

TWO QUANTITATIVE VERSIONS OF NONLINEAR CARLESON CONJECTURE

SERGEY A. DENISOV

ABSTRACT. In this note, we state and compare two quantitative versions of the Nonlinear Carleson Conjecture (NCC). We provide motivations for our conjectures and show that they both imply the NCC. Some applications to the zero distribution of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle and to their pointwise asymptotics are obtained.

CONTENTS

1. OPUC, $SU(1, 1)$, and matrix products	1
2. Two versions of quantified NCC and motivations for them	3
3. Some applications of qNCC	5
4. Connections to Carleson-Hunt maximal function and $SU(1, 1)$ version of a theorem of Calderon and Stein	5
References	8

We will start with notation: $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. $M(d, \mathbb{C})$ stands for the space of the $d \times d$ complex matrices. For $A \in M(d, \mathbb{C})$, the symbol $\|A\|_2$ denotes the Frobenius norm: $\|A\|_2 := \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^*A)}$ and $\|A\|$ denotes the operator norm. For $p \in [1, \infty]$, the symbol p' is the dual exponent: $p' = p/(p-1)$. For a set $S \subset \mathbb{T}$, the symbol $|S|$ indicates its Lebesgue measure.

1. OPUC, $SU(1, 1)$, AND MATRIX PRODUCTS

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the set of probability measures on the unit circle \mathbb{T} whose support is not a finite subset of \mathbb{T} . For $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$, define $F_\sigma(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi+z}{\xi-z} d\sigma$, $\xi = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The function F_σ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , $\Re F > 0$ in \mathbb{D} , and $F(0) = 1$. The same properties hold for the function $1/F_\sigma$ and therefore [8] there is a probability measure $\sigma_d \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$F_\sigma^{-1}(z) = F_{\sigma_d}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi+z}{\xi-z} d\sigma_d.$$

We will call such a measure σ_d dual to σ . For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$, one can define the monic orthogonal polynomials $\{\Phi_n(z, \sigma)\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ on the unit circle (OPUC) as algebraic polynomials that satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Phi_n(z, \sigma) = z^n + \dots, \quad \langle \Phi_n, z^j \rangle_\sigma = 0, \quad \forall j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad \langle f, g \rangle_\sigma := \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\bar{g} d\sigma.$$

Denote $\Phi_n := \Phi_n(z, \sigma)$, $\Psi_n := \Phi_n(z, \sigma_d)$. For every polynomial Q of degree at most n , let $Q^*(z) = z^n \overline{Q(\bar{z}^{-1})}$. Notice that the map $Q \mapsto Q^*$ depends on n . It is known [16] that

$$(1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{n+1} & -\Psi_{n+1} \\ \Phi_{n+1}^* & \Psi_{n+1}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z & -\bar{\gamma}_n \\ -z\gamma_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_n & -\Psi_n \\ \Phi_n^* & \Psi_n^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_0 & -\Psi_0 \\ \Phi_0^* & \Psi_0^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\{\gamma_g\}$ are the so-called Schur (Verblunsky) parameters and they satisfy $\gamma_g \in \mathbb{D}$, $\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. There is a bijection [16] between the set of measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ and the set of Schur parameters \mathbb{D}^∞ . If $\{\gamma_g\}$

This research was supported by the grants NSF-DMS-2054465, NSF-DMS-2450716, Simons Fellowship in Mathematics, Simons Travel Support for Mathematicians Award, and by the Van Vleck Professorship Research Award. The author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of IHES where part of this work was done.

are Schur parameters for σ , then the Schur parameters for σ_d are $\{-\gamma_g\}$. Denote $\rho_n := (1 - |\gamma_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_n := \prod_{j \leq n} \rho_j$, $\mathcal{R}_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_n$. Since \mathcal{R}_n is decreasing, \mathcal{R}_∞ always exists and it is zero iff $\{\gamma_g\} \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$.

