A product of  $\gamma$ -sets which is not Menger.

A. Miller Dec 2009

**Theorem.** Assume CH. Then there exists  $\gamma$ -sets  $A_0, A_1 \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  such that  $A_0 \times A_1$  is not Menger.

We use perfect sets determined by Silver forcing (see Grigorieff [3]) and construct an Aronszajn-tree of such perfect sets in the style of Todorcevic (see Galvin-Miller [2]).

Define  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  iff  $p: D \to 2$  where  $D \subseteq \omega$  is co-infinite, i.e.,  $\overline{D} = \omega \setminus D$  is infinite. For  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  define

$$[p] = \{ x \in 2^{\omega} : p \subseteq x \}.$$

Define  $p \leq q$  iff<sup>1</sup>  $p \supseteq q$  or equivalently  $[p] \subseteq [q]$ .

For  $n < \omega$  define  $p \leq_n q$  iff  $p \leq q$  and the first *n* elements of  $\overline{D_p}$  are the same as the first *n* elements of  $\overline{D_q}$ . The fusion property is important:

**Fusion Lemma**. Suppose  $(p_n \in \mathbb{P} : n < \omega)$  has the property that  $p_{n+1} \leq_n p_n$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Then the fusion  $q = \bigcup_{n < \omega} p_n$  is in  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $q \leq_n p_n$  for every n.

Define

$$Q^0(p) = \{ x \in [p] : \forall^{\infty} n \in \overline{D_p} \ x(n) = 0 \}$$

and

$$Q^{1}(p) = \{ x \in [p] : \forall^{\infty} n \in \overline{D_{p}} \ x(n) = 1 \}.$$

Each of these are countable dense subsets of [p].

Define  $q \leq_n^0 p$  iff  $q \leq_n p$  and q restricted to  $D_q \setminus D_p$  is identically zero.

**Lemma 1** Given  $\mathcal{U}$  an  $\omega$ -cover of  $Q^0(p)$  and  $n < \omega$  there exist  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $q \leq_n^0 p$  such that  $[q] \subseteq U$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We follow the convention that  $p \leq q$  means that p is stronger than q or equivalently that p extends q.

## Proof

To see why this is true let F be the first n elements of  $\overline{D_p}$ . For each  $s \in 2^F$  let  $x_s \in Q^0(p)$  such that  $x_s \upharpoonright F = s$  and  $x_s(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in \overline{D_p} \setminus F$ . Take  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\{x_s : s \in 2^F\} \subseteq U$ . Since U is open there exists  $N < \omega$  with  $[x_s \upharpoonright N] \subseteq U$  for all  $s \in 2^F$ . Define  $q \leq_n^0 p$  by

$$q = p \cup \{ \langle k, 0 \rangle : k < N \text{ and } k \in (\overline{D_p} \setminus F) \}$$

QED

**Lemma 2** Given  $p_n \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $k_n < \omega$  for n < N and an  $\omega$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\bigcup_{n < N} Q^0(p_n)$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $(q_n \leq_{k_n}^0 p_n : n < N)$  such that

$$\bigcup_{n < N} [q_n] \subseteq U$$

Proof

Let  $F_n$  be the first  $k_n$  elements of  $\overline{D_{p_n}}$ . For  $s \in 2^{F_n}$  define  $x_s^n \in Q^0(p_n)$  as in the proof of Lemma 1. Let  $H \subseteq \bigcup_{n < N} Q^0(p_n)$  be a finite set containing all such  $x_s^n$ . Choose  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $H \subseteq U$  and determine the  $q_n$  for n < N as in Lemma 1. QED

Remark. Note that if  $q \leq_k^0 p$  then  $Q^0(q) \subseteq Q^0(p)$  and hence any  $\omega$ -cover of  $Q^0(p)$  is still an  $\omega$ -cover of  $Q^0(q)$ . In these two lemmas, the q we obtain are also equal mod finite to the p, which also implies this.

**Lemma 3** Given  $(p_n, k_n : n \in \omega)$  elements of  $\mathbb{P} \times \omega$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_n : n < \omega)$ which are  $\omega$ -covers of  $Q = \bigcup_{n < \omega} Q^0(p_n)$  there exists  $(U_m \in \mathcal{U}_m : m < \omega)$  and  $(q_n \leq_{k_n} p_n : n \in \omega)$  such that

$$\forall n < \omega \ \forall m \ge n \ [q_n] \subseteq U_m.$$

Proof

Construct  $(q_n^m : n, m < \omega)$  and  $(U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n : n < \omega)$  inductively. Given  $(q_n^m : n < \omega)$  and  $(U_n : n < m)$ , we construct  $q_n^{m+1}$  and  $U_m \in \mathcal{U}_m$  so that

1.  $q_n^{m+1} = p_n$  for  $n \ge m+1$ ,

- 2.  $q_n^{m+1} \leq_{k_n+m}^0 q_n^m$  for  $n \leq m$ , and
- 3.  $[q_n^{m+1}] \subseteq U_m$  for  $n \le m$ .

