

# Fundamental groups of complex quasiprojective manifolds and their Alexander type invariants

Laurentiu Maxim

University of Wisconsin-Madison

115AM, Jaca, June 12-16, 2023

# Motivation

- Every finitely presented group occurs as the fundamental group of a smooth closed real manifold of dimension  $\geq 4$ .
- **Serre's problem**: Which groups are *(quasi-)projective groups*, i.e., can be realized as fundamental groups of complex (quasi-)projective *manifolds*?
- By a **Zariski-Lefschetz** type theorem, one can restrict to the class of fundamental groups of smooth complex (quasi-)projective *surfaces*.
- **Kapovich** (2013): (if one allows mild singularities) every finitely presented group is the fundamental group of a complex *irreducible* projective surface whose singularities are simple normal crossings and Whitney umbrellas.
- **Kapovich-Kollár** (2014): every finitely presented group is the fundamental group of a complex (non-irreducible) projective surface with simple normal crossing singularities.
- Here we focus on  $\pi_1$ 's of *smooth* varieties.

- Similar questions apply to *Kähler groups*.
- There is no reduction to the surface case in the Kähler context, though Kodaira showed that any compact complex analytic surface with even first Betti number  $b_1$  (e.g., a compact Kähler surface) deforms to a projective one (this is not true in higher dimensions, as shown by Voisin).
- (Consequence of Kodaira's theorem) If  $\pi$  is a finitely presented group, the following are equivalent:
  - $\pi$  is  $\pi_1$  of a smooth complex projective variety
  - $\pi$  is  $\pi_1$  of a smooth complex projective surface
  - $\pi$  is  $\pi_1$  of a Kähler compact complex surface
  - $\pi$  is  $\pi_1$  of a compact complex surface with even  $b_1$ .

## Related open questions

- is any Kähler group a projective group?
  - **Kodaira**: true in dimension 2.
  - **Claudon** (2018): true for “linear” groups.
  - **Claudon-Höring-Lin** (2019): true in dimension 3.
  - **Voisin** (2004): there is a compact Kähler manifold which is not of the real homotopy type of any complex projective manifold.
- **Singer-Hopf Conjecture**: if  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  is an aspherical Kähler group, then  $(-1)^n \chi(M) \geq 0$ , where  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ .
- find obstructions on *homotopy types* of complex quasi-projective manifolds:
  - complex algebraic varieties are h.e. to finite CW complexes.
  - **Andreotti-Frankel**: a complex  $n$ -dim. *affine* variety has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex of dimension  $\leq n$ .
  - structure results for the *cohomology jump loci* of rank-one  $\mathbb{C}$ -local systems (**Beauville, Green-Lazarsfeld, Simpson, Arapura, Campana, Libgober, Dimca-Papadima-Suciu, Budur-Wang**, etc.)

## Some History/Facts

- **Serre**: every *finite* group is a *projective group*, i.e.,  $\pi_1$  of a complex projective manifold.
- However, most finitely presented groups (e.g., free abelian groups of *odd* rank) are *not projective groups*.
  - by Hodge theory, the first Betti number  $b_1$  (i.e., rank of abelianization of  $\pi_1$ ) of a Kähler/projective group is even!
- **Gromov**: projective groups can't split as nontrivial free products
- **Carlson-Toledo**: If  $M$  is a closed real hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold ( $n \geq 3$ ), then  $\pi_1(M)$  is not projective.
- By contrast, **Taubes** (1992) showed that *every* finitely presented group is  $\pi_1$  of a compact complex manifold (of  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 3$ ).

## Some exercises: quasi-projective case

- **Exercise:** All *cyclic* groups are quasi-projective.
  - Hint 1: If  $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  is a smooth plane curve of degree  $d$ , then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z}/d$ .
  - Hint 2: If  $L_1, L_2$  are lines in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- **Exercise:** All *abelian* groups are quasi-projective.
  - Hint: If  $C_0, \dots, C_r \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  are irreducible smooth curves of degree  $d_i = \deg C_i$  intersecting transversally, then
$$\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_0 \cup \dots \cup C_r)) = \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d,$$
where  $d = \gcd(d_0, \dots, d_r)$ .
- **Exercise:** All *free* groups of finite rank are quasi-projective.
  - Hint: What is  $\pi_1$  of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  minus a finite set of points?
- **Exercise:** Finite index subgroups of (quasi-)projective groups are (quasi-)projective.
- **Exercise:** Direct products of (quasi-)projective groups are (quasi-)projective.
- **Morgan** (1978), **Kapovich-Milson** (1997), etc. found *infinitely many* non-isomorphic examples of *non-quasi-projective groups*.