The Szegő class of measures is defined as the set $Sz(\mathbb{T}) := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w dm > -\infty\}$ where m is normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} , i.e., $dm := d\theta/(2\pi)$, and $d\sigma = w dm + d\sigma_s$ with σ_s being the singular measure. The Szegő theorem in the theory of OPUC states that $\sigma \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$ iff $\{\gamma_g\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and that can be quantified by the following identity [16]:

$$(2) \quad \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log w dm\right) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \rho_n^2 = \mathcal{R}_\infty^2,$$

which holds for any σ and $\{\gamma_g\}$. Clearly, $\sigma \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$ iff $\sigma_d \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$. For measures $\sigma \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$, the Szegő function is defined as $D_\sigma(z) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi+z}{\xi-z} \log w dm\right)$. This is the outer function in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ that satisfies $D_\sigma(0) > 0$ and $|D_\sigma(\xi)|^2 = w(\xi)$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.

Sometimes it is more convenient to work with polynomials orthonormal on the unit circle with respect to measure σ . These polynomials are given by the formula $\phi_n(z, \sigma) = \Phi_n(z, \sigma)/\|\Phi_n(\xi, \sigma)\|_{L_\sigma^2}$. Since ([16], formula (1.5.13)) $\|\Phi_n(\xi, \sigma)\|_{L_\sigma^2} = \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$, we get

$$\sup_{z, n} \left| \frac{\phi_n(z, \sigma)}{\Phi_n(z, \sigma)} - 1 \right| \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2,$$

when $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the problems of studying the size of Φ_n or ϕ_n are identical in the Szegő class when $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}$ is small. For Szegő measures, it is known that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n^*(z, \sigma) = D_\sigma(0)/D_\sigma(z), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^*(z, \sigma) = 1/D_\sigma(z)$$

locally uniformly in \mathbb{D} ([16], Theorem 2.4.1, p. 144).

Given any sequence $\{\gamma_n\} : \gamma_n \in \mathbb{D}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define

$$(4) \quad \Omega_n := \rho_n^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{\gamma}_n z^{-n} \\ \gamma_n z^n & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\Pi_n := \Omega_n \cdot \dots \cdot \Omega_0$. It is a simple fact that $\Pi_n \in SU(1, 1)$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and therefore

$$(5) \quad \Pi_n = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \bar{b}_n \\ b_n & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad |a_n|^2 = 1 + |b_n|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The polynomials a_n and b_n have degrees at most n , a_n has no roots in \mathbb{D} and $a_n(0) > 0$ (see [11], Lemma 4.5 or [20]). If γ_n are Schur parameters for σ , then (see [11], formulas (4.12) and (4.13))

$$(6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{n+1} & -\Psi_{n+1} \\ \Phi_{n+1}^* & \Psi_{n+1}^* \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_n \begin{bmatrix} z a_n^* & -b_n^* \\ -z b_n & a_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and, therefore,

$$(7) \quad a_n = (\Phi_{n+1}^* + \Psi_{n+1}^*)/(2\mathcal{R}_n), \quad -z b_n = (\Phi_{n+1}^* - \Psi_{n+1}^*)/(2\mathcal{R}_n).$$

Denote

$$(8) \quad o_1(\xi) := \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n(\xi) - 1|, \quad o_2(\xi) := \sup_{n \geq 0} |b_n(\xi)|, \quad O(\xi) := \sup_{n \geq 0} \|\Pi_n(\xi) - I\|_2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}$$

and we clearly have

$$(9) \quad \|\Pi_n - I\|_2^2 = 2(|a_n - 1|^2 + |b_n|^2) \leq 2(o_1^2 + o_2^2).$$

2. TWO VERSIONS OF QUANTIFIED NCC AND MOTIVATIONS FOR THEM

The famous Carleson-Hunt theorem [9] says that

$$(10) \quad \|M_0 f\|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq_p \|f\|_{L^p[0,1]}, \quad M_0 f := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{|n| < N} \hat{f}_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right|$$

