## AM225: Assignment 2 (due 5 PM, March 4)

## Part I: ODE solution methods

*Complete at least one out of two problems in this section. If you submit answers for both, your grade will be calculated using the best score. Note: question 2 is harder than question 1.* 

- 1. Adaptive integration with a First Same As Last (FSAL) scheme. Write an adaptive Runge– Kutta integration scheme to solve an arbitrary ODE problem y' = f(x, y) for  $y(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , using the five step FSAL scheme described in the lectures. Your code should have the following properties:
  - Use the fourth-order solution  $y_1$  to step forward, and use the third-order  $\hat{y}_1$  for step size selection.
  - Use the step size selection procedure described in the slides. The parameters *fac*, *facmax*, and *facmin* from the slide can be used. For the tolerance, you can assume *Atol* and *Rtol* are the same for all components, rather than being specified on a per-component basis. Use an initial step size of h = 0.01.
  - Make your program count the number of function evaluations. Your program should be parsimonious in the number of function evaluations it performs, by reusing  $k_5$  from a successful step to be  $k_1$  of the next step, and by retaining  $k_1$  when a step is rejected.

Once your method is working, complete the following two test problems.

- (a) Test your program on the Brusselator test problem using  $Atol = Rtol = \lambda$ . By trying a range of  $\lambda$  from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-13}$  make a precision–work plot as in the lectures. In the program files, you will find the corresponding precision–work data for the four low-order methods considered in the lectures, and you may overlay these results on your plot to compare them.
- (b) Extend your code so that it does third-order dense output with Hermite interpolation at regular intervals. Test your code using the two-component system

$$y_1' = -xy_2, \qquad y_2' = xy_1$$
 (1)

with initial conditions  $y_1(0) = 1$ ,  $y_2(0) = 0$ . This problem has the exact solution  $y_1^{\text{exact}}(x) = \cos \frac{x^2}{2}$ ,  $y_2^{\text{exact}} = \sin \frac{x^2}{2}$ . Simulate to x = 8 using  $\lambda = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ , saving dense output at intervals of  $\frac{8}{1200}$ . Plot the numerically computed solutions  $y_1^{\text{num}}$  and  $y_2^{\text{num}}$ , showing the integration steps as points, and the dense output as lines. Make a second plot showing  $y_1^{\text{num}} - y_1^{\text{exact}}$  and  $y_2^{\text{num}} - y_2^{\text{exact}}$ .

- (c) **Optional.** The method is not sensitive to the initial step size choice of h = 0.01, since the adaptive procedure will automatically adjust it. However, Hairer *et al.* describe an algorithm for estimating the initial timestep. Extend your method to implement this.
- 2. A high-order adaptive integrator using Richardson extrapolation. Write an adaptive Runge– Kutta integration scheme by applying Richardson extrapolation to the fifth-order Cash–Karp scheme<sup>1</sup> in the lectures, thereby obtaining a sixth-order method. Starting from *y*<sub>0</sub>, let *y*<sub>1</sub> and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the purposes of this question, you can ignore the lower order Cash–Karp formulae, since here the aim is to use Richardson extrapolation for step size selection.

 $y_2$  be Cash–Karp steps with size  $\frac{h}{2}$ , and w be a Cash–Karp step of size h. Define the sixth-order solutions

$$\hat{y}_1 = y_1 + \frac{y_2 - w}{(2^p - 1)2}, \qquad \hat{y}_2 = y_2 + \frac{y_2 - w}{2^p - 1}.$$
 (2)

Your program should use the same step size selection procedure as from Question 1.<sup>2</sup> It should count the number of function evaluations and be as parsimonious as possible. Use  $y_2 - \hat{y}_2$  for step size selection, and use  $\hat{y}_2$  to advance forward in *x*.

- (a) Repeat Question 1(a) for this method.
- (b) Extend your code so that it computes dense output at regular intervals, based on quintic polynomial interpolation using  $y_0$ ,  $f(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\hat{y}_1$ ,  $f(x_0 + h, y_1)$ ,  $\hat{y}_2$ , and  $f(x_0 + 2h, \hat{y}_2)$ .<sup>3</sup> Repeat the two-component test from Question 1(b).

## Part II: ODE applications and analysis

*Complete at least three out of five* problems in this section. If you submit answers for more, your grade will be calculated using the three best scores.

