

# Vitali sets and Hamel bases that are Marczewski measurable

by Arnold W. Miller and Strashimir G. Popvassilev<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

We give examples of a Vitali set and a Hamel basis which are Marczewski measurable and perfectly dense. The Vitali set example answers a question posed by Jack Brown. We also show there is a Marczewski null Hamel basis for the reals, although a Vitali set cannot be Marczewski null. The proof of the existence of a Marczewski null Hamel basis for the plane is easier than for the reals and we give it first. We show that there is no easy way to get a Marczewski null Hamel basis for the reals from one for the plane by showing that there is no one-to-one additive Borel map from the plane to the reals.

## Basic definitions

A subset  $A$  of a complete separable metric space  $X$  is called *Marczewski measurable* if for every perfect set  $P \subseteq X$  either  $P \cap A$  or  $P \setminus A$  contains a perfect set. Recall that a *perfect set* is a non-empty closed set without isolated points, and a *Cantor set* is a homeomorphic copy of the Cantor middle-third set. If every perfect set  $P$  contains a perfect subset which misses  $A$ , then  $A$  is called *Marczewski null*. The class of Marczewski measurable sets, denoted by  $(s)$ , and the class of Marczewski null sets, denoted by  $(s^0)$ , were defined by Marczewski [10], where it was shown that  $(s)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, i.e.  $X \in (s)$  and  $(s)$  is closed under complements and countable unions, and  $(s^0)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal in  $(s)$ , i.e.  $(s^0)$  is closed under countable unions and subsets. Several equivalent definitions and important properties of  $(s)$  and  $(s^0)$  were proved in [10], for example every analytic set is Marczewski

---

<sup>1</sup>The second author was supported by the Bulgarian Foundation EVRIKA (I.3 IB-85/22.07.1997, 02.09.1997) and by a GTA Fellowship from Auburn University.

Math Review Subject Classification: 03E15, 26A21, 28A05, 54H05

measurable, the properties  $(s)$  and  $(s^0)$  are preserved under “generalized homeomorphisms” (also called Borel bijections), i.e. one-to-one onto functions  $f$  such that both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are Borel measurable (i.e. pre-images of open sets are Borel), a countable product is in  $(s)$  if and only if each factor is in  $(s)$ , and a finite product is in  $(s^0)$  if and only if each factor is in  $(s^0)$ .

The *perfect kernel* of a closed set  $F$  is the set of all  $a \in F$  such that  $U \cap F$  is uncountable for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$ .

A set is *totally imperfect* iff it contains no perfect subset. A totally imperfect set of reals cannot contain uncountable closed set, so it must have inner Lebesgue measure zero. A set  $B$  is called *Bernstein set* if every perfect set intersects both  $B$  and the complement of  $B$ , or, equivalently, both  $B$  and its complement are totally imperfect. Clearly, no Bernstein set can be Marczewski measurable.

A set  $A$  is *perfectly dense* iff its intersection with every nonempty open set contains a perfect set.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of all *real numbers* and  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the set of all *rational numbers*. We use  $\mathfrak{c}$  to denote the cardinality of the continuum.

The *linear closure* (or span) over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of a non-empty set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is the set

$$\text{span}(A) = \{q_1 a_1 + \dots + q_n a_n : n < \omega, q_j \in \mathbb{Q}, a_j \in A\}$$

and  $\text{span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ .  $A$  is called *linearly independent* over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $q_1 a_1 + \dots + q_n a_n \neq 0$  whenever  $n < \omega$ ,  $q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  with  $q_j \neq 0$  for at least one  $j$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are different points from  $A$ . A linearly independent set  $H$  such that  $\mathbb{R} = \text{span}(H)$  is called a *Hamel basis*. Note a Hamel basis must have cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ . The inner Lebesgue measure of any Hamel basis  $H$  is zero (Sierpinski [8] see also Erdos [2]). A Hamel basis can have Lebesgue measure 0 (see Jones [4], or Kuczma Chapter 11 [6]).

A Hamel basis  $H$  which intersects every perfect set is called a *Burstin set* [1]. Every Burstin set  $H$  is also a Bernstein set, otherwise if  $P \subseteq H$  for some perfect set  $P$ , by the linear independence of  $H$  it follows that  $H \cap 2P = \emptyset$  (where  $2P = \{2p : p \in P\}$ ), a contradiction since  $2P$  is a perfect set.

