# The Uniformization Theorem

#### JWR

Tuesday December 11, 2001, 9:03 AM

The proof given here is a loose translation of [3]. There is another proof of the Uniformization Theorem in [2] where it is called the *Riemann Mapping Theorem*.

## **1** Harmonic functions

**1.1.** Throughout this section X denotes a connected Riemann surface, possibly noncompact. The open unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{D}$ . A **conformal disk** in X centered at  $p \in X$  is a pair (z, D) where z is a holomorphic coordinate on X whose image contains the closed disk of radius r about the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ , z(p) = 0, and

$$D = \{ q \in X : |z(q)| < r \}.$$

For a conformal disk (z, D) we abbreviate the average value of a function u on the boundary of D by by

$$M(u,z,\partial D):=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}v(re^{i\theta})\,d\theta,\qquad v(z(q))=u(q).$$

**1.2.** The **Poisson kernel** is the function  $P : \mathbb{D} \times \partial \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$P(z,\zeta) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{|\zeta|^2 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}.$$

The unique solution of Dirichlet's problem

$$\Delta u = 0, \qquad u | \partial \mathbb{D} = \phi$$

where  $\phi \in C^0(\partial \mathbb{D})$  on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  is given by the **Poisson integral** formula

$$u(z) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} P(z, e^{i\theta}) \phi(e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta.$$

(See [1] page 13 for the proof.) For a conformal disk (z, D) in a Riemann surface X and a continuous function  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $u_D$  the unique continuous function which agrees with u on  $X \setminus D$  and is harmonic in D. (It is given by reading  $u | \partial D$  for  $\phi$  in the Poisson integral formula.)

**1.3.** The **Hodge star operator** on Riemann surface X is the operation which assigns to each 1-form  $\omega$  the 1-form  $*\omega$  defined by

$$(*\omega)(\xi) = -\omega(i\xi)$$

for each tangent vector  $\xi$ . If z is a holomorphic coordinate on X a real valued 1-form has the form

$$\omega = a \, dx + b \, dy$$

where a and b are real valued functions,  $x = \Re(z)$ , and  $y = \Im(z)$ ; the form  $*\omega$  is then given by

$$*\omega = -b\,dx + a\,dy.$$

A function u is called **harmonic** iff it is  $C^2$  and \*du is closed, i.e. d\*du = 0. In terms of the coordinate z we have

$$d*du = (\Delta u) \, dx \wedge dy, \qquad \Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}.$$

Note that the operator  $\Delta$  is not intrinsic, i.e.  $\Delta u$  depends on the choice of holomorphic coordinate. (However the operator d\*d is independent of the choice of coordinate and hence also the property of being harmonic.)

**Theorem 1.4.** Let X a Riemann surface and  $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) *u* is harmonic.
- (ii) u satisfies mean value property *i.e.*  $u(p) = M(u, z, \partial D)$  for every conformal disk (D, z) centered at p;
- (iii) *u* is locally the real part of a holomorphic function.

*Proof.* It is enough to prove this for an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . That (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) follows from the Poisson integral formula, namely

$$u(0) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} P(0, e^{i\theta}) \phi(e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta = M(u, \mathrm{id}, \partial \mathbb{D}).$$

The general case follows by the change a change of variables  $z \mapsto az+b$ . Note that the mean value property implies the **maximum principle**: the function u has no strict maximum (or minimum) on any open set. A function which is continuous on the closure of D and satisfies the mean value property in D must therefore assume its maximum and minimum on the boundary of D. Hence (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) because  $u - u_D$  (see 1.2) satisfies the mean value property in D and vanishes on  $\partial D$  so  $u - u_D = 0$  on D so u is harmonic on X as D is arbitrary. For (i)  $\iff$  (iii) choose a conformal disk (z, D). The function u is harmonic if and only if the form \*du is closed. The equation \*du = dv encodes the Cauchy Riemann equations; it holds if and only if the function u + iv is holomorphic.

**Corollary 1.5.** The form \*du is exact if and only there is a holomorphic function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $u = \Re(f)$ .

**Theorem 1.6 (Removable Singularity Theorem).** If u is harmonic and bounded on the punctured disk, it extends to a harmonic function on the disk.

*Proof.* By shrinking the disk and subtracting the solution of the Dirichlet problem we may assume that u vanishes on  $\partial D$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  the harmonic function

$$v_{\varepsilon}(z) = u(z) + \varepsilon \log |z| - \varepsilon$$

is negative on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  and near 0 and thus negative on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Fix z and let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ; we conclude that  $u \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Similarly  $-u \leq 0$ .

**Remark 1.7.** Bôrcher's Theorem (see [1] page 50) says that a positive function which harmonic on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus 0$  has the form

$$u(z) = -b\log|z| + h(z)$$

where  $b \ge 0$  and h is harmonic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . This implies the Removable Singularity Theorem (add a constant). To prove Bôrcher's Theorem choose b so that  $*du + b \log |z|$  is exact. Hence assume w.l.o.g. that u is the real part of a holomorphic function with a possible singularity at the origin. However if the Laurent expansion for this function contains any negative powers of z its real part u will be unbounded in both directions. **Theorem 1.8 (Harnack's Principle).** A pointwise nondecreasing sequence of harmonic functions converges uniformly on compact sets either to  $\infty$  or to a harmonic function.

*Proof.* If we assume that the sequence converges uniformly, this follows from the characterization via the mean value property (Theorem 1.4). For the general argument see [1] page 49.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.9.** If a sequence of harmonic functions converges uniformly on compact subsets then the limit is harmonic and for k = 1, 2, ... the sequence converges in  $C^k$  (uniformly on compact subsets).

*Proof.* In each holomorphic disk (z, D) we have

$$\partial^{\kappa} u = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \partial^{\kappa} P_{\zeta} \cdot u(\zeta) d\theta$$

for each multi-index  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  where  $\zeta = re^{i\theta} = z(q), q \in \partial D$  (i.e. |z(q)| = r), and  $P_{\zeta}(z) = P(z, \zeta)$  is the Poisson kernel. (See [1] page 15.)

**Theorem 1.10 (Compactness Theorem).** A uniformly bounded sequence of harmonic functions contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact sets.

*Proof.* By the estimate in the proof of 1.9 the first derivatives of the sequence are uniformly bounded on any compact subset of any open disk on which the functions  $u_n$  are uniformly bounded. Use Arzela Ascoli and diagonalize over compact sets. (See [1] page 35.)