## Some exercises: projective/Kähler

- **Exercise:**  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  is projective (hence Kähler).
  - Hint:  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n} = \pi_1(A)$ , where  $A \cong \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  is an abelian variety of complex dimension  $n$ .
- **Exercise:** Direct products of Kähler groups are Kähler.
  - Hint: a product of Kähler manifolds is Kähler.
- **Exercise:** Finite index subgroups of Kähler groups are Kähler.
  - Hint: Kähler metrics can be lifted to finite coverings.
- **Exercise:** Non-trivial free groups are not Kähler.
  - Hint: such groups have subgroups of finite index and odd rank.
- **Exercise:**  $\mathbb{Z}/2 * \mathbb{Z}/2$  is not Kähler.
  - Hint: it contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  with index 2.
- **Exercise:**  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is not Kähler.
  - Hint: it contains subgroups of finite index which are free.
- $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is not Kähler for  $n > 2$  (non-abelian Hodge theory).

- A group may contain a Kähler/projective group without being itself Kähler/projective: e.g.,  $\pi_1$  of the Klein bottle is not projective (why?), but it contains  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  with index 2.



- One may also study sub-classes of (quasi-)projective/Kähler groups.
- **Delzant** (2010): a solvable Kähler group is virtually nilpotent.
- **Donaldson-Goldman Conjecture** (proved by **Dimca-Suciu, Kotschick,...**): If  $\pi$  is a Kähler group and  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  for  $M$  a closed 3-manifold, then  $\pi$  is a **finite** group.
- Hence, infinite 3-manifold groups are not Kähler!  
E.g.,  $\pi_1(S \times \mathbb{Z})$  is not Kähler (with  $S$  a closed orientable real surface).
- **Blasco-Garcia, Cogolludo**: studied quasi-projectivity of Artin groups (cf. also **Dimca-Papadima-Suciu** for the case of right-angled Artin groups).

# $\pi_1$ 's of hypersurface complements

- From now on, consider only the sub-class of  $\pi_1$  of complex quasi-projective manifolds which are **complements to hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$**  (or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ).
- Reduction to a **low-dimensional topology problem**: by a **Zariski-Lefschetz** type theorem, possible  $\pi_1$ 's of complements to hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ) are precisely the fundamental groups of **complements to plane curves** in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ ), a class of groups already considered by Zariski and Van Kampen in 1930s.
- **Question**: What groups can be  $\pi_1$  of complements to curves in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ )? What obstructions are there?
- E.g., many *knot groups* **cannot** be realized as  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$  for a plane curve  $\mathcal{C}$  (to be justified later).

- This question is also motivated by **Zariski's problem**:  
*Find examples of Zariski pairs, i.e., pairs of plane curves in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  which have homeo tubular neighborhoods (hence same type of singularities), but non-homeo complements (due to the position of their singularities.)*
- E.g., Let  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  be a **sextic with 6 cusps** (i.e., locally defined by  $x^2 = y^3$ ). Zariski showed that the moduli space of such curves has (at least) two connected components, representatives in each component being distinguished by  $\pi_1$  of their complements.
- A lot of work on Zariski pairs done by **Artal-Bartolo, Tokunaga, Oka, Shimada, Eyrat, Cogolludo**, etc.

- $\pi_1$ 's of plane curve complements are difficult to handle.
- **Zariski's conjecture:** If  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  has only nodal singularities (i.e., locally defined by  $x^2 = y^2$ ), then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{C})$  is abelian. (proved by Deligne and Fulton, cf. also Orevkov, Oka,...)
- **Nori:** If  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  has  $a$  nodes and  $b$  cusps, and  $2a + 6b < d^2$  ( $d = \deg \bar{C}$ ), then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{C})$  is abelian.
- **Tokunaga:** If  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  has  $a$  nodes and  $b$  cusps, and  $2a + 6b > 2d^2 - 6d + 6$ , then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{C})$  is not abelian.
- There are *Zariski pairs* of degree  $d = 6$  with  $a$  nodes ( $a = 0, \dots, 3$ ) and 6 cusps which fail one test or the other.

