# Math 541

#### Worked Homework

#### Last Change: September 29, 2000

# 1 Home Work I

§1 Definition. A field is a set F equipped with two binary operations

 $\begin{array}{ll} F \times F \to F : (a,b) \mapsto a+b & ({\rm addition}) \\ F \times F \to F : (a,b) \mapsto a \cdot b & ({\rm multiplication}) \end{array}$ 

and two distinguished elements 0 (**zero**) and 1 (**one**) which satisfies the following laws:

Addition is associative:

$$\forall a \forall b \forall c \quad (a+b) + c = a + (b+c)$$

Addition is commutative:

$$\forall a \forall b \quad a+b=b+a$$

0 is an additive identity:

 $\forall a \quad a + 0 = a$ 

Every number has an additive inverse:

$$\forall a \exists b \quad a+b=0.$$

Multiplication is associative:

$$\forall a \forall b \forall c \quad (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

Multiplication is commutative:

$$\forall a \forall b \quad a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

1 is an multiplicative identity:

$$\forall a \quad a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$$

Every nonzero number has an multiplicative inverse:

 $\forall a \neq 0 \exists b \quad a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1.$ 

Multiplication is distributive over addition:

$$\forall a \forall b \forall c \quad a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c),$$
  
$$\forall a \forall b \forall c \quad (b + c) \cdot a = (b \cdot a) + (c \cdot a).$$

(This is the first law which involves both operations.)

§2 Lemma.  $a + b_1 = 0$  and  $a + b_2 = 0 \implies b_1 = b_2$ Proof: Assume  $a + b_1 = 0$  and  $a + b_2 = 0$ . Then

$$b_1 = b_1 + 0 \quad (ident.) \\ = b_1 + (a + b_2) \quad (hyp.) \\ = (b_1 + a) + b_2 \quad (ass.) \\ = (a + b_1) + b_2 \quad (comm.) \\ = 0 + b_2 \quad (hyp.) \\ = b_2 + 0 \quad (comm.) \\ = b_2 \quad (ident.)$$

§3 Definition. Since a number a has exactly one additive inverse we can denote it by [-a]. Thus

$$b = [-a] \iff a + b = 0.$$

The operation of **subtraction** is defined by

$$a - b = a + [-b].$$

We use the brackets to emphasize the difference between the unary operation

$$F \to F : a \mapsto [-a]$$

and the binary operation

$$F \times F \to F : (a, b) \mapsto a - b.$$

§4 Theorem. [-[-c]] = c for all  $c \in F$ . **Proof:** Let a = [-c],  $b_1 = c$ ,  $b_2 = [-[-c]]$  and use lemma 2.  $\Box$ §5 Exercise. Prove the following for all  $a, b, c, d \in F$ :

(i) [-(a + b)] = [-a] + [-b].
(ii) (a - b) + (c - d) = (a + c) - (b + d).
(iii) a - b = (a + c) - (b + c).
(iv) (a - b) - (c - d) = (a - b) + (d - c).

**Proof of (i):** By the associative and commutative laws

$$(a+b) + ([-a] + [-b]) = (a + [-a]) + (b + [-b]).$$

Hence

$$(a+b) + ([-a] + [-b]) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

by the definition of the additive inverse. Hence [-(a+b)] = ([-a] + [-b]) by Lemma 2.

Proof of (ii):

$$(a-b) + (c-d) = (a + [-b]) + (c + [-d])$$
definition of  $x - y$   
=  $(a + c) + ([-b] + [-d])$ ass. and comm.  
=  $(a + c) + [-(b + d)]$ by (i)  
=  $(a + c) - (b + d)$ definition of  $x - y$ 

**Proof of (iii):** By (ii) with c = d we have

$$(a-b) + (c-c) = (a+c) - (b+c).$$

But c - c = c + [-c] = 0 so (a - b) + (c - c) = (a - b). **Proof of (iv):** Read c for a, d for b, d for c, and c for d in (ii). The result is

$$(c-d) + (d-c) = (d+c) - (c+d) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence [-(c-d)] = (d-c). Now add a-b to both sides. §6 Lemma. The multiplicative inverse is unique:

 $a \cdot b_1 = 1$  and  $a \cdot b_2 = 1 \implies b_1 = b_2$ 

**Proof:** Like Lemma 2.

§7 Definition. We denote the multiplicative inverse by  $a^{-1}$ . Hence for  $a, b \in F$ 

$$b = a^{-1} \iff a \cdot b = 1$$

The operation of **division** is defined (for  $a \in F$ ,  $b \in F \setminus \{0\}$ ) by

$$a/b = a \cdot b^{-1}.$$

§8 Theorem.  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$  for  $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proof:** Like theorem 4.