## 2 The Dirichlet Problem

Compare the following lemma and definition with Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then the following conditions are equivalent

 (i) The function u satisfies the following form of the maximum principle: For every connected open subset W ⊂ X and every harmonic function v on W either u-v is constant or else it does not assume its maximum in W;

- (ii) For every conformal disk (z, D) we have  $u \leq u_D$ ;
- (iii) u satisfies mean value inequality, i.e.  $u(p) \le M(u, z, \partial D)$  for every conformal disk (z, D) centered at p;

A function u which satisfies these conditions is called subharmonic. A function u is called superharmonic iff -u is subharmonic.

*Proof.* We prove (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Choose (z, D) and let  $v = u_D$ . Then  $u - u_D$  is zero on  $\partial D$  and thus either the constant 0 on D or else nowhere positive. In either case  $u \leq u_D$ .

We prove (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii). Choose (z, D) centered at p. Then by (ii) we have  $u(p) \le u_D(p) = M(u_D, z, \partial D) = M(u, z, \partial D)$ .

We prove (iii)  $\implies$  (i). Suppose that v is harmonic on a connected open subset  $W \subset X$  and that u - v assumes its maximum M at some point of W, i.e. that the set

$$W_M := \{ p \in W : u(p) - v(p) = M \}$$

is nonempty. We must show that u - v = M on all of W, i.e. that  $W_M = W$ . The set  $W_M$  is closed in W so it is enough to show that  $W_M$  is open. Choose  $p \in W_M$  and let (z, D) be a conformal disk centered at p. Then

$$M = (u - v)(p) \le M(u - v, z, \partial D) \le M.$$

But  $u - v \leq M$  so u - v = M on  $\partial D$ . By varying the radius of D we get that u - v = M near p.

**Corollary 2.2.** Subharmonic functions satisfy the following properties.

- (i) The max and sum of two subharmonic functions is subharmonic and a positive multiple of a subharmonic function is subharmonic.
- (ii) The subharmonic property is local: if  $X = X_1 \cap X_2$  where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are open and  $u \in C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$  is subharmonic on  $X_1$  and on  $X_2$ , then it is subharmonic on X.
- (iii) If u is subharmonic so is  $u_D$ .
- (iv) If  $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, positive and harmonic on an open set V, and vanishes on  $X \setminus V$ , then u is subharmonic on X.

Proof. Part (i) is immediate and part (ii) follows easily from part (i) of 2.1. For (iii) suppose that v is harmonic and  $u_D - v$  assumes its maximum M at p. Since  $u_D - v$  is harmonic in D it follows by the maximum principle that the maximum on D is assumed on  $\partial D$  so we may assume that  $p \in X \setminus D$ . But  $u = u_D$  on  $X \setminus D$  and  $u - v \leq u_D - v \leq M$  so u - v also assumes its maximum at p. Hence u - v = M and hence u is harmonic. For (iv) suppose u - v assumes its maximum at a point p and v is harmonic. We derive a contradiction. After subtracting a constant we may assume that this maximum is zero. Then  $u \leq v$  so  $0 \leq v$  on  $X \setminus V$  and  $0 < u \leq v$  on X. If  $p \notin X$  then v(p) = u(p) = 0 and v assumes its minimum at p which contradicts the fact that v is harmonic. If  $p \in X$  then u - v assumes its maximum at p and this contradicts the fact that u is subharmonic on V.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.3.** The theory of subharmonic functions works in all dimensions. In dimension one, condition (ii) of lemma 2.1 says that u is a convex function.

**Exercise 2.4.** A  $C^2$  function u defined on an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is subharmonic iff and only if  $\Delta u \geq 0$ .

**2.5.** A **Perron family** on a Riemann surface X is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions on X such that

- (P-1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonempty;
- (P-2) every  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  is subharmonic;
- (P-3) if  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ , then there exists  $w \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $w \ge \max(u, v)$ ;
- (P-4) for every conformal disk (z, D) in X and every  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $u_D \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (P-5) the function

$$u_{\mathcal{F}}(q) := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u(q)$$

is everywhere finite.

**Theorem 2.6 (Perron's Method).** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Perron family, then  $u_{\mathcal{F}}$  is harmonic.

*Proof.* Choose a conformal disk (z, D). Diagonalize on a countable dense subset to construct a sequence  $u_n$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  which converges pointwise to  $u_{\mathcal{F}}$  on D on a dense set. By (P-3) choose  $w_n \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $w_1 = u_1$  and  $w_{n+1} \geq$   $\max(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ . The new sequence is pointwise monotonically increasing. By (P-2) and (P-4) we may assume that each  $w_n$  is harmonic on D. Then  $u_{\mathcal{F}}$  is harmonic in D by Harnack's Principle and (P-4).

**2.7.** Assume  $Y \subseteq X$  open with  $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$ . Define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\phi} = \{ u \in C^{0}(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{R}) : u \text{ subharmonic on } Y, \ u \leq \sup_{\partial Y} \phi, \ u | \partial Y \leq \phi \}$$

and

$$u_{\phi}(q) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}_{\phi}} u(q).$$

**Lemma 2.8.** If  $\phi : \partial Y \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and bounded then the family  $\mathcal{F}_{\phi}$  is a Perron family so  $u_{\phi}$  is harmonic on Y.

*Proof.* Maximum principle.

**2.9.** A barrier function at  $p \in \partial Y$  is a function  $\beta$  defined in a neighborhood U of p which is continuous on the closure  $\overline{Y \cap U}$  of  $Y \cap U$ , superharmonic on  $Y \cap U$ , such that  $\beta(p) = 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  on  $\overline{Y \cap U} \setminus \{p\}$ . A point  $p \in \partial Y$  is called **regular** iff there is a barrier function at p

**Lemma 2.10.** If  $\partial Y$  is a  $C^1$  submanifold, it is regular at each of its points.

*Proof.* Suppose w.l.o.g that  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}$  and that  $\partial Y$  is transverse to the real axis at 0, and that Y lies to the right. Then

$$\beta(z) = \sqrt{r}\cos(\theta/2) = \Re(\sqrt{z}), \qquad z = re^{i\theta}$$

is a barrier function at 0.

**Lemma 2.11.** If p is regular, then  $\lim_{y\to p} u_{\phi}(y) = \phi(p)$ .

*Proof.* The idea is that  $u_{\phi}(p) \leq \phi(p)$  and if we had strict inequality we could make  $u_{\phi}$  bigger by adding  $\varepsilon - \beta$ . See [1] page 203.

