

Independent families in the countable and the uncountable

Vera Fischer

University of Vienna

November 3rd, 2020



Independence Number

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is said to be independent for any two non-empty finite disjoint subfamilies \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_1 the set

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}_0} A \setminus \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_1} A$$

is infinite. It is a maximal independent family if it is maximal under inclusion and

$$i = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a m.i.f.}\}$$

Boolean combinations

- Functions $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ where $|\text{dom}(\mathcal{A})| < \omega$ and $\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap \{A : A \in h^{-1}(0)\} \cap \bigcap \{\omega \setminus A : A \in h^{-1}(1)\}$.
- $\text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) = \{h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \mid |\text{dom } h| < \omega\}$.

$\{\mathcal{A}^h : h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})\}$ is the collection of all Boolean combinations of \mathcal{A} .

Countable independent families are not maximal

Let \mathcal{A} be a countable independent family and let $\{h_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ be an enumeration of $\text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ so that each element appears cofinally often. Inductively define $\{a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \omega}$ so that

$$a_{2n}, a_{2n+1} \text{ belong to } \mathcal{A}^{h_n} \setminus \{a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}\}_{k < n}.$$

Then $A = \{a_{2n}\}_{n \in \omega}$ is independent over \mathcal{A} .

Fichtenholz-Kantorovich

Let $C = [\mathbb{Q}]^{<\omega}$ and for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$A_r = \{a \in C : a \cap (-\infty, r] \text{ is even}\}.$$

Then whenever S, T are finite disjoint sets of reals, the set

$$\bigcap_{r \in S} A_r \cap (C \setminus \bigcup_{r \in T} A_r)$$

is infinite. Thus, there is always a m.i.f. of size \mathfrak{c} .

$\mathfrak{r} \leq \mathfrak{i}$

Let \mathcal{A} be a m.i.f. and $X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$. By maximality of \mathcal{A} , $\exists h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that either $\mathcal{A}^h \cap X$ or $\mathcal{A}^h \setminus X$ is finite. Thus \mathcal{A}^h is not split by X .

 $\mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{i}$

If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ is such that for each $h \in {}^\omega\omega$ there is $g \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $h(n) \leq g(n)$ for all but finitely many n , then $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \mathfrak{i}$.

i VS. u

In the Miller model $u < i$, while Shelah devised a special ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding poset the countable support iteration of which produces a model of $i = \aleph_1 < u = \aleph_2$.

a VS. u

In the Cohen model $a < u$, while assuming the existence of a measurable one can show the consistency of $u < a$. The use of a measurable has been eliminated by Guzman and Kalajdziewski.

\aleph vs i

In the Cohen model $\aleph < i = \mathfrak{c}$.

Question:

Is it consistent that $i < \aleph$?

... and once again Maximality

$\forall X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A} \exists h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{A}^h \cap X$ or $\mathcal{A}^h \setminus X$ is finite.

Dense maximality

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then \mathcal{A} is said to be densely maximal if for each $X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and every $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap X$ or $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \setminus X$ is finite.

Density filter

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then

$$\text{fil}(\mathcal{A}) = \{Y \in [\omega]^\omega : \forall h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) \exists h' \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ s.t. } h' \supseteq h \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \subseteq Y\}$$

is referred to as the density filter of \mathcal{A} .

Definition: Ramsey filter

A p -filter \mathcal{F} is said to be Ramsey if for every partition $\mathcal{C} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets such that $\omega \setminus E_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for each n , there is a set $\{k_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in \mathcal{F} such that $k_n \in E_n$ for each n .

Definition: Selective independence

A densely maximal independent family \mathcal{A} is said to be selective if $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is Ramsey.

Theorem (Shelah)

- Selective independent families exists under CH .
- They are indestructible by a countable support iterations and countable support products of Sacks forcing.

Corollary

It is consistent that $i < c$.