Then we let  $q_n = \bigcup_{m>n} q_n^m$  be the fusion. We have that  $q_n \leq_{k_n} q_n^n = p_n$  and  $[q_n] \subseteq U_m$  whenever  $m \geq n$ . QED

Remark. Obviously, the analogue of this Lemma for  $Q^1$  is also true.

**Lemma 4** Suppose  $(p_n, k_n : n \in \omega)$  are elements of  $\mathbb{P} \times \omega$ . Then there exists  $(q_n \leq_{k_n} p_n : n \in \omega)$  such that for  $n \neq m$ ,  $q_n$  and  $q_m$  are strongly disjoint, *i.e.*, there are infinitely many  $k \in (D_{q_n} \cap D_{q_m})$  with  $q_n(k) \neq q_m(k)$ .

Proof

Given  $p_1, p_2$  and n it is easy to find  $q_1 \leq_n p_1$  and  $q_2 \leq_n p_2$  which are strongly disjoint. A fusion argument produces a sequence  $(q_n : n < \omega)$  where all pairs have been considered and made strongly disjoint. QED

Now we construct the Aronszajn tree of Silver conditions. Let  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  list all  $\omega$  sequences of countable families of open subsets of  $2^{\omega}$ . Make sure that each such sequence occurs as a  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  for both  $\alpha$  even and  $\alpha$ -odd.

We can construct a tree  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega_1}$  and  $(p_s \in \mathbb{P} : s \in T)$  which has the following properties.

- 1.  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega_1}$  is a subtree, i.e.,  $s \subseteq t \in T$  implies  $s \in T$ .
- 2.  $T_{\alpha} = T \cap \omega^{\alpha}$  is countable for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .
- 3.  $s \subseteq t \in T$  implies  $p_t \leq p_s$ .
- 4. If  $s, t \in T$  are incomparable, then  $p_s$  and  $p_t$  are strongly disjoint (as in Lemma 4).
- 5. For any  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$  and any  $s \in T_{\alpha}$  and  $n < \omega$  there exists  $t \in T_{\beta}$  with  $p_t \leq_n p_s$ .
- 6. Define

$$Q^0_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ Q^0(p_t) : t \in T_{\leq \alpha} \} \text{ and } Q^1_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ Q^1(p_t) : t \in T_{\leq \alpha} \}.$$

(a) For  $\alpha$  an even ordinal, if  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = (\mathcal{U}_{n}^{\alpha} : n < \omega)$  is a sequence of  $\omega$ -covers of  $Q_{\alpha}^{0}$  then there exists a sequence  $(U_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{\alpha} : n < \omega)$  which is a  $\gamma$ -cover of

$$Q^0_{\alpha} \cup \bigcup \{ [p_s] : s \in T_{\alpha+1} \}$$

- (b) For  $\alpha$  odd, the analogous statement is true but with  $Q^1_{\alpha}$  in place of  $Q^0_{\alpha}$ .
- 7. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the family of  $f \in \omega^{\omega}$  such that for some  $s \in T$  the function  $f : \omega \to \overline{D_{p_s}}$  is the unique order preserving bijection. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a dominating family, i.e., for all  $g \in \omega^{\omega}$  there exists  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  such that g(n) < f(n) for all  $n < \omega$ .

To construct  $T_{\lambda}$  and  $p_s$  for  $s \in T_{\lambda}$  where  $\lambda$  is a countable limit ordinal, proceed as follows. For any  $s \in T_{<\lambda}$  and  $N < \omega$  choose a strictly increasing sequence  $\lambda_n$  cofinal in  $\lambda$  with  $s = s_0 \in T_{\lambda_0}$ . By inductive hypothesis we can find  $s_n \in T_{\lambda_n}$  with  $p_{s_{n+1}} \leq_{N+n} p_{s_n}$ . Take  $p_t$  for  $t = \bigcup_{n < \omega} s_n$  to be the fusion of this sequence. Repeat countably many times, to take care of all  $s \in T_{<\lambda}$ and  $N < \omega$ .

At successor stages for  $\alpha$  even, check to see if  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  is an  $\omega$ -sequence of  $\omega$ -covers of  $Q^0_{\alpha}$ . If it is not, we need never worry about it since the set we are building will contain  $Q^0_{\alpha}$ . If it is, let  $\{x_n : n < \omega\} = Q^0_{\alpha}$  and let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{ U \in \mathcal{U}_n^\alpha : \{ x_i : i < n \} \subseteq U \}.$$

Let  $(p_n, k_n : n < \omega)$  list all elements of

$$\{p_s: s \in T_\alpha\} \times \omega$$

with infinite repetitions and apply Lemma 3 followed by Lemma 4. From the resulting sequence we may find for each  $s \in T_{\alpha}$  and  $n < \omega$  a distinct condition  $q \leq_n p_s$  which we label  $p_{s \wedge \langle n \rangle}$ . Obtaining the last condition (7), is easy (in fact, it seems hard to avoid), and is left to the reader.