A Burstin set can be constructed as follows. List all perfect subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  as

$$\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\},$$

pick a non-zero  $p_0 \in P_0$  and using that

$$|\text{span}(A)| \leq |A| + \omega < \mathfrak{c} \quad \text{if } |A| < \mathfrak{c}$$

and  $|P_\alpha| = \mathfrak{c}$  for each  $\alpha$ , pick by induction

$$p_\alpha \in P_\alpha \setminus \text{span}(\{p_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$$

and let  $H_\mathfrak{c} = \{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ . If  $H$  is a maximal linearly independent set with  $H_\mathfrak{c} \subseteq H$ , then  $H$  is a Hamel basis.

A set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is called a *Vitali set* if  $V$  is a complete set of representatives (or a transversal) for the equivalence relation defined by  $x \sim y$  iff  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a unique  $v \in V$  such that  $x - v \in \mathbb{Q}$ . No Vitali set is Lebesgue measurable or, has the Baire property. One may construct a Vitali set which is a Bernstein set.

### Perfectly dense Marczewski measurable Vitali set

Recall that an equivalence relation on a space  $X$  is called Borel if it is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ . The Vitali equivalence  $\sim$  as defined above is Borel. We first show that a Vitali set cannot be Marczewski null.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $X$  is an uncountable separable completely metrizable space with a Borel equivalence relation,  $\equiv$ , on it with every equivalence class countable. Then, if  $V \subseteq X$  meets each equivalence class in exactly one element,  $V$  cannot be Marczewski null.*

Proof: By a theorem of Feldman and Moore [3] every such Borel equivalence relation is induced by a Borel action of a countable group. This implies that there are countably many Borel bijections  $f_n : X \rightarrow X$  for  $n \in \omega$  such that  $x \equiv y$  iff  $f_n(x) = y$  for some  $n$ . If  $V$  were Marczewski null, then

$$X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} f_n(V)$$

would be Marczewski null.

□

To obtain a Marczewski measurable Vitali set we will use the following theorem:

**Theorem 2** (Silver [9]) *If  $E$  is a coanalytic equivalence relation on the space of all real numbers and  $E$  has uncountably many equivalence classes, then there is a perfect set of mutually  $E$ -inequivalent reals (in other words, an  $E$ -independent perfect set). In the case of a Borel equivalence relation  $E$ , one can drop the requirement that the field of the equivalence be the whole set of reals.*

If  $E \subseteq X \times X$  is a Borel equivalence relation, where  $X$  is an uncountable separable completely metrizable space, and  $B$  is a Borel subset of  $X$ , then the saturation of  $B$ ,  $[B]_E = \bigcup_{x \in B} [x]_E$ , is analytic since it is the projection into the second coordinate of the Borel set  $(B \times X) \cap E$ . The saturation need not be Borel, for example let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  whose projection  $\pi_1(B)$  into the first coordinate is not Borel. Define  $(x, y)E(u, v)$  iff  $x = u$  (i.e. two points are equivalent if they lie on the same vertical line). Then  $[B]_E = \pi_1(B) \times \mathbb{R}$  is not Borel. On the other hand, if  $E$  is a Borel equivalence with each equivalence class countable, and  $f_n$  are as in the proof of Theorem 1, then the saturation  $[B]_E = \bigcup_{n < \omega} f_n(B)$  of every Borel set  $B$  is Borel.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose  $X$  is an uncountable separable completely metrizable space with a Borel equivalence relation  $E$ . Then there exists Marczewski measurable  $V \subseteq X$  which meets each equivalence class in exactly one element.*

Proof: Let  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  list all perfect subsets of  $X$ . We will describe how to construct disjoint  $C_\alpha$ , each  $C_\alpha$  either countable (possibly finite or empty) or a Cantor set such that the set  $V_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$  is  $E$ -independent. Then extend the set  $V_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} C_\alpha$  to a maximal  $E$ -independent set  $V$ .

Case (a). If  $P_\alpha \cap [C_\beta]_E$  is uncountable for some  $\beta < \alpha$ , then let  $C_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

Subcase (a1).  $|P_\alpha \cap C_\beta| > \omega$ . Then the perfect kernel of  $P_\alpha \cap C_\beta$  is contained in both  $P_\alpha$  and  $V_\alpha$  (and hence in  $V$ ).