**Corollary 2.12.** If  $\partial Y$  is a  $C^1$  submanifold of X then  $u_{\phi}$  solves the Dirichlet problem with boundary condition  $\phi$ , i.e. it extends to a continuous function on  $Y \cup \partial Y$  which agrees with  $\phi$  on  $\partial Y$ .

### **3** Green Functions

**Definition 3.1.** Let X be a Riemann surface and  $p \in X$ . A **Green function** at p is a function  $g: X \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (G-1) g is harmonic;
- (G-2) for some (and hence every) holomorphic coordinate z centered at p the function  $g(z) + \log(z)$  is harmonic near p;
- (G-3) g > 0;

(G-4) if  $g': X \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (G-1),(G-2),(G-3) then  $g \leq g'$ .

Condition (G-4) implies that the Green function at p is unique (if it exists) so we denote it by  $g_p$ . Warning: When X is the interior of a manifold with boundary, the Green function defined here differs from the usual Green's function by a factor of  $-1/(2\pi)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A Riemann surface X is called **elliptic** iff it is compact, hyperbolic iff it admits a nonconstant negative subharmonic function, and **parabolic** otherwise. By the maximum principle for subharmonic functions (in the elliptic case) and definition (in the parabolic case) a nonhyperbolic surface admits no nonconstant negative subharmonic function. In particular, it admits no nonconstant negative harmonic function and hence (add a constant) no nonconstant bounded harmonic function.

**Theorem 3.3.** For a Riemann surface the following are equivalent.

- (i) there is a Green function at every point;
- (ii) there is a Green function at some point;
- (iii) X is hyperbolic;
- (iv) for each compact set K ⊂ X such that ∂K smooth and W := X \ K is connected, there is a continuous function ω : W ∪ ∂W → ℝ such that ω ≡ 1 on ∂K = ∂W and on W we have both that 0 < ω < 1 and that ω is harmonic on W.

Proof. That (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is obvious; we prove (ii)  $\implies$  (iii). Suppose  $g_p$  is a Green function at p. Then  $u = \max(-2, -g_p)$  is negative and subharmonic. Now u = -2 near p, so either u is nonconstant or else  $-g_p \leq -2$  everywhere. The latter case is excluded, since otherwise  $g' = g_p - 1$  would satisfy (G-1), (G-2), (G-3) but not (G-4).

We prove (iii)  $\implies$  (iv). Assume X is hyperbolic. Then there is a superharmonic  $u: X \to \mathbb{R}$  which is nonconstant and everywhere positive. Choose a compact K K and let  $W = X \setminus K$ . After rescaling we may assume that  $\min_K u = 1$ . By the Maximum principle (for -u) and the fact that u is not constant there are points (necessarily in W) where u < 1 so after replacing u by  $\min(1, u)$  we may assume that  $u \equiv 1$  on K. The family

$$\mathcal{F}_K = \{ v \in C^0(W \cup \partial W, \mathbb{R}) : v \le u \text{ and } v \text{ subharmonic on } W \}$$

is a Perron family: (P-1)  $\mathcal{F}_K \neq \emptyset$  as the restriction of -u to  $X \setminus K$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_K$ ; (P-2)  $v \in \mathcal{F}_K \implies v$  subharmonic by definition; (P-3)  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{F}_K \implies \max(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ ; (P-4)  $v \in \mathcal{F}_K$  and D a conformal disk in  $X \setminus K$  implies that  $v_D \leq u_D \leq u$  as  $v \leq u$  on  $\partial D$  and u is superharmonic; and (P-5) the function  $\omega := \sup_{v \in \mathcal{F}} v$  is finite as  $v \in \mathcal{F} \implies v \leq u$ . It remains to show that  $0 < \omega < 1$  on W and  $\omega = 1$  on  $\partial W$ . Suppose  $Y \subset X$  is open, with  $\partial Y$  smooth and  $Y \cup \partial Y$  compact, and  $\partial K \subset \partial Y$ . Let w be the solution of the Dirichlet problem with w = 1 on  $\partial K$  and w = 0 on  $(\partial Y) \setminus (\partial K)$ . Extend w by zero on  $W \setminus Y$ . The extended function w is subharmonic by Corollary 2.2 part (iv). Thus  $w_Y - u$  is subharmonic and  $\leq 0$  on  $X \setminus Y$  and on  $\partial Y$ . Hence  $w_Y | W \in \mathcal{F}$ . As the sets Y exhaust X and w > 0 on Y it follows that  $\omega$  satisfies  $0 < \omega$  on W and  $\omega = 1$  on  $\partial W$ . Since  $\partial W$  is smooth it follows that  $\omega \leq u < 1$  on Y so  $\omega$  satisfies (iv).

We prove  $(iv) \Longrightarrow (i)$ . Choose  $p \in X$  and a conformal disk (z, D) centered at p. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all continuous functions  $v : X \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $supp(v) \cup \{p\}$  is compact;
- (b) v is subharmonic on  $X \setminus \{p\}$ , and
- (c)  $v + \log |z|$  extends to a subharmonic on function on D.

We show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Perron family. The set  $\mathcal{F}$  is not empty since it contains the function  $-\ln |z|$  (extended by 0). Properties (i-iii) in 2.5 are immediate.

It remains to show (iv), i.e. that  $u_{\mathcal{F}}$  is finite. For  $0 < r \leq 1$  let

$$K_r = \{q \in D : z(q) \le r\},\$$

define  $\omega_r$  as in (iv) reading  $K_r$  for K and  $\omega_r$  for  $\omega$ , and let

$$\lambda_r = \max_{|z|=1} \omega_r.$$

We will show that for  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$v \le \frac{\log r}{\lambda_r - 1} \tag{(*)}$$

 $X \setminus K_r$  and this shows that  $u_{\mathcal{F}} < \infty$  on  $X \setminus \{p\} = \bigcup_{r>0} X \setminus K_r$ . Choose  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $c_r = \max_{|z|=r} v$ . The function  $v + \log |z|$  is subharmonic so its maximum on  $K_1$  must occur on  $\partial K_1$ , i.e.