§9 Exercise. Prove the following for all  $a, b, c, d \in F \setminus \{0\}$ :

- (i)  $(a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$
- (ii)  $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a \cdot c}{b \cdot d}$ (iii)  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a \cdot c}{b \cdot c}$ (iv)  $\frac{a}{b} / \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{d}{c}$

**Proof:** The proof is exactly the same as for Exercise 5. Simply replace x + y by  $x \cdot y$ , [-x] by  $x^{-1}$ , x - y by x/y throughout.

**§10 Theorem.**  $a \cdot 0 = 0$  for  $a \in F$ .

**Proof:** Choose  $a \in F$ . Then

0	=	a-a	(def, inv.)
	=	$a \cdot 1 - a$	(ident.)
	=	$a \cdot (0+1) - a$	(ident, comm.)
	=	$(a \cdot 0) + (a \cdot 1)) - a$	(dist.)
	=	$((a \cdot 0) + a) - a$	(ident.)
	=	$(a \cdot 0) + (a - a)$	(ass.)
	=	$(a \cdot 0) + 0$	(def, inv.)
	=	$a \cdot 0$	$(\text{ident.})\square$

§11 Exercise. Prove the following

(i) 
$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{(a \cdot d) + (c \cdot b)}{b \cdot d}$$
  
(ii) 
$$[-a] = [-1] \cdot a$$
  
(iii) 
$$[-a] \cdot [-b] = a \cdot b$$

**Proof of (i):** By Lemma 9 part (iii)

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a \cdot d}{b \cdot d}, \qquad \frac{c}{d} = \frac{c \cdot b}{d \cdot b}.$$

Hence by the definition of x/y

$$\frac{a}{b} = (a \cdot d) \cdot (b \cdot d)^{-1}, \qquad \frac{c}{d} = (c \cdot b)(d \cdot b).$$

Hence by  $b \cdot d = d \cdot b$  and the distributive law

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \left(a \cdot d + c \cdot b\right) \cdot (b \cdot d)^{-1}$$

so the result follows by the definition of x/y.

**Proof of (ii):**  $a + [-1] \cdot a = 1 \cdot a + [-1] \cdot a = (1 + [-1]) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0$  by the distributive law and Theorem 10. Hence  $[-1] \cdot a = [-a]$  by Lemma 2. **Proof of (iii):** By part (ii) it is enough to prove this for a = b = 1, i.e. to prove that  $[-1] \cdot [-1] = 1$ . By Theorem 10 (and other laws) we have

$$0 = ([-1] + 1) \cdot [-1] = [-1] \cdot [-1] + [-1].$$

Now add 1 to both sides and use the identity law 0 + 1 = 1, the associative law

$$([-1] \cdot [-1] + [-1]) + 1 = [-1] \cdot [-1] + ([-1] + 1),$$

and the inverse law [-1] + 1 = 0, etc.

# 2 Home Work II

**§12 Composition.** Given mappings  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to Z$  the **composition** of f and g is denoted  $g \circ f$  (read "g after f") and defined by  $g \circ f : X \to Z$  with

$$(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$$

for  $x \in X$ . The operation of composition is associative:

$$(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f).$$

For any set X the **identity map**  $I_X$  of X is the map  $I_X : X \to X$  defined by  $I_X(x) = x$  for  $x \in X$ . Note that for  $f : X \to Y$  we have

$$f \circ I_X = I_Y \circ f = f.$$

**§13 Maps act on sets.** Suppose that  $f : X \to Y X_0 \subset X$  and  $Y_0 \subset Y$ . Define

$$f(X_0) = \{f(x) : x \in X_0\}$$

and

$$f^{-1}(Y_0) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in Y_0\}.$$

**Theorem.** (i)  $I_X(X_0) = X_0$  and  $g \circ f(X_0) = g(f(X_0))$ . Hence (ii) If  $f: X \to Y$  is one-one onto, then  $f^{-1}(f(X_0)) = X_0$  and  $f(f^{-1}(Y_0)) = Y_0$ . (Warning: These last two formulas are not always true for maps which are not one-one onto.)