Subcase (a2).  $|P_\alpha \cap C_\beta| = \omega$ . Then, since  $P_\alpha \cap [C_\beta]_E \setminus C_\beta$  is uncountable analytic, it contains a perfect set  $Q$  which misses  $V$ .

Case (b). Not case (a). Then  $|P_\alpha \cap [V_\alpha]_E| = |P_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} [C_\beta]_E| \leq |\alpha|\omega < \mathfrak{c}$ , and hence  $P_\alpha \setminus [V_\alpha]_E$  contains a Cantor set  $P$ .

Subcase (b1). The restriction of  $E$  to  $P$  has only countably many classes. Let  $C_\alpha$  be a countable  $E$ -independent subset of  $P$  with  $P \subseteq [C_\alpha]_E$ . Then  $P \setminus C_\alpha$  contains a perfect set, which misses  $V$ .

Subcase (b2). Case (b) but not case (b1). Then, by the above theorem of Silver, there is a perfect  $E$ -independent set  $C_\alpha \subseteq P$  (and  $C_\alpha \subseteq V$ ).

□

**Remark 4** *The Vitali equivalence shows that a Borel equivalence need not have a transversal that is Lebesgue measurable or has the Baire property. See Kechris [5] 18.D for more on transversals of Borel equivalences.*

**Theorem 5** *There exists a Vitali set which is Marczewski measurable and its intersection with each non-empty open set contains a perfect set.*

Proof: By Theorem 3 there is a Marczewski measurable Vitali set  $V$ , and by Theorem 1,  $V$  contains a perfect set  $C$ . Split  $C$  into countably many Cantor sets  $C_0, C_1, \dots$ , fix a basis  $\{B_n : n < \omega\}$  for the topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  and pick rational numbers  $q_n$  so that the set  $q_n + C_n = \{q_n + c : c \in C_n\}$  intersects  $B_n$  for each  $n$ . Then

$$V' = (V \setminus C) \cup \bigcup \{(q_n + C_n) : n < \omega\}$$

is a perfectly dense Marczewski measurable Vitali set.

□

**Remark 6** *A Vitali set  $V$  cannot have the stronger property that its intersection with every perfect set contains a perfect set. This is because if  $V$  contains the perfect set  $P$ , then the perfect set*

$$P' = P + 1 = \{p + 1 : p \in P\}$$

*does not intersect  $V$ . Similarly, if  $H$  is a Hamel basis that contains the perfect set  $P$ , then*

$$2P = \{2p : p \in P\}$$

*is a perfect set which misses  $H$ .*

## Marczewski null Hamel bases

**Remark 7** (Erdos [2]) *Under CH there is a Hamel basis  $H$  which is a Lusin set (and hence Marczewski null). To see this, note that by a result of Sierpinski there is a Lusin set  $X$  such that  $X + X = \{x + y : x, y \in X\} = \mathbb{R}$  (see e.g. [7]). Let  $H$  be any maximal linearly independent subset of  $X$ , then clearly  $\text{span}(H) = \text{span}(X) = \mathbb{R}$ .*

Our construction (without CH) of a Marczewski null Hamel basis is slightly simpler for the plane, so we do it first.

**Theorem 8** *There exists a Hamel basis,  $H$ , for  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , i.e. a basis for the plane as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which is a Marczewski null set, i.e., every perfect set contains a perfect subset disjoint from  $H$ .*

**Lemma 9** *Suppose  $V$  with  $|V| < \mathfrak{c}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (not necessarily closed),  $p \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and*

$$U \subseteq U_y = (\{y\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \{y\})$$

*with  $|U| < \mathfrak{c}$ . Then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq (U_y \setminus U)$  with  $p \in \text{span}(F \cup V)$  and such that  $F$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and independent over  $V$ , i.e.,  $\text{span}(F)$  meets  $V$  only in the zero vector.*

Proof:

Case 1.  $p = (u, 0)$ .

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be so that

$$y_2 - y_1 = u, \quad (y_1, y) \notin U \quad \text{and} \quad (y_2, y) \notin U.$$

Clearly  $p \in \text{span}(\{(y_1, y), (y_2, y)\})$ . Let

$$F \subseteq \{(y_1, y), (y_2, y)\} \subseteq U_y \setminus U$$

be minimal such that  $p \in \text{span}(V \cup F)$ , then  $F$  works.

Case 2.  $p = (0, v)$

Obviously this case is symmetric.