for $p \in (1, \infty)$, where $\hat{f}_n = \int_0^1 f e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$ is the Fourier coefficient of a function f . Function M_0 is an associated maximal function. The bound (10) was used to settle Lusin's conjecture, i.e., it showed that for every $f \in L^p(0,1)$, $p \in (1, \infty]$, we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|n| < N} \hat{f}_n e^{2\pi i n x} = f(x)$ for a.e. $x \in [0,1)$. The analog of Lusin's conjecture in the OPUC theorem is called Nonlinear Carleson Conjecture (NCC) and it says: *is it true that asymptotics (3) holds for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}$ assuming that $\sigma \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$?* This problem has a long history and it can also be formulated for Dirac equations, Schrödinger operators and Krein systems (nonlinear Fourier transform) [3, 14, 15, 18, 20]. The general theory of the nonlinear Fourier transform is an active field with multiple applications [1, 7, 12, 19, 20]. One purpose of the current note is to put forward two quantifications of such a conjecture, motivate them and compare. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$, define the maximal function $M(\xi, \sigma) := \sup_n |\Phi_n^*(\xi, \sigma) - 1|$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$. From (7), we get

$$(11) \quad o_{1(2)}(\xi) \leq (M(\xi, \sigma) + M(\xi, \sigma_d))/(2\mathcal{R}_\sigma) + \mathcal{R}_\sigma^{-1} - 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Conjecture, [qNCC-I]. There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \epsilon$ implies

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} M^2(\xi, \sigma) d\sigma \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

Conjecture, [qNCC-II]. There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \epsilon$ implies

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 + O^2(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})) dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

We will see later that the assumption on ℓ^2 -norm of $\{\gamma_g\}$ being small is not restrictive when studying the problem of pointwise asymptotics. Our first result compares these two conjectures.

Theorem 2.1. *We have qNCC-I \Rightarrow qNCC-II.*

Proof. Assume qNCC-I holds. Due to (9), we have $\log(1 + O^2) \lesssim \log(1 + o_1^2 + o_2^2)$ and it is sufficient to show that

$$(14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 + o_1^2 + o_2^2) dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

Now, (11) implies $\log(1 + o_j^2(\xi)) \lesssim \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)) + \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma_d)) + \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$. We can write

$$(15) \quad \int_{w>1} \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)) dm \leq \int_{w>1} \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)w) dm \leq \int \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)w) dm$$

$$(16) \quad \leq \int M^2(\xi, \sigma)w dm \stackrel{(qNCC-I)}{\lesssim} \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{w \leq 1} \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)) dm &= - \int_{w \leq 1} \log w dm + \int_{w \leq 1} \log w dm + \int_{w \leq 1} \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)) dm = \\ &\quad - \int_{w \leq 1} \log w dm + \int_{w \leq 1} \log(w + M^2(\xi, \sigma)w) dm \leq \\ &\quad - \int_{w \leq 1} \log w dm + \int_{w \leq 1} \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma)w) dm \stackrel{(2)+(15)+(16)}{\lesssim} \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By qNCC-I applied to σ_d , we get $\int_{\mathbb{T}} M^2(\xi, \sigma_d)w dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$ and we similarly have

$$\int \log(1 + M^2(\xi, \sigma_d)) dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$$

for $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}$ small enough. Hence, (14) follows. \square

Next, we discuss the motivations for our conjectures. The Menshov-Rademacher theorem [10, 13] states:

Theorem 2.2 (Menshov-Rademacher). *Suppose $\{\chi_n(\xi)\}, n \geq 1$ is an orthonormal system in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \chi_j(\xi) \right|^2 d\sigma \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 1} |\alpha_j \log(1+j)|^2$$

for every sequence $\{\alpha_j\}$.

The connection between qNCC-I and the previous result is almost immediate (see [18], Section 8) if we take the recursion $\Phi_{n+1}^* = \Phi_n^* - \gamma_n z \Phi_n$ and $\Phi_0^* = 1$ into account (see (1)). Indeed,

$$\Phi_n^*(z, \sigma) = 1 - z \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \gamma_j \|\Phi_j\|_{2,\sigma} \phi_j(z, \sigma)$$

so

$$M(\xi, \sigma) \leq \left| \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \gamma_j \|\Phi_j\|_{2,\sigma} \phi_j(\xi, \sigma) \right|$$

and $\|M\|_{2,\sigma} \lesssim \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} |\gamma_j|^2 \log^2(2+j) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The qNCC-I suggests that for OPUC the logarithm in the last sum can be dropped provided that $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}$ is small.