- 3. **Order condition trees.** Write a program to enumerate all trees of a given order. Provide a list of the number of trees up to order 15.<sup>4</sup> Extend your program so that it can visualize the trees in some format of your choice, and use it to show all trees of order 7.
- 4. Error analysis of a Richardson extrapolation scheme.
  - (a) Show that Richardson extrapolation applied to the second-order Ralston method can be reformulated as a five-step, third-order Runge–Kutta method, and find its Butcher tableau.
  - (b) The third-order Heun method has Butcher tableau

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & & \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & \\ 2/3 & 0 & 2/3 & \\ \hline & 1/4 & 0 & 3/4 \end{array}$$

For both the Heun method, and your method from part (a), determine the error coefficients e(t) for all trees t of order 4, reporting your answers as rational numbers.

(c) Show that one of the methods has universally smaller error magnitudes |e(t)| than the other. Once the difference in the number of function evaluations is taken into account, will that method be better for practical calculations?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Since Richardson extrapolation requires taking two timesteps of size h/2, you may encounter NaNs for a large choice of h. You code should reject that step and try again with  $h \times facmin$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that the derivative  $f(x_0 + h, y_1)$  can be used. It is not necessary to evaluate  $f(x_0 + h, \hat{y}_1)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In the lecture slides you will find the number of trees up to order 10, which you can use to check your solutions.

5. A generalized Kuramoto model.<sup>5</sup> A recent paper by O'Keeffe *et al.*<sup>6</sup> explores a model for swarming and synchronization behavior. In the model, we consider N agents with positions  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  and internal phases  $\theta_i(t)$ , which move according to the differential equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i} + \frac{1}{N} \left[ \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{i}}{|\mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{i}|} (A + J\cos(\theta_{j} - \theta_{i})) - B \frac{\mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{i}}{|\mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{i}|^{2}} \right],$$
(3)

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i + \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{\sin(\theta_j - \theta_i)}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i|}$$
(4)

where *J* and *K* are constants, and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\omega_i$  can be individually controlled for each agent. By rescaling time and space, we can restrict attention to the case when A = B = 1.

(a) By making use of your favorite adaptive integrator with dense output<sup>7</sup> solve Eqs. 3 & 4 using N = 1250 agents. Set  $\mathbf{v}_i = \omega_i = 0$  for all agents. Simulate from t = 0 to t = 200, and use dense output to save the positions at *n* equally-spaced intervals, where  $N \ge 401$ . Use  $Atol = Rtol = 10^{-6}$  in your adaptive integration routine.

Use initial conditions of random positions in the unit disk,  $||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1$ , and random phases over  $[0, 2\pi)$ . Visualize the agents as dots that are colored according to their phase. A suggested color palette is

$$(R, G, B) = (f(\theta), f(\theta - 2\pi/3), f(\theta + 2\pi/3))$$

where  $f(\theta) = 0.45(1 + \cos \theta)$ . Simulate the model with the following parameters:

- i. J = 0.5, K = 0.5,
- ii. J = 0.3, K = -0.2,
- iii. J = 1, K = -0.2.

For each case, state the total number of timesteps taken. Either

- include snapshots after t = 10, 20, 50, 200,
- or make a movie of the snapshots.
- (b) Simulate at least one possible variation. Examples include: (i) changing  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\omega_i$ , (ii) simulating two systems to steady state and then making a new initial condition with both superimposed, and (iii) implementing the method in 3D.<sup>8</sup>
- (c) **Optional.** Extend your code to calculate right hand sides of Eqs. 3 & 4 using OpenMP. Note that the influence of actor A on actor B is equal and opposite to the influence of actor B on actor A. Structure your code so that it only considers each pair once.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This question was suggested by Nick Boffi (boffi@g.harvard.edu), and could be the basis for a final project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>K. P. O'Keeffe, H. Hong, and S. H. Strogatz, *Oscillators that sync and swarm*, Nat. Commun. **8**, 1504 (2017). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01190-3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>You could use your code from Q1 or Q2. You could use the DOP853 implementation found in the course example codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See O'Keeffe *et al.* to see how they alter the strengths of the terms in 3D.

