

# Mathias generic sets

Damir D. Dzhafarov  
University of Notre Dame

joint work with Peter A. Cholak and Jeffry L. Hirst

9 February, 2012

# Mathias generics

## Definition.

1. A (computable Mathias) pre-condition is a pair  $(D, E)$  such that  $D$  is a finite set,  $E$  is a computable set, and  $\max D < \min E$ .
2. A (computable Mathias) condition is a pre-condition  $(D, E)$  such that  $E$  is infinite.
3. A pre-condition  $(D', E')$  extends  $(D, E)$ , written  $(D', E') \leq (D, E)$ , if  $D \subseteq D' \subseteq D \cup E$  and  $E' \subseteq E$ .
4. A set  $S$  satisfies a pre-condition  $(D, E)$  if  $D \subseteq S \subseteq D \cup E$ .

# Mathias generics

A set  $S$  **meets** a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of conditions if it satisfies some condition in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A set  $S$  **avoids** a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of conditions if it meets the set of conditions having no extension in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Definition.

1. A  $\Sigma_n^0$  **set of conditions** is a  $\Sigma_n^0$ -definable set of pre-conditions, each of which is a condition.
2. A set  $G$  is **Mathias  $n$ -generic** if it meets or avoids every  $\Sigma_n^0$  set of conditions.
3. A set  $G$  is **weakly Mathias  $n$ -generic** if it meets every dense  $\Sigma_n^0$  set of conditions.

## Indices of pre-conditions

An **index** for a pre-condition  $(D, E)$  is a pair  $(d, e) \in \omega^2$  such that  $d$  is the canonical index of  $D$  and  $E = \{x \in \omega : \varphi_e(x) \downarrow = 1\}$ .

The set of all (indices for) pre-conditions is  $\Pi_1^0$ -definable.

**Remark.** There exists a computable set of (indices for) pre-conditions containing an index for every pre-condition.

We work entirely over this set from now on.

# Indices of conditions

The set of all (indices for) conditions is  $\Pi_2^0$ -definable.

**Definition.** A set  $G$  is **Mathias strongly  $n$ -generic** if it meets or avoids every  $\Sigma_n^0$ -definable set of pre-conditions.

**Proposition (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** For  $n \geq 3$ , a set is strongly  $n$ -generic if and only if it is  $n$ -generic. For  $n < 3$ , a set is strongly  $n$ -generic if and only if it is 3-generic.

Without further comment,  $n$  below will always be a number  $\geq 3$ .

## Familiar properties

Every  $n$ -generic set is weakly  $n$ -generic, is  $(n - 1)$ -generic.

There exist  $n$ -generics  $G \leq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$ .

Every weakly  $n$ -generic set is hyperimmune relative to  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$ .

**Corollary.** Not every  $n$ -generic set is weakly  $(n + 1)$ -generic.

**Corollary.** The  $n$ -generic sets form a null class.

The degree of any  $n$ -generic forms a minimal pair with  $\mathbf{0}^{(n-1)}$ .

## Less familiar properties

If  $G$  is weakly  $n$ -generic then  $G$  is **cohesive**, i.e., for every c.e. set  $W$ , either  $G \subseteq^* W$  or  $G \subseteq^* \overline{W}$ .

**Corollary.** If  $G = G_0 \oplus G_1$  is  $n$ -generic, then either  $G_0 =^* \emptyset$  or  $G_1 =^* \emptyset$ . (In particular, van Lambalgen's theorem fails.)

**Corollary.** No Mathias  $n$ -generic can be even Cohen 1-generic.

**Corollary.** The class of Mathias generic sets is not comeager.

If  $G$  is weakly  $n$ -generic then  $G' \geq_T \emptyset''$ .

**Corollary.** No Cohen 2-generic can compute a Mathias 3-generic.

# The forcing relation

Let  $\varphi(X)$  be a  $\Sigma_0^0$  formula of second-order arithmetic in one free set variable  $X$ , written in disjunctive normal form.