We continue with the motivation for qNCC-II. Take $d \geq 2$ and consider $\gamma(t) : [0, 1] \mapsto GL(d, \mathbb{C})$, a smooth curve. Let $\gamma_r(t) := \int_0^t \gamma' \gamma^{-1} d\tau : [0, 1] \mapsto M(d, \mathbb{C})$ be its right trace. One can define the distance between $A, B \in GL(d, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$d(A, B) := \inf_{\gamma(0)=A, \gamma(1)=B} \int_0^1 \|\gamma' \gamma^{-1}\| dt.$$

Given $q \in [1, \infty]$, the variational norm of a continuous curve $\Gamma : [0, 1] \mapsto M(d, \mathbb{C})$ is defined as

$$\|\Gamma\|_{V^q} := \begin{cases} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0=t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n=1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\Gamma(t_{j+1}) - \Gamma(t_j)\|^q \right)^{1/q}, & q < \infty, \\ \text{diam}(\Gamma), & q = \infty \end{cases}.$$

In [15], Lemma C.3., the authors proved, in particular, that

$$(17) \quad \|\gamma\|_{V^q} \leq \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q} + C_q \min(\|\gamma_r\|_{V^q}^q, \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q}^2) \quad \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{V^q} + C_q \min(\|\gamma\|_{V^q}^q, \|\gamma\|_{V^q}^2)$$

for every $q \in (1, 2)$ which gives

$$(18) \quad \|\gamma\|_{V^q} \leq \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q} + C_q \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q}^2, \quad \|\gamma_r\|_{V^q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{V^q} + C_q \|\gamma\|_{V^q}^2$$

for all $q \in (1, 2)$.

In [15], the authors use (17) along with a variational version of Menshov-Paley-Zygmund theorem to improve earlier results of Christ and Kiselev (see [3, 4] and [5], formula (1.3)). Now, consider the sequence $\{\Upsilon_n\}, \Upsilon_n \in GL(d, \mathbb{C}), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ where $\sup_n \|\Upsilon_n - I\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. One can define the piecewise smooth curve $\gamma(t), t \geq 0$ as the solution to $\gamma' = V\gamma, \gamma(0) = I$ where

$$V(t) := \log \Upsilon_j, \quad t \in [j, j+1), j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We notice that $V(t) = \Upsilon_j - I + \Delta_j, t \in [j, j+1)$ where $\|\Delta_j\| \sim \|\Upsilon_j - I\|^2$. Then, $\Upsilon_n \dots \Upsilon_0 = \gamma(n+1)$ and (17) can be applied to the product of matrices (the assumption made in [15], Lemma C.3 that the curve γ is smooth can be relaxed to piecewise smooth). Recall that $\text{dist}(I, A) \sim \log(1 + \|I - A\|)$ for $A \in SU(1, 1)$. Taking $\Upsilon_n = \Omega_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})$ as in (4), applying (18) to γ , and the variational Menshov-Paley-Zygmund theorem for Fourier series (see section B in [15] for Fourier integral version) gives us, in particular (compare with p. 461, [15]),

$$\|\log^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + O^2)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{T})} \leq_p \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)}$$

for $p \in (1, 2)$. Setting $p = 2$ in this estimate gives the conjectured bound (13).

3. SOME APPLICATIONS OF qNCC

We have

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\{\gamma_g\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and qNCC-II holds, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n(\xi)$ exists for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^*(\xi, \sigma) = D_\sigma^{-1}(\xi)$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. Assume qNCC-II holds. We will show that the sequence $\{\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})\}$ is Cauchy for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$. We have $\|\Pi_{n+p} - \Pi_n\| = \|(\Omega_{n+p} \cdot \dots \cdot \Omega_{n+1} - I)\Pi_n\| \leq O \cdot \|(\Omega_{n+p} \cdot \dots \cdot \Omega_{n+1} - I)\|$. Since $O(\xi) < \infty$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we only need to show that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} O_N(\xi) = 0, \quad O_N := \sup_{n \geq N, p \geq 1} \|\Omega_{n+p} \cdot \dots \cdot \Omega_{n+1} - I\|$$

for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$. Since

$$\int \log(1 + O_N^2) dm \stackrel{(\text{qNCC-II})}{\lesssim} \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\geq N)}^2,$$

we can apply Markov's inequality: for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : O_N(\xi) > \epsilon\}| \lesssim \frac{\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\geq N)}^2}{\log(1 + \epsilon^2)}.$$

