

## Program Correctness

Def: A program is **correct** if it produces the correct output for every possible input.

↳ by definition, we cannot prove a program w/ infinitely many valid inputs (e.g. the Euclidean Algorithm) is correct by simply trying test inputs.

↳ Even if there are only finitely many valid inputs, it may still be useful to prove the program correct (e.g. when the answers are not already known).

## Proving Programs Correct

I  $T \Rightarrow C$  "Partial Correctness"

If the program terminates  
Then the correct answer is produced

II  $V \Rightarrow T$  "Termination"

If the program receives valid input  
Then the program terminates

Def: The initial assertion is a list of properties specifying what constitutes valid input.

Def: The final assertion is a list of properties that the output of the program needs to have (this essentially determines what it means for the program to be correct)

Notation: Given  $p$  := initial assertion

$q$  := final assertion

&  $S$  := A portion of code

we write  $p\{S\}q$  to stand for " $S$  is partially correct with respect to the initial assertion  $p$  & final assertion  $q$ ."

## Rules of Inference

### program

$S = \{S_1; S_2\}$

the program  $S$  is made up of two program segments  $S_1$ , followed by  $S_2$

$$\frac{p\{S_1\}q \\ q\{S_2\}r}{\therefore p\{S\}r}$$

"Composition rule"

→ used to break up the burden of proving  $S$  is correct into two simpler tasks.

If condition Then

$S$

$$\frac{(p \wedge \text{condition})\{S\}q \\ (p \wedge \neg \text{condition}) \rightarrow q}{\therefore p\{\text{If condition Then } S\}q}$$

"Conditional Statements"

If condition Then  $(p \wedge \text{condition}) \{ S_1 \} q$   
 Else  $S_2$

$(p \wedge \neg \text{condition}) \{ S_2 \} q$

---

$\therefore p \{ \text{if condition then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \} q$

"if else statements"

## "Loop Invariants"

while condition

$S$

$$\frac{(p \wedge \text{condition}) \{ S \} p}{\therefore p \{ \text{while condition } S \} (\neg \text{condition} \wedge p)}$$


---

Note: to use these rules of inference, we must always prove partial correctness of some code segment

## Some Basic Examples

factorial( $n > 0$ ) initial assertion  
 if  $n=0$  then return 1  
 else return  $n \cdot \text{factorial}(n-1)$   
 {output is  $n!$ } final assertion

} we take the entire program as  $S$

I

We prove  $p \{ S \} q$

proof: We induct on  $n$

base case:  $n=0$  i.e. we prove

condition

$(\emptyset \wedge \overbrace{n=0}^{\sim}) \{ \text{If } n=0 \text{ Then return 1} \} \{ \text{output is } 0! \}$

but this is clear since  $0! = 1$

## Inductive Hypothesis:

$n = K \{ S \}$  output is  $K!$

## Induction Step:

Suppose  $n = K+1$  then  $(K+1)\text{factorial}(K)$   
is returned which equals  $(K+1) \cdot K! = (K+1)!$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}$   
by inductive  
hypothesis

This completes the proof of partial correctness  $\square$

Note: The above proof by induction of the statement

$n \geq 0 \{ S \} n!$  is basically the same as the  
proof using the "if-else" rule of inference

base case  $\rightarrow (n \geq 0 \wedge n=0) \{ \text{return } 1 \} n!$

inductive step  $\rightarrow \frac{(n \geq 0 \wedge n > 0) \{ \text{return } n \cdot \text{factorial}(n-1) \} n!}{\therefore n \geq 0 \{ S \} n!} \leftarrow \text{partial correctness}$

II

$n \geq 0 \Rightarrow S \text{ terminates}$

proof: we induct on  $n$

base case:  $n=0$  in this case, the code immediately  
returns 1

Inductive Hypothesis:  $S$  terminates with input  $K$

Inductive Step: when S is run with input  $k+1$   
 the program immediately returns  $\underbrace{(k+1) \text{ factorial}(k)}$   
 terminates  
 by IH

Thus we have established "Termination"  $\square$

$\Rightarrow$  We have proven the recursive factorial function above is correct.

Exercise: Prove correctness of the following program

$\text{power}(a \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}; n > 0)$

If  $n=0$  Then return 1

Else return  $a \cdot \text{power}(a, n-1)$

{output is  $a^n$ }

## Iterative Factorial Correctness Proof

$S_1 \{ i := 1$   
 $\text{factorial} := 1$   
 $\text{while } i < n$

$S_2 \{$   
 $i := i + 1$   
 $\text{factorial} := \text{factorial} \cdot i$   
 $\text{return factorial}$

### Loop Invariant

$P := \text{"factorial} = i! \& i \leq n"$

proof:  $P \& i < n$  implies  
 $i_{\text{new}} = i + 1 \leq n$  ( $=$  half of  $P$ )  
 $\text{factorial}_{\text{new}} = \text{factorial} \cdot (i_{\text{new}})$   
 $= i!(i+1) = (i+1)!$   
 Other half of  $P$

$$(p \wedge i < n) \{ S_2 \} p$$

$$\cdot p \{ \text{while } i < n \, S_2 \} (\neg(i < n) \wedge p)$$

clearly,  $(n \geq 1) \{ S_1 \} p$

So we have  $(n \geq 1) \{ S_1 \} p$

$$(p \wedge i < n) \{ S_2 \} p$$

$$\cdot p \{ \text{while } i < n \, S_2 \} (\neg(i < n) \wedge p)$$

which shows  $(n \geq 1) \{ S_1 ; S_2 \} \text{ factorial} = n!$

Hence we have established partial correctness **I**

For **II** we note that  $i := 1$  in  $S_1$  so after  $n-1$  iterations of the while loop,  $i$  will be given the value  $n \Rightarrow$  while loop does not execute again. At this point the program returns  $\Rightarrow$  the program always terminates.