Case 3.  $p = (u, v)$

Apply case 1 to  $(u, 0)$  obtaining  $F_1$ . Let

$$V' = \text{span}(V \cup F_1)$$

and apply case 2 to  $V'$  obtaining  $F_2$  (and let  $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ ) so that

$$(u, 0), (0, v) \in \text{span}(V \cup F_1 \cup F_2).$$

□

The theorem is proved from the Lemma as follows. Let  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  list all uncountable Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  which have the property that for every  $y$  the set  $B_\alpha \cap U_y$  is countable. And let  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{y_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} = \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an increasing sequence  $H_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  for  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  so that

1.  $H_\alpha$  are linearly independent over the rationals,
2.  $\beta < \alpha$  implies  $H_\beta \subseteq H_\alpha$ ,
3.  $H_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} H_\alpha$  at limit ordinals  $\lambda$ ,
4.  $(H_{\alpha+1} \setminus H_\alpha) \subseteq U_{y_\alpha}$  is finite,
5.  $p_\alpha \in \text{span}(H_{\alpha+1})$
6.  $H_\alpha \cap B_\beta \subseteq H_{\beta+1}$  whenever  $\beta < \alpha$ .
7.  $H_\alpha \cap U_{y_\beta} \subseteq H_{\beta+1}$  whenever  $\beta < \alpha$ .

At successor ordinals  $\alpha + 1$  apply the lemma with  $p = p_\alpha$ ,  $V = \text{span}(H_\alpha)$ , and

$$U = \{p \in U_{y_\alpha} : \exists \beta < \alpha (p \in B_\beta \text{ or } p \in U_{y_\beta})\}.$$

Then let  $H_{\alpha+1} = H_\alpha \cup F$ .

The set  $H = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} H_\alpha$  is a Hamel basis and note that for every  $y_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that  $H \cap U_{y_\alpha} \subseteq H_{\alpha+1}$  and so

$$|H \cap U_{y_\alpha}| < \mathfrak{c}$$

and similarly for every  $\alpha$  we have that

$$|H \cap B_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}.$$

To see that  $H$  is Marczewski null, suppose that  $P$  is any perfect subset of the plane. If for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that  $P \cap U_y$  is uncountable and closed, then since  $|H \cap U_y| < \mathfrak{c}$  and every perfect set can be split into continuum many perfect subsets, there exists a perfect set  $P' \subseteq P \cap U_y$  disjoint from  $H$ .

On the other hand if there is no such  $y$  then  $P = B_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$  and so  $|P \cap H| < \mathfrak{c}$ . Thus again by splitting  $P$  into continuum many pairwise disjoint perfect subsets, there must be a perfect subset of  $P$  disjoint from  $H$ .

□

**Theorem 10** *There exists a Hamel basis,  $H$ , for the reals which is a Marczewski null set.*

Obviously, this implies Theorem 8, since

$$(H \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times H)$$

is a Marczewski null Hamel basis for the plane. But the proof is a little messier so we chose to do the one for the plane first.

For  $p, q \in {}^\omega 2$  define

$$\sigma(p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p(n)}{2^{2n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q(n)}{2^{2n+2}}$$

So we are basically looking at the even and odd digits in the binary expansion. The function  $\sigma(p, q)$  maps  ${}^\omega 2 \times {}^\omega 2$  onto the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . For any  $p \in {}^\omega 2$  define

$$U_p = \{\sigma(p, q) : q \in {}^\omega 2\}$$

The following is the analogue of Lemma 9.

**Lemma 11** *Suppose we have a subspace,  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , with  $|V| < \mathfrak{c}$  and  $1 \in V$ ,  $p \in {}^\omega 2$ ,  $U \subseteq U_p$  with  $|U| < \mathfrak{c}$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq U_p \setminus U$  such that*

$$z \in \text{span}(V \cup F) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{span}(F) \cap V \text{ is trivial.}$$



Proof:

Case 1.  $z = \sigma(\underline{0}, q)$ . ( $\underline{0} \in {}^\omega 2$  is the constantly zero function.)