The  $\gamma$  sets are the sets:

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{s \in T} Q^0(p_s)$$
 and  $A_1 = \bigcup_{s \in T} Q^1(p_s).$ 

For  $x \in A_0$  and  $y \in A_1$  we note that there are infinitely many n with  $x(n) \neq y(n)$ . To see this note that if  $x \in Q^0(p_s)$  and  $y \in Q^1(p_t)$ , and s and t are incomparable, then  $p_s$  and  $p_t$  are strongly disjoint. On the other hand, if they are comparable, for example,  $s \subseteq t$ , then since  $p_t \leq p_s$ , we have that  $\overline{D_{p_t}} \subseteq \overline{D_{p_s}}$ . So for all but finitely many  $n \in \overline{D_{p_t}}$  we will have that y(n) = 1 and x(n) = 0.

Condition (7) gives us a continuous map from  $A_0 \times A_1$  onto a dominating family  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ . Namely, if  $x_0 \in Q^0(p_s)$  is identically zero on  $\overline{D}_{p_s}$  and  $x_1 \in Q^1(p_s)$  identically one on  $\overline{D}_{p_s}$ , then  $\overline{D}_{p_s} = \{n : x_0(n) \neq x_1(n)\}$ . So the continuous map is  $\phi : A_0 \times A_1 \to \omega^{\omega}$  defined by  $\phi(x, y) = f$  where f is the unique order preserving map from  $\omega$  to the infinite set  $\{n : x(n) \neq y(n)\}$ . QED

Remark. Our result also shows that assuming CH there are Borel-cover  $\gamma$ -sets whose product is not Menger. To see this note the following:

**Lemma 5** Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , and  $B \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  a Borel set. Then there exists  $q \leq_n p$  such that  $[q] \cap B$  is clopen in [q].

Proof

Let F be the first n elements of  $\overline{D_p}$  and let  $\phi : \omega \to (\overline{D_p} \setminus F)$  be a bijection. For  $X \subseteq \omega$  let  $\psi_X : (\overline{D_p} \setminus F) \to 2$  be the restriction of the characteristic function of  $\phi(X)$ . For each  $s \in 2^F$  define

$$C_s = \{ X \in [\omega]^{\omega} : (p \cup s \cup \psi_X) \in B \}.$$

Since these are Borel sets by the Galvin-Prikry Theorem [1] there exists  $H \in [\omega]^{\omega}$  such that for each  $s \in 2^{F}$  either  $[H]^{\omega} \subseteq C_{s}$  or  $[H]^{\omega} \cap C_{s} = \emptyset$ . Let  $H_{1} \subseteq H$  be infinite such that  $H \setminus H_{1}$  is also infinite. Let

$$q = p \cup (\phi(H) \times \{0\}) \cup (\phi(H_1) \times \{1\}).$$

Note that  $\overline{D_q} = F \cup \phi(H \setminus H_1)$ . We claim that given any  $x, y \in [q]$  if  $x \upharpoonright F = y \upharpoonright F = s$ , then  $x \in B$  iff  $y \in B$ . Letting  $H_x = \phi^{-1}(x^{-1}(1))$  we see that  $H_1 \subseteq H_x \subseteq H$  and so  $H_x$  is an infinite subset of H. Similarly for  $H_y$ . By choice of H we have that  $H_x \in C_s$  iff  $H_y \in C_s$  and so the claim follows. QED

Modify our construction in the open cover case as follows. Let  $B_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \omega_1$  list all Borel sets. At the end of each stage  $\beta$  apply Lemma 5 accross the level to make sure that  $[p_s] \cap B_{\beta}$  is relatively clopen for each  $s \in T_{\beta+1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = (\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{n} : n < \omega)$  for  $\alpha < \omega_{1}$  all  $\omega$  sequences of countable families of Borel sets. We may assume that each element of  $\bigcup \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$  has already been listed as a  $B_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ .

At successor stages for  $\alpha$  even, check to see if  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} = (\mathcal{B}_{n}^{\alpha} : n < \omega)$  is an  $\omega$ -sequence of countable Borel  $\omega$ -covers of  $Q_{\alpha}^{0}$ . If it is not, we need never worry about it since the set we are building will contain  $Q_{\alpha}^{0}$ . If it is, let  $\{x_{n} : n < \omega\} = Q_{\alpha}^{0}$  and let

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{ U \in \mathcal{B}_n^\alpha : \{ x_i : i < n \} \subseteq U \}.$$

Since the elements of each  $\mathcal{B}_n$  are relatively open in the  $[p_s]$  the rest of the argument is the same as in the open case.

## References

- Galvin, Fred; Prikry, Karel; Borel sets and Ramsey's theorem. J. Symbolic Logic 38 (1973), 193–198.
- [2] Galvin, Fred; Miller, Arnold W.; γ-sets and other singular sets of real numbers. Topology Appl. 17 (1984), no. 2, 145–155.
- [3] Grigorieff, Serge; Combinatorics on ideals and forcing. Ann. Math. Logic 3 (1971), no. 4, 363–394.