# The Euclidean Algorithm

Recall: (lesson 15)

Def: the Greatest Common Divisor of  $a, b$  is a positive integer  $d$  with the following properties

final assertions

$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & d \mid a \quad (\text{i.e. } d \in \text{Div}(a)) \\ \text{(ii)} & d \mid b \quad (\text{i.e. } d \in \text{Div}(b)) \\ \text{(iii)} & \forall e \text{ satisfying (i) \& (ii)} \\ & d \geq e \end{array} \right.$

initial assertion

(i.e.  $d \in \max\{\text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(b)\}$ )

Claim:  $a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\} \Rightarrow \gcd(a, b)$  exists & is unique

Proof:

Existence:  $\exists x \forall x \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow 1 \in \text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(b) \neq \emptyset$

Note: Every finite subset of the naturals has a largest element.

Uniqueness:  $\mathbb{N}$  is totally ordered

(in particular, if  $a \leq b$  &  $b \leq a$  then  $a = b$ )

Suppose  $c, d$  both satisfy (i), (ii) & (iii)

$c$  satisfies (i) & (ii)  $\Rightarrow c \leq d$  because  $d \nmid c$  (iii)

$d$  satisfies (i) & (ii)  $\Rightarrow d \leq c$  because  $c$  " (iii)

it follows that  $c = d$  (any two things with the properties of a gcd must be equal so there is a unique such thing when one exists)  $\square$

Lemma 0  $a = 0 \Rightarrow \gcd(a, b) = b$

Proof:  $\forall x, x \mid 0 \Rightarrow b \mid 0 \& b = \max \{ \text{Div}(b) \}$   $\square$   
 $(D \mid v(b) = \forall) \quad (D \mid v(a) \wedge D \mid v(b) = D \mid v(a))$

Lemma 1:  $b = qa + r, r < b \Rightarrow \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, r)$

Proof: Lesson 15 - Idea:  $\text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(b) = \text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(r) \quad \square$

### Recursive Euclidean Algorithm

This is the "Repeated Division Algorithm" version from lesson 15

$\gcd(a, b)$   $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \& a < b$

If  $a = 0$  Then return  $b$

Else return  $\gcd(a, b \bmod a)$

{output satisfies (i), (ii) & (iii)}

division  
algorithm

Claim: The above program is correct

"Inductive" Proof:  $pSg$

Case:  $a=0$

case:  $a>0$

Since  $b \bmod a < b$   
one input is eventually  
zero reducing the  
problem to the above  
case

Lemma 0

Lemma 1

If Then Else proof:

If  $a=0$  Then  $S_1 g$

Else  $S_2 g$

Iterative Euclidean Algorithm (division is repeated subtraction)

$x := a$

$y := b$

while  $x \neq y$  do

$\{$  if  $x < y$  then

$y = y - x$

else

$x = x - y$

Repeated Division Algorithm

We repeatedly  
subtract the smaller  
of  $x$  &  $y$  from the  
larger. It will often  
happen that the larger  
number flips between  
 $x$  &  $y$  (possibly many  
times) but we don't  
stop until  $x = y$   
at which point their  
shared value is  $\gcd(a, b)$

P - loop invariant - is the statement that

$$\text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(b) = \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y)$$

initial assertion :=  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ ,  $a < b$

before entering the loop we have  $x=a$  &  $y=b$

So clearly  $\text{Div}(a) \cap \text{Div}(b) = \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y)$

Moreover, the initial assertion  $\Rightarrow x=a \neq b=y$

Then, each iteration of the loop replaces  $x$  xor  $y$  by a difference of  $x \& y$  So to prove  $P$  is actually a loop invariant, we must show

$$\text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y) = \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y-x)$$

proof:

$\subseteq$ : let  $z \in \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y)$ , then by definition

$$z|x \wedge z|y \Leftrightarrow \exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$uz=x \wedge vz=y \text{ hence}$$

$$(v-u)z = vz - uz = y - x \Leftrightarrow z|y-x$$

$$\text{thus } z \in \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y-x)$$

$\supseteq$ : let  $w \in \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y-x)$ , then by definition  $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $sw=x$  &  $tw=y-x$  thus  $(s+t)w = y-x+x=y$  so  $w \in \text{Div}(y) \Rightarrow w \in \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y)$   $\square$

$$\frac{(p \wedge x \neq y) \{ S \} p}{\therefore p \{ \text{while } x \neq y \text{ S} \} (x = y \wedge p)}$$

So the above program is partially correct

Since  $x = y \Rightarrow \text{Div}(x) \cap \text{Div}(y) = \text{Div}(x)$

&  $\max\{\text{Div}(X)\} = X$ .

Termination: Consider the value  $K = x + y$ . Every iteration of the loop reduces the value of  $K$  by at least 1 so the program terminates in fewer than  $K$  iterations.