Since $\{O_N\} \searrow \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} O_N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have $|\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} O_N(\xi) \geq \epsilon\}| = 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} O_N(\xi) = 0$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ and we have convergence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n$ by applying the Cauchy criterion. The formula (6) provides convergence of $\{\phi_n^*(\sigma, \xi)\}$ for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$. Since (see [11], Corollary 5.11)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \left| \frac{1}{\phi_n^*} - D_\sigma \right|^2 dm = 0,$$

we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^*(\xi, \sigma) = D_\sigma^{-1}(\xi)$ a.e. \square

It is known that all zeroes of $\phi_n(z, \sigma)$ are inside \mathbb{D} . The following theorem shows, in particular, that for the Szegő measures, the pointwise convergence of $|\phi_n|$ on \mathbb{T} is equivalent to the condition that its zeroes stay away from \mathbb{T} . To state this result, we need some notation first. Given a parameter $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and a point $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, define the Stolz angle $S_\rho^*(\xi)$ to be the convex hull of $\rho\mathbb{D}$ and ξ . Let $\{f_n\}$ denote the Schur iterates (see [11]) for the measure σ .

Theorem 3.2 (Bessonov-Denisov, [2]). *Let $\sigma \in Sz(\mathbb{T})$ and $Z(\phi_n) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \phi_n(z, \sigma) = 0\}$. Take any $a > 0$ and denote $r_{a,n} = 1 - a/n$. Then, for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n^*(\xi)|^2 = |D_\sigma^{-1}(\xi)|^2$,
- (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(Z(\phi_n), \xi) n = +\infty$,
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(r_{a,n}\xi) = 0$,
- (d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in S_\rho^*(\xi)} |f_n(z)| = 0$ for every $\rho \in (0, 1)$.

Our previous results imply the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that either $\{\gamma_g\} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ for some $p \in [1, 2)$ or $\{\gamma_g \log(g+2)\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, then (a)-(d) from the previous theorem hold for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.*

4. CONNECTIONS TO CARLESON-HUNT MAXIMAL FUNCTION AND $SU(1, 1)$ VERSION OF A THEOREM OF CALDERON AND STEIN

In conclusion, we put forward the weakened versions of qNCC-I and qNCC-II:

$$\int \sup_{n \geq 0} |\Phi_n(\xi, \sigma)|^2 d\sigma \leq 1 + \nu_1(\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}) \quad (\text{wqNCC - I})$$

and

$$\int \log \sup_{n \geq 0} \|\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})\| dm \leq \nu_2(\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}) \quad (\text{wqNCC - II})$$

assuming that $\|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} < \epsilon$ with some $\epsilon > 0$ and ν_1, ν_2 are certain functions that satisfy $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \nu_{1(2)}(t) = 0$. It is not hard to see that qNCC-I implies wqNCC-I, qNCC-II implies wqNCC-II, and wqNCC-I implies wqNCC-II. The converse statements are not known. It is also not known if these weak versions imply the NCC. The inequality in (9) shows that $O^2 \geq 2o_2^2$ and, therefore, $\int \log(1 + 2o_2^2) dm \leq \int \log(1 + O^2) dm$. The next result shows that the weakened version of qNCC implies the known Carleson-Hunt bound (10) for $p = 2$.

Theorem 4.1. *If there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that*

$$(19) \quad \int \log(1 + o_2^2) dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$$

for every sequence $\{\gamma_g\} : \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \epsilon$, then

$$(20) \quad \int \sup_n \left| \sum_{j \leq n} \gamma_j e^{ij\theta} \right|^2 dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$$

for every $\{\gamma_g\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$.