$$c_r + \log r \le c_1.$$

But  $c_r \omega - v \ge 0$  on  $\partial K_r$  and off the support of v so  $v \le c_r \omega$  on  $X \setminus K_r$  and hence

$$c_1 \le c_r \lambda_r.$$

It follows that

$$c_r \le \frac{\log r}{\lambda_r - 1}$$

i.e. that (\*) holds on  $\partial K_r$ . But v has compact support so (\*) holds on  $X \setminus K_r$ . The desired Green function is

$$g_p = u_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

From 2.6 we conclude that  $g_p$  is harmonic on  $X \setminus \{p\}$  and hence that  $g_p + \ln |z|$  is harmonic on  $D \setminus \{p\}$ . From (\*) we conclude that the inequality

$$|v| + \log |z| \le \frac{\log r}{\lambda_r - 1} + \log r$$

holds on  $\partial K_r$  and hence (as the left hand side is subharmonic) on  $K_r$ . Thus the function  $g_p + \ln |z|$  is bounded on D and therefore harmonic on D by the Removable Singularity Theorem 1.6. Moreover  $g_p > 0$  because  $g_p \ge 0$ and  $g_p$  is nonconstant. Suppose g' also satisfies these properties; we must show  $g_p \le g'$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  then v - g' is subharmonic on  $X \setminus p$  (because v is) and on D (because it equals  $(v + \ln |z|) - (g' + \ln |z|)$ ) and hence on all of X. But v - g' < 0 off the support of v and hence v < g' everywhere. Thus  $g = u_{\mathcal{F}} \le g'$ .

#### 4 Nonhyperbolic surfaces

**Theorem 4.1 (Extension Theorem).** Assume X is a nonhyperbolic connected Riemann surface. Suppose  $p \in X$  and that f is a holomorphic function defined on  $D \setminus \{p\}$  where (z, D) is a conformal disk centered at  $p \in X$ . Then there is a unique harmonic function  $u : X \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$  bounded in the complement of any neighborhood of p such that  $u - \Re(f)$  is harmonic in D and vanishes at p.

**Remark 4.2.** Suppose that  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , that p = 0, and that the function f has a Laurent expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

valid in 0 < |z| < 1. The function u is given by  $u = \Re(w)$  where

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} c_n z^n.$$

The latter series converges for all  $z \neq 0$ .

Proof of 4.1. The proof of uniqueness is easy. If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are two functions as in the theorem, then  $u_1 - u_2$  is bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p (as  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are) and near p (as  $u_1 - u_2 = (u_1 - \Re(f)) - (u_2 - \Re(f))$  and is thus bounded, hence constant (as X is nonhyperbolic) hence zero (as it vanishes at p). For existence we need two preliminary lemmas. By the definition of conformal disk the open set z(D) contains the closed unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ ; for  $r \leq 1$  let

$$D_r = \{ q \in D : |z(q)| < r \}.$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Stoke's Theorem if X is compact.

**Lemma 4.3.** If r < 1 and u is harmonic and bounded on  $X \setminus D_r$ , then

$$\int_{\partial D} *du = 0.$$

Proof. By adding a large positive constant we may assume w.l.o.g. that u is nonnegative on  $\partial D_r$ . Choose an increasing sequence of open subsets  $X_n \subset X$ such that  $X_n \cup \partial X_n$  is compact,  $\partial X_n$  is smooth, and the closure of D is a subset of  $X_n$ . Let  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  be the solutions of the Dirichlet problem on  $X_n \setminus D_r$  with boundary conditions  $u_n = v_n = 0$  on  $\partial X_n$ ,  $u_n = u$  on  $\partial D_r$ and  $v_n = 1$  on  $\partial D_r$ . By the maximum principle we have that  $0 \leq u_n \leq$  $u_m \leq \max_{\partial D} u$  and  $0 \leq v_n \leq v_m \leq 1$  on  $X_n$  for  $m \geq n$ . Hence by Harnack and 1.9  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  converge in  $C^k$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $X \setminus D$  (in fact on compact subsets of the complement of the closure of  $D_r$ ). Moreover  $\lim_n v_n = 1$  on  $\partial D$  and  $\lim_n v_n \leq 1$  on  $X \setminus D$  so we must have  $\lim_n v_n = 1$  on  $X \setminus D$  by (iv) of Corollary 2.2 and the fact that X is nonhyperbolic. Hence

$$\int_{\partial D} *du = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\partial D} v_n * du_n - u_n * dv_n$$

But  $u_n = v_n = 0$  on  $\partial X_n$  on  $\partial D$  so this may be written

$$\int_{\partial D} *du = -\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\partial (X_n \setminus D)} v_n *du_n - u_n *dv_n.$$

Now by Stokes

$$\int_{\partial(X_n\setminus D)} v_n * du_n - u_n * dv_n = \int_{X_n\setminus D} v_n \,\Delta u_n - u_n \,\Delta v_n = 0.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** For  $0 < \rho < 1$  and let  $u_{\rho}$  be the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $X \setminus D_{\rho}$  with  $u = \Re(f)$  on  $\partial D_{\rho}$ . Then for 0 < r < 1/20 there is a constant c(r) such that for  $0 < \rho < r$  we have

$$\max_{\partial D_r} |u_{\rho}| \le c(r).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3 the 1-form  $* du_{\rho}$  is exact on on the interior of  $D \setminus D_{\rho}$  so there is a holomorphic function  $F_{\rho}$  with  $u_{\rho} = \Re(F_{\rho})$ . The function  $F_{\rho} - f$  has a Laurent expansion about 0; its real part is

$$(u_{\rho} - \Re f)(te^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos(n\theta) + \beta_n \sin(n\theta)t^n)$$

valid for  $\rho \leq t \leq 1$ . (The coefficients  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  depend on  $\rho$ .) Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi}(u_{\rho} - \Re f)(te^{i\theta}\cos(k\theta)\,d\theta = \alpha_k t^k + \alpha_{-k}t^{-k}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\pi}(u_{\rho} - \Re f)(te^{i\theta}\sin(k\theta)\,d\theta = \beta_k t^k + \beta_{-k}t^{-k}.$$

For  $t = \rho$  the integrand vanishes so

$$\alpha_{-k}(\rho) = -\alpha_k(\rho)\rho^{2k}, \qquad \beta_{-k}(\rho) = -\beta_k(\rho)\rho^{2k}.$$
 (1)

For t = 1 we have

$$|\alpha_k|(1-\rho^{2k}) = |\alpha_k + \alpha_{-k}| \le 2M_{\rho}, \qquad |\beta_k|(1-\rho^{2k}) = |\beta_k + \beta_{-k}| \le 2M_{\rho}$$

where

$$M_{\rho} = \max_{|z|=1} |u_{\rho}| + \max_{|z|=1} |\Re(f)|;$$

Hence for  $\rho < 1/2$  we have  $|\alpha_k|, |\beta_k| \le 4M_{\rho}$  so

$$\max_{|z|=r} |u_{\rho}| \le \max_{|z|=r} |\Re(f)| + 4M_{\rho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n + \rho^{2n} r^{-n}.$$