**§14 Restriction and Extension.** Suppose we are given a mapping  $f : X \to Y$  and a subset  $X_0 \subset X$ . The restriction of f to  $X_0$ , denoted  $f|X_0$ , is the mapping  $(f|X_0) : X_0 \to Y$  defined by

$$(f|X_0)(x) = f(x)$$
 for all  $x \in X_0$ .

For example, if  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a mapping whose graph is the straight line given by f(x) = 2x, and if [0, 1] denotes the unit interval, then f|[0, 1], the restriction of f to [0, 1], is a mapping whose graph is the closed line segment from the (0, 0) to (1, 2).

The opposite of *restricting* a mapping to a smaller source is *extending* a mapping to a larger source. Suppose  $g: X \to Y$  is a mapping and  $X \subset Z$ . Then any mapping  $h: Z \to Y$  is called an **extension of** g to Z if h|X = g, i.e., if

$$h(x) = g(x)$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

Thus, for example, if g is the mapping defined earlier by  $g: X \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \frac{1}{1-x}$  with source  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 1\}$ , then g has an extension  $\tilde{g}$  defined by

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-x} & \text{if } x \neq 1\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

The reader may recall from a calculus course that the mapping g described above is *continuous* on its source X, but has no continuous extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous.

**§15** Recall that for any set S the group of all permutations of S is denoted by A(S); i.e.

 $f \in A(S) \iff f: S \to S$ , and f is one-one and onto.

§16 (Problem 1.4.14) Suppose  $X_0 \subset X$ , e.g.

$$X_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \qquad X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

where  $m \leq n$ . Define  $E: A(X_0) \to A(X)$  by

$$E(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in X_0, \\ x & \text{for } x \in X \setminus X_0 \end{cases}$$

for  $f \in A(X_0)$ . For  $f, g \in A(X_0 \text{ and } x \in X_0 \text{ we have}$ 

$$E(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) = E(f)(g(x)) = (E(f) \circ E(g))(x)$$

(since  $g(x) \in X_0$ ) while for  $x \in X \setminus X_0$  we have

$$E(f \circ g)(x) = x = E(f)(x) (E(g))(x) = E(f) (= E(f) \circ E(g))(x).$$

In either case  $E(f \circ g)(x) = (E(f) \circ E(g))(x)$  so  $E(f \circ g) = (E(f) \circ E(g))$ . §17 (Problem 1.4.18) Suppose  $X_0 \subset X$  and define

$$U(X, X_0) = \{ f \in A(X) : f(X_0) = X_0 \}.$$

Then  $U(X, X_0)$  is a subgroup of A(X), i.e.

- (i)  $I_X \in U(X, X_0);$
- (ii) If  $g \in U(X_0, X)$  and  $f \in U(X, X_0)$  then  $g \circ f \in U(X, X_0)$ ;
- (iii) If  $f \in U(X, X_0)$  then  $f^{-1} \in U(X, X_0)$ ,

**Proof:** (i) Since  $I_X(X_0) = X_0$  we have  $I_X \in U(X, X_0)$ . (ii) If  $g \in U(X, X_0)$  and  $f \in U(X, X_0)$ , then

$$g \circ f(X_0) = g(f(X_0)) = g(X_0) = X_0$$

so  $g \circ f \in U(X, X_0)$ . (iii) If  $f \in U(X, X_0)$  then  $f(X_0) = X_0$  so  $X_0 = f^{-1}(X_0)$ so  $f^{-1} \in U(X, X_0)$ .

§18 (Problem 1.4.19) For  $f \in U(X, X_0)$  define  $R(f) : X_0 \to X_0$  by

$$R(f)(x) = f(x)$$
 for  $x \in X_0$ .

(Note that  $f(x) \in X_0$  by the definition of  $U(X, X_0)$ .) Then

$$R: U(X, X_0) \to A(X_0)$$

and

$$R(g \circ f) = R(g) \circ R(f).$$

The proof is obvious. Since R(E(g)) = g for  $g \in A(X_0)$  it follows that R is onto.

§19 (Problem 1.4.20) Since any element of A(X) is one-one onto we have

 $U(X, X_0) = U(X, X \setminus X_0).$ 

Thus the set  $R^{-1}(g)$  is in one-one correspondence with  $A(X \setminus X_0)$ . In particular, R is one-one when  $X \setminus X_0$  consists of a single point.