Proof. Fix any $\{\gamma_g\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. The map $b_n(\xi, \{\lambda\gamma_j\}) : (\xi, \lambda, \{\gamma_j\}_{j \leq n}) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}^{n+1} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ satisfies [20] the bound

$$\left| b_n(\xi, \{\lambda\gamma_j\}) - \lambda \sum_{j \leq n} \gamma_j \xi^j \right| \leq C(\{\gamma_g\}) |\lambda|^2$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Given any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a measurable map $N(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto \{0, \dots, N\}$, we get

$$\int \log(1 + |b_{N(\xi)}(\xi, \{\lambda\gamma_j\})|^2) dm \lesssim |\lambda|^2 \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2$$

from (19). As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$|\lambda|^2 \int \left| \sum_{j \leq N(\xi)} \gamma_j \xi^j \right|^2 dm + O(|\lambda|^3) \lesssim |\lambda|^2 \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

Hence,

$$\int \left| \sum_{j \leq N(\xi)} \gamma_j \xi^j \right|^2 dm \lesssim \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2.$$

Since N and $N(\xi)$ are arbitrary, we obtain (20). \square

The following result is classical (see [6], Section 2.1) and has many generalizations [17]. It predates Carleson's proof of Luzin's conjecture and is attributed to Calderon and to Stein.

Theorem 4.2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- A. For every $f \in L^2(0, 1)$, the sequence $\{\sum_{j=-n}^n \hat{f}_j e^{2\pi i j x}\}$ of partial Fourier sums converges for a.e. $x \in [0, 1)$
- B. We have the weak (2, 2) type estimate for the maximal function M_0 , i.e.,

$$|\{x \in [0, 1) : |(M_0 f)(x)| \geq \lambda\}| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\lambda^2}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $f \in L^2(0, 1)$.

Below, we will adjust its proof to obtain an $SU(1, 1)$ nonlinear version. We list some properties of the transform $\{\gamma_g\} \mapsto \{\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})\}$ we need. They are immediate from the definition (5). Firstly, for every $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$(21) \quad \Pi_n(\xi, \{\eta\gamma_g\}) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \overline{\eta b_n} \\ \eta b_n & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \|\Pi_n(\xi, \{\eta\gamma_g\}) - I\|_2 = \|\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\}) - I\|_2.$$

Secondly, suppose $\xi_0 = e^{i\alpha_0}$. Then,

$$(22) \quad \text{rotation by } \alpha_0 : \quad \Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g \xi_0^{-g}\}) = \Pi_n(\xi/\xi_0, \{\gamma_g\}).$$

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define the $\{\gamma_g^{[N]}\}$ by

$$\gamma_g^{[N]} := \begin{cases} 0, & g < N, \\ \gamma_{g-N}, & g \geq N \end{cases}.$$

The last property we need is

$$\text{the right shift by } N \text{ coordinates: } \Pi_{n+N}(\xi, \{\gamma_g^{[N]}\}) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \bar{b}_n \xi^{-N} \\ b_n \xi^N & a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that

$$(23) \quad \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \bar{b}_n \xi^{-N} \\ b_n \xi^N & a_n \end{bmatrix} - I \right\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \bar{b}_n \\ b_n & a_n \end{bmatrix} - I \right\|_2$$

and, therefore, for each $\eta, \xi_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, we get

$$(24) \quad \|\Pi_{n+N}(\xi, \{\eta \gamma_g^{[N]} \xi_0^{-g}\}) - I\|_2 \stackrel{(21) \pm (22)}{=} \|\Pi_n(\xi/\xi_0, \{\gamma_g\}) - I\|_2.$$

Consider the following function (recall (8) and our second conjecture in (13))

$$G(\alpha, \beta) := \sup_{\{\gamma_g\}: \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \beta} |\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : \log(1 + O^2(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})) \geq \alpha\}|.$$

Clearly, $G \leq |\mathbb{T}| = 2\pi$, it is increasing in β and decreasing in α .