6. Symplectic integration for galactic dynamics. The following fifth-order IRK method due to Geng is symplectic, meaning that it exactly preserves the Hamiltonian H(p,q) for a Hamiltonian system:

$\frac{4-\sqrt{6}}{10}$	$\frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{72}$	$\frac{328 - 167 \sqrt{6}}{1800}$	$\frac{-2+3\sqrt{6}}{450}$
$\frac{4+\sqrt{6}}{10}$	$\frac{328 + 167\sqrt{6}}{1800}$	$\frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{72}$	$\frac{-2-3\sqrt{6}}{450}$
1	$\frac{85-10\sqrt{6}}{180}$	$\frac{85+10\sqrt{6}}{180}$	$\frac{1}{18}$
	$\frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36}$	$\frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36}$	$\frac{1}{9}$

A simple model for the movement of star in a galaxy is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2}{2} + \Omega(p_1q_2 - p_2q_1) + V(\mathbf{q}),$$
(5)

where the star's position is  $\mathbf{q}(t) = (q_1(t), q_2(t), q_3(t))$  and its momentum is  $\mathbf{p}(t) = (p_1(t), p_2(t), p_3(t))$ . Here,  $\Omega$  is the galaxy's velocity, and *V* is the gravitational potential, which is approximated as

$$V(\mathbf{q}) = A \log \left( C + \frac{q_1^2}{a^2} + \frac{q_2^2}{b^2} + \frac{q_3^2}{c^2} \right).$$
(6)

We use non-dimensionalized parameters a = 1.25, b = 1, c = 0.75, A = 1, C = 1,  $\Omega = 0.25$ . The Hamiltonian differential equation system is given by

$$\dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \qquad \dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$$
(7)

for i = 1, 2, 3. Initial conditions are given by  $q_2(0) = q_3(0) = p_1(0) = 0$ ,  $q_1(0) = 2.5$ , and  $p_3(0) = 0.2$ . The remaining momentum coordinate is chosen to be the larger of the two roots that yields H = 2.

- (a) Implement Geng's method, and test it on an ODE of your choice to verify that it is fifth-order accurate.<sup>9</sup> Make a convergence plot demonstrating fifth-order accuracy.
- (b) Simulate the galaxy ODE system up to t = 2000 using a step size of 1/20 and make a 3D plot of the trajectory. Plot the Hamiltonian up to t = 2000.
- (c) Simulate up  $t = 10^5$  and make a Poincaré map by tracking all intersections with the half-plane  $q_1 > 0$ ,  $q_2 = 0$ , where  $\dot{q}_2 > 0$ . You can find the intersection points by approximating the trajectory as a linear segments between successive timesteps.
- Integrating ODEs with discontinuities. Consider the two-component ODE system for functions x(t) and y(t) given by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge |y|, \\ -y & \text{if } |x| < |y|, \end{cases}$$
(8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>You do not need to test the method on a symplectic ODE system.

and

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| \ge |y|, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| < |y|. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Use the initial condition x(0) = 1 and y(0) = 0.

- (a) Calculate the analytical solutions of x(t) and y(t). Show that they are periodic, and find the period.
- (b) Simulate the ODE system in Eqs. 8 and 9 to  $t = 48 + e^{-1}$ , using the classic fixed-step fourth-order Runge–Kutta (RK4) method. Make a work–precision plot using a range of total step numbers from  $10^3$  to  $10^7$ . Your calculation of precision should be based on the difference between the numerical solution and the exact solution from part (a). Is the convergence data consistent with RK4 being fourth-order accurate? If not, why not?
- (c) Repeat part (b) with your favorite adaptive integrator, using *Rtol* = 0, and a range of absolute tolerances of *Atol* ∈ [10<sup>-12</sup>, 10<sup>-2</sup>]. Overlay the results on the work–precision plot from part (b).
- (d) **Optional.** Consider the variant ODE system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge |y|, \\ -\operatorname{sign}(y) & \text{if } |x| < |y|, \end{cases}$$
(10)

and

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign}(x) & \text{if } |x| \ge |y|, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| < |y|, \end{cases}$$
(11)

with initial conditions x(0) = 1 and y(0) = 0. Here

$$sign(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$
(12)

Find the exact solution for this ODE system. Repeat the convergence analysis from parts (b) and (c), and compare the work–precision plots.