# 3 Home Work III

§20 Problem 2.1.1 (b) Consider the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers with the operation

$$a * b = a + b + ab$$

is not a group. The one-one onto map  $f:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$  defined by f(z)=z+1 satisfies

$$a * b = (a + 1) \cdot (b + 1) - 1 = f^{-1}(f(a) \cdot f(b))$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  (where  $u \cdot v$  is the usual multiplication operation.) Thus  $(\mathbb{Z}, *)$  satisfies the same laws as  $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$ . In particular the associative and commutative laws hold and 0 is an identity:

$$0 \ast a = a \ast 0 = a$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  . However there is no inverse operation since

$$a * (-1) = -1$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

§21 2.2.3 Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that a group G has property P(i) iff the identity

$$(ab)^i = a^i b^i P(i)$$

holds for all  $a, b \in G$ .

Assume that there is an integer i for which the group G satisfies P(i-1), P(i), and P(i+1). We show that the group G is abelian.

**Step 1.** If a group satisfies P(i+1) and P(i) then it satisfies

$$a^i b^i = b^i a^i \qquad \qquad Q(i)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ . Proof: By P(i+1)

$$a(ba)^{i}b = (ab)^{i+1} = a^{i+1}b^{i+1} = a(a^{i}b^{i})b.$$

Cancelling the a on the left and the b on the right gives

$$(ba)^i = a^i b^i.$$

Now use P(i) to obtain  $b^i a^i = (ba)^i = a^i b^i$ .

**Step 2.** If a group satisfies P(i) and P(i-1) then it satisfies

$$a^{i-1}b^{i-1} = b^{i-1}a^{i-1} \qquad \qquad Q(i-1)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ . Proof: Replace i by i - 1 in Step 1.

Step 3. Now

$$(ab)^{i+1} = (ab)(ab)^i = (ab)a^ib^i = (ab)b^ia^i = (ab)(ba)^i$$

and

$$(ba)^{i} = (ba)(ba)^{i-1} = (ba)b^{i-1}a^{i-1} = (ba)a^{i-1}b^{i-1} = (ba)(ab)^{i-1}.$$

Hence

$$(ab)^{i+1} = (ab)(ba)(ab)^{i-1}.$$

Now multiply by  $(ab)^{-1}$  on the left and  $(ab)^{1-i}$  on the right.

**§22 Remark.** The problem in the book asks you to prove that If G is a group for which  $(ab)^i = a^i b^i$  for three consecutive integers i, then G is abelian. To me the wording is ambiguous. Which is asserted?

$$[\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \ P(i-1) \text{ and } P(i) \text{ and } P(i+1)] \implies G \text{ is abelian}$$
(1)

i.e.

$$\exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \ [P(i-1) \text{ and } P(i) \text{ and } P(i+1) \implies G \text{ is abelian}]$$
(1')

or

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \ [P(i-1) \text{ and } P(i) \text{ and } P(i+1) \implies G \text{ is abelian}]$$
 (2)

i.e.

$$[\exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \ P(i-1) \text{ and } P(i) \text{ and } P(i+1)] \implies G \text{ is abelian}$$
 (2')

However had the author intended (1) he would have said

 $[\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \ P(i)] \implies G$  is abelian

which is equivalent but shorter. The author must intend (2).

#### 4 Homework IV

§23 Problem 2.4(2-3). Let S be a set and R a relation on S, i.e.  $R \subset S \times S$ . For  $a, b \in S$  we write  $a \equiv b$  instead of  $(a, b) \in R$ . We say that the relation R is

- reflexive iff  $\forall a \in S \ a \equiv a;$
- weakly reflexive iff  $\forall a \in S \exists b \in S \ a \equiv b;$
- symmetric iff  $\forall a, b \in S \ a \equiv b \implies b \equiv a;$
- transitive iff  $\forall a, b, c \in S \ a \equiv b, b \equiv c \implies a \equiv c$ .

A reflexive relation is obviously weakly reflexive: take b = a. The relation defined in 2.4(2) is the empty relation  $R = \emptyset$  on a nonempty set S. It is symmetric since for all  $a, b \in S$  the implication  $(a, b) \in \emptyset \implies (b, a) \in \emptyset$ is true: it has the form [false  $\Longrightarrow$  false]. Similarly the empty relation is transitive. The empty relation is not reflexive (or even weakly reflexive) on a nonempty set S: since  $S \neq \emptyset$  there exists an  $a \in S$ ; but for this a, we have  $a \not\equiv b$ , i.e.  $(a,b) \notin \emptyset$  for all  $b \in S$ . The argument in 2.4(3) proves that a relation which is weakly reflexive, symmetric, and transitive is also reflexive.

