Arnold W. Miller Department of Mathematics University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706 July 1, 1987

Dear Professor Fraïssé

I enjoyed very much reading your book, **Theory of Relations**. Thank you for writting it.

Probably someone has already answered the problem of Hagendorf¹ which you mention on page 136:

Existence of a strictly decreasing ω_1 -sequence of denumerable partial orderings.

The following result, which I proved jointly with Ken Kunen, answers this question in the affirmative.

Theorem 1 There exists $\langle P_X : X \in [\omega]^{\omega} \rangle$ where each P_X is a countable poset and

$$P_X$$
 embeds into $P_Y \iff X \subseteq^* Y$

where $[\omega]^{\omega}$ is the set of infinite subsets of $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $X \subseteq^* Y$ means inclusion mod finite, i.e. $X \setminus Y$ is finite.

Since there are decreasing mod finite ω_1 sequences in $[\omega]^{\omega}$ we get that the same is true for countable posets under embedding.

Lemma 2 There is a set $\langle C_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of finite partial orders such for any $n \in \omega$, C_n cannot be embedded in the disjoint union of $\{C_m : m \neq n\}$. Furthermore no C_n contains a chain of length three.

Proof: For $n \geq 3$ let C_{n-3} be the following ordering on 2n points

$$\{a_i, b_i : i < n\}$$

$$a_i < b_j \iff i = j \text{ or } j = i + 1 \text{ mod n}$$

¹I have sent copies of this letter to Hagendorf, Kunen, Pouzet, and Veličković.

Otherwise incomparable. Hence the a's are all minimal and the b's maximal. I picture them as being wrapped around a cylindar or ring. The embedding claim is true for the same reason that a cyclic graph cannot be embedded into another one of different cycle length.

For any $Y \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ let Q(Y) be the partial order which consists of the disjoint union of $\{C_m : m \in Y\}$ and in addition has a unique minimal element c.

Now we describe P_X for any $X \in [\omega]^{\omega}$. Let $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ be all $Y \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ such that Y = X. P_X is the disjoint union of $\{Q(X_n) : n \in \omega\}$.

It easy to show that if $Y \subseteq Z$ then Q(Y) can be embedded into Q(Z) and hence if $X \subseteq^* Y$ then P_X can be embedded into P_Y . On the other hand if P_X can be embedded into P_Y then for some n, $Q(X_0)$ can be embedded into $Q(Y_n)$ and thus $X_0 \subseteq Y_n$ and so $X \subseteq^* Y$. This proves the theorem.

B. Veličković (CalTech) asked whether it is possible to get a decreasing chain of countable posets of length 2^{\aleph_0} . Assuming MA the answer to Veličković's question is yes, since such chains exist in $[\omega]^{\omega}$ /finite. However in the Cohen real model (adding say $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ Cohen reals to a model of GCH) the continuum is large, but ω_2 does not embed into $[\omega]^{\omega}$ /finite. This in fact, follows from an unpublished result in Kunen's Thesis. (The theorem in Kunen's thesis is that in the Cohen real model no well-order of ω_2 is in the σ -algebra generated by rectangles $\{A \times B : A, B \subseteq \omega_2\}$.)

His argument can be generalized to show:

Theorem 3 It consistent relative to the consistency of ZFC that the continuum is arbitrarily large but there does not exist countable structures $\langle A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_2$

$$\alpha < \beta \iff A_{\alpha} \text{ embeds into } A_{\beta}$$

Proof: Let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC+GCH and let P be $FIN(\kappa)$ the partial order of finite partial functions from κ into 2 where κ is any cardinal of $M \geq \omega_2^M$. Suppose for contradiction that in M[G] where G is P-generic over M there is such an ω_2 sequence.

Working in M, let $\langle A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$ be a sequence of names for countable structures with ω as thier universe and $p \in P$ be such that

$$p \vdash \forall \alpha, \beta \ [\alpha < \beta \iff A_{\alpha} \text{ embedds into } A_{\beta}]$$

Since P has c.c.c. we can assume that the names have countable support, i.e. we can find Γ_{α} countable subsets of κ such that $A_{\alpha}^{G} \in M[G|_{\Gamma_{\alpha}}]$.

By the delta systems lemma we can find $X \in [\omega_2]^{\omega_2}$ and root R such that for $\alpha, \beta \in X$ and distinct

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} \cap \Gamma_{\beta} = R$$

We can assume that the order type of every Γ_{α} for $\alpha \in X$ is the same (say α_0) and furthermore that the unique order preserving map between any two is the identity on R. (Since M satisfies CH.)

Let π_{α} be the partial order isomorphism from $FIN(\Gamma_{\alpha})$ to $FIN(\alpha_0)$ induced by the unique order preserving map from Γ_{α} to α_0 .

Let $\pi_{\alpha}(A_{\alpha}) = \tau_{\alpha}$. Since M satisfies CH we can assume that all τ_{α} are the same. It follows then that if π is the automorphism of $FIN(\kappa)$ induced by interchanging $\Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus R$ and $\Gamma_{\beta} \setminus R$ order preservingly and the identity outside of these, then $\pi(A_{\alpha}) = A_{\beta}$ and $\pi(A_{\beta}) = A_{\alpha}$

If we take $\alpha, \beta \in X$ such that $\alpha < \beta$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus R$ and $\Gamma_{\beta} \setminus R$ are both disjoint from the domain of p (so $\pi(p) = p$) then since

$$p \models A_{\alpha}$$
 embedds into A_{β}

SO

$$\pi(p) \models \pi(A_{\alpha})$$
 embedds into $\pi(A_{\beta})$

hence

$$p \Vdash A_{\beta}$$
 embedds into A_{α}

contradicting $\alpha < \beta$.

sincerely,

Arnold W. Miller