Definition

Let \mathbb{P} be the partial order

- of all pairs (\mathcal{A}, A) where \mathcal{A} is a countable independent family and $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for all $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ the set $\mathcal{A}^h \cap A$ is infinite;
- with extension relation defined as follows

$$(\mathcal{B}, B) \leq (\mathcal{A}, A) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \subseteq^* A.$$

Lemma (CH)

The partial order \mathbb{P} is countably closed and \aleph_2 -cc. Moreover, if G is \mathbb{P} -generic, then $\mathcal{A}_G = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A} : \exists A(\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \}$ is a selective independent family.

More precisely

- \mathcal{A}_G is densely maximal;
- $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is generated by $\{ A : \exists \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \} \cup \mathbf{Fr}$;
- $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is Ramsey.

Definition: Spectrum of Independence

$$\mathfrak{sp}(i) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a max. ind. family}\}$$

Theorem (F., Shelah)

Assume *CH*. Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal. Then

$$V^{\mathbb{S}_\kappa} \models \mathfrak{sp}(i) = \{\aleph_1, \kappa\}.$$

\mathcal{A} -diagonalization filters (F., Shelah)

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. A filter \mathcal{U} is said to be an \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter if

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{U} \forall h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) (|F \cap \mathcal{A}^h| = \omega)$$

and is maximal with respect to the above property.

Lemma (F., Shelah)

If \mathcal{U} is a \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter and G is $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ -generic and $x_G = \bigcup \{s : \exists F(s, F) \in G\}$, then:

- 1 $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G\}$ is independent
- 2 If $y \in ([\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}) \cap V$ is such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$ is independent, then $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G, y\}$ is not independent.

Definition

We say that y diagonalizes \mathcal{A} over V_0 (in V_1) iff

- 1 V_1 extends V_0 , (\mathcal{A} is independent) V_0
- 2 whenever $x \in ([\omega]^{<\aleph_0})^{V_0} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ such that $V_0 \models \mathcal{A} \cup \{x\}$ is independent, then $V_1 \models \mathcal{A} \cup \{x, y\}$ is not independent.

Corollary

If \mathcal{U} an \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter and G is a $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ -generic, then $\sigma_G = \bigcup \{s : \exists A(s, A) \in G\}$ diagonalizes \mathcal{A} over the ground model.

Corollary

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal. Then consistently

$$\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{i} = \kappa < \mathfrak{c}.$$

Proof:

Let $\lambda > \kappa$ be the desired size of the continuum. The ordinal product $\gamma^* = \lambda \cdot \kappa$ contains an unbounded subset \mathcal{I} of cardinality κ . Consider a finite support iteration of length γ^* such that along \mathcal{I} we

- recursively generate a max. independent family of cardinality κ ,
- as well as a scale of length κ ,

and along $\gamma^* \setminus \mathcal{I}$, we add Cohen reals. Then in the final generic extension

$$\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{d} = \kappa \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \kappa < \mathfrak{c} = \lambda.$$



Question:

Can we adjoin via forcing a max. independent family of cardinality \aleph_ω ?

Theorem (F., Shelah)

Assume *GCH*. Let $\kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n$ be regular uncountable cardinals. There is a ccc generic extension in which $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(i)$.

Proof:

Consider a finite support iteration of length γ^* , where γ^* is the ordinal product $\kappa_n \cdot \kappa_{n-1} \cdots \kappa_1$ and elaborate on the previous idea. □

Ultrapowers

Let κ a measurable and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be a κ -complete ultrafilter. Let \mathbb{P} be a p.o. Then $\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$ consists of all equivalence classes

$$[f] = \{g \in {}^\kappa\mathbb{P} : \{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and is supplied with the p.o. relation $[f] \leq [g]$ iff

$$\{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) \leq_{\mathbb{P}} g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We can identify each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ with $[p] = [f_p]$, where $f_p(\alpha) = p$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$ and so we can assume $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma

- 1 The poset \mathbb{P} is a complete suborder of \mathbb{P}^κ/D if and only if \mathbb{P} is κ -cc. Thus, if \mathbb{P} is ccc, then $\mathbb{P} \triangleleft \mathbb{P}^\kappa/D$.
- 2 If \mathbb{P} has the countable chain condition, then so does \mathbb{P}^κ/D .

