Gronwall's Inequality

JWR

January 10, 2006

Our purpose is to derive the usual Gronwall Inequality from the following

Abstract Gronwall Inequality

Let M be a topological space which also has a partial order which is sequentially closed in $M \times M$. Suppose that a map $\Gamma : M \to M$ preserves the order relation and has an attractive fixed point v. Then

$$u \leq \Gamma(u) \implies u \leq v$$

Proof. Assume $u \leq \Gamma(u)$. Since Γ preserves the order relation we get $u \leq \Gamma^n(u)$ by induction. Since v is an attractive fixed point we have $v = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Gamma^n(u)$. Since the order relation is sequentially closed, we conclude $u \leq v$ as required. \Box

Assume that the continuous functions $u,\kappa:[0,T]\to [0,\infty)$ and K>0 satisfy

$$u(t) \le K + \int_0^t \kappa(s)u(s) \, ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then the usual Gronwall inequality is

$$u(t) \le K \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(s) \, ds\right). \tag{1}$$

The usual proof is as follows. The hypothesis is

$$\frac{u(s)}{K + \int_0^s \kappa(r) u(r) \, dr} \le 1$$

Multiply this by $\kappa(s)$ to get

$$\frac{d}{ds}\ln\left(K+\int_0^s\kappa(r)u(r)\,dr\right)\leq\kappa(s)$$

Integrate from s = 0 to s = t, and exponentiate to obtain

$$K + \int_0^t \kappa(r)u(r) \, dr \le K \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(s) \, ds\right).$$

By hypothesis, the left side is $\geq u(t)$.

We now show how to derive the usual Gronwall inequality from the abstract Gronwall inequality. For $v : [0, T] \to [0, \infty)$ define $\Gamma(v)$ by

$$\Gamma(v)(t) = K + \int_0^t \kappa(s)v(s) \, ds.$$
⁽²⁾

In this notation, the hypothesis of Gronwall's inequality is $u \leq \Gamma(u)$ where $v \leq w$ means $v(t) \leq w(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\kappa(t) \geq 0$ we have

$$v \le w \implies \Gamma(v) \le \Gamma(w).$$

Hence iterating the hypothesis of Gronwall's inequality gives

$$u \le \Gamma^n(u)$$

Now change the dummy variable in (2) from s to s_1 and apply the inequality $u(s_1) \leq \Gamma(u)(s_1)$ to obtain

$$\Gamma^{2}(u)(t) = K + \int_{0}^{t} \kappa(s_{1}) K \, ds_{1} + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \kappa(s_{1}) \kappa(s_{2}) u(s_{2}) \, ds_{2} \, ds_{1}$$

More generally, by induction we have

$$\Gamma^{n}(u) = K \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} G_{j}(t) + E_{n}(t)$$

where

$$G_j(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_{j-1}} \kappa(s_1) \cdots \kappa(s_j) \, ds_j \cdots ds_1$$

(with $G_0(t) = 1$) and

$$E_n(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \cdots \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \kappa(s_1) \cdots \kappa(s_n) u(s_n) \, ds_n \cdots ds_1$$

Now $G_j(t)$ is an integral over the *j*-simplex $0 \le s_j \le \cdots \le s_1 \le t$ and the integrand is symmetric under a permutation of the variables. Hence

$$G_j(t) = \frac{1}{j!} \int_0^t \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t \kappa(s_1) \cdots \kappa(s_j) \, ds_j \cdots ds_1 = \frac{1}{j!} \left(\int_0^t \kappa(s) \, ds \right)^j.$$

Also $|E_n(t)|$ is bounded by an *n*th power times the area 1/n! of the *n*-simplex. Hence the term $E_n(t)$ converges uniformly to zero and the series limits to the series for the exponential function.

The above argument shows Γ has an attractive fixed point so we can also prove the Gronwall inequality by solving $v = \Gamma(v)$; the solution is

$$v(t) = K \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(s) \, ds\right).$$

We use this approach to prove a more general form of Gronwall's inequality where the constant K is replaced by a continuous function $K : [0,T] \to [0,\infty)$. Namely, assume that

$$u(t) \le K(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(s)u(s) \, ds \tag{3}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. We prove that

$$u(t) \le K(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(s) K(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds. \tag{4}$$

The abstract Gronwall inequality applies much as before so to prove (4) we show that the solution of

$$v(t) = K(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(s)v(s) \, ds \tag{5}$$

 \mathbf{is}

$$v(t) = K(t) + \int_0^t K(s)\kappa(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds \tag{6}$$

Equation (5) implies $\dot{v} = \dot{K} + \kappa v$. By variation of constants we seek a solution in the form

$$v(t) = C(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) dr\right).$$

Plugging into $\dot{v} = \dot{K} + \kappa v$ gives

$$\dot{C}(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) = \dot{K}(t)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$C(t) = C(0) + \int_0^t \dot{K}(s) \exp\left(-\int_0^s \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$v(t) = C(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) + \int_0^t \dot{K}(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds$$

Equation (5) requires v(0) = K(0) so

$$C(0) = K(0).$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\int_0^t \dot{K}(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds = K(t) - K(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) + \int_0^t K(s) \kappa(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds$$

Combining the last three displayed equations give (6).

Here is the proof of (4) sketched in Exercise 1 Chapter 1 of [1]. Define

$$R(t) := \int_0^t \kappa(r) u(r) \, dr.$$

Then the derivative R^\prime satisfies

$$R'(s) - k(s)R(s) = \kappa(s)(u(s) - R(s)) \le \kappa(s)K(s).$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{ds} R(s) \exp\left(\int_{s}^{t} \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \le \kappa(s) K(s) \exp\left(\int_{s}^{t} \kappa(r) \, dr\right)$$

so integrating gives

$$R(t) = R(t) - R(0) \le \int_0^t \kappa(s) K(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds.$$

Now add K(t) to both sides and use the hypothesis $u(t) \leq K(t) + R(t)$.

If K(t) is a constant, the right hand side of (4) reduces to the right hand side of (1). This follows on taking K(t) constant in the fixed point equation $v = K + \int \kappa v$, but here's a direct proof.

$$K + \int_0^t \kappa(s) K \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds$$

= $K - K \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \exp\left(\int_s^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right) \, ds$
= $K - K \left(\exp(0) - \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right)\right)$
= $K \exp\left(\int_0^t \kappa(r) \, dr\right).$

References

 E. Coddington & N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill, 1955.