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that for every $\{\gamma_g\} : \|\{\gamma_g\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the sequence $\{\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})\}$ converges for $\xi \in W(\{\gamma_g\}) \subset \mathbb{T}$, where the set $W(\{\gamma_g\})$ can depend on $\{\gamma_g\}$ and has positive Lebesgue measure. Then,*

$$(25) \quad G(\alpha, \beta) \leq_\alpha \beta^2$$

for every $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Conversely, if (25) holds for every $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then the sequence $\{\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g\})\}$ converges for a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Recall that the matrices Π_n in (5) satisfy $\|\Pi_n\| = \|\Pi_n^{-1}\|$ since $\Pi_n \in SU(1, 1)$. Therefore, we have

$$(26) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq N, p \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Omega_{n+p}(\xi, \{\gamma_g\}) \cdots \Omega_{n+1}(\xi, \{\gamma_g\}) - I\| = 0$$

for each $\xi \in W(\{\gamma_g\})$. We continue the proof by assuming that (25) fails for some α . Then, choosing the subsequence if necessary, we can find a sequence $\{\beta_j\} \downarrow 0, \beta_j \leq 2^{-j}$ such that

$$(27) \quad G(\alpha, \beta_j) \geq \beta_j^2 j^2.$$

Hence, for each j , there is $\{\gamma_g^{(j)}\}$ with finite support, i.e., $\gamma_g^{(j)} = 0$ for all $g \geq N_j$, such that $\|\gamma_g^{(j)}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \leq \beta_j$ and

$$(28) \quad |E_j| \geq \beta_j^2 j^2 / 2,$$

where

$$(29) \quad E_j := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : \log(1 + O^2(\xi, \{\gamma_g^{(j)}\})) \geq \alpha/2\}.$$

Notice that, since $\gamma_g^{(j)} = 0$ for $g \geq N_j$, we get $\sup_{n \geq 0} \log(1 + \|\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g^{(j)}\}) - I\|_2^2) = \sup_{n < N_j} \log(1 + \|\Pi_n(\xi, \{\gamma_g^{(j)}\}) - I\|_2^2)$. We claim that there is a sequence $\{d_j\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$(30) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} d_j \beta_j^2 < \infty, \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} d_j \beta_j^2 j^2 = \infty.$$

Indeed, it suffices to choose d_j such that $d_j \sim \beta_j^{-2} j^{-2}$ and recall that $\beta_j \leq 2^{-j}$. We consider the sequence of sets $\{E_p^*\}$ in which each set E_j is repeated d_j times, i.e.,

$$\{E_p^*\} := \underbrace{\{E_1, \dots, E_1\}}_{d_1}, \underbrace{\{E_2, \dots, E_2\}}_{d_2}, \dots,$$

so that $\sum_{p \geq 1} |E_p^*| \stackrel{(28) \pm (30)}{=} \infty$ and, therefore (see Lemma 2.1.2 in [6]), there is a sequence $\{\xi_p^*\}$, $\xi_p^* = e^{i\alpha_p^*} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that the shifted sets $\hat{E}_p := \{e^{i(\alpha_p^* + \beta)} : e^{i\beta} \in E_p^*\}$ satisfy $|\limsup_p \hat{E}_p| = |\mathbb{T}| = 2\pi$, where $\limsup_p \hat{E}_p := \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{s \geq j} \hat{E}_s$.

Our next goal is to use $\{\gamma_g^{(m)}\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ to construct $\{\gamma_g^*\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ for which the assumption of the Theorem is violated. Let $d_0 := 0, N_0 := 0, P_j := N_0 d_0 + \dots + N_j d_j, j \geq 0$. Then, for each \widehat{E}_p with $p = d_0 + \dots + d_j + s, 1 \leq s \leq d_{j+1}$, we let

$$\gamma_{\ell+(s-1)N_{j+1}+P_j}^* := \gamma_\ell^{(j+1)} \cdot \xi_p^{-\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq N_{j+1} - 1.$$