# An interesting example of $\pi_1$

## Example (Oka, Nemethi)

Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p, q \geq 2$ , with  $(p, q) = 1$ . Consider

$$\bar{C}_{p,q} : (x^p + y^p)^q + (y^q + z^q)^p = 0$$

Then

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{C}_{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}/p * \mathbb{Z}/q$$

- ♣  $p = 2, q = 3$ : Zariski's sextic curve with six cusps on a conic.
- ♣ recent generalizations by Cogolludo-ElDuque.

- It is natural to look for invariants of  $\pi_1$  which are easier to handle than  $\pi_1$ , and still capture a lot of the topology of the curve. For instance, one may consider
  - Alexander-type invariants (polynomials, modules)
  - Novikov-Betti numbers
  - $L^2$  Betti numbers
- Rigidity properties for such invariants impose lots of obstructions on  $\pi_1$ 's of curve complements.

I. *Alexander-type invariants of plane curve complements*

# Plane curve complements: Setting

- Let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \{F(x, y, z) = 0\}$  be a degree  $d$  reduced curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ .
- Let  $L_\infty = \{z = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  be a **generic** line, i.e.,  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \pitchfork L_\infty$ .
- Let  $f(x, y) := F(x, y, 1)$ , and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{f(x, y) = 0\} = \bar{\mathcal{C}} \setminus L_\infty \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

- **Zariski**: there is a *central extension*:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 0,$$

so  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$  and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$  carry the “same” info.

- it is more convenient to work with  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ , which has a larger  $\pi_1$  than  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .
- Set  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ .
- $M$  is h.e. to a finite CW complex of *real* dimension 2.
- $H_1(M) = H_1(\pi) = \mathbb{Z}^r$ , for  $r = \#$  of irred. components of  $\mathcal{C}$ .



## (a) Classical Alexander polynomials

- $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{C}} \cup L_\infty)$ , with  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \pitchfork L_\infty$ .
- $f_* : \pi = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{Z}$  induces a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -fold cover  $M^c$  of  $M$ , the pullback of the universal cover  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- $H_i(M^c; \mathbb{C})$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module.

### Theorem (Libgober)

$H_1(M^c; \mathbb{C})$  is a *torsion*  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module.

### Definition

$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) := \text{order } H_1(M^c; \mathbb{C})$  is *the Alexander polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}$  (or  $\pi$ )*.

- $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  can be computed by **Fox calculus** from a presentation of  $\pi$  (e.g., obtained via *braid monodromy*).
- *Rigidity* properties of  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  impose obstructions on  $\pi$ .

## Relation to Milnor fiber of $F$

- Let  $M_F := F^{-1}(1)$  be the *Milnor fiber* of the degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial  $F(x, y, z)$  which defines  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ , with *monodromy*  $h : M_F \rightarrow M_F$ .
- $h^d = id$ , and  $M_F / \langle h \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \bar{C}$ .
- **Randell**: The Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_C(t)$  equals the characteristic polynomial of monodromy  $h_* : H_1(M_F) \rightarrow H_1(M_F)$ .
- Consequences:
  - $\deg \Delta_C(t) = b_1(M_F)$ .
  - if  $C$  is irreducible, then  $\Delta_C(t) = 1 \iff H_1(M_F)$  is at most a finite group.
  - if  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \bar{C})$  is a finite group, then  $\Delta_C(t) = 1$ .
  - the multiplicity of the factor  $(t - 1)$  in  $\Delta_C(t)$  is  $r - 1$ , i.e.,  $\text{rank } H_1(M_F)_1$ , with  $r = \#$  of irred. components of  $C$ .
  - **Libgober**:  $\Delta_C(t)$  divides  $(t^d - 1)^{d-2}(t - 1)$ .
    - $\Delta_C(t)$  is a product of cyclotomic polynomials.
    - roots of  $\Delta_C(t)$  are  $d$ -th roots of unity.

## Example

- Many knot groups, e.g. that of *figure eight knot* (whose Alexander polynomial is  $t^2 - 3t + 1$ ), **cannot** be of the form  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$ .
- However, the class of possible  $\pi_1$  of plane curve complements includes *braid groups*, or groups of *torus knots* of type  $(p, q)$ .