Lemma

Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{P} -name for an independent family of cardinality $\geq \kappa$. Then

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^\kappa/D} \mathcal{A} \text{ is not maximal.}$$

Theorem (F., Shelah, 2018)

Let $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \dots < \kappa_n$ be measurable witnessed by κ_i -complete ultrafilters $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa_i)$. There is a ccc generic extension in which

$$\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n = \text{sp}(i).$$

Proof/Idea:

Let $\gamma^* = \kappa_n \cdot \kappa_{n-1} \cdots \kappa_1$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ fix an unbounded subset \mathcal{I}_j in γ^* . Along each \mathcal{I}_j

- iteratively generate a max. ind. family of cardinality κ_j
- and for unboundedly many $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_j$ take the ultrapower $\mathbb{P}_\alpha^{\kappa_j} / \mathcal{D}_j$.



Do we need a measurable?

Lemma

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family and let \mathcal{U} be a diagonalization filter for \mathcal{A} . Let $n \in \omega$ and for each $i \in n$ let $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}$. Moreover let $G = \prod_{i \in n} G_i$ be a $\mathbb{P} = \prod_{i \in n} \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_i)$ -generic filter. Then in $V[G]$:

- 1 $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_i\}_{i \in n}$ is independent.
- 2 For all $y \in (V \setminus \mathcal{A}) \cap [\omega]^\omega$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$ is independent and each $i \in n$, the family $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y, x_i\}$ is not independent.

Claim (GCH)

- Given an arbitrary uncountable cardinal θ , there is a ccc poset, which adjoins a max. independent family of cardinality θ .
- In particular, there is a ccc poset adjoining a maximal independent family of cardinality \aleph_ω .

Definition

Fix $\sigma \leq \theta \leq \lambda$, where:

- σ is regular uncountable (the intended value of i),
- λ is of uncountable cofinality (the intended value of c).
- Let $S \subseteq \theta^{<\sigma}$ be a well-pruned θ -splitting tree of height σ .
- For each $\alpha < \sigma$, let S_α be the α -th level of S .

Recursively define a finite support iteration

$$\mathbb{P}_S = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \leq \sigma, \beta < \sigma \rangle$$

of length σ as follows:

- Let $\mathbb{P}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, \dot{Q}_0 be a \mathbb{P}_0 -name for the trivial poset.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset$ and let \mathcal{U}_0 be an arbitrary ultrafilter extending the Frechét filter. For each $\eta \in S_1 = \text{succ}_S(\emptyset)$, let $\mathcal{U}_\eta = \mathcal{U}_0$ and let

$$Q_1 = \prod_{\eta \in S_1} \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$$

with finite supports.

- In $V^{\mathbb{P}_1 * \dot{Q}_1}$ for each $\eta \in S_1$ let a_η be the $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ -generic real.
- Suppose $\alpha \geq 2$ and in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ for all $\eta \in S_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_v : v \in \text{succ}_S(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \alpha\}$$

is independent. For each $\eta \in S_\alpha$, let \mathcal{U}_η be a \mathcal{A}_η -diagonalization filter and let $Q_\alpha = \prod_{\eta \in S_\alpha} \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ with finite supports.

- In $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha}$ for each $\eta \in S_\alpha$ let a_η be the $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ -generic real.

Lemma

In $V^{\mathbb{P}_S}$ for each branch $\eta \in [S]$ the family

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_v : v \in \text{succ}(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \alpha\}$$

is a maximal independent family of cardinality θ .