Let  $P_{\varphi,i}$  be the set of all  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\underline{n} \in X$  appears as a conjunct of the  $i$ th disjunct.

Let  $N_{\varphi,i}$  be the set of all  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\underline{n} \notin X$  appears as a conjunct of the  $i$ th disjunct.

## Definition.

A condition  $(D, E)$  **forces**  $\varphi(G)$ , written  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$ , if there is an  $i$  such that  $P_{\varphi,i} \subseteq D$  and  $N_{\varphi,i} \subseteq \overline{D \cup E}$ .

For general  $\varphi(X)$ , define  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$  inductively according to the standard definition of (strong) forcing.

## The forcing relation

**Lemma (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** Let  $\varphi(X)$  be a formula of second-order arithmetic in one free set variable  $X$ .

If  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_0^0$ , then the relation  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$  is computable.

If  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ ,  $\Sigma_1^0$ , or  $\Sigma_2^0$ , then so is the relation  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_n^0$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , then the relation  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$  is  $\Pi_{n+1}^0$ .

If  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_n^0$  for some  $n \geq 3$ , then the relation  $(D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$ .

**Proposition (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** Let  $G$  be  $n$ -generic, and let  $\varphi(X)$  be a  $\Sigma_m^0$  formula for some  $m \leq n$ , or the negation of such a formula. If  $G$  satisfies a condition that forces  $\varphi(G)$ , then  $\varphi(G)$  holds.

## Jump properties

**Theorem (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** If  $G$  is  $n$ -generic, then  $G^{(n-1)} \equiv_T G' \oplus \emptyset^{(n)}$ .

**Proof sketch.** One direction follows immediately from  $G' \geq \emptyset''$ .

For the other, fix any  $\Sigma_{n-1}^0$  formula  $\varphi(X)$  and consider

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(D, E) \text{ condition} : (D, E) \Vdash \varphi(G)\} \in \Sigma_n^0$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(D, E) \text{ condition} : (D, E) \Vdash \neg\varphi(G)\} \in \Pi_n^0.$$

$G$  meets  $\mathcal{C}$  iff it avoids  $\mathcal{D}$ , one must happen, and  $G' \oplus \emptyset^{(n)}$  knows which.

**Corollary.** The  $\Pi_{n+1}^0$  and  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  bounds in the definition of  $\Vdash$  cannot be lowered even to  $\Delta_{n+1}^0$ . So an  $n$ -generic set only decides  $\Sigma_{n-1}^0$  formulas.

# Jump properties

**Theorem (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** If  $G$  is  $n$ -generic, then  $\deg(G) \in \mathbf{GH}_1$ , i.e.,  $G' \equiv_T (G \oplus \emptyset)'$ .

**Proof sketch.** For  $i \in \omega$ , a condition  $(D, E)$  forcing the formula  $i \in (G \oplus \emptyset)'$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$ . Forcing the negation requires universally quantifying over all extensions of  $(D, E)$ , and so appears  $\Pi_3^0$ . But in fact it suffices to quantify over finite extensions, which makes this relation  $\Pi_2^0$ . Now since  $G' \geq_T G' \oplus \emptyset''$ , proceed as in the previous theorem.

**Corollary.** Every Mathias  $n$ -generic has  $\overline{\mathbf{GL}}_1$  degree. Hence, no Mathias  $n$ -generic has Cohen 1-generic degree, but every Mathias  $n$ -generic computes a Cohen 1-generic.

## Coding into Mathias generics

**Theorem (Kurtz).** If  $A \geq_T \emptyset^{(n-1)}$  is hyperimmune relative to  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$  then  $A \equiv_T B^{(n-1)}$  for some weakly Cohen  $n$ -generic set  $G$ .

**Theorem (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** If  $A \geq_T \emptyset^{(n-1)}$  is hyperimmune relative to  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$  then  $A \equiv_T G^{(n-2)}$  for some weakly Mathias  $n$ -generic set  $G$ .