Since  $\rho < r$  sum on the right is less than  $2\sum r^n = 1/(1-r)$  so we get

$$\max_{|z|=r} |u_{\rho}| \le \max_{|z|=r} |\Re(f)| + \frac{8M_{\rho}}{1-r}.$$
(2)

The function  $u_{\rho}$  is harmonic and bounded on  $X \setminus D_{\rho}$  so we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |u_{\rho}| \le \max_{|z|=r} |\Re(f)| + \frac{8M_{\rho}}{1-r}.$$

and hence

$$M_{\rho} \le \max_{|z|=1} |\Re(f)| + \max_{|z|=r} |\Re(f)| + \frac{8M_{\rho}}{1-r}$$

Since 8/(1-r) < 1/2 this gives the bound

$$M_{\rho} \le 2\left(\max_{|z|=1} |\Re(f)| + \max_{|z|=r} |\Re(f)\right)$$

on  $M_{\rho}$  independent of  $\rho < r$ . hence a bound of  $|u_{\rho}|$  on |z| = 1 (i.e.  $\partial D$ ), and hence a bound on  $|u_{\rho}|$  on  $X \setminus D$ .

We complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $r_n = 1/(21n)$  so that  $1/20 > r_1 > r_2 > \cdots$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = 0$ . Let  $u_k = u_{\rho_k}$  where  $\rho_k = r_k/2$ . By the Compactness Theorem 1.10 and the fact that  $|u_k| < c(r_1)$  for  $\rho_k < r_1$  there is a subsequence of the  $u_k$  (still denoted by  $u_k$ ) converging uniformly to a harmonic function u on  $X \setminus D_{r_1}$ . For the same reason there is a subsequence converging uniformly on  $X \setminus D_{r_2}$ , and a subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets to a harmonic function u on  $X \setminus \{p\}$ .

#### 5 Maps to $\mathbb{P}$

The material in this section is not required for the proof of the Uniformization Theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let X be a Riemann surface,  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \in X$  be distinct, and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then there is a meromorphic function f (i.e. a holomorphic map  $f : X \to \mathbb{P}$ ) with  $f(p_j) = a_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

*Proof.* First suppose n = 2,  $a_1 = \infty$ , and  $a_2 = 0$  and Then choose holomorphic coordinates  $z_j$  centered at  $p_j$ . In case that X is nonhyperbolic there are functions  $u_j : X \setminus \{p_j\} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $u_j - \Re(1/z_j)$  bounded and harmonic. In case that X is hyperbolic there are functions  $u_j : X \setminus \{p_j\} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $u_j - \log |z_j|$  bounded and harmonic. In either case by the Cauchy Riemann equations the function

$$f(z) = \frac{u_{1x} - iu_{1y}}{u_{2x} - iu_{2y}}$$

locally a ratio of two holomorphic differentials and is independent of the choice of local coordinates z = x + iy used to defined it. (Note: No need to assume X is simply connected.) Now  $g_{12} = f/(f+1)$  takes the value 1 at  $p_1$  and 0 at  $p_2$ . For general n the function  $h_j = \prod_{k \neq j} g_{kj}$  satisfies  $h_j(p_k) = \delta_{jk}$ . Take  $f = \sum_j a_j h_j$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let X be a compact Riemann surface and  $p \in X$ . Then there is a meromorphic function  $F : X \to \mathbb{P}$  having p as its only pole.

*Proof.* Let g be the genus of X so that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(X,\mathbb{R}) = 2g$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2g + 1$  let  $u_k$  be the harmonic function on  $X \setminus \{p\}$  given by Theorem 4.1 with  $f = 1/z^k$ , i.e.  $u_k$  is harmonic on  $X \setminus \{p\}$  and  $u_k - \Re(1/z_k)$  is

harmonic near p. Then  $*du_k$  is a closed 1-form on X so some nontrivial linear combination is exact; i.e.  $dv = \sum_k a_k *du_k$ . The function v is the imaginary part of a holomophic function F = u + iv whose real part  $\Re(F) = \sum_k a_k u_k$  is bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p. Thus p is the only pole of F.

# 6 The Uniformization Theorem

**Theorem 6.1 (Uniformization Theorem).** Suppose that X is connected and simply connected. Then

- 1. if X is elliptic, it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ;
- 2. if X hyperbolic, it is isomorphic to the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ ;
- 3. if X is parabolic, it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 6.2.** A holomorphic function F on X is called a **holomorphic** Green function at the point  $p \in X$  iff

$$|F| = e^{-g_p}$$

where  $g_p$  is the Green function for X at p.

**Lemma 6.3.** Assume X is simply connected and hyperbolic and  $p \in X$ . Then there is a holomorphic Green function F at p.

Proof. Choose a holomorphic coordinate z = x + iy centered at p and let h be a holomorphic function defined near p with  $\Re(h) = g_p + \log |z|$ . Let  $F_p = e^{-h}z$ . Then F is holomorphic and  $\log |F| = -\Re(h) + \log |z| = -g_p$ . Now the condition  $g_p = -\log |F|$  defines a holomorphic function F (unique up to a multiplicative constant) in a neighborhood of any point other than p so F extends to X by analytic continuation.

**Lemma 6.4.** Let F be holomorphic Green function p. Then

- (i) F is holomorphic;
- (ii) F has a simple zero at p;
- (iii) F has no other zero;

(iv)  $F: X \to \mathbb{D};$ 

(v) If F' satisfies (i-iv) then  $|F'| \leq |F|$ .

By (v) the holomorphic Green function at p is unique up to a multiplicative constant of absolute value one.

*Proof.* Since  $F_p = e^{-h}z$  the function F has a simple zero at p. Since  $g_p > 0$  we have that  $F: X \to \mathbb{D}$  and F has no other zero.

Lemma 6.5. A holomorphic Green function is injective.