## Example

Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p, q \geq 2$ , with  $(p, q) = 1$ . Consider Oka's curve

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{p,q} : (x^p + y^p)^q + (y^q + z^q)^p = 0$$

with  $\mathcal{C}_{p,q} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{p,q} \setminus L_\infty$ . Then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_{p,q})$  is  $\pi_1$  of a torus knot of type  $(p, q)$ , and

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{p,q}}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)}.$$

## Relation to Milnor fiber, cont'd

- Let  $M_F := F^{-1}(1)$  be the Milnor fiber of the degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial  $F(x, y, z)$ , with monodromy  $h$ .
- Recall:  $\deg \Delta_C(t) = b_1(M_F)$ .
- $H_1(M_F) = H_1(M_F)_1 \oplus H_1(M_F)_{\neq 1}$
- $\text{rank} H_1(M_F)_1 = \text{rank} H_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{C}) = r - 1$
- $\text{rank} H_1(M_F)_{\neq 1} = b_3(V)$ , where  $V$  is a  $d$ -fold cover of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  branched along  $\bar{C}$ . (This non-unipotent piece is the *jump*.)
- **Problem:** compute  $b_1(M_F)$ .
- **Main difficulty:** as we will see,  $b_1(M_F)$  depends on the **position** of singularities of  $\bar{C}$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ .
- **Conjecture:** if  $F$  defines a *line arrangement* in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , then  $b_1(F)$  and  $h_* : H_1(M_F) \circlearrowleft$  are **combinatorially determined**. (Progress: Dimca, Papadima, Suciu, Libgober, etc.)

# Divisibility results for Alexander polynomials

- For each  $x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ , let  $L_x := S_x^3 \cap \mathcal{C}$  be the *link* of  $x$ , with (local) complement  $M_x := S_x^3 \setminus L_x$ .
- **Milnor**: There is a locally trivial fibration  $F_x \hookrightarrow M_x \rightarrow S^1$
- The *Milnor fibre*  $F_x$  is homotopy equivalent to a join of circles, their number being equal to the *Milnor number*  $\mu(\mathcal{C}, x)$ .
- Let  $h_x : F_x \rightarrow F_x$  be the *monodromy homeomorphism*.
- The *local Alexander polynomial at  $x$*  is defined by

$$\Delta_x(t) := \det(tI - (h_x)_* : H_1(F_x) \rightarrow H_1(F_x))$$

- **Monodromy theorem**: the zeros of  $\Delta_x(t)$  are roots of 1.

## Theorem (Libgober)

$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  divides  $(t-1)^{r-1} \cdot \prod_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})} \Delta_x(t)$

## Theorem (M.)

For any irreducible component  $\mathcal{C}_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  divides  $(t-1)^{r-1} \cdot \prod_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathcal{C}_i} \Delta_x(t)$

## Corollary

$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  is a product of cyclotomic polynomials.

## Corollary

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  be an irreducible degree  $d$  curve with *only nodes and cusps* as its singularities. If  $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = 1$ .

## Theorem (Budur-Liu-Wang)

If  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  is a quasi-projective group, and  $\epsilon : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  an epimorphism, then  $\Delta_{\pi}(t)$  is a product of cyclotomic polynomials, where  $\Delta_{\pi}$  is the order of the torsion part of  $H_1(M_{\epsilon}; \mathbb{C})$ .

- The divisibility results for  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  show that the *local type* of singularities affects the topology of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- **Zariski** showed that the *position* of singularities has effect on the topology of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Moreover, **Libgober** noticed that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  is already sensitive to the position of singularities.

### Example (Zariski's sextics with 6 cusps)

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  be an irreducible *sextic* with only *6 cusps*.

Set  $\mathcal{C} := \bar{\mathcal{C}} \setminus L_{\infty}$ , for  $L_{\infty}$  a generic line at infinity in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ .

- If *the 6 cusps are on a conic*, then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1$  of the trefoil knot, and has Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = t^2 - t + 1$ . (In fact,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$ )
- If *the 6 cusps are not on a conic*, then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$  is abelian, so  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = 1$ . (In fact,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ )

## Example

Moishezon showed that **Artin's braid group on  $k$  strands**

$$B_k = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1} \mid \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, |i - j| \geq 2; \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \rangle$$

appears as  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_k)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is an affine curve in general position at infinity, whose projective completion  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k$  is the **branching locus of a generic projection**  $V_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ , with  $V_k$  a degree  $k$  smooth surface in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ .

Then  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k$  is an irreducible curve of degree  $k(k-1)$  with  $k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)/2$  nodes and  $k(k-1)(k-2)$  cusps. E.g., if  $k=3$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_3$  is the six-cuspidal sextic with all cusps on a conic, and recall that  $B_3$  is  $\pi_1$  of the trefoil knot.

For  $k \geq 5$ , one computes (e.g., using Reidemeister-Schreier)  $B'_k/B''_k = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{C}_k$  has a trivial Alexander polynomial.

The Alexander polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_4$  is  $t^2 - t + 1$ .



- Several important algebro-geometric descriptions of  $\Delta_C(t)$  have been obtained by **Libgober**, **Loeser-Vaquíé**, **Esnault**, **Artal-Bartolo**, etc.
- **Cogolludo-Libgober**: If  $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  is a degree  $d$  irreducible curve with only nodes and cusps singularities, then

$$\deg \Delta_C(t) \leq \frac{5}{3}d - 2.$$

# Mixed Hodge structure on the Alexander module

- **Libgober, Kulikov-Kulikov**: the Alexander module  $H_i(M^c; \mathbb{Q})$  carries a canonical **mixed Hodge structure**.
- **Libgober**: generalization to higher dimensional hypersurfaces with only isolated singularities.
- **M., Dimca-Libgober**: generalization to higher dimensional hypersurfaces with arbitrary singularities.
- **Elduque-Geske-Herradon-M.-Wang**: constructed MHS on the torsion parts of the Alexander modules of a complex quasi-projective manifold  $X$ , induced via an algebraic map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .

## “Weakness” of Alexander polynomial

- Assume  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , so that  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$  are reduced and intersect transversally.
- Fix a generic line  $L_\infty$  at infinity, and let  $\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}} \setminus L_\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_i = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_i \setminus L_\infty$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).
- **Oka-Sakamoto**: There is an isomorphism (induced by inclusions  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_i$ ):

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_1) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2).$$

- $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = (t - 1)^{r-1}$ , where  $r = \#$  of irred. components of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- so, while  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$  retains information about  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ , the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$  does not!
- to overcome this “weakness”, can study twisted versions of Alexander polynomials or higher coverings of the plane curve complement (e.g., universal abelian cover, solvable covers, etc.).

## (b) Twisted Alexander invariants

- introduced by Lin, Wada, Kirk-Livingston in 1990s.
- striking applications to the study of real closed 3-manifolds by Friedl-Vidussi.
- adapted to the study of plane curve complements by Cogolludo-Florens, who found *new examples of Zariski pairs* which can be detected by the *twisted Alexander polynomial*, but which have the same classical Alexander polynomial.

- $M$  := path-connected finite CW complex,  $\pi := \pi_1(M)$ .
- $\varepsilon : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  homomorphism.
- $M_\varepsilon$  := infinite cyclic cover of  $M$  defined by  $\bar{\pi} := \ker(\varepsilon)$ .
- $\mathbb{V}$  - finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space,  $\ell := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}$ .
- $\rho : \pi \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{V})$  representation, denoted by  $\mathbb{V}_\rho$ .

## Definition (Twisted Alexander modules)

The  $i$ -th twisted Alexander module of  $(M, \varepsilon, \rho)$  is:

$$H_i^{\varepsilon, \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]) = H_i(M_\varepsilon; \mathbb{V}_\rho) := H_i(C_*(M_\varepsilon, \mathbb{V}_\rho)),$$

where  $C_*(M_\varepsilon, \mathbb{V}_\rho) := \mathbb{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\bar{\pi}]} C_*(M_\varepsilon)$  is the twisted chain complex of  $M_\varepsilon$ .

# Twisted Alexander invariants of plane curve complements

- Assume  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \pitchfork L_\infty$ , and let  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ .

## Theorem (M.-Wong)

For any pair  $(\varepsilon, \rho)$ , the twisted Alexander modules  $H_i^{\varepsilon, \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$  of  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$  are *torsion*  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -modules, for  $i = 0, 1$ .