**Corollary.** Not every weakly  $n$ -generic set is  $n$ -generic.

## Coding into Mathias generics

Fix  $A \geq_T \emptyset^{(n-1)}$  is hyperimmune relative to  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$ . We want to build a weakly Mathias  $n$ -generic  $G$  such that  $A \equiv_T G^{(n-2)}$ .

We  $A$ -computably build a series of conditions  $(\emptyset, \omega) = (D_0, E_0) \geq (D_1, E_1) \geq \dots$ , and take  $G = \bigcup_s D_s$ .

Ensuring that  $G$  is weakly  $n$ -generic uses the hyperimmunity of  $A$  relative to  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$ . This is just as in Kurtz's proof, but the escaping function must be chosen a bit more carefully.

We force the jump along the way, which is easy since  $A \geq_T \emptyset^{(n)} \geq_T \emptyset'$ . This ensures that  $G^{(n-2)} \equiv_T G' \oplus \emptyset^{(n-1)} \leq_T A$ .

## Coding into Mathias generics

In Kurtz's proof, where one constructs a sequence of finite strings, the bit  $A(n)$  is coded at a certain stages by appending a long block of 1s.

We cannot code the same way: if we are at, say,  $(D_s, E_s)$ , the reservoir  $E_s$  may be very sparse.

Instead, fix a sequence of disjoint co-immune sets  $B_0, B_1, \dots \leq_T \emptyset^{(n-1)}$  ahead of time. These can serve as coding markers. Since each  $E_s$  is computable, it must intersect each  $B_i$  infinitely often. So, instead of appending  $e$  many 1s, we append the least element of  $B_e \cap E_s$ .

Since  $G$  will be weakly  $n$ -generic,  $G^{(n-2)}$  will compute  $\emptyset^{(n-1)}$  and hence also the sequence of  $B_i$ , so  $G^{(n-2)}$  will be able to do the decoding.

## Coding into Mathias generics

**Theorem (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** If  $G$  is Mathias  $n$ -generic and  $B \leq_T \emptyset^{(n-1)}$  is bi-immune, then  $G \oplus B$  computes a Cohen  $n$ -generic.

**Proof.** Given a c.e. set of strings  $V$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be set of all conditions  $(D, E)$  such that  $D \cap B$ , regarded as an element of  $2^{\min E}$ , belongs to  $V$ .

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Sigma_3^0$ . If  $G$  meets  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $G \cap B$  meets  $V$ .

If  $G$  avoids  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $G \cap B$  must avoid  $V$ . Indeed, suppose  $G$  avoids  $\mathcal{C}$  via  $(D, E)$ . Since  $B$  and  $\overline{B}$  are co-immune, they intersect  $E$  infinitely often, and so if  $D \cap B$  had an extension  $\tau$  in  $V$ , we could make a finite extension  $(D', E')$  of  $(D, E)$  so that  $D' \cap B = \tau$ .

**Proposition (Cholak, Dzhafarov, Hirst).** No Mathias  $n$ -generic  $m$ -computes a Cohen  $m$ -generic.

# Questions

Does every Mathias  $n$ -generic compute a Cohen  $n$ -generic?

Is there a form of van Lambalgen's theorem for Mathias generics?

What is the reverse mathematical content of the principle asserting the existence, for every  $X$ , of an  $n$ -generic set for  $X$ -computable Mathias forcing? It is  $\Pi_1^1$  conservative over  $\text{RCA}_0$ , how about over  $\text{B}\Sigma_2^0$ ?

Shore has asked whether there are any interesting degrees realizing properties of the form  $\mathbf{d}^j = (\mathbf{d}^k \vee \mathbf{0}^l)^m$ . The Cohen and Mathias generics realize two such properties. Do generics for other forcing notions realize other properties?

Thank you for your attention.