We may assume that there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $q(n) = 0$ , because otherwise  $z \in \mathbb{Q}$  and so we may take  $F$  to be empty. Let

$$A = \{n : q(n) = 0\}.$$

For any  $B \subseteq A$  define the pair  $q_B, q'_B \in {}^\omega 2$  as follows:

$$q_B(n) = \begin{cases} q(n) & \text{if } n \notin B \\ 1 & \text{if } n \in B \end{cases} \quad q'_B(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin B \\ 1 & \text{if } n \in B \end{cases}$$

Since  $q(n) = 0$  for each  $n \in B$ , it follows that  $q(n) = q_B(n) - q'_B(n)$  for every  $n$ . Since we never do any “borrowing” we have that

$$z = \sigma(\underline{0}, q) = \sigma(p, q_B) - \sigma(p, q'_B)$$

Since  $|U| < \mathfrak{c}$  there are continuum many  $B \subseteq A$  such that neither  $\sigma(p, q_B)$  nor  $\sigma(p, q'_B)$  are in  $U$ . Fix one of these  $B$ 's and let

$$F \subseteq \{\sigma(p, q_B), \sigma(p, q'_B)\} \subseteq U_p \setminus U$$

be minimal, such that  $z \in \text{span}(V \cup F)$ .

Case 2.  $z = \sigma(q, \underline{0})$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}z = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(q, \underline{0}) = \sigma(\underline{0}, q)$$

this follows easily from case 1.

To prove it for general  $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  first we may assume that  $z = \sigma(q_1, q_2)$  for some  $q_1, q_2 \in {}^\omega 2$  since a rational multiple of  $z$  is in  $[0, 1]$ . Next we may apply case 1 to  $\sigma(\underline{0}, q_2)$  and then iteratively (as in the proof of Lemma 9) to  $\sigma(q_1, \underline{0})$ . Then since  $z = \sigma(q_1, \underline{0}) + \sigma(\underline{0}, q_2)$  the Lemma is proved.

□

Note for any distinct  $p_1, p_2 \in {}^\omega 2$  if neither is eventually one, then  $U_{p_1}$  and  $U_{p_2}$  are disjoint. The proof of Theorem 10 is now similar to that of Theorem 8, using the family of  $U_p$  for  $p \in {}^\omega 2$  which are not eventually one.

□

**Remark 12** *Similar proofs can be given to produce Marczewski null Hamel bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^\omega$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ . For  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one can either modify the proofs of Theorem 8 and Lemma 9, or else observe (for example when  $n = 3$ ) that if  $H$  is a Marczewski null Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$(H \times \{0\} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times H \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \{0\} \times H)$$

*is a Marczewski null Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If  $X = \mathbb{Q}^\omega$  or  $X = \mathbb{R}^\omega$  then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $X \times X$  and the proofs are similar to the proof for the plane.*

**Conjecture 13** *Suppose  $X$  is an uncountable completely metrizable separable metric space which is also a vector space with respect to a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and scalar multiplication and vector sum are Borel maps. Then there exists a basis  $H$  for  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $H$  is Marczewski null.*

Note that our conjecture reduces to the case that the field  $\mathbb{F}$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some prime  $p$ . This is because if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $H$  is a Marczewski null basis for  $X$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then some maximal linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}$  subset of  $H$  is a Marczewski null basis for  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

F.B. Jones [4] constructed a Hamel basis containing a perfect set and attributed the construction of what might be called Vitali-independent perfect set to R.L. Swain.

**Theorem 14** *There is a Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  which is Marczewski measurable and perfectly dense.*

Proof: Let  $C$  be a linearly independent Cantor set and  $H_0$  be a Marczewski null Hamel basis. Split  $C$  into countably many Cantor sets  $C_0, C_1, \dots$ , fix a basis  $\{B_n : n < \omega\}$  for the topology of the real line and for each  $n$  pick a non-zero rational  $q_n$  such that  $q_n C_n$  intersects  $B_n$ . Note that

$$C' = \bigcup \{q_n C_n : n < \omega\}$$

is still linearly independent (though not a Cantor set) and for all open sets  $U$  there exists a perfect  $P \subseteq C' \cap U$ . Let  $H_1 \subseteq H_0$  be maximal such that

$$H = C' \cup H_1$$

is linearly independent. It is easy to see that  $H$  works.

□

## Borel Additive mappings

We might hope to get Theorem 10 as a corollary to Theorem 8 getting a Borel linear isomorphism between  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since a Borel bijection preserves the Marczewski null sets, we would be able to obtain a Marczewski null Hamel basis for the reals from one for the plane.

This will not work because of the following result. A mapping is called additive iff  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for any  $x$  and  $y$ . Note that if  $f$  is additive, then  $f(rx) = rf(x)$  for any rational  $r$ .