## Remark

If  $\varepsilon = lk$ ,  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\rho = \text{trivial}$ , get back the classical Alexander modules  $H_i(M^c; \mathbb{C})$  of  $M$ .

## Definition

$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^{\varepsilon, \rho}(t) = \text{order } H_1^{\varepsilon, \rho}(M; \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$  is *the twisted Alexander polynomial of  $(\mathcal{C}, \varepsilon, \rho)$* .

# Roots of twisted Alexander polynomials

- $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{C}} \cup L_\infty)$ .
- Let  $\gamma_\infty$  be the meridian in  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  about  $L_\infty$ .

## Theorem (M.-Wong)

*Assume  $\varepsilon = lk$ , and  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{V})$  an arbitrary representation.*

*Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  be the eigenvalues of  $\rho(\gamma_\infty)^{-1}$ .*

*Then the roots of  $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^{\varepsilon, \rho}(t)$  are contained in the splitting field of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (t^d - \lambda_i)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which is cyclotomic over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ .*

# Divisibility for twisted Alexander polynomials

- If  $x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ , let  $L_x = S_x^3 \cap \mathcal{C}$  be the link of  $x$ , with local complement  $M_x = S_x^3 \setminus L_x$ .
- Let  $\pi_x := \pi_1(M_x)$ .
- Let  $(\varepsilon_x, \rho_x)$  be the pair induced by  $(\varepsilon, \rho)$  on  $\pi_x$  via  $\pi_x \rightarrow \pi$ .

## Theorem (M.-Wong)

If  $x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ , the *local twisted Alexander modules at  $x$* , i.e.,  $H_i^{\varepsilon_x, \rho_x}(M_x; \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ , are *torsion*  $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -modules for  $i = 0, 1$ .

## Definition

$\Delta_x^{\varepsilon_x, \rho_x}(t) := \text{order } H_1^{\varepsilon_x, \rho_x}(M_x; \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$  is the *local twisted Alexander polynomial at  $x$* .

## Theorem (Cogolludo-Florens, M.-Wong)

*divisibility for twisted Alexander polynomials, relating the local and global ones.*



## II. *Novikov homology*

# Novikov-Betti and Novikov-torsion numbers

- $M$  := connected topological space, h.e. to a *finite* CW complex,  $\pi := \pi_1(M)$ .
- fix  $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi, \mathbb{R})$ .
- $\Gamma_\xi := \text{Im}(\pi \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\Gamma_\xi \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$ , for some  $s = \text{rk}(\xi) \geq 0$ .
- $M_\xi$  := covering of  $M$  defined by  $\ker(\xi)$ , so  $H_i(M_\xi; \mathbb{Z})$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_\xi]$ -modules.
- the  $i$ -th *Novikov-Betti number*  $b_i(M, \xi)$  of  $(M, \xi)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_\xi]$ -rank of  $H_i(M_\xi; \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$b_i(M, \xi) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\xi} \mathbb{Q}_\xi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_\xi]} H_i(M_\xi; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rk}_{R\Gamma_\xi} H_i(M; R\Gamma_\xi),$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}_\xi := \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_\xi])$ , and  $R\Gamma_\xi$  is the *rational Novikov ring* of  $\Gamma_\xi$  (a certain PID localization of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_\xi]$ ).

- the  $i$ -th *Novikov-torsion number*  $q_i(M, \xi)$  is the minimal number of generators of  $\text{Tors}(H_i(M; R\Gamma_\xi))$ .

## Theorem (Properties of Novikov-Betti numbers)

- $\chi(M) = \sum_i (-1)^i b_i(M, \xi)$ .
- $b_i(M, \xi) \leq b_i(M)$ , for any  $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ .
- $b_i(M, 0) = b_i(M)$ .

# Novikov-type invariants of plane curve complements

- Assume  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \pitchfork L_\infty$ , and let  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$

## Definition

$\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  is called *positive* if  $\xi : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  takes strictly positive values on each positively oriented meridian about the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Theorem (Friedl-M.)

For any positive  $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ , we have:

- $b_i(M, \xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq 2, \\ \chi(M), & i = 2. \end{cases}$
- $q_i(M, \xi) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

## Remark

The above result holds more generally, for *twisted Novikov-type invariants*.