*Proof.* Choose  $q \in X$  and let

$$\phi(r) = \frac{F_p(q) - F_p(r)}{1 - \bar{F}_p(q)F_p(r)}.$$

Then  $\phi$  is the composition of  $F_p$  with an automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  which maps  $F_p(q)$  to 0. Suppose that  $\phi$  has a zero of order n at a point q. Let  $u = -\log |\phi|/n$ . Let z be a holomorphic coordinate at centered at q. Then  $u + \log |z|$  is bounded near p and hence (by Bôcher) harmonic near p. The Green function  $g_q$  at q is defined by  $g_q = u_{\mathcal{F}}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all v of compact support, with v subharmonic on  $X \setminus \{q\}$  and  $v + \log |z|$  subharmonic near q. By the maximum principle, and because v has compact support we have  $v \leq u$  for  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $g_q \leq u$  so

$$|F_q(r)| \ge |\phi(r)|^{1/n} \ge |\phi(r)|.$$
 (#)

Since  $F_p(p) = 0$  we have  $\phi(p) = F_p(q)$  so  $F_q(p)| \ge |F_p(q)|$ . Reversing p and q gives  $|F_q(p)| = |F_p(q)|$ . By  $(\#) |F_q(r)/\phi(r)| \le 1$  with equality at r = p. Hence  $F_q = c\phi$  where c is a constant with |c| = 1. But  $f_q(r) \ne 0$  for  $r \ne q$  so  $\phi(r) \ne 0$  for  $r \ne q$  so  $F_p(r) \ne F_p(q)$  for  $r \ne q$ , i.e.  $F_p$  is injective.  $\Box$ 

The proof that X is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}$  now follows from the Riemann Mapping Theorem. However we can also prove that  $F_p$  is surjective as follows.

**Lemma 6.6.** Suppose W is a simply connected open subset of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $0 \in W$  but  $W \neq \mathbb{D}$ . Then there is a injective holomorphic map  $H: W \to \mathbb{D}$  with H(0) = 0 and |H'(0)| > 1.

*Proof.* Suppose  $a^2 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus W$ . Then the unction  $(z - a^2)/(1 - \bar{a}^2 z)$  is holomorphic and nonzero on W. Since W is simply connected this function has a square root, i.e. there is a holomorphic function h on W such that

$$h(z)^{2} = \frac{z - a^{2}}{1 - \bar{a}^{2}z}$$

and h(0) = ia. Consider the function  $H: W \to D$  defined by

$$H(z) = \frac{h - ia}{1 + iah}$$

Then  $H'(0) = (1 + |a|^2)/(2ia)$  so |H'(0)| > 1. This map is injective as  $H(z) = H(w) \implies h(z) = h(w) \implies h(z)^2 = h(w)^2 \implies \frac{z - a^2}{1 - \bar{a}^2 z} = \frac{w - a^2}{1 - \bar{a}^2 w} \implies z = w.$ 

Lemma 6.7. A holomorphic Green function is surjective.

*Proof.* Assume not. Read  $F_p(X)$  for W in Lemma 6.6. Note that both  $F_p$  and  $H \circ F_p$  has a simple zero at p. The function  $-\log |H \circ F_p|$  has all the properties of the Green function so

$$-\log|F_p| = g_p \le -\log|H \circ F_p|$$

by the minimality of the Green function. Hence  $|H \circ F_p| \le |F_p|$  so  $|H| \le |z|$ near zero contradicting |H'(0)| > 1.

This proves the Uniformization Theorem in the hyperbolic case. To prove the Uniformization Theorem in the nonhyperbolic case we introduce a class of functions to play the role of the holomorphic Green function of 6.3.

**Definition 6.8.** Let X be a Riemann surface and  $p \in X$ . A function  $F : X \to \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called **unipolar** at p iff it it is meromorphic, has a simple pole at p, and is bounded (hence holomorphic) in the complement of every neighborhood of p. In other words, a unipolar function is a holomorphic map  $F : X \to \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\infty$  is a regular value,  $F^{-1}(\infty)$  consists of a single point, and F is **proper at infinity** in the sense that for any sequence  $q_n \in X$  we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(q_n) = \infty \implies \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n = p$ . By the Extension Theorem 4.1 for any point p in a nonhyperbolic Riemann surface X there is a unique function u which is unipolar at p (take f = 1/z).

**Lemma 6.9.** Assume that X is nonhyperbolic and that F' and F are both unipolar at p. Then F' = aF + b for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* For some constant a, F' - aF has no pole at p and is hence bounded and holomorphic on X. On a nonhyperbolic surface the only bounded holomorphic functions are the constant functions.

**Lemma 6.10.** Assume that X is nonhyperbolic, that  $p \in X$ , and that  $F : X \to \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is meromorphic, has a simple pole at p, and that  $\Re(F)$  bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p. Then for q sufficiently near (but distinct from) p the function G(r) = 1/(F(r) - F(q)) is unipolar at q. In particular, G is unipolar at q if F is unipolar at p.

Proof. Since F has a simple pole at p it maps a neighborhood U of p diffeomorphically to a neighborhood of infinity by the Inverse Function Theorem. Let  $M = \sup_{r \notin U} u(r)$ . For q sufficiently near p we have |F(q)| > 2M. For such q we have that q is the only pole of G in U (as F is injective on U) and that |G(r)| < M for  $r \notin U$  (since  $|G(r)| = 1/|F(r) - F(q)| \le 1/|u(r) - F(q)| < 1/M$ ). Thus q is the only pole of G. Since  $G = L \circ F$  where L(w) = 1/(w - F(q)) we have that G maps U diffeomorphically to a neighborhood of infinity so G is proper at infinity so G is unipolar as required.

**Lemma 6.11.** Assume X is simply connected and nonhyperbolic and that  $p \in X$ . Then there is a function F unipolar at p.

Proof. Use Theorem 4.1 with f(z) = 1/z. As X is simply connected the resulting function u is the real part of a meromorphic function F = u + iv with u bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p, and F - 1/z holomorphic in a neighborhood of p and vanishing at p. We must show that v is also bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p. Apply Theorem 4.1 with f(z) = i/z. We get a meromorphic function  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{u} + i\tilde{v}$  with  $\tilde{u}$  bounded in the complement of every neighborhood of p and  $\tilde{F} - i/z$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of p. Thus to prove that v is bounded in the complement of v is not prove that  $\tilde{F} = iF$  for then  $v = -\tilde{u}$ .