**Theorem 15** *Any additive Borel map  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fails to be one-to-one.*

**Lemma 16** *Suppose  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an additive Borel map. Then there exists a comeager  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a real  $a$  such that  $g(x) = ax$  for every  $x \in G$ .*

Proof: This is due to F.Burton Jones [4]. Since  $g$  is additive it is not hard to prove that for every rational  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and real  $x$  that  $g(ax) = ag(x)$ . Also since  $g$  is Borel there exist a comeager  $G$  such that the restriction of  $g$  to  $G$  is continuous. Since  $aG$  is comeager for any nonzero  $a$  we may without loss assume that  $aG \subseteq G$  for every nonzero rational  $a$ . Let  $x_0$  be any fixed nonzero element of  $G$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have that  $g(ax_0) = ag(x_0)$  and  $ax_0 \in G$ . So by the continuity of  $g$  we have that  $g(yx_0) = yg(x_0)$  for any  $y$  with  $yx_0 \in G$ . Now for any  $x \in G$

$$g(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{x_0}x_0\right) = \frac{x}{x_0}g(x_0) = x\frac{g(x_0)}{x_0}$$

and so  $a = \frac{g(x_0)}{x_0}$  works.

□

Assume that  $f$  is an additive map. By the Lemma there exists comeager  $G_i$  and reals  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , such that for every  $x \in G_0$  we have  $f(x, 0) = a_0x$  and for every  $y \in G_1$  we have  $f(0, y) = a_1y$ . Since  $f$  is additive it follows that for every  $x, y \in G = G_0 \cap G_1$  we have that

$$f(x, y) = a_0x + a_1y.$$

If either  $a_i$  is zero, then of course  $f$  is not one-to-one. So assume both are nonzero. Let  $x$  and  $x'$  be arbitrary distinct elements of  $G$  and define

$$z = -\frac{a_0}{a_1}(x - x')$$

Since  $G$  is comeager, so is  $G + z$  and so we can choose  $y$  in both  $G$  and  $G + z$ . If we let  $y'$  be so that  $y = y' + z$ , then  $y' = y - z \in G$  and

$$f(x, y) = a_0x + a_1y = a_0x + a_1y' - a_0(x - x') = a_0x' + a_1y' = f(x', y')$$

and  $f$  is not one-to-one.

□

We use similar Baire category arguments to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 17** *There is no Borel (or even Baire) 1-1 additive function  $f$  of the following form for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

1.  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$
2.  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\omega$ , or  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega$  ( even for any 1-1 additive  $f$  )
3.  $f : \mathbb{Q}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , or  $f : \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof:

(1)  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

This argument is a generalization of Theorem 15. There exists a comeager  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a linear transformation  $L : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with the property that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = L(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \text{ for any } x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$$

Since  $L$  cannot be 1-1 there must be distinct vectors  $u$  and  $v$  with  $L(u) = L(v)$ . Since  $G$  is comeager there exists a vector  $w$  such that  $u_i + w_i, v_i + w_i \in G$  for all coordinates  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$  (choose  $w_i \in (G - u_i) \cap (G - v_i)$ ). But then

$$f(u + w) = L(u + w) = L(u) + L(w) = L(v) + L(w) = L(v + w) = f(v + w)$$

implies that  $f$  is not 1-1.

(2)  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\omega$ , or  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega$  ( even for any 1-1 additive function  $f$  ).

It is enough to prove this for the case  $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\omega$ , since there are such maps from  $\mathbb{R}^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and from  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  into  $\mathbb{Q}^\omega$ . Let  $f(x)(m) \in \mathbb{Q}$  refer to the  $m^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of  $f(x)$ . If  $f$  is 1-1 and additive, then for each non-zero

$x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is some  $m$  such that  $f(x)(m) \neq 0$ . By Baire category there must exist some  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $q_0 \neq 0$ , coordinate  $m$ , open interval  $I$  and  $H \subseteq I$  comeager in  $I$  such that

$$f(x)(m) = q_0 \text{ for every } x \in H.$$

But this is impossible because we can find  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\epsilon$  close to 1 but different from 1 and some  $x$  we have  $x, \epsilon x \in H$  but

$$f(x) + f(\epsilon x) = f(x + \epsilon x) = f((1 + \epsilon)x) = (1 + \epsilon)f(x)$$

Since both  $x$  and  $\epsilon x$  are in  $H$  we have that  $f(x)(m) = f(\epsilon x)(m) = q_0$ , contradicting  $2q_0 \neq (1 + \epsilon)q_0$ .