§24 Problem 2.4(20) Recall that the transformation  $T_{a,b}$  may be represented by the matrix

$$T_{a,b} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$T_{a,b} \circ T_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad+b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T_{a,b}^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a^{-1} & -a^{-1}b\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

The conjugacy class  $[T_{c,d}]$  of the element  $T_{c,d}$  is the set

$$[T_{c,d}] := \left\{ T_{a,b} \circ T_{c,d} \circ T_{a,b}^{-1} \right\} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \ a \neq 0 \right\}.$$

By matrix multiplication

$$T_{a,b} \circ T_{c,d} \circ T_{a,b}^{-1} = T_{c,g}, \qquad g = ad + b(1-c).$$

If  $c \neq 1$  then every  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  has the form g = ad + b(1 - c) with  $a \neq 0$ ; we take a = 1 and b = (g - d)/(c - 1). If c = 1 and  $d \neq 0$ , then g has the form g = ad + b(1 - c) if and only if  $g \neq 0$ . Hence

$$[T_{c,d}] = \{T_{c,g} : g \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{if } c \neq 1;$$
  
$$[T_{1,d}] = \{T_{1,g} : g \in \mathbb{R}, g \neq 0\} \quad \text{if } d \neq 0;$$
  
$$[T_{1,0}] = \{T_{1,0}\}.$$

§25 Problem 2.4.(6-7) In cycle notation (see Chapter 3)

$$H = \{(), (12)\} \subset G = S_3$$

The left cosets are

$$H = \{(), (12)\}, \qquad (13)H = \{(13), (123)\}, \qquad (23)H = \{(23), (132)\}$$

There are three left cosets and each is a two element set. The right cosets are

 $H = \{(), (12)\}, \qquad H(13) = \{(13), (132)\}, \qquad H(23) = \{(23), (123)\}.$ 

There are three right cosets and each is a two element set. The right coset H(13) is different from all three left cosets. In fact the only set which is both a left coset and a right coset is H itself.

### 5 Homework V

**§26 Problem 2.5.16** Suppose that G is a group and the  $M \triangleleft G$  and  $N \triangleleft G$  are normal subgroups. Let

$$MN = \{mn : m \in M, n \in N\}.$$

Then  $MN \triangleleft G$ , i.e. MN is a normal subgroup of G.

**Proof:** There are four steps.

**Step 1.**  $e \in MN$ . Proof: Take m = n = e. Then  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$  so  $e = mn \in MN$ .

**Step 2.**  $x, y \in MN \implies xy \in MN$ . Proof: Choose  $x, y \in MN$ . Then  $x = m_1n_1$  and  $y = m_2n_2$  for some  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $n_1, n_2 \in N$ . Then

$$xy = m_1 n_1 m_2 n_2 = m_1 (n_1 m_2 n_1^{-1})(n_1 n_2) = m'n'$$

where  $m' = m_1(n_1m_2n_1^{-1}) \in M$  and  $n' = n_1n_2 \in N$ . Therefore  $xy \in MN$ .

**Step 3.**  $x \in MN \implies x^{-1} \in MN$ . Proof: Choose  $x \in MN$ . Then x = mn for some  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ . Hence

$$x^{-1} = n^{-1}m^{-1} = (n^{-1}m^{-1}n)n^{-1} = m'n'$$

where  $m' = (n^{-1}m^{-1}n) \in M$  and  $n' = n^{-1} \in N$ . Therefore  $x^{-1} \in MN$ .

**Step 4.**  $x \in MN$ ,  $g \in G \implies gxg^{-1} \in MN$ . Choose  $x \in MN$  and  $g \in G$ . Then x = mn for some  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ . Hence

$$gxg^{-1} = gmng^{-1} = (gmg^{-1})(gng^{-1}) = m'n'$$

where  $m' = (gmg^{-1}) \in M$  and  $n' = (gng^{-1}) \in N$ . Therefore  $gxg^{-1} \in MN$ .