For such a choice, we can use (24) and (29) to obtain

$$\sup_{0 \leq \ell \leq N_{j+1}-1} \|\Omega_{\ell+(s-1)N_{j+1}+P_j}(\xi, \{\gamma_g^*\}) \cdot \dots \cdot \Omega_{(s-1)N_{j+1}+P_j}(\xi, \{\gamma_g^*\}) - I\|_2 \geq (e^{\alpha/2} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $\xi \in \widehat{E}_p$ and every p . Since $\|\{\gamma_g^*\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \stackrel{(30)}{<} \infty$, we have a contradiction with (26) for $\xi \in W(\{\gamma_g^*\}) \cap \limsup_p \widehat{E}_p \neq \emptyset$. The first claim of the theorem is proved. The proof of the second one is standard and repeats the argument from the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Michel Alexis, Gevorg Mnatsakanyan, and Christoph Thiele. Quantum signal processing and nonlinear Fourier analysis. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 37(3):655–694, 2024. [3](#)
- [2] Roman Bessonov and Sergey Denisov. Zero sets, entropy, and pointwise asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 280(12):Paper No. 109002, 38, 2021. [5](#)
- [3] Michael Christ and Alexander Kiselev. Maximal functions associated to filtrations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 179(2):409–425, 2001. [3, 4](#)
- [4] Michael Christ and Alexander Kiselev. WKB and spectral analysis of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly varying potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 218(2):245–262, 2001. [4](#)
- [5] Michael Christ and Alexander Kiselev. WKB asymptotic behavior of almost all generalized eigenfunctions for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 179(2):426–447, 2001. [4](#)
- [6] Miguel de Guzmán. *Real variable methods in Fourier analysis*. Notas de Matemática. [Mathematical Notes]. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 46. [6, 7](#)
- [7] Sergey Denisov and Liban Mohamed. Generalizations of Menchov-Rademacher theorem and existence of wave operators in Schrödinger evolution. *Canad. J. Math.*, 73(2):360–382, 2021. [3](#)
- [8] John B. Garnett. *Bounded analytic functions*, volume 96 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1981. [1](#)
- [9] Ole G. Jørsboe and Leif Mejlbro. *The Carleson-Hunt theorem on Fourier series*, volume 911 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. [3](#)
- [10] B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan. *Orthogonal series*, volume 75 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989. Translated from the Russian by Ralph P. Boas. [4](#)
- [11] Sergei Khrushchev. Schur’s algorithm, orthogonal polynomials, and convergence of Wall’s continued fractions in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. *J. Approx. Theory*, 108(2):161–248, 2001. [2, 5](#)
- [12] Vjekoslav Kovač, Diogo Oliveira e Silva, and Jelena Rupčić. A sharp nonlinear Hausdorff-Young inequality for small potentials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(1):239–253, 2019. [3](#)
- [13] Ferenc Móricz and Károly Tandori. An improved Menshov-Rademacher theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(3):877–885, 1996. [4](#)
- [14] Camil Muscalu, Terence Tao, and Christoph Thiele. A counterexample to a multilinear endpoint question of Christ and Kiselev. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(2-3):237–246, 2003. [3](#)
- [15] Richard Oberlin, Andreas Seeger, Terence Tao, Christoph Thiele, and James Wright. A variation norm Carleson theorem. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 14(2):421–464, 2012. [3, 4](#)
- [16] Barry Simon. *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1*, volume 54, Part 1 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. Classical theory. [1, 2](#)
- [17] E. M. Stein. On limits of sequences of operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:140–170, 1961. [6](#)
- [18] P. K. Suetin. V. A. Steklov’s problem in the theory of orthogonal polynomials. In *Mathematical analysis, Vol. 15 (Russian)*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 5–82. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuz. Inst. Naučn. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, 1977. [3, 4](#)
- [19] John Sylvester and Dale P. Winebrenner. Linear and nonlinear inverse scattering. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 59(2):669–699, 1999. [3](#)
- [20] Terence Tao, Christoph Thiele, and Ya-Ju Tsai. The nonlinear Fourier transform. *Lecture notes*. [2, 3, 6](#)

SERGEY DENISOV: DENISOV@WISC.EDU

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

480 LINCOLN DR., MADISON, WI, 53706, USA