) \times Z^j)$ , and closed under finite union and intersection. Note that  $\Delta_0$  is closed under complementation and  $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Delta_1^Z$ .

**Lemma 5.9**  $\Delta_0$  is closed under projection.

proof:

Similar to Lemma 5.7.

□

An easy density argument shows that  $(0)A$  is not Borel in  $Z$  hence the projective order of  $Z$  is exactly 1. This ends the proof of Theorem 5.3.

□

## References

- [1] R.H.Bing, W.W.Bledsoe, and R.D.Mauldin, Sets generated by rectangles, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 51(1974), 27-36.
- [2] Sy Friedman, Steel Forcing and Barwise compactness, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 22(1982), 31-46.
- [3] Leo Harrington, Analytic determinacy and  $0^\#$ , *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 43(1978), 685-693.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, **Topology**, vol 1, Academic Press, 1966.
- [5] Arnold W. Miller, On the length of Borel hierarchies, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 16(1979), 233-267.
- [6] Arnold W. Miller, Generic Souslin sets, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 97(1981), 171-181.
- [7] Arnold W. Miller, Special subsets of the real line, in **Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology**, ed. by K.Kunen and J.Vaughan, North-Holland, 1984, 201-234.
- [8] Yiannis Moschovakis, **Descriptive Set Theory**, North-Holland, 1980.
- [9] J.C.Oxtoby, **Measure and category**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [10] C.A.Rogers et al, editors, **Analytic Sets**, Academic Press, 1980.
- [11] C.A.Rogers and J.E.Jayne, K-analytic sets, in **Analytic Sets**, ed. by C. A. Rogers et al, Academic Press, (1980), 2-175.

- [12] W.Sierpiński, Sur les produit combinatoire de deux ensembles jouissant de la propriété C, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 24(1935), 48-50.
- [13] Robert M. Solovay, A model of set theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable, *Annals of Mathematics*, 92(1970), 1-56.
- [14] John R. Steel, Forcing with tagged trees, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 15(1978), 55-74.
- [15] Jacques Stern, Évaluation du rang de Borel de certains ensembles, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, 286(1978), 855-857.
- [16] Jacques Stern, Effective partitions of the real line into Borel sets of bounded rank, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 18(1980), 29-60.
- [17] Jacques Stern, Analytic equivalence relations and coanalytic games, *Patras Logic Symposium*, North-Holland (1982), Amsterdam, 239-259.
- [18] Jacques Stern, On the notion of rank in the theory of Borel sets, unpublished.
- [19] E. Szpilrajn, The characteristic function of a sequence of sets and some of its applications, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 31(1938), 207-223.
- [20] S. M. Ulam, **Problems in Modern Mathematics**, Wiley, New York, 1964.

University of Wisconsin  
 Department of Mathematics  
 480 Lincoln Drive  
 Madison, WI 53706  
 miller@math.wisc.edu