§27 Problem 2.5.21 Let S be a set having at least three elements and A(S) be the group of all one-one onto maps from S to itself. For  $s \in S$  define

$$H(s) = \{ f \in H(S) : f(s) = s \}.$$

It is easy to see that H(s) is a subgroup of A(S). First, the identity map  $\operatorname{id}_S$ is an element of H(s) as  $\operatorname{id}_S(x) = x$  for all  $x \in S$  so in particular  $\operatorname{id}_S(s) = s$ , so  $\operatorname{id}_S \in H(s)$ . Second, if  $f, g \in H(S)$  then f(s) = s and g(s) = s so  $f \circ g(s) = f(g(s)) = f(s) = s$  so  $f \circ g \in H(s)$ . Third, if  $f \in H(s)$ , then f(s) = s so  $s = \operatorname{id}_S(s) = (f^{-1} \circ f) = f^{-1}(f(s)) = f^{-1}(s)$  so  $f^{-1} \in H(s)$ . Hence H(s) is a subgroup of A(S).

Now assume that the elements  $s, s', s'' \in S$  are distinct. Choose  $f \in A(S)$  so that f(s') = s and f(s'') = s''. Choose  $h \in A(S)$  so h(s) = s and h(s') = s''. Then  $h \in H(s)$  but  $f \circ h \circ f^{-1}(s) = f(h(s')) = f(s'') = s'' \neq s$  so  $f \circ h \circ f^{-1} \notin H(s)$ . Hence H(s) is not a normal subgroup of A(S).

**Remark.** For  $f \in A(S)$  and  $s \in S$  we have

$$fH(s)f^{-1} = H(f(s))$$

Suppose that  $g \in fH(s)f^{-1}$ . Then  $g = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$  where  $h \in H(s)$ , i.e. h(s) = s. Then

$$g(f(s)) = (f \circ h \circ f^{-1}) \circ f(s) = f(h(s)) = f(s)$$

so  $g \in H(f(s))$ . Conversely suppose that  $g \in H(f(s))$ , i.e. g(f(s)) = f(s). Let  $h = f^{-1} \circ g \circ f$ . Then  $h(s) = f^{-1} \circ g \circ f(s) = f^{-1}(g(f(s))) = f^{-1}(f(s)) = s$ so  $h \in H(s)$ . But  $g = f \circ h \circ h^{-1}$  so  $g \in fH(s)f^{-1}$ .

## 6 Homework VI

§28 Problem 2.6.3-5 Suppose that N is a normal subgroup of a groups G and that  $\overline{M}$  is a subgroup of G/N. Let

$$M = \{ a \in G : aN \in \overline{M} \}.$$

Then

(2.6.3) M is a subgroup of G and  $N \subset M$ .

(2.6.4) If  $\overline{M} \triangleleft G/N$ , then  $M \triangleleft N$ .

(2.6.5) If  $\bar{M} \triangleleft G/N$ , then  $M/N = \bar{M}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\overline{G} = G/N$ , and  $\phi: G \to \overline{G}$  be the homomorphism defined by

$$\phi(a) = aN.$$

Then  $\phi$  is an onto homomorphism and

$$M = \phi^{-1}(\bar{M}).$$

We prove M is a subgroup. (1) The identity e of G lies in M as  $\phi(e)$  is the identity of  $\overline{G}$  and hence lies in  $\overline{M}$ , so  $e \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{M}) = M$ . (2) Choose  $a, b \in M$ . Then  $\phi(a), \phi(b) \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $ab \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{M}) = M$ . (3) Choose  $a \in M$ . Then  $\phi(a) \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1} \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $a^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{M}) = M$ .

Assume that  $\overline{M}$  is normal. Choose  $a \in G$  and  $m \in M$ . Then  $\phi(a) \in \overline{G}$ and  $\phi(m) \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $\phi(ama^{-1}) = \phi(a)\phi(m)\phi(a)^{-1} \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $ama^{-1} \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{M}) = M$ . This proves that M is normal.

The statement that  $M/N = \overline{M}$  can be written as  $\phi(M) = \overline{M}$ , i.e.  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(\overline{M})) = \overline{M}$ . This latter formula is true for any onto map  $\phi : G \to \overline{G}$  and any subset  $\overline{M} \subset \overline{G}$ .

## 7 Homework VII

**§29 4.4-9.** Let p > 2 be a prime and let  $U_p = \mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}$  be the multiplicative group of the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the set

$$S = \{x^2 : x \in U_p\}$$

of squares in  $U_p$  is a subgroup of index two.