Proof:

Maximality follows from the diagonalization properties and the fact that the length of the iteration is of uncountable cofinality. \square

Theorem (F., Shelah, 2020)

Assume GCH. Let σ be a regular uncountable cardinal, λ a cardinal of uncountable cofinality such that $\sigma \leq \lambda$. Let

- $\Theta_1 \subseteq [\sigma, \lambda]$ be such that $\sigma = \min \Theta_1$, $\max \Theta_1 = \lambda$,
- and let $\Theta_0 = [\sigma, \lambda] \setminus (\Theta_1 \cup \{\lambda\})$.

If $|\Theta_1| < \min \Theta_0$, then there is a ccc generic extension in which

$$\mathfrak{sp}(i) = \Theta_1 \cup \{\lambda\}.$$

Corollary (F., Shelah)

Assume GCH. Any countable set Θ of uncountable cardinals such that $\min \Theta$ is regular and $\sup \Theta = \max \Theta$ is of uncountable cofinality can be realized in a ccc generic extension as the spectrum of independence.

Corollary

Assume GCH and let $C \subseteq \{\aleph_n\}_{1 \leq n < \omega}$. Then there is a ccc generic extension in which

$$\text{sp}(i) = C.$$

Question:

Is it consistent that $i = \aleph_\omega$?

Definition

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$.

- Let $\text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all finite partial functions with domain included in \mathcal{A} and range the set $\{0, 1\}$.
- For each $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ let $\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap \{A^{h(A)} : A \in \text{dom}(h)\}$ where $A^{h(A)} = A$ if $h(A) = 0$ and $A^{h(A)} = \kappa \setminus A$ if $h(A) = 1$.

Definition

- 1 A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ is said to be κ -independent if for each $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$, \mathcal{A}^h is unbounded. It is maximal κ -independent family if it is κ -independent, maximal under inclusion.
- 2 The least size of a maximal κ -independent family is denoted $i(\kappa)$.

Lemma (F., Montoya)

Let κ be a regular infinite cardinal.

- 1 There is a maximal κ -independent family of cardinality 2^κ .
- 2 $\kappa^+ \leq i(\kappa) \leq 2^\kappa$
- 3 $\tau(\kappa) \leq i(\kappa)$
- 4 $\partial(\kappa) \leq i(\kappa)$.

Corollary

If κ is regular uncountable, then if $i(\kappa) = \kappa^+$ also $\alpha(\kappa) = \kappa^+$.

Definition: κ -dense maximality

A κ -independent family \mathcal{A} is densely maximal if for every $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and every $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and

$$\text{either } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap X = \emptyset \text{ or } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap (\kappa \setminus X) = \emptyset.$$

Definition (F., Montoya)

Let κ be a measurable cardinal and \mathcal{U} a normal measure on κ . Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the poset of all pairs (\mathcal{A}, A) where

- \mathcal{A} is a κ -independent family of cardinality κ ,
- $A \in \mathcal{U}$ is such that $\forall h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}^h \cap A$ is unbounded.

The extension relation is defined as follows: $(\mathcal{A}_1, A_1) \leq (\mathcal{A}_0, A_0)$ iff $\mathcal{A}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \subseteq^* A_0$.

Lemma (F., Montoya)

Assume $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is κ^+ -closed and κ^{++} -cc and if G is a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -generic filter, then

$$\mathcal{A}_G = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A} : \exists A \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } (\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \}$$

is a densely maximal κ -independent family.

Remark

Let $\text{fil}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ be the filter of all $X \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\forall h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \subseteq X$. Then:

- $\text{fil}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is κ -complete.
- Every $\mathcal{H} \in [\text{fil}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)]^{\leq \kappa}$ has a pseudo-intersection in $\text{fil}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$.
- If $f \in V \cap {}^\kappa \kappa$ is strictly increasing, then $\exists a \in \text{fil}_{<\omega,\kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ such that

$$f(a(i)) < a(i)$$

for all $i \in \kappa$, where $\{a(i)\}_{i \in \kappa}$ is the increasing enumeration of a .