(3)  $f : \mathbb{Q}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , or  $f : \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

We show there is no such map  $f : \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since there is a 1-1 additive Borel map (inclusion) from  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  into  $\mathbb{Q}^\omega$ , this suffices. We start by giving the proof for  $n = 1$ . Assume for contradiction that  $G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^\omega$  is a comeager  $G_\delta$ -set and  $f|_G$  is continuous on  $G$ .

The topology on  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  is determined by the basic open sets

$$[s] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega : s \subseteq x\}$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$  — the set of finite sequences from  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Claim. For any  $N \in \omega$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$  there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$  with  $s \subseteq t$  and for every  $x \in G \cap [t]$  we have  $f(x) > N$ .

proof: Let  $m = |s|$  the length of  $s$  (so  $s = \langle s(0), \dots, s(m-1) \rangle$ ). For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega$  be the sequence which is all zeros except on the  $m^{\text{th}}$  coordinate where it is  $k$ . Since  $f$  is additive and 1-1 it must be that either  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_k) = \infty$  or  $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} f(x_k) = \infty$ . Since  $G$  is comeager there exists  $u \in [s]$  such that  $u + x_k \in G$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (i.e, choose  $u \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-x_k + G)$ ). Note that  $(u + x_k) \in [s]$  for every  $k$  and  $f(u + x_k) = f(u) + f(x_k)$  and hence for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have that  $f(u + x_k) > N$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on  $G$  we can find the  $t$  as required.

This proves the Claim.

According to the Claim for each  $N$  there exists a dense open set  $D_N$  such that for every  $x \in D_N \cap G$  we have  $f(x) > N$ . But this is a contradiction since it implies

$$G \cap \bigcap_{N \in \omega} D_N = \emptyset$$

For the case that  $f : \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the argument is similar, we just prove a claim that says: For any  $N \in \omega$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$  there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$  with  $s \subseteq t$  and for every  $x \in G \cap [t]$  we have  $f(x)(i) > N$  for some coordinate  $i < n$ .

□

\*

## References

- [1] C.Burstin, *Die Spaltung des Kontinuums in  $c$  in Lebesgueschem Sinne nichtmessbare Mengen*, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien Math. Nat. Klasse Abt. IIa 125(1916), 209-217.
- [2] P. Erdős, *On some properties of Hamel basis*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 10(1963), 267-269.
- [3] J.Feldman and C.C.Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 234(1977), 289-324.
- [4] F.B.Jones, *Measure and other properties of a Hamel basis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 48(1942), 472-481.
- [5] Alexander S. Kechris, **Classical Descriptive Set Theory**, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 156, Springer-Verlag, 1995. ISBN: 0-387-94374-9, 3-540-94374-9
- [6] M.Kuczma, **An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality**. Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ślpolhk askiego w Katowicach [Scientific Publications of the University of Silesia], 489. Uniwersytet Ślpolhk aski, Katowice; Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (PWN), Warsaw, 1985. ISBN: 83-01-05508-1
- [7] A.W.Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, in: **Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology**, ed. K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, Elsevier Sci. Publ., 1984.

- [8] W.Sierpinski, *Sur la question de la mesurabilité de la base de Hamel*, Fundamenta Mathematica, 1(1920), 105-111.
- [9] Jack H. Silver, *Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations*, Annals of Mathematical Logic, 18(1980), 1-28.
- [10] Edward Szpilrajn (Marczewski), *Sur une classe de fonctions de M. Sierpinski et la classe correspondante d'ensembles*, Fund. Math., 24(1935), 17-34.

Arnold W. Miller  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Department of Mathematics, Van Vleck Hall  
480 Lincoln Drive  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1388  
USA  
E-mail: miller@math.wisc.edu  
Homepage: <http://www.math.wisc.edu/~miller/>

Strashimir G. Popvassilev  
Institute of Mathematics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Acad. G. Bontchev street, bl.8  
1113 Sofia, BULGARIA  
E-mail: [sgpopv@bgcict.acad.bg](mailto:sgpopv@bgcict.acad.bg)  
Department of Mathematics, 218 Parker Hall  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849-5310  
USA  
E-mail: [popvast@mail.auburn.edu](mailto:popvast@mail.auburn.edu)  
Homepage: <http://www.auburn.edu/~popvast/>