Proof:  $1 = 1^2$  so  $1 \in S$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in S$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in U_p$  with  $a = x^2$  and  $b = y^2$ . Then  $ab = (xy)^2$  so  $ab \in S$ . Suppose  $a \in S$ . Then  $a = x^2$  for some  $x \in U_p$ . Let  $y \in U_p$  be the inverse of x. Then xy = 1. Hence  $ay^2 = x^2y^2 = (xy)^2 = 1$ . Hence  $a^{-1} = y^2$  so  $a^{-1} \in U_p$ . The map

$$U_p \to S : x \mapsto x^2$$

is two-to-one onto (as p > 2) so  $|U_p| = 2|S|$ .

**§30 (4.4-10)** Suppose m is a positive integer which is not a perfect square. Then the set

$$\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{m}\right] := \left\{a + b\sqrt{m} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$$

is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Proof: (1)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  contains  $0 = 0 + 0\sqrt{m}$ . (2)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  is closed under addition and subtraction as

$$(a_1 + b_1\sqrt{m}) \pm (a_2 + b_2\sqrt{m}) = (a_1 \pm a_2) + (b_1 \pm b_2)\sqrt{m}.$$

(3)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  is closed under multiplication as

$$(a_1 + b_1\sqrt{m})(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{m}) = (a_1a_2 + mb_1b_2) + (a_1b_2 + b_1a_2)\sqrt{m}.$$

**§31** (4.4-11)*Suppose m is as in* 4.4-10 *and that p is an odd prime. Let* 

$$I_p = \{a + b\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}] : 5|a \text{ and } 5|b\}.$$

Then  $I_p$  is an an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ .

Proof: (1)  $I_p$  contains  $0 = 0 + 0\sqrt{m}$  as p|0. (2)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  is closed under addition and subtraction. Choose  $x_1, x_2 \in I_p$ . Then  $x_1 = a_1 + b_1\sqrt{m}$  and  $x_2 = a_21 + b_2\sqrt{m}$  where  $p|a_1, p|b_1, p|a_2, p|a_2$ . Hence  $p|(a_1+a_2)$  and  $p|(b_1+b_2)$ so  $x_1 \pm x_2 \in I_p$ . (3)  $I_p$  is closed under multiplication by an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ . Choose  $x \in I_p$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ . Then  $x = a + b\sqrt{m}$  where p|a and p|b and  $z = c + d\sqrt{m}$  where  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then p|(ac + mbd) and p|(ad + bc) so

$$xz = (ac + mbd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{m} \in I_p.$$

§32 (4.4-12,13) Let p and m be as in 4.4-10 and suppose that m is not a square in  $U_p$ . Then  $Z[\sqrt{m}]/I_p$  is a field of order  $p^2$ .

Proof: The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]/I_p$  has order  $p^2$  because every element  $a + b\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  be be written uniquely in the form

$$a + b\sqrt{m} = (cp + r) + (dp + s)\sqrt{m}$$

where  $c, d, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \leq r < p$  and  $0 \leq s < p$ . (For uniqueness use the fact that If  $a_1 + b_1\sqrt{m} = a_2 + b_2\sqrt{m}$  then  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $b_1 = b_2$  as  $\sqrt{m}$  is irrational.) To show that  $Z[\sqrt{m}]/I_p$  is a field we must show that every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. Choose  $a + b\sqrt{m} \in Z[\sqrt{m}] \setminus I_p$ ; we must find integers u, v with

$$(a+b\sqrt{m})(u+v\sqrt{m}) \in 1+I_p.$$

We try u = wa, v = -wb so

$$(a+b\sqrt{m})(u+v\sqrt{m}) = w(a^2-mb^2).$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field, we can find an integer w with  $w(a^2 - mb^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ so long as  $a^2 - mb^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But if  $a^2 - mb^2 \equiv 0 \mod p$  then  $a^2 \equiv mb^2 \mod p$  so  $(ac)^2 \equiv m \pmod{p}$  where  $bc \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . (Such a c exists as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field.) The equation  $(ac)^2 \equiv m \pmod{p}$  contradicts the hypothesis that m is not a square in  $U_p$ .

§33 (4.4-7) Take m = 2 and p = 5. The set of squares in  $U_5$  is

$$S = \{1^2, 2^2, 3^2, 4^2\} = \{1, 4, 4, 1\} = \{1, 4\}$$

Hence  $2 \notin S$  so 4.4-12,13 applies and  $Z[\sqrt{2}/I_5]$  is a field of order  $5^2 = 25$ .