### III. $L^2$ -Betti numbers

To any CW complex  $M$ , countable group  $\Gamma$ , and group homomorphism  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$ , one associates  *$L^2$ -Betti numbers*

$$b_i^{(2)}(M, \alpha) := \dim_{\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)} H_i(C_*(M_\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)) \in [0, \infty],$$

where  $M_\alpha$  is the covering of  $M$  defined by  $\alpha$ , and  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  is the *von Neumann algebra* of  $\Gamma$  (a certain completion of  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ ), so that

- $b_i^{(2)}(M, \alpha)$  is a homotopy invariant of the pair  $(M, \alpha)$ .
- if  $M$  is a finite CW-complex,

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot b_i^{(2)}(M, \alpha)$$

### Remark (Friedl-M.)

*Novikov-Betti numbers associated to  $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$  are special cases of  $L^2$ -Betti numbers (but torsion-Novikov numbers do not have an  $L^2$  interpretation):*

$$b_i(M, \xi) = b_i^{(2)}(M, \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\xi} \text{Im}(\xi))$$

- $\mathcal{C} = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ .
- $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \Gamma$  is called *admissible* if  $f_* : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  factors through  $\alpha$ .
- For admissible  $\alpha$ , let  $\bar{\pi} = \ker(f_*)$ , with corresponding covering  $M^c$ , and  $\bar{\Gamma} := \text{Im}(\bar{\pi} \hookrightarrow \pi \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma)$  with induced map  $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ .
- Consider  $b_p^{(2)}(M, \alpha)$  and  $b_p^{(2)}(M^c, \bar{\alpha})$ .
- A priori, there is no reason to expect  $b_1^{(2)}(M^c, \bar{\alpha})$  to be finite (as  $M^c$  is not a finite CW complex).

# Obstructions on the $L^2$ -Betti numbers of curves

## Theorem (Friedl-Leidy-M.)

If  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$  is admissible, then

$$b_i^{(2)}(M, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq 2, \\ \chi(M), & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

## Corollary

$b_i^{(2)}(M, \alpha)$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) depends only on the degree of  $\mathcal{C}$  and on the local type of singularities, and is independent on  $\alpha$  and on the position of singularities of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In fact,

$$b_2^{(2)}(M, \alpha) = (d - 1)^2 - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})} \mu(\mathcal{C}, x).$$



## Theorem (Friedl-Leidy-M.)

If  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$  is admissible, then  $b_1^{(2)}(M^c, \bar{\alpha})$  is *finite*, and an upper bound is determined by the local type of singularities of  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$b_1^{(2)}(M^c, \bar{\alpha}) \leq \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})} (\mu(\mathcal{C}, x) + n_x - 1) + 2g + d,$$

where  $n_x$  is the number of branches through  $x \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $g$  is the genus of the normalization of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Remark

$b_1^{(2)}(M^c, \bar{\alpha})$  depends in general on the position of singularities of  $\mathcal{C}$  (this can be checked on Zariski's example of sextics with 6 cusps).

# Consequences of finiteness property

Free groups  $\mathbb{F}_m$  with  $m \geq 2$  cannot be of the form  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{C})$ , for  $\mathcal{C}$  a curve in general position at infinity, and similarly for groups of (complements of) boundary links (i.e., those links whose components admit mutually disjoint Seifert surfaces). Equivalently, such groups cannot be central extensions by  $\mathbb{Z}$  of groups of the form  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ .

## Concluding remarks

- All invariants of plane curve complements discussed in this lecture are **dominated** by the corresponding invariants of the **link of  $\mathcal{C}$  at infinity** (i.e., Hopf link on  $d$  components) and, resp., by those of the **boundary manifold** of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- All the above **finiteness/torsion/rigidity** results for homological-type invariants (Alexander modules and polynomials, various types of Betti numbers etc.) admit **higher dimensional generalizations** to complements of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ) with **arbitrary singularities**. Proofs are more involved (use **intersection homology**, **perverse sheaves**, etc.).
- One can prove similar statements even after relaxing mildly the transversality assumption (in works of Libgober, Elduque-M.)

**THANK YOU !!!**

**Happy Birthday  
Enrique and Alejandro !!!**