Theorem (F., Montoya)

(GCH) Let κ be a measurable cardinal and let \mathcal{U} be a normal measure on κ . The generic maximal independent family \mathcal{A}_G adjoined by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ remains maximal after the κ -support product $\mathbb{S}_{\kappa}^{\lambda}$.

Corollary

Let κ be a measurable cardinal. There is a cardinal preserving generic extension in which

$$a(\kappa) = \mathfrak{d}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{r}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{i}(\kappa) = \kappa^+ < 2^\kappa.$$

Question

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal. Is it consistent that

$$\kappa^+ < i(\kappa) < 2^\kappa?$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a κ -independent family. A κ -complete filter \mathcal{F} is said to be an κ -diagonalization filter for \mathcal{A} if

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{F} \forall h \in FF_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}) |F \cap \mathcal{A}^h| = \kappa$$

and \mathcal{F} is maximal with respect to the above property.

Question

- Given a κ -independent family \mathcal{A} is there a κ -diagonalization filter for \mathcal{A} ?
- Is there a large cardinal property which guarantees the existence of such maximal filter?

Definition

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ of size at least κ .

- 1 Let $FF_{<\kappa, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}) = \{h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} : \text{such that } |\text{dom}(h)| < \kappa\}$.
- 2 For each $h \in FF_{<\kappa, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ let $\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap \{A^{h(A)} : A \in \text{dom}(h)\}$ where $A^{h(A)} = A$ if $h(A) = 0$ and $A^{h(A)} = \kappa \setminus A$ if $h(A) = 1$.
- 3 \mathcal{A} is said to be strongly- κ -independent if for each $h \in FF_{<\kappa, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$, \mathcal{A}^h is unbounded.
- 4 \mathcal{A} is maximal strongly- κ -independent family if it is κ -independent, maximal under inclusion.

Lemma (F., Montoya)

Let κ be a regular infinite cardinal.

- 1 For κ strongly inaccessible, there is a strongly- κ -independent family of cardinality 2^κ .
- 2 If \mathcal{A} is strongly- κ -independent and $|\mathcal{A}| < \mathfrak{r}(\kappa)$ then \mathcal{A} is not maximal.
- 3 Suppose $\mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$ is such that for every $\gamma < \mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$, $\gamma^{<\kappa} < \mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$. If \mathcal{A} is strongly- κ -independent and $|\mathcal{A}| < \mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$ then \mathcal{A} is not maximal.

Corollary

Thus if

$$i_S(\kappa) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \text{ maximal strongly-}\kappa\text{-independent family}\}$$







is defined, then

- $\kappa^+ \leq i_S(\kappa) \leq 2^\kappa$;
- $\tau(\kappa) \leq i_S(\kappa)$;
- if for every $\gamma < \mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$, $\gamma^{<\kappa} < \mathfrak{d}(\kappa)$, then $\mathfrak{d}(\kappa) \leq i_S(\kappa)$.

Theorem (Kunen, 1983)

- 1 The existence of a maximal strongly- ω_1 -independent family implies CH and the existence of a weakly inaccessible cardinal between ω_1 and 2^{ω_1} ;
- 2 The existence of a measurable cardinal is equiconsistent with the existence of a maximal strongly- ω_1 -independent family.

Thank you for your attention!

-  V. Fischer, D. C. Montoya *Higher Independence* preprint 2020.
-  V. Fischer, D. C. Montoya *Ideals of Independence* 2019.
-  V. Fischer, S. Shelah *The spectrum of independence* 2019.
-  V. Fischer, S. Shelah *The spectrum of independence can be quite arbitrary* preprint 2020.
-  K. Kunen *Maximal σ -independent families* 1983.
-  S. Shelah *Con($i < \aleph$)* 1984.