By Lemma 6.10 the functions  $G(r) = 1/(F(r) - F(q)) \tilde{G}(r) = 1/(\tilde{F}(r) - \tilde{F}(q))$  are unipolar for q sufficiently near q. Then for suitable constants a and  $\tilde{a}$  the function  $aG(r) + \tilde{a}\tilde{G}(r)$  has no pole at q (and hence no pole at all) and hence, as X is nonhyperbolic, must be constant. solve the equation

 $aG(r) + \tilde{a}\tilde{G}(r) = c$  for  $\tilde{F}$  in terms of F. Then  $\tilde{F} = (\alpha F + \beta)/(\gamma F + \delta)$ . But F(z) = 1/z + R(z) and  $\tilde{F}(z) = i/z + \tilde{R}(z)$  where R and  $\tilde{R}$  vanish at p. Hence  $\tilde{F} = iF$  as claimed.

**Lemma 6.12.** If F is unipolar at p and F' is unipolar at q, then  $F' = L \circ F$  for some automorphism L of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Proof. Fix p and let S be the set of points q where the lemma is true. By Lemma 6.9  $p \in S$  so it suffices to show that S is open and closed. Choose  $q_0 \in S$  and let  $F_0$  be unipolar at  $q_0$ . By Lemma 6.10 the function  $F(r) = 1/(F_0(r) - F_0(q))$  is unipolar at q for q sufficiently near  $q_0$ . Now  $F = L \circ F_0$  where  $L(w) = 1/(w - F_0(q))$  so by Lemma 6.9 (and the fact that the automorphisms form a group) the lemma holds for q sufficiently near  $q_0$ , i.e. S is open. Now choose  $q \in X$  and assume that  $q = \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n$  where  $q_n \in S$ . By Lemma 6.11 let F' be unipolar at p. For n sufficiently large  $G'(r) = 1/(F'(r) - F'(q_n))$  is unipolar at  $q_n$  by Lemma 6.10. Hence  $G' = L \circ F$ for some L so  $F' = F'(q_n) + 1/(L \circ F) = L' \circ F$  so  $q \in S$ . Thus S is closed.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 6.13.** Assume X is simply connected and nonhyperbolic. Then a unipolar function is injective.

*Proof.* Suppose that F is unipolar at some point  $o \in X$  and assume that F(p) = F(q). Let  $F_p$  be unipolar at p. Then there is an automorphism L with  $F_p = L \circ F$ . Thus  $F_p$  has a pole at q so q = p.

Proof of the Uniformization Theorem continued. By Lemma 6.13 we may assume that X is an open subset of  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . If X is elliptic we must have  $X = \mathbb{P}$ . If  $X = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{a\}$  then a suitable automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}$  maps X to  $\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\} = \mathbb{C}$ . Hence it suffices to show that a simply connected open subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  which omits two points admits a bounded nonconstant holomorphic function and is hence hyperbolic. By composing with an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}$  we may assume that  $X \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ . As X is simply connected there is a square root function f defined on X, i.e.  $f(z)^2 = z$  for  $z \in X$ . Hence  $X \cap (-X) = \emptyset$  else  $z = f(z)^2 = f(-z)^2 = -z$  for some  $z \in X$  so either 0 or  $\infty$  is in X, a contradiction. As -X is open the function g(z) = 1/(z-a) is bounded on X for  $a \in -X$ .

#### 7 Surfaces with abelian fundamental group

**7.1.** The Uniformization Theorem classifies all connected Riemann surfaces X whose fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  is trivial. In this section we extend this classification to surfaces X whose fundamental group us abelian. We also determine the automorphism group of each such X. Note that the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  are isomorphic via the diffeomorphism  $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{D}$  defined by f(z) = (1+zi)/(1-zi).

**Theorem 7.2.** The connected Riemann surfaces with abelian fundamental group are

- (i) the plane  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii) the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ ,
- (iii) the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,
- (iv) the punctured plane  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (v) the punctured disk  $\mathbb{D}^* = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},\$
- (vi) the annulus  $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r < |z|\}$  where 0 < r < 1,
- (vii) the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\Lambda_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ .

**Theorem 7.3.** No two of these are isomorphic except that  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  and  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau'}$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau')$ , i.e. if and only if  $\tau' = g(\tau)$  for some  $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 7.4.** The automorphism groups of these surfaces X are as follows.

 (i) The group Aut(C) of automorphisms of C is the group consisting of transformations φ of form

$$\phi(z) = az + b$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

(ii) The group Aut(P) of automorphisms of the Riemann sphere P is the group PGL(2, C) of all transformations φ of form

$$\phi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

(iii) The group Aut(ℍ) of automorphisms of the upper half plane ℍ is the group PGL(2, ℝ) of all transformations φ of form

$$\phi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

(iv) The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^*)$  of automorphisms of the punctured plane  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is the group of all transformations  $\phi$  of one of the forms

$$\phi(z) = az$$
 or  $\phi(z) = \frac{a}{z}$ 

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

(v) The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau})$  of automorphisms of the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  is the group of all transformations  $\phi$  of form

$$\phi(z + \Lambda_{\tau}) = az + b + \Lambda_{\tau}$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  and a = 1 if  $\tau \notin \mathbb{Q}(i) \cup \mathbb{Q}(j)$ ,  $a^4 = 1$  if  $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$ , and  $a^6 = 1$  if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}(j)$ . (Here j is the intersection point in  $\mathbb{H}$  of the two circles |z| = 1 and |z - 1| = 1.)

(vi) The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^*)$  of automorphisms of the punctured disk  $\mathbb{D}^*$  is the group of all transformations  $\phi$  of form

$$\phi(z) = az$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and |a| = 1.

(vii) The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}_r)$  of automorphisms of the annulus  $\mathbb{D}_r$  is the group of all transformations  $\phi$  of one of the forms

$$\phi(z) = az$$
 or  $\phi(z) = \frac{ar}{z}$ 

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and |a| = 1.

**Theorem 7.5.** A Riemann surface has abelian fundamental group if and only if its automorphism group is not discrete.

**7.6.** Fix a connected Riemann surface X. By the Uniformization Theorem the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  of a (connected) Riemann surface X is one of  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{D}$  and hence X is isomorphic to  $\tilde{X}/G$  where  $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X})$  is the group of deck transformations of the covering projection  $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ , i.e.

$$G = \{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X}) : \pi \circ g = \pi\}.$$

Note that G is discrete and acts freely.

**Lemma 7.7.** The automorphism group of  $X = \tilde{X}/G$  is isomorphic to the quotient N(G)/G where

$$N(G) = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X}) : \phi \circ G \circ \phi^{-1} = G \}$$

is the normalizer of G in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ .

Proof of Theorem 7.4(i). Let  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\phi$  is an entire function. It cannot have an essential singularity at infinity by Casorati-Weierstrass and the pole at infinity must be simple as  $\phi$  is injective. Hence  $\phi(z) = az + b$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 7.4(ii). Choose  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ . After composing with an element of  $\operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  we may assume that infinity is fixed, i.e. that  $\phi(z) = az + b$ .

Proof of Theorem 7.4(iii). Choose  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{D}$  be the isomorphism given by f(z) = (1+zi)/(1-zi). Then  $\psi := f^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f$  is an automorphism of the disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Composing with  $\alpha(z) = (z-a)/(\bar{a}z-1)$  we may assume that  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Then  $|\psi(z)| \leq |z|$  by the Maximum Principle  $(\psi(z)/z)$  is holomorphic) and similarly  $|\psi^{-1}(z)| \leq |z|$ . Hence  $|\psi(z)| = |z|$  so  $\psi(z) = cz$  where |c| = 1 by the Schwartz lemma. Hence  $\phi \in \operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . The coefficients must be real as the real axis is preserved so  $\phi \in \operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 7.4(iv). The universal cover of the punctured plane  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is the map

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^* : z \mapsto \exp(2\pi i z).$$

The group G of deck transformations is the cyclic group generated by the translation  $z \mapsto z + 1$ . The normalizer N(G) of G in  $Aut(\mathbb{C})$  is ...

Proof of Theorem 7.4(v). The universal cover of the torus  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  is the map

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau} : z \mapsto z + \Lambda_{\tau}.$$

The group G of deck transformations is the abelian group generated by the translations  $z \mapsto z+1$  and  $z \mapsto z+\tau$ . The normalizer N(G) of G in Aut( $\mathbb{C}$ ) is ...

Proof of Theorem 7.4(vi). The universal cover of the punctured disk  $\mathbb{D}^*$  is the map

$$\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{D}^* : z \mapsto \exp(2\pi i z).$$

The group G of deck transformations is the cyclic group generated by the translation  $z \mapsto z+1$ . The normalizer N(G) of G in  $Aut(\mathbb{D})$  is ...

Proof of Theorem 7.4(vii). The universal cover of the annulus  $\mathbb{D}_r$  is the map

$$\mathbb{H} \to D_r : z \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{\log r \log z}{\pi i}\right).$$

Here  $\log z$  denotes the branch of the logarithm satisfying  $0 < \Im(\log z) < \pi$ so writing  $z = \rho e^{i\theta}$  the cover takes the form

$$\mathbb{H} \to D_r : \rho e^{i\theta} \mapsto r^{\theta/\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\log r \log \rho}{\pi i}\right).$$

The group G of deck transformations is the cyclic group generated by  $z \mapsto az$ where  $a = \exp(-2\pi^2/\log r)$ . The normalizer N(G) of G in Aut( $\mathbb{D}$ ) is ...  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 7.8.** If  $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}$  then  $G = \{1\}$  so  $X = \mathbb{P}$ .

*Proof.* Any nontrivial element of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  has a fixed point.

**Lemma 7.9.** If  $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{C}$  then the group G consists of a discrete abelian group of translations. More precisely G is the set of all transformations f(z) = z+b where  $b \in \Gamma$  and where the subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  is one of the following:

- (i)  $\Gamma = \{0\}$  in which case  $X = \tilde{X} = \mathbb{C}$ ;
- (ii)  $\Gamma = \omega \mathbb{Z}$  in which case  $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ ;
- (iii)  $\Gamma = \omega_1 \mathbb{Z} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}$  in which case  $X \simeq \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}, \ \tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$ .

Proof. Any automorphism of form  $z \mapsto az + b$  where  $a \neq 1$  has a fixed point so G is a discrete group of translations. Kronecker's theorem says that for  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  the group  $\mathbb{Z}\omega + \mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $\omega \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . (Proof: Consider a minimal positive element of  $\mathbb{Z}\omega + \mathbb{Z}$ .) It follows easily that a discrete subgroup of the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has at most n generators. Hence the three possibilities. In case (ii) the group G is conjugate in Aut( $\mathbb{C}$ ) to the cyclic group generated by the translations  $z \mapsto z + \tau$ . In case (iii) the group G is conjugate in Aut( $\mathbb{C}$ ) to the free abelian group generated by the translations  $z \mapsto z + 1$  and  $z \mapsto z + \tau$ .

**Corollary 7.10 (Picard's Theorem).** An entire function  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  which omits two points is constant.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a, b\}$  has a nonabelian fundamental group so its universal cover must be  $\mathbb{D}$ . A holomorphic map  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{a, b\}$  lifts to a map  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ which must be constant by Liouville.

**Lemma 7.11.** A fixed point free automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  is conjugate in Aut( $\mathbb{H}$ ) either to a homothety  $z \mapsto az$  where a > 0 or to the translation  $z \mapsto z + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* ∈ SL(2, ℝ) be a matrix representing the automorphism *φ*. Since *φ* has no fixed points in 𝔄 the eigenvalues of *A* must be real. Since their product is one we may rescale so that they are positive. If there are two eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  then *A* is conjugate in SL(2, ℝ) to a diagonal matrix and so *φ* is conjugate in Aut(𝔄) to  $z \mapsto \lambda^2 z$ . Otherwise the only eigenvalue is 1 and *A* is conjugate in SL(2, ℝ) to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  so *φ* is conjugate in Aut(𝔄) to  $z \mapsto z + 1$ .

**Corollary 7.12.** If  $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{H}$  and G is abelian, then the group G is conjugate in Aut( $\mathbb{H}$ ) to either a free abelian group generated by a homothety  $z \mapsto az$ where a > 0 or the free abelian group generated by the translation  $z \mapsto z + 1$ . In the former case  $X \simeq \mathbb{D}^r$  for some r and in the latter case  $X \simeq \mathbb{D}^*$ .

*Proof.* If G contains a homethety it must be a subgroup of the group of homotheties (as it is abelian) and hence cyclic as it is discrete. Similarly, If G contains a translation it must be a subgroup of the group of translations and hence cyclic.

# References

- S. Axler, P. Bourdon, W. Ramey: *Harmonic Function Theory*, Springer Graduate Texts in Math 137 1992.
- [2] O. Forster: Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, Springer Graduate Texts in Math 81 1981.
- [3] E. Reyssat: Quelques Aspects des Surface de Riemann, Birkhäuser, 1989.